

TABLE 1, PART B.

Author	Offset Direct (Indirect) Effects				Gov't Involvement				Assumptions/ Omissions	Special Features	Implicit Bias
	Econ	Soc	Subst	Satur	Monop	Regul	Subsidy	Tax			
1. Gabe et al.	--	--	--	--	Nat Am	--		--	--	--	--
2. Perniciaro	--	yes	--	--	minimum size	misc.		yes	spurious correlation/	housing data on casino service industry	attributes all new non-casino A. C. jobs to gaming
3. CBEF	--	--	yes ^c	--	limited location	bet limit	--	yes	/no neg impacts	--	--
4. Leven, Phares	yes ^c	yes ^c	yes	--	limited franchise	--	--	yes	no in-migration/	survey; detailed offsets	--
5. WEFA	--	yes ^c	yes ^c	--	Nat Am	--	--	yes	--	--	--
6. Walker, Jackson	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	no neg impacts/	detailed sales tax data	--
7. Grinols, Omorov	yes	yes ^c	yes	yes	region	--	--	--	single causation/ no neg impacts	--	--
8. Pable	yes	yes	yes	yes	--	--	--	--	/suppressed positive effects	summary of gov't report	civic group p. r.
9. Hamer	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	hotel-lodging multiplier/	econ baseline projection	inconsistency in I-O&projection

10. Goodman	--	--	--	yes	Nat Am	--	--	--	number of slots as attraction/	--	omits uniqueness of settings
11. Goodman	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	/pos impacts	interviews	neg orientation
12. Gazel et al.	--	--	--	--	yes	--	--	--	--	interviews	--

TABLE 1, PART B.

Author	Offset Direct (Indirect) Effects				Gov't Involvement				Assumptions/ Omissions	Special Features	Implicit Bias
	Econ	Soc	Subst	Satur	Monop	Regul	Subsidy	Tax			
13. San Antonio	yes	yes	yes	--	--	--	--	yes	unofficial industry data/	--	--
14. Harrah's	--	yes ^h	--	--	--	--	--	--	/no neg impacts	own data	company p. r.
15. Coopers & Lybrand	--	yes ^h	--	--	--	--	--	--	/no neg impacts	survey of 178K casino employees	industry employee survey
16. Andersen	--	--	--	--	various	--	--	yes	/no neg impacts	--	industry sponsor
17. Andersen	--	--	--	--	yes	--	--	yes	/no neg impacts	--	industry sponsor
18. Andersen	--	--	--	--	yes	--	--	yes	/no neg impacts	--	industry sponsor
19. Andersen	--	--	--	yes	yes	--	--	yes	/no neg impacts	--	industry sponsor
20. Clapp	--	--	--	--	yes	--	--	--	/no neg impacts	property value study	--
21. Lake, Deller	yes	yes	--	--	yes	--	--	--	/no neg impacts	patron survey	--
22. Gazel	--	yes	yes	--	--	--	--	--	approx mult; no broad positives/	survey data thorough accts	--
23. Slusher	--	--	--	--	yes	--	--	--	/no neg impacts	--	gov't economic develop office

24. Blois	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	--	--	yes	--	use of survey & gravity model	--
-----------	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	----	----	-----	----	----------------------------------	----

TABLE 1, PART B.

Author	Offset Direct (Indirect) Effects				Gov't Involvement				Assumptions/ Omissions	Special Features	Implicit Bias
	Econ	Soc	Subst	Satur	Monop	Regul	Subsidy	Tax			
25. Deloitte	yes	yes	yes	yes	--	--	--	--	--	mkt analysis of competition	--
26. Hewings	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	/no neg impacts	dividend payments	--
27. Turner	--	--	--	low	yes	decr- easing	--	--	/no neg impacts	profit & mkt analysis	promote investment
28. KPMG	min net	none net	none net	--	yes	--	--	--	--	--	high multipliers
29. IL E&FC	--	--	--	future	yes	--	--	--	/no neg impacts	--	--
30. California	yes ^c	yes ^c	yes ^c	--	--	--	--	yes	/no neg impacts	--	--
31. MD DFS	yes	yes	none net	--	yes	--	--	--	mult very high/	recap effects	--
32. Andersen	yes ^c	yes ^c	yes	yes	yes	--	--	--	high multipliers/ biased lit review	recap effects	industry sponsor
33. Hunter	yes	none	yes	--	yes	--	--	--	huge multipliers/	recap effects	--
34. May & Co	yes	yes	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	survey of businesses	opinion only
35. Thompson	--	yes ^c	yes	--	yes	--	--	--	/no recap effect	--	--

36. Speyrer	yes	yes	yes	--	--	--	--	--	/no secondary econ impacts	extensive surveys	--
-------------	-----	-----	-----	----	----	----	----	----	-------------------------------	----------------------	----