## Mathematics Grade 4


### 1. Improvement Over Time

Have Florida's 4th graders improved in mathematics achievement?

Not yet. Between 1992 and 1996, there was no significant change in the percentage of public school 4th graders who met the Goals Panel's performance standard in mathematics.

The Goals Panel has set its performance standard at the two highest levels of achievement — Proficient or Advanced — on the National Assessment of Educational Progress, or NAEP.

Percentage of public school 4th graders at or above Proficient on the NAEP mathematics assessment



ns Interpret with caution. Change was not statistically significant. Mathematics performance will be tested again in 2000.

### 2. State Comparisons<sup>+</sup>

How did Florida compare with other states in 4th grade mathematics achievement in public schools in 1996?

# 26 states had significantly higher percentages of students who were at or above Proficient on NAEP:

Connecticut	31%	Michigan, Utah, Vermont	23%
Minnesota	29%	Colorado, Iowa, Maryland, Montana	22%
Maine, Wisconsin	27%	<i>U.S.,</i> * Alaska, North Carolina, Oregon,	<b>21%</b>
New Jersey, Texas Indiana, Massachusetts, Nebraska, North Dakota	25% 24%	Washington Missouri, New York, Pennsylvania West Virginia,² Wyoming²	20% 19%

# 12 states had similar percentages of students who were at or above Proficient on NAEP:

Virginia <sup>2</sup>	19%	Nevada	14%
Rhode Island, Tennessee	17%	Arkansas, Georgia, New Mexico	13%
Delaware, Hawaii, Kentucky	16%	South Carolina	12%
Florida. Arizona	15%		


### 6 states had significantly lower percentages of students who were at or above Proficient on NAEP:

Alabama, California	11%	District of Columbia	5%
Louisiana, Mississippi	8%	Guam	3%

#### <sup>†</sup> The term "state" is used to refer to the 50 states, the District of Columbia, and the territories.

### 3. Subgroup Performance

What percentages of public school 4th graders in different subgroups in Florida were at or above Proficient on the 1996 NAEP mathematics assessment?



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Interpret differences between subgroups with caution. See pp. 3-4 and Appendix D.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See explanation on pp. 3-4.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> State may appear to be out of place; however, statistically, its placement is correct. See pp. 3-4.

<sup>\*</sup> Figure shown for the U.S. includes both public and nonpublic school data.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Characteristics of the sample do not permit a reliable estimate.

### 1. Improvement Over Time




Have Florida's 8th graders improved in mathematics achievement?

Yes. The percentage of Florida's public school 8th graders who met the Goals Panel's performance standard in mathematics increased from 12% in 1990, to 17% in 1996.

The Goals Panel has set its performance standard at the two highest levels of achievement — Proficient or Advanced — on the National Assessment of Educational Progress, or NAEP.

Percentage of public school 8th graders at or above Proficient on the NAEP mathematics assessment



Mathematics performance will be tested again in 2000.

### 2. State Comparisons<sup>+</sup>

How did Florida compare with other states in 8th grade mathematics achievement in public schools in 1996?

## 22 states had significantly higher percentages of students who were at or above Proficient on NAEP:

Minnesota	34%	Vermont	27%
North Dakota	33%	Oregon, Washington	26%
Montana, Wisconsin	32%	Colorado	25%
Connecticut, Iowa, Maine, Nebraska	31%	U.S.,* Indiana, Maryland, Utah	24%
Alaska	30%	Missouri, New York, Wyoming	22%
Massachusetts, Michigan	28%	Virginia <sup>2</sup>	21%

# 13 states had similar percentages of students who were at or above Proficient on NAEP:

Texas <sup>2</sup>	21%	Georgia, Hawaii, Kentucky	16%
North Carolina, Rhode Island	20%	Tennessee	15%
Delaware	19%	New Mexico, South Carolina,	14%
Arizona	18%	West Virginia	
Florida, California	17%	_	


### 6 states had significantly lower percentages of students who were at or above Proficient on NAEP:

Arkansas	13%	Guam	6%
Alabama	12%	District of Columbia	5%
Louisiana, Mississippi	7%		

#### <sup>†</sup> The term "state" is used to refer to the 50 states, the District of Columbia, and the territories.

### 3. Subgroup Performance

What percentages of public school 8th graders in different subgroups in Florida were at or above Proficient on the 1996 NAEP mathematics assessment?



<sup>1</sup> Interpret differences between subgroups with caution. See pp. 3-4 and Appendix D.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See explanation on pp. 3-4.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> State may appear to be out of place; however, statistically, its placement is correct. See pp. 3-4.

<sup>\*</sup> Figure shown for the U.S. includes both public and nonpublic school data.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Characteristics of the sample do not permit a reliable estimate.


# Science Grade 8


### 1. Improvement Over Time

Have Florida's 8th graders improved in science achievement?

In 1996, 21% of Florida's public school 8th graders met the Goals Panel's performance standard in science. The Goals Panel will report whether science performance has improved over time when science is assessed again in 2000.

The Goals Panel has set its performance standard at the two highest levels of achievement — Proficient or Advanced — on the National Assessment of Educational Progress, or NAEP.





### 2. State Comparisons<sup>+</sup>

How did Florida compare with other states in 8th grade science achievement in public schools in 1996?

# 22 states had significantly higher' percentages of students who were at or above Proficient on NAEP:

Maine, Montana, North Dakota	41%	Alaska	31%
Wisconsin	39%	Indiana	30%
Massachusetts, Minnesota	37%	U.S.*	29%
Connecticut, Iowa	36%	Missouri	28%
Nebraska	35%	New York, Virginia, Washington	27%
Vermont, Wyoming	34%	Rhode Island	26%
Colorado, Michigan, Oregon, Utah	32%		

# 14 states had similar percentages of students who were at or above Proficient on NAEP:

Maryland	25%	California	20%
North Carolina	24%	New Mexico	19%
Arizona, Kentucky, Texas	23%	Alabama	18%
Arkansas, Tennessee	22%	South Carolina	17%
Florida, Delaware, Georgia,	21%		

West Virginia


### 5 states had significantly lower percentages of students who were at or above Proficient on NAEP:

Hawaii	15%	Guam	7%
Louisiana	13%	District of Columbia	5%
Mississippi	12%		

- <sup>†</sup> The term "state" is used to refer to the 50 states, the District of Columbia, and the territories.
- <sup>1</sup> See explanation on pp. 3-4.
- \* Figure shown for the U.S. includes both public and nonpublic school data.

### 3. Subgroup Performance

What percentages of public school 8th graders in different subgroups in Florida were at or above Proficient on the 1996 NAEP science assessment?



- 1 Interpret differences between subgroups with caution. See pp. 3-4 and Appendix D.
- <sup>2</sup> Characteristics of the sample do not permit a reliable estimate.
- \*\* No school location data for science in 1996.

# International Comparisons

### **Florida**

#### **Mathematics Grade 8**

Forty-one nations<sup>†</sup> participated in the Third International Mathematics and Science Study (TIMSS) in 8th grade mathematics in 1995. If public school 8th graders in Florida participated in the TIMSS mathematics assessment, how would their average performance compare to that of students who took TIMSS in these nations?

#### 22 nations would be expected to perform significantly higher:

(Australia) (Israel) (Austria) Japan Belgium - Flemish<sup>2</sup> Korea (Belaium - French)2 (Netherlands) (Bulgaria) Russian Federation Canada Singapore Czech Republic Slovak Republic France (Slovenia) Sweden Hong Kong Hungary (Switzerland) (Thailand) Ireland

#### 14 nations\* would be expected to perform similarly:1

Cyprus (Lithuania)
(Denmark) New Zealand
(England) Norway

Florida (Romania)
(Germany) (Scotland)
(Greece) Spain
Iceland United States

Iceland United (Latvia – LSS)<sup>3</sup>

5 nations\* would be expected to perform significantly lower:1

(Colombia)PortugalIran, Islamic Republic(South Africa)

(Kuwait)

#### Science Grade 8

Forty-one nations<sup>†</sup> participated in the Third International Mathematics and Science Study (TIMSS) in 8th grade science in 1995. If public school 8th graders in Florida participated in the TIMSS science assessment, how would their average performance compare to that of students who took TIMSS in these nations?

#### 13 nations would be expected to perform significantly higher:

(Australia)Japan(Austria)KoreaBelgium - Flemish²(Netherlands)(Bulgaria)SingaporeCzech RepublicSlovak Republic(England)(Slovenia)Hungary

#### 18 nations\* would be expected to perform similarly:1

Canada Norway Florida (Romania) France Russian Federation (Germany) (Scotland) (Greece) Spain Sweden Hong Kong Iceland (Switzerland) Ireland (Thailand) **United States** (Israel) New Zealand

#### 10 nations would be expected to perform significantly lower:1

(Belgium – French)² (Kuwait)
(Colombia) (Latvia – LSS)³
Cyprus (Lithuania)
(Denmark) Portugal
Iran, Islamic Republic (South Africa)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup> The term "nation" is used to refer to nations, states, or jurisdictions. Performance for nations is based on public school data only. Nations not meeting international guidelines are shown in parentheses.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See explanation on pp. 3-4.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The Flemish and French educational systems in Belgium participated separately.

<sup>3</sup> Latvia is designated LSS because only Latvian-speaking schools were tested, which represent less than 65% of the population.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup> The term "nation" is used to refer to nations, states, or jurisdictions. Performance for nations is based on public school data only. Nations not meeting international guidelines are shown in parentheses.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See explanation on pp. 3-4.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The Flemish and French educational systems in Belgium participated separately.

<sup>3</sup> Latvia is designated LSS because only Latvian-speaking schools were tested, which represent less than 65% of the population.