## **US FREEDOM ADVOCTAES**

PRESIDENT'S ADVISORY
PANEL
ON FEDERAL TAX REFORM

4711 South Highway 281 (76067)
Post Office Box 7 (76068)
Mineral Wells, Texas
(940) 328-0448

2005 APR 29 P 3: 05

## TAX REFORM

As a staunch advocate of free trade I am faced with the inescapable fact that USA is running a huge and escalating world wide trade defect and national debit. Looking for the reason it is easy to conclude, US wages are too high, thus pricing US products and services out of world market competition. An in depth analysis reveals a much more complicated array of factors that have converted USA form a nation of trade surpluses to one of devastating trade deficits. Of the many factors, here I will address the one most responsible for US trade deficits; It is US tax policy.

Taxing the producer side of US economy is contrary to the concept of free trade as well as freedom of US citizens and annually costs the nation, public and privet, \$2.18 trillion more to process than taxing the consumer side. Irrespective of where, when or how taxes are levied or collected all taxes are ultimately paid by the consumer.

Current US producer tax policy fills all US products & services with premarket tax and interest on taxes that accumulate from resource discovery threw all stages of commercial development competing at market with tax free foreign products and services. As a US manufacturer I can compete in only one market, made to measure or one of a kind, nearly 30% of my product sales price is taxes plus interest on taxes, accumulated from the past. All US manufactures and service providers face this problem. Adopting HR25/S25, switching from producer to consumer tax policy would correct the largest part of our competitive problem, and is the least costly most efficient point in commerce to levy and collect tax.

The President by executive order has established an advisory panel to propose National Tax Policy reform.

Do you think it's better for America to continue taxing its producers, forcing every citizen to annually account to IRS for all production income and spending, costing the nation \$2.2 trillion, just to implement the policy; imposed by congress exercising the authority of the illegally adopted 16<sup>th</sup> Amendment.

Would you prefer the code proposed in HR25 / S25 repealing the 16<sup>th</sup> Amendment (Income tax), switching to consumer tax making America more, prosperous and competitive; Expanding US employment and productivity; Costing the nation less than \$.18 trillion annually to implement?

It is not possible to have any meaningful tax reform as long as the congress can exercise the authority of the 16<sup>th</sup> Amendment? Those of us who prefer freedom should contact the panel and our members of congress outlining the reasons we prefer HR25/S25.

Mail; Tax Reform Advisory Panel 1440 New York Ave. Northwest Suite 2100 Washington, DC 20220

email;comments@taxreformpanel.gov

Executive Director;	Local Advocate;
$\Omega I.I$	
This	
Philip Pedley	(a + ) is the case of the case
Col. Military Intelligence Ret.	नेवीं नामां केटा केटी केटी कोट का र एका कोट र के नामां नामां की राज कीट र की नामां नामां की राज कीट कीट कीट कीट

P.S. If there is any evidence that refutes any statement I have made, please bring it to my attention. Truth is paramount.