

PRESIDENT'S ADVISORY
PANEL
ON FEDERAL TAX REFORM

May 13, 2005

2005 APR 27 A 11: 18

President's Advisory Panel on Federal Tax Reform
1440 New York Ave NW, Suite 2100
Washington, DC 20220

Re: IRS Accusation, Intimidation, and Threats

Dear Connie Mack, III, Committee Chair:

I have never called upon you before for anything. I appreciate that you are hard at work for our great country and I appreciate what you do. I need to report an ongoing incident of escalating intimidation, harassment and fraudulent accusations made by the IRS without proof or cause. Please take the time to read this, and the enclosure, and understand that I have requested a formal congressional inquiry into this outrageous abuse of a law-abiding hard-working tax-paying voting (responsibly) citizen who takes her integrity quite seriously.


Agent Y Solo-Gasso (IRS Employee # 33-07753) sent correspondence to me accusing me of owning and/or controlling offshore bank accounts, corporations and credit cards and said that she had proof. I demanded and facilitated a meeting with Agent Solo-Gasso to provide a venue to reveal the basis of proof of these accusations that she made. However, Agent Solo-Gasso did not acknowledge nor attend the meeting. Therefore, since she did not respond to me regarding this demanded appointment, I can only logically assume that her "proof", that I demanded to see, is rather lacking in substance and form, which make her accusations fraudulent. (See enclosure)

Guilt prior to proven innocence remains unconstitutional. The IRS' practice of making false accusations based upon "proof" that they will not reveal is outrageous. The citizen must suddenly prove their innocence against undisclosed evidence. How can this possibly be done? It is an incredible dilemma. The IRS letter suggests that this accusation can be negotiated for a lesser or limited fine and penalty. The citizen simply needs to "admit" something that is not true, in intimidating circumstances, and then gets taken to court for perjury or fined or both. This harassment desperately needs to be addressed in a congressional inquiry. If we do not fight for our Rights by the constitution, we will lose them by the hand of our own government entity that has forgotten the IRS Mission Statement.

"Provide America's taxpayers top quality service by helping them understand and meet their tax responsibilities and by applying the tax law with integrity and fairness to all"

I have requested a formal congressional inquiry of this harassment and intimidation based upon Agent Y Solo-Gasso not supplying proof of her very serious allegations with Congressman Cunningham and Senators Boxer and Feinstein. I have requested that the "proof" be obtained from Agent Y Solo-Gasso documenting my ownership or control of foreign corporations, bank accounts and/or foreign credit cards as soon as possible.

Thank you very much for your attention,


Carol B Mann
3769 Purei Road
Escondido, CA 92029
858 472 4777

Enclosure: Rev Agent Y Solo-Gasso letter
cc: Con Cunningham, Sen. Boxer,
Senator Feinstein
President Bush

 COPY

May 13, 2005

Revenue Agent Yvonne Soto-Gasso
Employee # 33-07753
Internal Revenue Service (IRS)
290 North "D" Street
San Bernardino, CA 92401

Re: SE:C:CAA:SB:1:1:YS

Dear Revenue Agent Y. Soto-Gasso:

I spoke to you, via telephone on April 28th, (a witnessed conversation). In this conversation, I was rather outraged, to say the least, at your accusations that you have obtained documentation that verified that I owned and/or controlled a foreign corporation, owned and/or controlled an offshore credit card and owned and/or controlled an offshore bank account. Please know that I appreciate that you are being told what to do and how to do it, but if you have such documentation, such information would most certainly have to be fraudulent in nature. I am surprised, with my government's vast resources, that this would not have already been determined.

Consequently, and understandably, this documentation that you say that you have obtained is the reason for me demanding an appointment with you on May 10, 2005. This meeting, at my residence, provided you with a venue in which to show proof of the accusations that you made in your letter that you personally signed on April 11, 2005 (Postmarked April 14, 2005, regular mail and addressed to Carol Mann).

On the same day of our witnessed conversation of April 28, 2005, I assume subsequent to our conversation, you sent out another letter dated April 28, 2005 and postmarked April 29, 2005. This correspondence was sent regular mail to Carol Mann, this time with a form number and, again, personally signed by you. I personally take this subsequent letter as being obviously designed to further intimidate me by threatening to "contact other persons". Further, to my belief of deliberate intimidation and now my belief of harassment, this new correspondence alludes to an "open tax year. You sent personally signed correspondence threatening an invasion of my privacy and, I am assuming, a tax audit (open tax year), without cause, after our witnessed phone conversation of April 28, 2005.

Although you said, in our witnessed phone conversation of April 28th, that the initial letter that you sent was a form letter, it had no form number on the letter. This is against IRS regulations, according to my research. In my April 28 letter to you, I demanded the form letter number of the form letter that you sent to me. You responded to that demand (on May 6, 2005) by sending a subsequent letter that was similar in nature to the initial letter, but not exactly the same. This subsequent letter has a form number clearly stated on it and makes similar accusations. You personally signed this letter also. Obviously, you realized that you had made a serious error in your own regulation and protocol as an IRS agent. The lack of a form number on the original correspondence represents altering government documents and attempts to mislead the recipient of the letter by not clearly identifying it's "form" nature.

You have to appreciate that my life is based upon my personal integrity, integrity that I take very seriously and work hard to maintain. I am a law-abiding American and pay all taxes that I am suppose to and do so to the best of my ability. I know, through many documented cases, that the IRS has routinely violated individuals Rights and has failed to follow their own procedures, not to mention due process, which has resulted in many people's lives being ruined. I mention all this, for I would not knowingly ever do anything that could be remotely construed as immoral, unethical and/or illegal.

In my letter to you, date April 28, 2005 (Federal Express priority delivery April 29 and subsequent registered mail return receipt), I demanded an appointment with you and provided May 10, 2005 for this occasion at the address to which you sent the letters, my residence. This appointment was a venue for you to show me proof of your personal accusations that you had made in your initial letter dated April 11, 2005 and subsequent similar letter, this time with a government form number, on May 6, 2005 and, again, personally signed by you. I gave you nearly 2 weeks notice and the option of any time during that day. I asked you to call me regarding your arrival time so I could adjust my schedule.

Please, understand that I am an extremely busy person who works many long hours. Not to mention, any lost work hours costs me hundreds of dollars of lost income. Further, it takes a huge effort to carve out an entire day to be available for an appointment with you. Therefore, since you did not respond to me regarding this demanded appointment, I can only logically assume that your proof that you state that you have is rather lacking in substance and form. This assumption on my part is only logical, for if I made such accusations as you did, I, based upon my integrity, would produce such documentation as soon as possible and at the venue that I so graciously and hospitably provided for you.

In closing, by the time you receive this letter; I will have personally communicated with my congressman regarding this issue. I will be requesting a formal congressional inquiry of this harassment and intimidation, based upon you not being able to supply proof of your very serious allegations and other violations of IRS policy and procedure. Please note that I will also be asking my Congressman to obtain this "proof" documentation that you say you have regarding me personally owning and/or controlling foreign corporations, bank accounts and/or foreign credit cards.

Sincerely,

Carol B. Mann

Registered copies to:

President Bush

Congressman Cunningham

Senator Boxer

Senator Feinstein

President's Advisory Panel on Federal Tax Reform