CHAPTER 16

LIMITING VESSEL POLLUTION AND IMPROVING VESSEL SAFETY

Vessel activities create significant benefits, but they also present risks to people and the environment that need to be effectively addressed. Limiting pollution, improving safety, and addressing potential security threats associated with vessel operations depend on responsible owners and operators, conscientious crews, enforceable national and international standards, and development of new technologies and management approaches. There is also a need for heightened awareness and better real-time information about the full array of offshore activities to ensure safety, security, and environmental quality.

Assessing the Benefits and Risks of Vessel Activities

Commercial and recreational vessel activities contribute substantially to the U.S. economy. Ships carry more than 95 percent of the nation's overseas cargo\(^1\) and 9 to 15 percent of its domestic freight.\(^2,3\) The U.S. cruise industry and its passengers generated almost $12 billion in annual spending in 2002,\(^4\) and recreational boaters spend an estimated $30 billion a year.\(^5\) However, as with all industries, the many benefits derived from vessel operations are accompanied by safety and environmental risks that require effective government oversight. A 1995 U.S. Coast Guard study identified human error as the cause of approximately 80 percent of all maritime casualties.\(^6\) Recent events—such as an oil spill from a barge in Buzzards Bay, Massachusetts that caused significant economic and environmental damage and a Staten Island, New York ferry accident that resulted in multiple fatalities—demonstrate that protecting the environment and enhancing safety require continued focus and vigilance.

It is worth noting that many of the pollutants associated with vessels also have land-based sources. In fact, 80 percent of all ocean pollution originates from land-based activities, including many of the types of pollution commonly associated with vessel activities.\(^7\) For example, spills due to shipborne oil transportation, including spills from tankers, account for only about 9 percent of the human input of petroleum into North American waters.\(^8\) Nevertheless, the existence of other sources does not diminish the importance of finding better ways to reduce vessel pollution.

Improving commercial vessel safety, security, and environmental protection is an international concern. Foreign flag vessels, subject primarily to the jurisdiction and control of other governments, carry more than 90 percent of international commercial freight entering and departing the United States\(^9\) and account for 95 percent of passenger ships.
and 75 percent of cargo ships operating in U.S. waters. Consequently, it is critical for the United States to participate in worldwide efforts to manage vessel operations. The principal forum for developing international regulations and guidelines on vessel safety, security, and environmental protection is the United Nations International Maritime Organization (IMO). The IMO consists of 164 member nations, including the United States, whose combined fleets represent more than 98 percent of world vessel tonnage.

**Strengthening Vessel Safety, Security, and Environmental Compliance**

Vessel owners and operators and government agencies responsible for oversight of vessel operations share responsibility for continued improvement in vessel safety, security, and environmental compliance. Improvements to date have been based on a combination of voluntary and regulatory measures, including a broad array of guidelines and mandatory regimes for domestic and international operations. Over the past few years, attention has been focused on better implementation, oversight, and enforcement of existing requirements.

The success of all these efforts will depend on a broad domestic and international framework with several components. A key factor is a strong voluntary commitment on the part of vessel owners and operators to build a culture that incorporates safety, security, and environmental protection as important and valued aspects of everyday vessel operations. Another important component is an international commitment to effective oversight and enforcement, both by those with primary responsibility for vessel operations and by receiving ports.

**A Culture of Compliance and Safety**

Voluntary partnerships between U.S. government agencies and vessel owners and operators are an important, non-regulatory means of promoting vessel safety and encouraging compliance with environmental regulations. For example, the Coast Guard’s Prevention Through People program focuses on the human component of vessel operations to identify risks and develop solutions to common problems, emphasizing the industry’s lead role in safety management.

Such partnerships have been credited for reductions in vessel accidents and oil spills. However, the process of building a culture of safety also requires a strong commitment within industry. Safety and environmental plans should be effectively incorporated into routine vessel operations, including investments in improved workplace safety and training. Also important are reliable means of measuring the success of these initiatives, as reflected in crew and company performance, including extensive use of third-party audits. The Coast Guard has developed incentives that reward companies and vessels that have excellent performance records. The most effective incentives are those that facilitate cargo delivery or other vessel operations, such as reduced government oversight or inspections, which translate directly into lower operational costs.

**Recommendation 16–1**

The U.S. Coast Guard should encourage industry partners engaged in vessel management to develop stronger voluntary measures, particularly those that reward crew member contributions, as part of a continuing, long-term effort to build a culture of safety, security, and environmental compliance in routine vessel operations.

Despite these positive developments, effective oversight and enforcement will remain critical to improved safety and environmental protection. While most vessel owners and operators comply with international and domestic requirements to develop safety manage-
ment plans, the evidence of continuing accidents, criminal prosecutions for falsifying
documents, and intentional violation of environmental protection laws indicate that some
owners and operators are not effectively implementing these plans. Coast Guard experi-
ence has found that performance-based inspections, focusing on demonstrations of crew
competencies and incorporation of vessel safety management plans into daily operations,
provide the best means of evaluating the effectiveness of implementation efforts.

Effective oversight and enforcement also play an important role in identifying and tak-
ing action against the small percentage of owners, estimated to control 10 to 15 percent of
the world fleet, that cause significant environmental damage by disregarding or intention-
ally violating safety and environmental regulations.12 Thorough inspections and enforce-
ment operations and appropriate penalties can help discourage such illegal conduct.

Vessel oversight and enforcement took on a dramatic new dimension after the terrorist
attacks in September 2001, when a series of new security requirements were developed to
address vulnerabilities in the U.S. marine transportation system. In 2002, Congress enacted
the Maritime Transportation Security Act (MTSA), establishing a comprehensive approach
to maritime security, and the IMO adopted a broad new security regime for international
shipping, which entered into force in July 2004. These initiatives are part of a broader
homeland security strategy that places a series of new demands on Coast Guard resources.

Concern has been expressed in Congress and elsewhere about the impact of increased
security responsibilities on other Coast Guard missions. U.S. General Accounting Office
(GAO) reports have documented a decline in resources in a number of other mission
areas, including marine safety and environmental protection, since September 2001, and
have called upon the Coast Guard to develop a comprehensive, balanced resource utiliza-
tion strategy.13,14

A 2004 report by the National Research Council identified four key national interests
related to the marine transportation system: ensuring marine safety; protecting the marine
environment; facilitating commerce; and providing for national security.15 In planning for
future resource needs and allocation, it will be important to ensure that sufficient resources
are available to meet new security demands without diminishing the resources necessary
to sustain and strengthen marine safety and environmental compliance. For example,
performance-based vessel inspections, while the most effective means of verifying compli-
ance, are resource intensive. These inspections have played a critical role in identifying
and correcting potential problems, and in assessing the effectiveness of overall efforts to
improve safety and environmental compliance.

Recommendation 16-2
The U.S. Coast Guard should carry out sustained and strengthened performance-based inspec-
tions as a key component of vigorous enforcement of marine safety and environmental pro-
tection laws. Coast Guard activities in these areas should be coordinated with new demands
for vessel security inspections and other security requirements.

Flag State Oversight and Enforcement

Government responsibility for oversight and enforcement is vested primarily in the flag
state, the nation in which a vessel is registered and whose flag the vessel flies. Flag states
are responsible for ensuring their vessels’ compliance with applicable safety, security, and
environmental standards, and for verifying the accuracy of documents and certificates
issued under their authority. This responsibility requires flag states to have the necessary
domestic laws, administrative infrastructure, and qualified personnel in place to oversee
vessel inspections, ensure crew competency, investigate vessel accidents, and take appro-
priate regulatory and enforcement actions.
Although many flag states take their responsibilities seriously and are active participants within the IMO, some lack the willingness or capacity to adequately oversee and enforce international requirements. In many instances, flag states rely heavily on independent organizations, such as classification societies, for technical expertise and guidance concerning these responsibilities. These organizations may be designated to exercise authority on behalf of a flag state, in which case they are referred to as “responsible organizations.” Many of these organizations are highly professional and competent, but not all adhere to high standards of performance.

Some flag states, known as open registries, allow ship owners to register vessels and fly their flag without any genuine link between the nationality of the owner and the flag state. A few open registries have little interest in the duties of a flag state, other than to collect registration fees. These flag states become havens for owners of substandard vessels seeking to avoid meaningful oversight. The ability to rapidly change vessel registry from one flag state to another makes it easy for irresponsible owners to avoid effective flag state controls over their operations.

Over the past decade, the IMO has developed guidelines to improve flag state oversight and enforcement including a self-assessment program. However, less than one-third of IMO member nations have participated in the program, and a consistently low number of flag states submit mandatory reports to the IMO on actions taken to control pollution violations. An IMO research study completed in 2001 also found an unexpectedly high incidence of fraudulent crew certification documents, with over 80 percent of those surveyed having detected forged certificates in the last five years.

Mounting international security concerns have made effective flag state oversight and control even more urgent. Recently approved IMO security initiatives require flag states to enforce comprehensive new security measures for vessels flying their flag, including the implementation of vessel security plans, development of detailed and regularly updated vessel histories, and verification of vessel and crew security documentation.

The IMO also recently approved the establishment and development of a voluntary Model Audit Scheme to assess how effectively member states are implementing and enforcing convention standards and to provide feedback on audit results. The IMO has been working on a code that clearly enumerates flag state, port state, and coastal state responsibilities. The G-8 nations (the United States, France, Russia, the United Kingdom, Germany, Japan, Italy, Canada) and representatives from the European Union agreed to work together to accelerate the introduction of these IMO initiatives and expand technical cooperation programs to assist flag states in meeting their international obligations.

Recommendation 16–3

The United States should work with other nations to accelerate efforts at the International Maritime Organization to enhance flag state oversight and enforcement. These efforts should include implementation of:

- a code outlining flag state responsibilities and obligations.
- a voluntary audit regime, to be followed by adoption of a mandatory external audit regime for evaluating flag state performance.
- measures to ensure that responsible organizations, acting on behalf of flag states, meet established performance standards.
- increased technical assistance, where appropriate, for flag states that participate in self-assessments and audits.

—Richard McCreary, Group President, Halter Marine, Inc., testimony to the Commission, March 2002
Port State Control

Nations have the authority to ensure that foreign flag vessels visiting their ports are in compliance with applicable international and domestic requirements. This verification process, exercised through port state control programs, has taken on added significance given the failure of some vessel owners and flag states to effectively exercise their oversight responsibilities.

U.S. Port State Control

The Coast Guard currently carries out a port state control program that allocates limited inspection resources to the highest-risk vessels, based on an assessment of the vessel owner, flag state, classification society, performance history, and vessel type. The assessment also considers whether the flag state is a party to important international conventions. In 2002, over 7,000 vessels from 81 flag states made more than 53,000 port calls in the United States. The Coast Guard conducted 10,518 inspections, leading to the detention of 179 vessels for serious violations.19

The Coast Guard’s QUALSHIP 21 program rewards foreign flag vessels that have attained particularly high levels of compliance with international safety and environmental requirements by reducing their Coast Guard inspections. This can expedite port calls and reduce costs. The Coast Guard is currently working to develop additional incentives for QUALSHIP 21 vessels.

The Coast Guard’s annual reports on port state control identify a small number of flag states whose vessels have consistently poor records, with repeated detentions for major safety and environmental compliance violations.20 Beginning in 2004, the U.S. port state control program will be expanded to include comprehensive vessel security inspections that will provide additional information on flag state performance.

Poor oversight by flag states places greater burdens on Coast Guard resources; the higher the potential risk presented by a vessel, the greater the need to assign resources to address that risk. More stringent action against irresponsible flag states may encourage vessel owners to register with flag states that have better oversight regimes and performance records, and reduce the burden on port state resources. One potential option would be to deny port entry to vessels registered with flag states, or under control of owners and operators, who demonstrate a repeated, material failure to enforce applicable security, safety, or environmental protection requirements.

International Port State Control

Port state control programs around the world can become more effective by sharing information on successful program management practices, and by sharing information on vessel histories and inspections. An international memorandum of understanding, signed by the Coast Guard, established EQUASIS, an independent, nonprofit database designed to provide global access to impartial information on individual vessels to help reduce substandard shipping. This database can be accessed free of charge by anyone, including port states and vessel operators. Although the Coast Guard actively participates in development of EQUASIS policy, and provides and uses information in the database, an appropriate funding mechanism is needed to allow regular U.S. support for this important information-sharing effort.

Recommendation 16–4

The U.S. Coast Guard, working with other nations, should establish a permanent mechanism to strengthen and harmonize port state control programs under the auspices of the International Maritime Organization. To assist port states, the Coast Guard should also support efforts to enhance an international vessel information database.
Reducing Vessel Pollution

Strengthening commitments to environmental protection, flag state oversight, and port state control will help prevent and reduce the impacts of vessel pollution. However, effective reduction of vessel pollution will also require the development of new control measures. Of particular concern are vessel waste discharges containing pathogens and nutrients, air emissions, and oil releases. (The role of vessels in the spread of invasive species is addressed in Chapter 17.)

Waste Stream Discharges

Every day, vessels ranging from large cruise ships to small recreational boats discharge wastes into coastal waters. The waste streams from recreational vessels primarily contain sewage, while cruise ships discharge both sewage and toxic substances. These wastes, if not properly disposed of and treated, can be a significant source of pathogens and nutrients with the potential to threaten human health and damage shellfish beds, coral reefs, and other aquatic life. According to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), the amount of bacterial pollution in the discharge of untreated sewage from just one recreational boat is equivalent to the amount in the treated sewage of 10,000 people during a similar time period.21

The Clean Water Act prohibits the discharge of untreated sewage in U.S. internal waters and within three miles of the coast. It also allows individual states to ask EPA to establish special no-discharge zones within which the discharge of even treated sewage is prohibited. The Clean Water Act also directs EPA and the Coast Guard to establish discharge and design standards for onboard toilets, referred to technically as marine sanitation devices (MSDs).

Concerns about the impacts of vessel waste and the effectiveness of Clean Water Act controls increased in the 1990s, along with the increase in cruise ships and recreational vessels. An Alaskan study conducted in 2000 found that most cruise ship MSDs failed to treat sewage to levels necessary to meet federal standards, despite claims by the manufacturers. Earlier that year, cruise lines had voluntarily adopted additional restrictions on the discharge of wastewater in Alaskan waters in response to growing concerns about potential wastewater impacts.22

Decreasing the detrimental effects of these discharges will require a number of actions, including modifications to current statutes and regulations to strengthen standards, improved public outreach and education, and additional research to better understand waste stream impacts.

Cruise Ships

The cruise industry has grown rapidly since the 1980s. By the end of 2002, 176 vessels were operating in the North American cruise industry, and U.S. ports handled 6.5 million cruise embarkations, an increase of over 10 percent from 2001.23 While perhaps slowing
somewhat over the next several years, double-digit growth is predicted to continue in the near term. This is causing increased concern about the environmental impact of waste discharges from cruise ships. The United States accounts for about 70 percent of global cruise embarkations; thus, a large portion of cruise ship operations occur in or near U.S. waters (Figure 16.1).

Cruise ships can carry as many as 5,000 passengers and crew, generating large amounts of wastewater, including blackwater (sewage), graywater (drainage from dishwashers, showers, laundry, baths, and washbasins), and hazardous substances. Estimates indicate that a single cruise ship can generate from 140,000 to 210,000 gallons of blackwater and a million gallons of graywater per week. Of particular concern are the cumulative environmental impacts caused when cruise ships repeatedly visit the same environmentally sensitive areas. Between 1993 and 1998, eighty-seven illegal discharge cases were brought against cruise lines in the United States, resulting in significant civil and criminal penalties. While the number of confirmed cases gradually declined during that period, new cases leading to additional civil and criminal penalties have continued over the past several years. Industry efforts to address this problem have included the voluntary adoption of comprehensive management plans for handling cruise ship wastes, participation in research partnerships with government and other public and private stakeholders to investigate the impacts of cruise ship pollution, and significant investments in new technologies to reduce environmental impacts. The cruise industry has also signed memoranda of understanding with individual states concerning management and oversight of cruise ship waste disposal programs.

In response to particular concerns about the impacts of cruise ship discharges in Alaska, a new federal statutory regime applicable only to Alaskan waters was developed in 2000, followed by a state statutory regime in 2001. These laws included wastewater discharge standards and provisions for sampling, testing, recordkeeping, and inspections, as well as flexibility to encourage voluntary application of innovative wastewater treatment technologies and methods. However, no comprehensive wastewater management regime is in place for all large passenger vessels operating in U.S. waters.

A new regime is needed that provides clear, uniform requirements for controlling the discharge of wastewater from large passenger vessels, as well as consistent interpretation and enforcement of those requirements. The benefits of the Alaskan approach should be extended to other sensitive ocean and coastal areas that experience significant cruise ship traffic. Any new regulatory regime should be science-based and incorporate new findings, such as those from recent EPA studies on the dilution and dispersal of discharges from vessels while underway, and Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation assessments of advanced wastewater treatment systems and cruise ship wastewater impacts. Effective enforcement will require that vessels maintain accurate records to allow the regulated community and enforcement officials to track the treatment and discharge of wastes.
**Recommendation 16–5**
Congress should establish a new statutory regime for managing wastewater discharges from large passenger vessels that applies throughout the United States. This regime should include:
- uniform discharge standards and waste management procedures.
- thorough recordkeeping requirements to track the waste management process.
- required sampling, testing, and monitoring by vessel operators using uniform protocols.
- flexibility and incentives to encourage industry investment in innovative treatment technologies.

**Recreational Vessels**
Millions of recreational boats also discharge significant volumes of waste to coastal waters. Many recreational boaters rely on MSDs to treat waste before discharge or store waste until it can be pumped out at land-based facilities. MSD performance and design standards, however, have not been updated since the mid-1970s and do not account for new technology or the operational life of an MSD system. As a result, many MSDs currently used on recreational vessels do not provide adequate environmental protection, particularly with respect to pathogen discharges.

**Recommendation 16–6**
The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency should revise the Clean Water Act marine sanitation device (MSD) regulations to require that new MSDs meet significantly more stringent pathogen standards. Manufacturers should be required to warranty that new MSDs will meet these standards for a specific time period.

**Waste Pumpout Facilities**
Pumpout facilities are essential for handling waste from boats equipped with holding tanks. The combined use of holding tanks and transfer to shore-side pumpout facilities is currently the most effective way to address the impacts of recreational vessel wastes, particularly nutrients. For many recreational boaters, holding tanks are also the most cost effective and reasonable form of MSD. When a no discharge zone has been established, the use of pumpout facilities is often the only option available for recreational vessels that do not, or for safety reasons should not, venture offshore beyond state waters.

EPA is responsible for determining whether adequate pumpout facilities are available to recreational boaters before approving most state no-discharge zones. In addition, the Clean Vessel Act provides funding to states, through the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS), to purchase and install sewage pumpout stations and portable toilet waste dump stations, and to provide environmental education to boaters. Despite these programs, the current shortfall in adequate pumpout facilities makes it virtually impossible for boaters to comply with prohibitions against the discharge of untreated waste in some coastal areas.

Additional measures have been suggested to increase the number of pumpout facilities. Marina permits issued under federal or state law could include provisions requiring pumpout facilities, and voluntary installation and use of pumpout facilities could be encouraged as part of community education and outreach programs. States may also award grants to construct these facilities. An effective program requires sufficient oversight to ensure that existing pumpout facilities remain operational and readily accessible to recreational boaters. Education and outreach programs, as well as incentive programs, could also be used to encourage voluntary upgrading of MSDs.
Recommendation 16–7
The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) should conduct a thorough assessment, including field inspections, to verify the availability and accessibility of functioning pumpout facilities in existing no-discharge zones and prior to the approval of any new no-discharge zones. The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and EPA, working with states, should coordinate their efforts to increase the availability of adequate, accessible, and operational pumpout facilities, particularly in no discharge zones.

Air Emissions

Commercial Vessels
Most large commercial ships are powered by marine diesel engines that use fuels containing high concentrations of contaminants. These engines have high emissions on a per engine basis and contribute to high ozone and particulate matter levels in many coastal and port areas. A study of global impacts from large vessel air emissions indicates that approximately 80 percent of vessel air emissions occur within 200 miles of the coast, and that a major part of these emissions are concentrated in a few areas in the Northern Hemisphere, primarily along the east and west coasts of the United States, in the North Pacific, and in northern Europe. International and domestic marine trade is predicted to more than double in the next twenty years, reinforcing the need to expeditiously develop and implement measures to abate vessel-generated air pollution.

International initiatives to curb emissions from very large vessel engines have focused on IMO development of a new Annex to the International Convention for the Prevention of Pollution from Ships (MARPOL). (For a listing of significant ocean-related international agreements, see Table 29.1.) Annex VI, which is scheduled to enter into force in May 2005, establishes limits on nitrogen oxide emissions and addresses the sulfur content of fuel, ozone-depleting substances, volatile organic compounds from refueling, and shipboard incineration. Annex VI also allows nations to establish Sulfur Oxide Emission Control Areas and efforts are already underway to seek this designation for certain European waters.

Recommendation 16–8
The United States should ratify MARPOL Annex VI and work for International Maritime Organization (IMO) adoption of even stricter air emission standards that reflect advances in marine engine technology, availability of cleaner fuels, and improved operational practices. The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, working with other appropriate entities, should use Annex VI criteria and guidelines to evaluate U.S. ocean and coastal areas with impaired air quality, and seek IMO designation of appropriate areas as Sulfur Oxide Emission Control Areas.

In May 2004, EPA announced two new initiatives as part of its ongoing Clean Diesel Program. These regulatory measures are designed to improve air quality through a combination of emission controls and cleaner fuels. Cleaner fuel standards will reduce the sulfur content of diesel fuel from its currently uncontrolled level of approximately 3,000 parts per million to 500 parts per million in 2007, and to 15 parts per million by 2012 for fuel used in marine engines. EPA is also proposing stricter emission standards for all new commercial, recreational, and auxiliary marine diesel engines except the very large Category 3 engines used for propulsion on sea-going vessels, which are subject to separate regulations. The new standards could apply to designated marine engines by 2011. Implementation of these regulations, which are designed to complement each other, should result in significant reductions in harmful emissions. EPA estimates that full implementation of these two regulatory initiatives will result in particulate matter reductions of 95 percent, nitrogen oxides reductions of 90 percent, and the virtual elimination of sulfur oxides from marine engines that meet the new standards.
Voluntary actions can provide a useful complement to regulatory measures in reducing vessel air emissions, although they often involve increased costs to vessel owners and operators. New engine types that consume less fuel and emit less pollution are voluntarily being installed and evaluated. Some vessel owners and operators are currently replacing high-sulfur fuels with more expensive, low-sulfur fuels. Economic incentives can encourage such actions by helping to offset the costs. Several incentives were suggested during the development of EPA’s large marine engine emission regulations. At the state and port levels, these include differentiated port fees based on a vessel’s environmental profile, matching grant programs, and the greater use of shore power where it is determined to be safe, cost-effective, and environmentally advantageous. Future possibilities include market-based measures such as pollution credit trading programs, including trading between fixed and mobile sources. Europe is also considering market-based measures to reduce emissions, such as relating port fees to vessel emission levels, linking fuel taxes with fuel quality, and developing emission trading mechanisms.

**Recommendation 16–9**
The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, working with other appropriate entities, should investigate and implement incentive-based measures that could lead to measurable voluntary reductions in vessel air emissions.

**Recreational Vessels**
At the other end of the spectrum, the millions of smaller recreational boats with gasoline-fueled, spark-ignition engines may contribute more than 10 percent of total hydrocarbon emissions in some areas of the nation, contributing to ozone formation and associated health problems. EPA has issued regulations under the Clean Air Act to reduce these emissions by requiring the use of significantly improved two-stroke engine designs or substitution with four-stroke engines, either of which will significantly reduce air emissions. EPA estimates that by 2025, after the new engines are in widespread use and the old engines have been largely retired, there will be a 75 percent reduction in hydrocarbon emissions from recreational vessels. Environmental benefits could be achieved even more rapidly if incentives were provided for boat owners to retire old engines ahead of schedule.

EPA can also work with state government, recreational boating associations, and marinas to expand education and outreach programs that urge recreational boaters to properly maintain engines and fuel systems to optimize combustion and to replace old two-stroke engines more rapidly.

**Oil Releases**
Vessels can release oil into the marine environment in a variety of ways, including accidental spills of oil and fuel, release of oil during normal engine operations, and intentional discharges. Two recent devastating spills off the coast of Europe involving older single-hull tankers—the *Erika* in 1999 and the *Prestige* in 2002—clearly demonstrate the challenges presented as ship operators and government agencies work to prevent future spills.

**Single-Hull Vessel Phase-outs**
One of the major initiatives developed to prevent oil spills is the phase-out of single-hull tankers and barges and their replacement by double-hull vessels. In December 2003, IMO adopted amendments to MARPOL, scheduled to enter into force in 2005, that accelerate international phase-out schedules for single-hull tankers and introduce a ban on carriage of heavy oils by certain single-hull tankers. The IMO provisions reflect similar actions that entered into force in the European Union in October 2003.
Prior to recent international actions, concerns had been raised in the United States about sufficient oil carriage capacity, as regulations under the Oil Pollution Act (OPA) required phase-outs of single-hulls. (The international phase-out schedule differs in certain respects from the schedule under OPA.) A 2000 GAO report analyzed domestic capacity in the U.S. fleet and determined that the industry had sufficient capacity in the near term, but that future capacity was less clear and merited regular examination. As the European and IMO initiatives took shape, additional concerns were raised about their impacts, including the limitations on carriage of heavy oils and the possible diversion of single-hull tankers from the European to U.S. trade. Building on recommendations in the GAO report, the U.S. Department of Transportation and the U.S. Coast Guard need to continue to assess issues related to the phase-out of single-hull vessels. The assessments should address the capacity to meet U.S. demand for double-hull vessels and include evaluations of the impacts of recent MARPOL amendments.

**Aging Infrastructure**
While vessel spills are the leading source of oil releases associated with the oil transportation industry, there is also growing concern about the threats posed by aging pipelines and other oil transportation facilities. Reflecting these concerns, Congress and the Department of Transportation’s Office of Pipeline Safety have introduced new statutory and management measures designed to improve pipeline safety. The most effective long-term approach to protection of the marine environment from transportation-related oil spills is a comprehensive, risk-based assessment of potential threats, prioritization of responses, and a coordinated plan of action among agencies responsible for different segments of the oil transportation industry.

**Recommendation 16–10**
The U.S. Department of Transportation, U.S. Coast Guard, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, and Minerals Management Service, in consultation with states, should conduct a risk-based analysis of all oil transportation systems that identifies and prioritizes sources of greatest risk. Based on that analysis, the agencies should develop a comprehensive, long-term plan for action to reduce overall spill risks and the threat of significant spills.

**Pollution Prevention and Response**
U.S. efforts to reduce oil spills from vessels have been very successful, largely due to requirements established by OPA, and initiatives by industry working with the Coast Guard and other agencies (Figure 16.2). Following the enactment of OPA in 1990, oil released through vessel spills in the United States dropped by more than 60 percent, from over 14 gallons per million shipped between 1983 and 1990 to 5 gallons per million between 1991 and 1998.

While barge spills have also declined dramatically in the last decade, a 2002 National Research Council report indicated that between 1990 and 1999 the amount of oil released into U.S. waters from barge spills, particularly from spills of heavy distillates, exceeded spills from other vessel sources, including tankers.

Sunken and abandoned vessels also pose environmental dangers. These wrecks may still contain significant amounts of oil or other hazardous substances and represent an increasing threat of gradual or sudden releases to the environment as the vessels age and deteriorate.

When a spill does occur, the United States has a well-developed National Response System (NRS) to manage threats from oil discharges, hazardous chemical releases, and other toxic spills. The NRS includes: a National Response Team made up of sixteen federal agencies; Regional Response Teams, with federal, state, and territorial representatives; area committees; and local emergency planning committees under supervision of their state emergency response commissions. National, regional, and area contingency plans provide an organizational structure, develop policy guidance, and coordinate federal, state, and
local responses to discharges and threats of discharges. Federal on-scene coordinators manage response resources and efforts during an incident.

The need remains for continued vigilance, dedication of resources, prioritization of threats, and development of additional preventive actions to reduce the number and impacts of oil spills in U.S. waters.

**Places of Refuge**

A **place of refuge** is the term given to a port or protected coastal area that can accommodate ships in distress and help prevent or mitigate the impact of spills. In 2001, the *Castor*, a fully laden tanker that had developed a structural problem in the Mediterranean, was forced to remain at sea for thirty-five days until it was finally allowed into sheltered waters for cargo transfer and repairs. Many believe that the catastrophic impacts of the 2002 *Prestige* oil spill off the coast of Spain may have been avoided or significantly reduced if the distressed vessel had been allowed into sheltered waters to transfer its cargo, rather than being towed farther out to sea.

In December 2003, the IMO approved new guidelines on places of refuge for distressed ships when human life is not threatened. The guidelines are based on the premise that the best way to prevent damage from the progressive deterioration of a vessel is to transfer its cargo and fuel, and that this is best accomplished in a place of refuge. The guidelines provide a framework for assessing individual cases and taking appropriate action. However, recognizing that the potential economic and environmental consequences of bringing a distressed vessel to the coast are likely to attract political involvement, the guidelines also recommend actions to facilitate communication and decision making during the time of crisis.

Additional work is needed in the United States to create an effective process within the National Response System for responding to vessels seeking refuge. While this will be difficult, the nation cannot afford to wait until an incident like the *Prestige* disaster is underway before seeking good solutions. A series of government and industry forums have identified many issues to be addressed, among them: establishing a single point of contact for ship-to-shore communications; identifying available salvage, lightering, and technical resources in local areas; identifying the responsible decision makers at federal,
state, and port levels; resolving financial protection, liability, and compensation issues; and deciding whether potential places of refuge should be designated in advance. There is a broad consensus that contingency plans should: allow for consistent implementation at the national, regional, and port levels; provide specific direction on how to receive and act upon requests for assistance in a timely and coordinated manner; and establish clear lines of authority and responsibility for deciding whether to grant a ship’s request for refuge.

**Recommendation 16–11**
The U.S. Coast Guard, working with the spill response and marine salvage communities, should develop comprehensive policy guidance and contingency plans for places of refuge in the United States. The plans should clearly delineate decision-making authorities and responsibilities and provide for coordinated and timely assessments and responses to vessels seeking a place of refuge.

**Oil from Recreational Vessels**
The millions of recreational vessels and personal watercraft with two-stroke outboard motors are estimated to be a substantial source of petroleum contamination in U.S. waters, although the true magnitude of the problem remains unclear. The National Research Council has estimated that two-stroke outboard motors may release anywhere between 0.6 and 2.5 million gallons of oil and gasoline into U.S. coastal waters every year.42 Petroleum products also spill into coastal waters when boaters are refueling. Most of the approximately ten million gasoline-fueled recreational motorboats and personal watercraft have older two-stroke engines that will continue to discharge air and water pollutants until they are retired. Actions to reduce air pollutants from recreational vessel engines (discussed above), including upgrades for two-stroke engines, replacement with four-stroke engines, owner incentives, and general boater education, will also reduce discharges of oil, gasoline, and fuel additives.

Innovative programs can also help to reduce the impacts of other types of oil releases resulting from small vessel operations. For example, the state of Texas, participating ports, the private sector, and local governments, have constructed fixed and mobile bilge water reclamation facilities for commercial fishing vessels and recreational vessels to use for disposal of oily bilge water. The service is provided at no cost to the vessel owners and the oil is recycled. The program, started in 1996, is rapidly expanding and is reported to have collected over 500,000 gallons of used oil and more than 600,000 gallons of contaminated water.43 The federal government can encourage such innovative programs by collecting and disseminating information on successful efforts and providing partial support.

**Recommendation 16–12**
The National Ocean Council should coordinate federal agency efforts to reduce the release of air and oil pollutants from small vessel operations through a combination of outreach and education, development of incentives to encourage early replacement of older two-stroke engines, and support for innovative pilot programs at the federal, state, and local levels.

**Increasing Knowledge to Guide Change**
A common theme in any pollution prevention strategy is the need to acquire a better understanding of the impacts of various forms of pollution and the potential for new control technologies. Research can help identify the degree of harm represented by different human activities and can assist in prioritizing limited resources to address the most significant threats. Research must also be at the heart of any science-based approach toward
developing new regulatory and non-regulatory measures to control vessel pollution. Useful research directions include investigations of:

- Processes that govern the transport of pollutants in the marine environment.
- Small passenger vessel practices, including the impacts of stationary discharges.
- Disposal options for concentrated sludge resulting from advanced sewage treatment on large passenger vessels.
- Cumulative impacts of commercial and recreational vessel pollution on particularly sensitive areas, such as coastal areas with low tidal exchange and coral reef systems.
- Impacts of vessel air emissions, particularly in ports and inland waterways where the surrounding area is already having difficulty meeting air quality standards.

These examples represent only a small fraction of the research that is needed to increase the understanding of, and the ability to respond to, potential threats to the marine environment from vessel pollution.

**Recommendation 16–13**

The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, U.S. Coast Guard, and other appropriate entities should support a vigorous, coordinated research program on the fates and impacts of vessel pollution. Research results should be used to guide management priorities, develop new control technologies, determine best management practices, and create more effective regulatory regimes as needed.

**Improving Awareness of Ocean Activities**

Vessel safety and environmental protection depend not only on appropriate operation of each vessel, but on the safe movement and management of all vessel traffic. Effective vessel traffic management takes place within the larger context of other coastal and ocean uses and requires accommodation between those uses and navigation.

The rapidly increasing variety and number of offshore uses, and the potential for conflicts between competing interests operating in the same area, will increase the need for information concerning the nature and extent of offshore activities. In today's highly interdependent world, efforts to ensure national security, maintain environmental quality, and manage the use of marine resources will require unprecedented awareness of activities, trends, conditions, and anomalies in the maritime domain, including those that may require some intervention.

The Coast Guard, which has a leading role in developing increased maritime domain awareness, defines it as “the effective understanding of anything in the marine environment that could adversely affect America's security, safety, economy, or environment.”

For the Coast Guard, maritime domain awareness applies to a broad range of maritime activities, including security, search and rescue efforts, fisheries enforcement, drug interdiction, illegal human migration, marine safety, and environmental protection.

While much of the recent effort to increase maritime domain awareness has grown out of concerns for national security, heightened by the September 11, 2001 terrorist attacks, the information gained will benefit a variety of other national interests. For instance, the expanded use of the Automated Identification System not only tracks and identifies vessels for security purposes, but provides information to assist safe navigation and help reduce the risk of accidents that could adversely impact the marine environment. The information can also help identify areas of vessel congestion or potential conflicts with other uses, thus serving as a valuable management tool.

The development of greater maritime domain awareness coincides with efforts to develop more comprehensive, ecosystem-based management approaches for ocean and
coastal activities. Close coordination of these efforts will help ensure that the information products developed through maritime awareness can be integrated into other monitoring and observing networks to support a broad variety of management needs.

**Recommendation 16–14**

In developing and implementing maritime domain awareness initiatives, the U.S. Coast Guard should work with the National Ocean Council to ensure that, in addition to their other intended purposes, these initiatives provide effective support for ocean and coastal management needs.
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