

This report has been reviewed in draft form by individuals chosen for their diverse perspectives and technical expertise, in accordance with procedures approved by the Report Review Committee of the National Research Council. The purpose of this independent review is to provide candid and critical comments that will assist the institution in making the published report as sound as possible and to ensure that the report meets institutional standards for objectivity, evidence, and responsiveness to the study charge. The review comments and draft manuscript remain confidential to protect the integrity of the deliberative process.

We thank the following individuals for their participation in the review of this report: John Bailar, Irving B. Harris Graduate School of Public Policy Studies, University of Chicago; Robert Boruch, Graduate School of Education and Wharton School, University of Pennsylvania; Philip J. Cook, Sanford Institute of Public Policy, Duke University; Stephen Cornell, Udall Center for Studies in Public Policy, University of Arizona; John Dombink, Department of Criminology, Law, and Society, University of California, Irvine; Reid Hastie, Center for Research on Judgment and Policy and Department of Psychology, University of Colorado; John Kihlstrom, Department of Psychology, University of California, Berkeley; Robert S. Lawrence, School of Medicine and School of Hygiene and Public Health, Johns Hopkins University; Scott O. Lilienfeld, Department of Psychology, Emory University; John Monahan, Professor of Law, Psychology, and Legal Medicine, University of Virginia School of Law; Eric J. Nestler, Professor of Psychiatry and Neurobiology, Yale University School of Medicine; Henry W. Riecken, Professor of Behavioral Sciences, University of Pennsylvania School of Medicine (emeritus); and Lee N. Robins, Department of Psychiatry, Washington University of School of Medicine.

Although the individuals listed above have provided constructive comments and suggestions, it must be emphasized that responsibility for the final content of this report rests entirely with the authoring committee and the institution.