- 1 CHAIRMAN JAMES: At this time, I'd like to encourage
- 2 the panelists to engage in a dialogue with each other and with
- 3 commissioners as well. And I want to -- John, I will get back to
- 4 you in just a minute. I'm going to go over to Commissioner
- 5 Leone. And please, if you feel like you want to step in, feel
- 6 free to do that. I'm sorry. McCarthy. What did I say?
- 7 COMMISSIONER McCARTHY: Mr. Ader, do I have your
- 8 pronunciation of your name correct?
- 9 MR. ADER: Correct.
- 10 COMMISSIONER McCARTHY: Just a few short questions.
- 11 How many publicly traded gambling corporations are there, all
- 12 forms? Do you know offhand?
- MR. ADER: Yes. It's between 90 and 100 and that --
- 14 COMMISSIONER McCARTHY: I'm not talking just casino;
- 15 I'm talking all forms.
- MR. ADER: So that includes horse racing, lotteries,
- 17 lottery equipment companies?
- 18 COMMISSIONER McCARTHY: Okay. Of those 90 to 100,
- 19 how many are casino corporations?
- 20 MR. ADER: When you say casino corporations --
- 21 COMMISSIONER McCARTHY: They derive the bulk of their
- 22 profit from the operation of casinos.
- MR. ADER: I'd say about --
- 24 COMMISSIONER McCARTHY: Not race tracks, not jai
- 25 alai, not --
- 26 MR. ADER: -- 20 to 25 in total.
- COMMISSIONER McCARTHY: Okay. What has been, if you
- 28 know, the average profit of the casino corporations over the last

- 1 three years, five years, whatever period your firm may do its
- 2 analysis embracing?
- 3 MR. ADER: How are you defining profit? Net profit
- 4 after --
- 5 COMMISSIONER McCARTHY: You tell me how you normally
- 6 define profit when you give those reports to your clients.
- 7 MR. ADER: Well, you know, the profit, from our
- 8 perspective, would be net income after tax after depreciation.
- 9 COMMISSIONER McCARTHY: Right.
- MR. ADER: And you know, we obviously look at it by
- 11 market, and then in aggregate, if we were to look it up -- if you
- 12 were to -- if I were to give you my best estimate, you know, of
- 13 every publicly traded casino company in terms of what they
- 14 generate in profits, it's probably \$6 to 7 billion range.
- 15 COMMISSIONER McCARTHY: And that's not -- that's
- 16 after taxes, after depreciation.
- MR. ADER: That would be everything.
- 18 COMMISSIONER McCARTHY: After everything. One final
- 19 question to you, sir. Do you have a list of all institutional
- 20 investors, public and private, that invest in gambling
- 21 corporations?
- 22 MR. ADER: I could absolutely get it for you. I
- 23 don't have it on me but it's very publicly available.
- 24 COMMISSIONER McCARTHY: That's fine. Would you mind
- 25 providing that to us? We'd appreciate it very much.
- MR. ADER: Sure.
- 27 COMMISSIONER McCARTHY: Thank you. Dr. Ryan, I
- 28 appreciate your comments because this is a complex question and,
- 29 of course, there are people who benefit or suffer from gambling

- 1 that will speak from the heart and from their need to support
- 2 themselves and their families on either side of this issue.
- 3 But we're asked to try to come up with a body of
- 4 information that will make the public more informed in making
- 5 these decisions in the future as to whether to initiate or expand
- 6 or terminate gambling in different legal forms in their states.
- 7 And it's tough getting at this issue because of its complexity.
- 8 So the question I wanted to put to you, if you wish
- 9 to take a stab at answering it now, that's fine, but I would
- 10 really appreciate your reducing this to writing. If this
- 11 commission were going to authorize some studies on the most
- 12 essential questions on economic growth, what -- how would you
- 13 frame that study, if you would?
- If you want to answer it in part now, but if you'd
- 15 give it to me --
- DR. RYAN: I mean, the simple answer is the question
- 17 that both Professor Thompson and I talked about, is where the
- 18 dollars come from. Looking at an analysis of -- going back to
- 19 the source of the gambling dollars to then figure out what kind
- 20 of displacements there are in the economy and what the net
- 21 impact.
- Now, that's excluding the social cost of gambling but
- 23 just looking purely at the economic, that's how I would frame the
- 24 question.
- Now, obviously, that requires a lot more thought than
- 26 that but that's where we have to hone in on, I believe, not the
- 27 question -- I mean, some of these questions -- job training --
- 28 every industry that I know of in today's world -- and I've worked

- 1 with quite a few of them -- is putting a tremendous investment on
- 2 job training in today's economy. That's what you have to do.
- 3 So I don't -- to focus on does the gambling industry
- provide more job training than the shipbuilding industry, than 4
- the retail trade industry, than the insurance industry, to me is 5
- really sort of a no-brainer. You know, if we find the answer to 6
- 7 that, I'm not sure what we can do with it.
- 8 So I would focus, from an economic point of view,
- where the dollars come from in terms of looking at the net 9
- 10 investment and net economic activity that could be created.
- 11 COMMISSIONER McCARTHY: All right. If you wouldn't
- mind framing that in writing --12
- 13 DR. RYAN: I will attempt to do that.
- 14 COMMISSIONER McCARTHY: -- whether it's three pages
- or ten pages or whatever you think it should be, we would 15
- 16 appreciate receiving that.
- 17 Finally, if I may, Mr. Comer, I read both reports
- that your company issued, the macroeconomic study, December '96, 18
- 19 I think it was, and then seven months later the microeconomic
- 20 In the macroeconomic study, you said this study makes no
- 21 attempt to analyze the socioeconomic effects of casino gambling
- 22 because such effects are largely based on anecdotal evidence, et
- 23 cetera.
- And then I noticed in the microeconomic study, which 24
- 25 included this area among three areas, there really wasn't much in
- 26 there either about the, what Professor Thompson referred to as
- the negative externalities which we should be 27 gaining
- 28 understanding of to weight against the positive externalities.

- 1 I'm already satisfied that people who get jobs and
- 2 elevate their standard of living, that's a positive externality.
- 3 What we're also trying to find out is what are the negative
- externalities. 4
- Now, I know -- I'm not sure your staff would normally 5
- 6 be researching the so-called social costs or negative
- 7 externalities. I'm not sure you were even asked to by the
- 8 American Gaming Association who commissioned you to do your
- 9 study. Were you?
- 10 We were not engaged to look at either the MR. COMER:
- 11 positive externalities or the negative externalities.
- positive externalities, I tried to make the point -- and I must 12
- 13 have done a poor job -- in saying that the job, just the fact
- 14 that somebody has a job doesn't measure the positive externality.
- 15 What is the benefit to our society if somebody's
- 16 child grows up and has a job in the future versus going to
- 17 What is the positive externality of somebody who has a prison?
- healthy child and the cost that society doesn't have to bear for 18
- 19 the next 75 years?
- 20 COMMISSIONER McCARTHY: You made that case clearly
- 21 and I understood your point so there wasn't any ambiguity about
- 22 that.
- 23 MR. COMER: So we weren't -- we were not engaged to
- study either one of those, either positive --24
- 25 COMMISSIONER McCARTHY: Well -- but you did address
- 26 positive externalities --
- 27 MR. COMER: No.

- 1 COMMISSIONER McCARTHY: -- by merely raising the
- 2 questions that you just did. The answer is implied. There is a
- 3 positive benefit.
- 4 MR. COMER: But we don't know the amount. That's
- 5 correct.
- 6 COMMISSIONER McCARTHY: Well, is it your view that
- 7 there is no way to measure positive or negative externalities?
- 8 We've had plenty of testimony before this committee from people
- 9 who tell us what getting a good paying job meant to them and
- 10 their families, how it changed their lives in very specific
- 11 terms.
- MR. COMER: But they didn't -- but sir, they did not
- 13 measure, okay -- and do I think there's a way? Yes, I think that
- 14 there's -- I think that there may be a way to measure those. The
- 15 point is, is that I think it would be a significant amount of
- 16 work and we weren't asked to do that.
- 17 COMMISSIONER McCARTHY: Okay. That's all I'm
- 18 asking --
- MR. COMER: Yes.
- 20 COMMISSIONER McCARTHY: -- because from the
- 21 statements you made in your study, including even your
- 22 statements, and your testimony was this morning, it sounded like
- 23 maybe you were asked but you reached the conclusion that there
- 24 was really no -- it was anecdotal information, there was really
- 25 no way to ever measure that.
- So I just wanted to clear that up. Thank you.
- 27 CHAIRMAN JAMES: Commissioner Lanni?
- 28 COMMISSIONER LANNI: Dr. Ryan, if I may, a couple of
- 29 comments. One, you indicated that obviously gambling is

- 1 addictive and you indicated the percentages, whatever they are,
- 2 from three-tenths of one percent to 1.3, 1.6 percent. I have a
- 3 question. What human activity, in your mind, is not potentially
- addictive? 4
- I'm an economist. 5 DR. RYAN: I don't know. I quess
- 6 there are a lot. I was just going to say I don't think I'm
- 7 addicted to work but I just --
- 8 COMMISSIONER LANNI: I don't mean you an
- 9 individual. I'm saying human beings.
- 10 DR. RYAN: I don't know if any of us are although I
- 11 just talked to somebody who claims he was.
- What activity is potentially 12 COMMISSIONER LANNI:
- 13 not --
- 14 I'm not qualified to answer that question. DR. RYAN:
- Clearly there are some things that addictive -- addiction that 15
- 16 can be more problems. We're all probably addicted to food. Some
- 17 of us go overboard. There can be additional problems.
- 18 I'd like to comment. I'd rather live DR. THOMPSON:
- in a neighborhood with a compulsive gambler than a drunk driver. 19
- 20 But there might be an assumption, and I see it implied in some of
- 21 these studies, that gambling addiction replaces drunk driving.
- 22 In our studies of people in treatment, we found a
- very high number of cross-addicted people and that compulsive 23
- 24 gamblers were much more likely to be alcoholics and have drug
- 25 dependency problems than other people.
- 26 So we all have to have some addictive outlet.
- 27 have a certain personality, genetic structure, but it's not that
- 28 gambling will substitute for all the other addictions.
- 29 will add to other addictions in most cases.

- 1 COMMISSIONER LANNI: One further question of Dr.
- 2 Ryan, if I may. You indicated that there may be legislation that
- 3 would be an answer to the addictive problems relative to tobacco.
- 4 I'm at a loss to understand.
- DR. RYAN: I didn't know the answer. There may be
- 6 legislation that's going to try to address that problem.
- 7 COMMISSIONER LANNI: I was confused. I thought you
- 8 were saying basically that legislation might deal with addictive
- 9 behavior and I'm not so sure that legislation is effective.
- DR. RYAN: No. Legislators quite often think they
- 11 can deal with a lot of behavior that they can't, and I think
- 12 tobacco, just raising the taxes on what we quote, call a sin tax
- 13 in order to discourage consumption is a legislation trying to
- 14 deal with that addictive problem. That's all I meant.
- 15 COMMISSIONER LANNI: Thank you, Dr. Ryan.
- 16 CHAIRMAN JAMES: What's your opinion of that
- 17 otherwise, Dr. Ryan, as an economist?
- 18 DR. RYAN: It can be effective to an extent.
- 19 Whenever you raise the price of something, you will reduce the
- 20 demand to an extent. You won't eliminate the problem by any
- 21 means.

CHAIRMAN JAMES: Yes, Dr. TU -25'.

- 1 certainly saying if you gamble you're not
- 2 spending the money on something else. And he talked about the
- 3 Lexus and I addressed that in my report. If we're gambling on,
- rather than spending money on a foreign product, we're keeping 4
- the money in our economy, we're holding it longer. 5
- 6 But when I interviewed 698 people in Wisconsin, ten
- 7 percent said if they weren't gambling they would spend the money
- 8 in the grocery store and 25 percent said they would spend it on
- 9 clothing.
- Now, those monies can spin out of the economy as well 10
- 11 but I don't think for most of the gamblers in the United States,
- 12 the alternative is a Lexus or a computer. I think it's a product
- 13 that is a domestic product.
- 14 By saying it's a wash, I'm just saying that this is
- all within the domestic market. I really would dispute the 19 15
- 16 percent of Las Vegas money being foreign money. That's about --
- 17 equates with the California money gambling in Nevada and the
- 18 numbers would be twice the numbers of all the conventioneers
- 19 coming to Nevada.
- 20 And I don't think the foreign presence in Las Vegas
- 21 is that high but I do concede that there's perhaps as much as ten
- 22 percent and I think the number of foreign visitors is something
- 23 like four percent, and I assume they gamble more than the other
- 24 people.
- 25 But when we looked at gambling -- we have a service
- 26 rather than a tangible product. Tangible products can add wealth
- to the country because you have something left over. 27 Of course,
- 28 there is construction but with a service product, you have to ask
- 29 what is the long-range effect? Is it an investment?

- 1 And I mentioned training's an investment, education's
- 2 an investment. So there are ways of looking at the particular
- 3 service, is something this service going to make the society a
- 4 more productive society in the future?
- 5 And I think we really have to question gaming. It is
- 6 a recreation. It can have some value for people relaxing them.
- 7 They go back to work and they work harder.
- 8 These things can happen but I don't think it's a
- 9 product that we're exporting and I think a lot of our other
- 10 services, products -- certainly higher education in the United
- 11 States is a service product but it's an investment in the future
- 12 and it is also one of our leading exports in the United States.
- 13 CHAIRMAN JAMES: Commissioner Wilhelm?
- 14 COMMISSIONER WILHELM: Those of us in Nevada are
- 15 tempted to debate or correct Dr. Thompson endlessly and I shall
- 16 resist. Dr. Ryan, I found your presentation extremely helpful
- 17 and I was not trying to argue that we should ignore things like
- 18 productivity when we try to think about economic impact.
- I do think, however, that it's critically important
- 20 that when the analysis of the externalities, as you folks call
- 21 them, is done, that we consider the negative externalities of
- 22 both unemployment and lousy jobs, and I don't hear that coming
- 23 through most of this break-even analysis stuff.
- I mean, if anybody doubts the negative externalities
- 25 of lousy jobs, that person should spend a little bit of time in
- 26 Los Angeles County. Los Angeles County is flooded, not with
- 27 unemployment so much except in certain sectors, but with lousy
- 28 jobs in the tourism industry, in the manufacturing industry.

- 1 And throughout the economy in that county, there are
- 2 very low wage, no benefit, high turnover, no training -- I mean,
- 3 if you haven't met a company that doesn't do training, go to L.A.
- 4 Jobs.
- 5 And the negative externalities are overwhelmingly
- 6 apparent in that community because those kinds of companies in
- 7 Los Angeles County don't provide medical benefits. The wheels
- 8 came off the public health system because it got flooded. Really
- 9 a corporate subsidy but that's a negative externality, I think.
- I think people would concede, whether or not it's
- 11 been measured accurately, there are enormous social costs to
- 12 parents working two and three jobs and never being with their
- 13 kids. There are enormous social costs to people not being able
- 14 to live halfway decently. There are enormous social costs to
- 15 people retiring with no pensions.
- So the argument I was trying to make, perhaps
- 17 inexactly, was that when people look at the negative and the
- 18 positive externalities of the gambling industry -- and I
- 19 completely agree that the negative externality that is

- 1 attempting to measure along with the other externalities that you
- 2 cite.
- 3 DR. RYAN: There's no question -- and a complete
- 4 analysis of those social costs and benefits and external costs
- 5 and benefits needs to look at that -- at those issues. And
- 6 again, it gets back to what I was saying. Where are the dollars
- 7 coming from?
- 8 Those industries you're talking about, I suspect --
- 9 and I don't -- haven't done an analysis -- but in Los Angeles are
- 10 probably industries that in five or ten years are going to be
- 11 offshore anyway, that, you know, when we talk about people taking
- 12 grocery money.
- Well, the food processing industry is known to have
- 14 the lowest paying jobs and probably the least benefits of just
- 15 about any except maybe garment manufacturing. The -- I mean --
- 16 so there are -- you have to look at where those dollars are
- 17 coming from and then overlay that with your objective function.
- 18 If this were a group of environmentalists and we were
- 19 talking about the environment, you wouldn't so much care about
- 20 good jobs and job training. You care about does it pollute the
- 21 environment, what's going to happen to the number of trees?
- 22 So we have legitimate debate and we can raise certain
- 23 values to higher levels in trying to come to public policy.
- 24 That's why public policy -- that's why sometimes economists are
- 25 not very good and certainly can't do all the public policy
- 26 because it requires us determining what are we going to value?
- 27 And that could very well be something that we value
- 28 highly and could give it high marks. Now, but we have to be
- 29 careful that in net terms the gambling industry produces better

- 1 jobs than the alternatives. That's the question that needs to be
- 2 answered.
- 3 CHAIRMAN JAMES: Commissioner Leone.
- 4 COMMISSIONER LEONE: I think there's a missing piece
- 5 of a discussion that I want to spend a moment on. It's one of
- 6 the reasons, I think, a lot of discussions about the economic
- 7 impact of gambling, whether it's regional or national, miss the
- 8 point because there's an artificial government-imposed
- 9 characteristic of the business that produces scarcity.
- The state lotteries are monopolies. Foxwoods Casino
- 11 is an extraordinary example because there aren't 50 other
- 12 casinos. The analysis of these companies is obviously based on a
- 13 competitive environment in which people can't just open another
- 14 casino.
- 15 New Jersey only has gambling in Atlantic City. If it
- 16 were opened up state-wide, the value of those Atlantic City
- 17 properties would be altered. And it affects the economics in a
- 18 variety of ways.
- 19 When there's a service that people want, we generally
- 20 believe that having it provided as efficiently as possible with
- 21 the lowest profit margin, et cetera, is desirable and will help
- 22 the overall economy.
- 23 If we wanted -- if we accepted gambling as a good
- 24 that people wanted, we would argue that economic policy should be
- 25 to introduce more competition and reduce the price of that good
- 26 and the margin of what other people have lotteries and state
- 27 lottery effective tax rates 70, 80 percent.

- 1 We would -- if obviously, somebody else would be
- 2 willing to provide a lottery and only take 50 percent out or 40
- 3 percent or whatever the rest of the right numbers are.
- 4 We don't do that because we accept as an implicit
- argument the fact that there are costs that we can't measure. 5
- 6 don't want to -- people, they generally don't want to have
- 7 gambling next door, they don't want to have it everywhere.
- 8 It makes the discussion of the economics, I would
- 9 arque, however, very awkward because we go from place to place
- 10 where this imposed scarcity means that, gee, this has been great
- 11 for Atlantic City or this has been great for Biloxi or this has
- been great for the Pequots and Foxwoods. 12
- 13 But that question of the economic impact
- 14 completely distorted by the fact that there's a scarcity that
- exists that has been imposed. Liquor licenses are a little like 15
- 16 I mean, that isn't the only thing. There are a lot of that.
- 17 other things that are like that.
- 18 we're not engaged in a free market, But
- 19 enterprise attempt to provide this service, entertainment service
- 20 as efficiently as possible. If we were, it would be, I would
- 21 arque, a dramatically different looking business. And the reason
- 22 we don't do that is because we implicitly assume a variety of
- other cost factors and it's not because we consider it an 23
- innocent business. 24
- 25 suddenly -- well, personally, I like The Four
- 26 Seasons by Vivaldi better than any piece of music and if the
- notion of string quartets caught on in this country and all over 27
- 28 the country string quartets are being sprung up and being paid
- 29 for out of tax revenues, from an economic point of view there

- 1 would be very little future in terms of efficiency if people
- 2 wanted live performances because you'd still need four musicians.
- 3 You could never perform it with three, apart from
- 4 obviously CDs and other things that have made it much more
- 5 efficient, but very few people would be complaining that this was
- 6 a negative and terrible development in the country that we were
- 7 tying up so many resources in string quartets.
- 8 On the other hand, clearly in the gambling area, we
- 9 do believe there's a good reason -- some of us believe -- a very
- 10 good reason to restrict it, and when we look at the reasons, we
- 11 talk about a variety of things that are hard to measure, some of
- 12 which are externalities we're talking about which are always hard
- 13 to measure and extremely externalities in some.
- And from a national point of view, the impact on the
- 15 society and on the overall economy, we wonder about things like
- 16 debt levels and savings levels, which also are important in terms
- 17 of growth.
- 18 What I wonder about is if there's anybody on the
- 19 panel who has an idea about a way one might develop a national
- 20 analysis that looks at questions like debt, efficient production
- 21 of the service, externalities of cost, even a model that might be
- 22 developed and if it's even roughly conceivable to think about the
- 23 impact of gambling in those terms because obviously no one's done
- 24 that.
- I suspect it would be very difficult but I don't know
- 26 that it's impossible. People have developed models that
- 27 certainly purport to demonstrate all sorts of things about
- 28 various economic inputs and outputs.

- The defense model is a very good example because 1
- 2 defense is sort of a strange thing in general. Obviously, we
- 3 want to have it. By and large, we don't use it on any given day.
- It's hard to measure. Health care is another one where people 4
- 5 struggled with health care which is also quite labor
- 6 intensive, high growth area.
- 7 People clearly want it. Everybody wants all the
- 8 health care they can imagine getting. Does anybody have any idea
- 9 of how we might -- this might be approached as far as gambling
- 10 qoes?
- 11 MR. ADER: Let me just interject a perspective. Ι
- think several economists have said Wall Street is both an engine 12
- 13 and a mirror of the national economy and, you know, I think to
- 14 some extent that's very true.
- 15 But when you look at the casino industry, because
- 16 it's limited in the number of states in which it's legal, it's
- 17 very hard to call it a national industry. It's really a national
- 18 industry in the context of regional analysis.
- 19 And, you know, obviously I spend all of my time
- 20 analyzing regions and the companies within the regions
- 21 determine a national perspective which is reflected back in the
- 22 mirror Wall Street provides of public stock valuations.
- 23 And all we can do as analysts trying to determine
- 24 whether or not the casino industry is a good investment is to
- 25 create models that reflect the best, in the best way we can,
- 26 whether or not the business has been a good return on capital
- 27 vested.
- 28 And the model that we would propose to create was to
- 29 look at just that because if the gaming business proves to be a

- 1 good return on investment and if the gaming industry does provide
- 2 confidence to investors, it's going to fund a whole series of
- 3 other investments around casinos like shopping centers, like
- 4 other hotel rooms, like in Mississippi where you've seen the
- 5 number of hotel rooms down here go from 5,000 to 15,000.

That's a regional analysis of a national business --

- 1 The trick to that would then be estimating what would
- 2 happen to the other because if you increase that quantity
- 3 purchased, people are consuming more gambling services as you
- 4 become -- as you go into a competitive market.
- 5 Then you're going to have, if there are negative
- 6 externalities, you're going to have bigger externalities and then
- 7 you would have to make the assumptions that well, if we have one
- 8 percent of -- let's use that number -- of the gambling of the
- 9 population is addicted to gambling and that number might grow by
- 10 X percent as we extend the demand, then, you know, we could
- 11 measure those effects.
- 12 So it is -- it would be difficult to that because
- 13 we're not sure. We've been operating in an environment -- part
- 14 of the creation -- or the logic of the creation of this
- 15 commission was that we didn't have gambling except in Las Vegas
- 16 in this country legalized in its many forms for years and years
- 17 and all of a sudden states started opening that up through
- 18 competitive reasons.
- 19 And as they opened that up, we saw this tremendous
- 20 increase and the Wall Street guys -- look at the funding of the
- 21 Harrah's casinos, you know, and Wall Street makes mistakes.
- 22 They're a mirror sometimes there are little cracks or distortions
- 23 in that mirror.
- And they said this is a great investment and they put
- 25 money into it, \$850 million, and right now something that's mired
- 26 in bankruptcy. So that -- but what happened was we had a
- 27 tremendous explosion nationally as we took some of those fetters
- 28 off the industry. Is that going to continue? And that's what we
- 29 don't know yet and I've not seen anybody develop.

- I mean, we know, as economists, it's not going to
- 2 continue at that rate but you could develop a model but it would
- 3 be very difficult to empirically test that model, I guess is the
- 4 point.
- 5 CHAIRMAN JAMES: In the interest of time, I'm going
- 6 to ask our commissioners to try to focus our questions and see if
- 7 we can get more in. We're going to go to Commissioner Loescher
- 8 and then to Commissioner Bible.
- 9 COMMISSIONER LOESCHER: Thank you, madam chair.
- 10 Sitting on the commission here, we have to deal with competing
- 11 and conflicting interests across America. And we've seen the
- 12 explosion of gaming with state governments; we've seen it with
- 13 tribal governments and non-profit entities; and then the private
- 14 sector recently.
- And I'd like to ask Mr. Comer and Mr. Ader if they
- 16 could help me with a couple questions that I have. You know, we
- 17 look at the competing interests that we have and we see that the
- 18 private sector has created the largest investment and explosion
- 19 in the last, let's say decade or so in investment and gaming in
- 20 America.
- I'm impressed with Arthur Andersen's study, in that
- 22 it reflects the capital investment that's there and equity value
- 23 that's invested by stockholders across America and in gaming, at
- 24 least with those publicly traded companies.
- 25 And I had a couple thoughts. You know, in looking at
- 26 state governments and tribal governments, they bring the return
- 27 right back to the government. The dollars go directly to the
- 28 government. In return, the private sector, through the publicly

- 1 traded companies, bringing that dollar right to the investor, to
- 2 the stockholder.
- 3 And I'm wondering, you know, kind of musing over this
- 4 phenomena, how much money is really being returned to the
- 5 stockholder? You said \$6 to 7 billion, a lot of money, and
- 6 compare that with how much is going to state governments and
- 7 tribal governments and the yield, the net return.
- In terms of the public policy, we see the private
- 9 sector moving to expand greatly into gaming in America, but is it
- 10 good public policy in terms of return to the public interest?
- Is it better to return that money to investors and
- 12 encourage the private sector, as a matter of public policy, to
- 13 invest in gaming or is it better to have state governments,
- 14 tribal governments, and non-profit kind of concept in gaming? Do
- 15 you have a view?
- MR. ADER: First, let me just clarify, and I've had
- 17 the chance to do a calculation, as well, while I've been sitting
- 18 here. You know, the \$6 billion number that, you know, I gave to
- 19 you was the question of public companies that own casinos, which
- 20 includes Hilton and Starwood, which are primarily hotel companies
- 21 in a fight.
- 22 You isolate actually the total value of, you know,
- 23 pure casino companies, the number's more like three and a half
- 24 billion dollars, which includes the public bonds of Foxwoods,
- 25 which is, you know, obviously, one of the most profitable casinos
- 26 out there.
- 27 But in the context of how shareholders who are
- 28 taking, you know, a substantial amount of risk as projects get
- 29 developed in these new markets and, you know, New Orleans was an

- 1 example of a market that turned out to be a bad return relative
- 2 to risk.
- 3 There have been obviously a handful of successes and
- failures in the gaming industry. It's going to be a long time 4
- before Wall Street, you know, looks at the New Orleans market 5
- 6 again as -- and steps up, willing to take risks because of the
- experience there. 7
- 8 But as a risk taker and as an entrepreneur and as an
- 9 investor, you have a right to earn a return on your investment.
- 10 And part of the analysis being done right now on Wall
- 11 Street is the analysis of the risk you take as an investor in the
- gaming industry versus other industries, and not just hotels and 12
- 13 time share and skiing and other aspects and theme parks or movie
- 14 theaters, other aspects of the hospitality industry, but all
- 15 industries.
- 16 And why Internet stocks have done so well is because
- 17 the risks are very high and to date the returns have been
- 18 astronomical and it's created a flow of capital and it's created
- 19 a substantial amount of jobs and, as well, you know, a new
- 20 industry.
- 21 For the investors in the gaming industry, I couldn't
- 22 imagine there being the development that there's been today in
- the major markets and even the smaller markets if it weren't for 23
- 24 private sector capital and individual investors and institutional
- 25 investors who have a right to earn a return on their money for
- 26 the high degree of risk they're taking, given both the successes
- they've had and the very public failures like New Orleans. 27
- 28 CHAIRMAN JAMES: Commissioner Bible?

- 1 COMMISSIONER LOESCHER: Madam chair, I still have two
- 2 more things.
- 3 MR. COMER: Could I just -- I just wanted to try to
- 4 address that in a different way because I think that there are a
- 5 couple of things that maybe are misunderstood.
- 6 With respect to the casino gaming industry, of its
- 7 funds about 12 percent of their funds go to taxes, to government.
- 8 Now, when you're talking about the remainder, the net profits of
- 9 the casino gaming industry, which might be 5 percent, 6 percent,
- 10 those don't go out to the shareholders. They get reinvested.
- 11 So they take those net profits and they go to Jason
- 12 and they go borrow more money and they get more equity and they
- 13 build these places that you see here. So they actually take
- 14 those profits four times as much goes into building.
- And historically, dividends are not paid by casino
- 16 gaming companies. And, in fact, the value that Jason is talking
- 17 about is the value of the stockholding going up but they are not
- 18 seeing a return of cash out of the actual funds or revenues that
- 19 are coming in, then being paid out as wages and taxes.
- 20 COMMISSIONER LOESCHER: Madam chair, I need to --
- 21 CHAIRMAN JAMES: Mr. Loescher, I'm going to let you
- 22 finish your two questions and then we'll go to Mr. Bible.
- 23 COMMISSIONER LOESCHER: Okay. I appreciate that.
- 24 Thank you, madam chair. You know, somebody made the statement
- 25 that the return on the investment is lower than other industries,
- 26 12 to 16 percent is the gist I got from the testimony.
- 27 MR. ADER: Hotel industry, I said.
- 28 COMMISSIONER LOESCHER: Yet I look at the Arthur
- 29 Andersen report, if you look in the back, billions and billions

- 1 and billions of dollars are being invested in capital for new
- 2 facilities and improvements to existing facilities. It's
- 3 astronomical. It's impressive.
- 4 And I wonder, and I'm thinking of public policy and
- 5 what my friends in Congress think about when they're looking at
- 6 the budget.
- 7 I'm wondering if that -- if the tax policy that
- 8 affects the investments in these facilities, you know, whether or
- 9 not the investments are being benefited, the tax benefits to the
- 10 investors for capital improvements are what's causing the
- 11 investments in these facilities and pushing earnings.
- 12 And there's going to be a return to the stockholder.
- 13 You know, you say you're not paying dividends today but there
- 14 will be a return at some point when they sell these facilities or
- 15 do something with their stock somewhere down the road. There's
- 16 always a return.
- 17 And getting back to tax policy, I'm wondering, you
- 18 know, what you think if there were changes in tax policy related
- 19 to these kinds of investments, how your investors would look at
- 20 that idea.
- 21 MR. ADER: I think, from my perspective, any increase
- 22 that we would see in the current jurisdictions to taxes would
- 23 have a negative perspective -- a negative impact on how we
- 24 perceive the market. It's a higher degree of risk and it limits
- 25 the return potential.
- And the higher, you know, the higher the tax rate,
- 27 you know, an investor can take their money and put it in the bank
- 28 and make, you know, 4 or 5 percent. And to take a risk, you want
- 29 some sort of premium over that, you know, quote risk-free rate.

- 1 And, you know, paying a substantial portion of your 2 profits in taxes does take away that potential to earn a return 3 relative to the risks that they're taking and it would have, you know, severe negative implications on our flow of capital to 4 these new markets, not just for casino development but for all 5 6 other, you know, development around the casino such as your 7 hotels and other amenities, you know, that are an important part 8 to the, you know, to the market around the casinos which have
- 9 acted as the engine.
- 10 COMMISSIONER LOESCHER: Yes. One last, madam
- 11 chairman. I suffer from the same lack of education that Mr.
- 12 Wilhelm has with regard to economics but I've been around a
- 13 little while, and I'm puzzled by the professors here in their
- 14 talk about the, you know, no net gain kind of concept.
- When I see the investment in gaming casinos and
- 16 billions of dollars, you know, the infrastructure, all the things
- 17 that are attendant to the surrounding areas and what-not, to me
- 18 it's organizing wealth, concentrating it. It creates capital
- 19 improvements and opportunity because these facilities are there.
- It improves the quality of life of the area and
- 21 creates opportunity for adjacent capital improvements to these
- 22 communities. So I'm just sort of puzzled, you know, although
- 23 the, you know, the jobs issue and these other issues are out
- 24 there and you argue that there's no net gain, I see a
- 25 concentration of wealth in certain areas in America being created
- 26 by this industry.
- 27 And it's more apparent -- and I come from Alaska --
- 28 you know, I am very impressed driving down this highway over here
- 29 and seeing these huge buildings and even this building.

- 1 And Native Americans on reservations, if they could
- 2 these buildings and these casinos, it would be the
- 3 beginnings of organization of wealth, improvement in quality of
- life and creating opportunity for business and jobs. 4 And so I
- 5 really have a hard time listening to your no net gain concepts
- 6 but I --
- 7 CHAIRMAN JAMES: Could you address that briefly?
- 8 DR. THOMPSON: Well, I think it's very easy to see
- the assets of the industry. It's very easy to see the positives, 9
- 10 to see the jobs, to see buildings. We can question whether the
- 11 casino part of the building is adding to the economy in terms of
- a structure but a hotel certainly adds value. 12
- 13 But we don't see the negative side. We don't see the
- 14 jobs that are lost. We don't see other buildings that aren't
- 15 being built with the same revenues. It's very easy to see the
- 16 positive.
- 17 I think one of the problems in the equation of
- 18 gambling is the externalities are hidden, the job transfers are
- 19 hidden, but we always can get a report on the positives.
- 20 just difficult to see the full equation.
- Also, on the balance, many industries probably don't 21
- 22 have -- are just break even, but our society has so much wealth
- 23 that we can absorb this in the society. So the fact that there
- 24 is some, you know, a negative equation on gaming does not mean
- 25 our society cannot absorb the negative as it is.
- 26 CHAIRMAN JAMES: Certainly. Dr. Ryan?
- I think we have to be real careful to 27 DR. RYAN:
- 28 distinguish between the local impact and the national impact.
- 29 And you're absolutely right that at the local level, in some

- 1 cases you can see and there are net benefits and there's no
- 2 question. And I think this area of the Gulf Coast is probably
- 3 one of those.
- However, as Bill said, you've got to be careful about 4
- coming from somewhere and you can't see that where it's coming. 5
- 6 I'll give you an example and let's take it out of the gambling
- 7 industry.
- 8 When Disney built his resort in Orlando, Florida, we
- used to have a theme park, not a big Disney by any means, in New 9
- Well, not too long after, it went out of business. 10 Orleans.
- 11 Lost jobs, we lost an opportunity for the locals who couldn't
- afford to travel to Orlando, Florida. 12
- 13 There's a tremendous concentration of wealth
- 14 Orlando, Florida as a result of Disney's investment there.
- are little pockets, of the south mostly, like New Orleans, that 15
- 16 have seen wealth flow out of that. It's hard to measure. New
- 17 Orleans is still a relatively big economy. We can't measure and
- it's hard to see that but it's there. 18
- 19 CHAIRMAN JAMES: Commissioner Bible.
- 20 COMMISSIONER BIBLE: For Drs. Ryan and Thompson, when
- 21 you go about your studies and you do your equations, how do you
- 22 account for illegal gaming activity?
- 23 DR. THOMPSON: Excuse me.
- 24 COMMISSIONER BIBLE: How do you account for illegal
- 25 gaming activity in terms of either cost or benefits?
- 26 DR. THOMPSON: I'll tell you --
- 27 COMMISSIONER BIBLE: It would seem to me that at
- 28 least on the benefit side you have readily available information.

- 1 On the cost side, you have information but you don't distinguish
- 2 between whether it's legal activity or illegal activity.
- DR. THOMPSON: Okay. I'd say one thing, you have to
- 4 balance the two off and say what are the spins in the illegal.
- 5 It will be hard to get the information.
- 6 But I would just suggest when you're talking about
- 7 jobs, the appearance of state lotteries that intend to put
- 8 numbers games out of business are hurting communities in terms of
- 9 jobs because of much -- there certainly were many good jobs in
- 10 ghettos for numbers runners and the intent of the state was to
- 11 put those people out of work.
- 12 You have to see is there money leaving the country,
- 13 is there money going into crime activities that are leading to
- 14 theft? We know the crime families in Las Vegas are in a home
- 15 burglary business and so there were some negatives there, but if
- 16 they're just spinning the money around in a different way, see
- 17 how they're spending it.
- 18 DR. RYAN: I mean, the obvious question, do we look
- 19 at those and try to look at those? It's getting data is very,
- 20 very difficult. When you do surveys of residents they may tell
- 21 you they have gambling problems but they're not going to tell you
- 22 that if they engage in illegal gambling activity, even though if
- 23 it's an anonymous survey.
- 24 So it's very difficult to get data but I think it is
- 25 a factor. We legalize video poker in any place that has a liquor
- 26 license in Louisiana. They can have three video poker machines.
- 27 We legalized video poker and the major argument in that
- 28 legislation was all the bars are doing it illegal anyway.

- 1 There are these illegal video poker machines that we
- 2 can't control so why don't we do it legalized? At least we can
- 3 tax it. So there is illegal gambling industry. I think there's
- 4 a parallel argument of something that hasn't been mentioned, I
- 5 don't know to the extent that you've seen it.
- 6 There's a growing -- we're talking about -- if we're
- 7 talking about the externalities involved in addictive and
- 8 compulsive gambling behavior. There's a growing offshore
- 9 industry in gambling that, either through the Internet or through
- 10 telephone sports betting, is going to, I think, grow enormously
- 11 in this country.
- 12 It could have very much the same external cost but
- 13 without any of the benefits of gambling. And that's another
- 14 thing to add into the equation that we really haven't looked at
- 15 to this extent.
- DR. THOMPSON: And I think we have to look and see
- 17 who owns those offshore things and if the profits are coming back
- 18 into the United States or are they going off to other countries.
- 19 CHAIRMAN JAMES: Bill, did you have follow-up?
- 20 COMMISSIONER BIBLE: No.
- 21 CHAIRMAN JAMES: Dr. Dobson.
- 22 COMMISSIONER DOBSON: Dr. Ryan, and then Dr.
- 23 Thompson. Dr. Ryan, you said in your comments, if I understood
- 24 you, that state regulators, state agencies and so on have
- 25 historically attempted to limit the gambling venues.
- DR. RYAN: In most cases, yes.
- 27 COMMISSIONER DOBSON: Yes. Whereas they have not
- 28 attempted to limit golf courses, theaters, theme parks,
- 29 Disneyland-type organizations and other forms of entertainment.

- 1 DR. RYAN: Basically. Right.
- 2 COMMISSIONER DOBSON: That implies there's something
- 3 bad there associated with --
- 4 DR. RYAN: They think there's something bad.
- COMMISSIONER DOBSON: What is that something bad? 5
- 6 DR. RYAN: I mean, I'm not sure what goes through the
- 7 mind of legislatures when they create -- I think historically --
- 8 because remember, we're talking about going back hundreds of
- 9 years, that legislators, public policy makers just felt, without
- 10 any kind of evidence, that gambling was bad.
- 11 This was not something that you ought to do with your
- money and so they passed legislation creating it. I don't think 12
- 13 they had a lot of data or even knowledge of addictive gambling
- 14 It was just morally, religiously, except when the
- church did it, it was bad. 15
- 16 I don't really know the answer to that question
- 17 because I don't know what was in the mind of legislators when
- 18 they passed it.
- 19 DR. THOMPSON: In Belgium, the prime minister's son
- 20 was a compulsive gambler so they made all the casinos illegal but
- 21 then the king wanted to go to a casino so they, over a period of
- 22 about 80, about 90 years, they permitted eight casinos that are
- 23 legal to operate under a very specific set of rules.
- 24 So morality comes into the question. It's a general
- 25 It gets into the policy making. I don't think all
- 26 policy makers in Congress use cost benefit analysis and detailed
- So the cultural attitude 50 27 COMMISSIONER DOBSON:
- 28 years ago, that gambling was dangerous, unharmful -- rather,
- 29 harmful, and therefore ought to be limited --

- 1 DR. THOMPSON: Limited.
- 2 COMMISSIONER DOBSON: -- was just based on empty
- 3 perspectives on morality and not on any kind of true
- 4 understanding of the culture.
- DR. THOMPSON: No. There was -- people would
- 6 understand but the understandings weren't at the sort of macro-
- 7 scientific, analytical, computerized level.
- 8 COMMISSIONER DOBSON: Right.
- 9 DR. THOMPSON: People had intuition. They gathered
- 10 facts, they gathered information, they went through the
- 11 arguments. It was less scientific than maybe decision making
- 12 could be today but even today its not.
- 13 COMMISSIONER DOBSON: Alright. If we have no more
- 14 hard evidence, Dr. Ryan, than you're speaking of now, why don't
- 15 we just turn it loose and let it go? Why don't we let everybody
- 16 have fun with it? Why don't we put them everywhere? Why should
- 17 there be any regulation on where they are if there is not some
- 18 harmful effect somewhere?
- 19 DR. RYAN: Sure. I think -- I mean, that is a public
- 20 policy issue and many economists say -- and I don't -- I would
- 21 have no problem, as an economist or personally, with that kind of
- 22 decision. Let it go, let the market determine.
- 23 But many people now feel that the addictive problems
- 24 and the costs that come out of all that addictive behavior, now
- 25 that we have a little more information on gambling as an
- 26 industry, is the reason why we should not limit -- should we
- 27 not --
- 28 COMMISSIONER DOBSON: There's the heart. Why didn't
- 29 you answer my question like that?

- DR. RYAN: Well, because when these legislations were
- 2 established I don't think that was why people put those
- 3 restrictions on. I mean, the folk wisdom in Louisiana was the
- 4 reason why we didn't -- Louisiana's always had -- Louisianians
- 5 have always had, by legend, a propensity to gamble.
- We, you know, we had an early state lottery that sort
- 7 of put every other people's lottery out of business because of
- 8 the corruption involved. But people -- the folk wisdom was we
- 9 had an unholy alliance between organized crime and the Catholic
- 10 Church to create restrictions against gambling in Louisiana.
- 11 So I don't know that there was that kind of logic

- DR. THOMPSON: In South Carolina. I think it's very,
- 2 very negative in terms of the social cost, the compulsive
- 3 gambling. There is no import except you get some Augusta,
- 4 Georgia people on the state line gambling. You don't get any
- 5 import of resources.
- They're spending dollars and the people that own the
- 7 machines are out of state people. The profits are leaving. I
- 8 think it's extremely negative and it's probably one of the worst
- 9 forms of gambling we have in the United States but it's your
- 10 laboratory, if you want, to see what wide open, unrestricted
- 11 gambling can do.
- 12 COMMISSIONER DOBSON: So last question. You would
- 13 disagree with Dr. Ryan that there ought to be some regulation and
- 14 limitation, and if so, there must be something negative there to
- 15 regulate and limit.
- DR. THOMPSON: Well, I don't disagree with him but I
- 17 agree with what you said. There should be all of these things
- 18 that gambling has positive aspects. I think it has to be limited
- 19 and definitely controlled.
- 20 COMMISSIONER DOBSON: Thank you.
- 21 CHAIRMAN JAMES: Thank you. With that, I'm going to
- 22 have a -- what -- just a minute. I think we do need to have a
- 23 break in order to focus our time and our attention this
- 24 afternoon.
- I do want to go to Commissioner McCarthy and make
- 26 sure that he gets his final question in but I want to thank our
- 27 panel for the depth of the information that you've given us and
- 28 the discussion that we've had.
- 29 If you have one final question.

- 1 COMMISSIONER McCARTHY: Thank you.
- 2 CHAIRMAN JAMES: Certainly .
- 3 COMMISSIONER McCARTHY: Our two inside experts here,
- Las Vegas and both very knowledgeable about the 4
- gambling industry nationwide, but certainly with some special 5
- 6 information about Nevada companies. You're aware that
- 7 Proposition 5 is a very big issue in California, of course.
- And for the benefit of the audience, that would 8
- 9 authorize maybe in excess of 100 federally recognized Native
- 10 American tribes to have what we call Class III gambling or
- 11 general casino gambling. What do you advise your clients would
- be the effect on their companies in Nevada? 12
- 13 And Professor Thompson has some special knowledge
- 14 about this, too, but what would be the effect on their -- I
- appreciate this can't be absolutely neatly compartmentalized. 15
- 16 Some of these companies are publicly traded; some of the
- 17 shareholders are in California. So how do you measure this? But
- 18 do you have any comments on that?
- 19 MR. ADER: Well, I should clarify. I live in New
- 20 York actually, but I do know that -- the Nevada companies well.
- You know, it's very hypothetical, you know. 21 What it is is a
- 22 In our discussion with investors for the Nevada industry,
- 23 particularly Las Vegas, you know, and Reno, it is a risk that's
- out there. 24
- 25 It has caused required returns to go up because as an
- 26 investor in a Nevada company, now this is an overhang until it's
- resolved. It may ultimately provide investment opportunity and a 27
- 28 positive for the equipment companies for the equipment companies
- 29 that may provide devices there, but until there's a decision, a

- 1 vote, and there's some clarification as to where it's going, it
- 2 would be impossible to draw conclusions.
- 3 COMMISSIONER McCARTHY: Okay. I should have inserted
- 4 that I'm told by Mr. Bible and others that probably at least 30
- 5 percent of the revenues in the gambling industry in Nevada come
- 6 from California gamblers and they leave somewhere over \$2 billion
- 7 a year in Nevada that they don't take home again.
- 8 Now, I appreciate bus companies in California
- 9 benefit. They take people to Nevada. And there are some other
- 10 companies that will benefit. Overall picture though, it's a
- 11 detriment --
- MR. COMER: I think that there's no doubt --
- 13 COMMISSIONER McCARTHY: -- to California, I think.
- MR. COMER: I think that there's no doubt, one, that
- 15 right now it is impacting equity values of casino companies that
- 16 have significant holdings in Nevada and pulling them down to
- 17 where they otherwise might not be because of that, and that
- 18 there's no doubt the 30 percent number that you used is about
- 19 correct as to the amount of casino gaming revenues that Nevada
- 20 derives from California residents.
- 21 And there's no doubt that those revenues would be
- 22 decreased if there is wide-spread gaming on Native American
- 23 reservations in California. I mean, that's just from an economic
- 24 standpoint, that the State of Nevada, their casinos will lose
- 25 revenues if, in fact, that passes.
- 26 DR. THOMPSON: I think it's a mixed situation. One
- 27 thing, the California economy is not hurt by Las Vegas nearly as
- 28 much as you think because we don't make anything and we purchase

- 1 probably 90 percent of our supplies from California or through
- 2 California.
- But it is a mix. The casinos in California right now
- 4 are not drawing a large number of Nevadans away and I don't think
- 5 the new proposition is going to change the nature of casinos in
- 6 California, except that they may attract investments and you
- 7 might have some nice resorts.
- And when that happens, we might be impacted, but I
- 9 think it will be Nevada companies that will be developing the
- 10 resorts.
- 11 COMMISSIONER McCARTHY: If I worded it to say if
- 12 there were four or five Foxwoods in California --
- DR. THOMPSON: Yes. Right.
- 14 COMMISSIONER McCARTHY: -- would that affect the
- 15 Nevada gambling economy?
- DR. THOMPSON: Yes, if there were very nice resorts
- 17 with big hotels, you know.
- 18 CHAIRMAN JAMES: Since this is the national
- 19 commission, I don't think we have a dog in that fight. So with
- 20 that, let's take a break and we'll be back in 15 minutes.