

1 COMMISSIONER DOBSON: Mine. That's mine. On page  
2 five, third paragraph down, the last few words of that paragraph  
3 end with, "For the expansion of gambling." That last sentence  
4 says:

5 "For both lotteries and river boat casinos, the  
6 immediate legislative attempt to capture fleeing  
7 tax dollars created a powerful, yet usually  
8 unacknowledged, dynamic for the expansion of  
9 gambling."

10 And we are suggesting an additional sentence right  
11 there that says:

12 "Perhaps an even more direct contributing factor  
13 has been the outpouring of political contributions  
14 from gambling interests, coupled with high-powered  
15 lobbying campaigns in virtually ever places,  
16 expansion was sought."

17 CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Is there --

18 COMMISSIONER LEONE: Can I ask you a procedural  
19 question?

20 CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Certainly.

21 COMMISSIONER LEONE: We have the edits and the  
22 supplement, correct?

23 CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Uh-huh.

24 COMMISSIONER LEONE: We're starting with the proposed  
25 edits?

26 CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Uh-huh. That's correct.

27 COMMISSIONER LEONE: And then we'll go to the  
28 supplement?

29 CHAIRPERSON JAMES: That's correct. And try to finish

1 up everything in this overview chapter.

2 The -- some were sent in ahead of time, some we didn't  
3 get until this morning, some you've seen.

4 Jim, would you like to offer that in the form of a  
5 motion?

6 COMMISSIONER DOBSON: I do.

7 CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Is there a second for that  
8 language?

9 COMMISSIONER LEONE: Where does it go?

10 CHAIRPERSON JAMES: It goes on page five, third  
11 paragraph, last line.

12 COMMISSIONER WILHELM: It says that at the top of the  
13 form.

14 CHAIRPERSON JAMES: It goes right after "Expansion of  
15 gambling." Would you like a minute to read that?

16 CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Is anyone prepared to second that?

17 COMMISSIONER LEONE: I'll second that.

18 CHAIRPERSON JAMES: All right. Discussion?

19 COMMISSIONER WILHELM: Yes, I -- I have two concerns  
20 about this recommendation, and this -- these concerns, I'm raising  
21 them now because they apply to a number of things. First, and  
22 most important, I don't think there's anything in our record on  
23 this subject. And I recognize that there may be circumstances  
24 where it makes sense for the Commission to say things or assert  
25 things that are outside of the record that we've established, but  
26 as a general rule it seems to me to be a very poor idea.

27 If one wanted to examine all of the different sides of  
28 who does what locally or nationally with money or mailing lists or  
29 whatever else, that's a whole subject that we never got into in

1 our Subcommittee meetings, as far as I know, or in our full  
2 hearings, as far as I know.

3 So, I have a real problem with putting things like this  
4 which, at best, are generalities, into the report, when we have  
5 absolutely no record before this Commission before those subjects.

6 Secondly, I -- and again, this is kind of addressed  
7 only in part to this particular one, but I really think that we  
8 don't need more colorful verbiage in this report. Quite the  
9 contrary, to the extent that we use emotionally charged verbiage,  
10 I think we detract from the impact of the report. To me, things  
11 like "high-powered", "outpouring", things like that, I'm not  
12 comfortable with them, no matter which, quote "side", unquote, of  
13 the issue that they're on.

14 So, for both of those reasons, but particularly the  
15 first one, I couldn't support this, even if I agreed with it. I  
16 think as a general rule we need a record based upon which to make  
17 these kinds of assertions.

18 COMMISSIONER LEONE: I seconded this, but it's not,  
19 partly to get the discussion going, it's not -- I think John has  
20 some strength to what he says. On the other hand, it seems to me  
21 one thing that is -- is something that has impressed me since  
22 joining the profession, and that is how tense, expensive, and -- a  
23 bitter battle depends on legalization, community-by-community,  
24 state-by-state. This is big time -- big time politics. And I do  
25 think we have to -- to write a report on gambling in the United  
26 States. A fierce political battleground. I mean, you could be --  
27 or you could fiercely put it on both sides. I think Jim's states  
28 this issue, embodied in the statement.

29 There might be some way of acknowledging the reality

1 without -- that everybody on the Commission is willing to agree  
2 on. This is a major political background in the United States.

3 COMMISSIONER WILHELM: Well, I agree with that and I  
4 would submit, for example, that the -- the paragraph which this  
5 seeks to amend on page five of the overview, along with the likely  
6 before and after, is indicative of that. I don't think that point  
7 is lacking.

8 COMMISSIONER DOBSON: What are you referring to in that  
9 paragraph? Where do you find the reference to the political  
10 battleground that's taking place and the contributions that are  
11 taking place and the money spent to try to influence the public?

12 COMMISSIONER WILHELM: Well, I think that the whole  
13 paragraph talks about -- that -- that sentence right there.  
14 "Legislative attempts to capture fleeing tax dollars." I think  
15 that that addresses the point that Richard's talking about. The  
16 point that -- about contributions and lobbying is that again my  
17 principle problem is that it is not in our record. If you want to  
18 have a full examination of this, we'd have to examine a whole  
19 bunch of things.

20 Just by way of example you'd have to examine the effect  
21 -- the efforts by labor unions, which are not -- by some labor  
22 unions, which are not necessarily political contributions to -- to  
23 mobilize union members about some of these issues. This is  
24 particularly common in the building trades, for example, who  
25 almost universally have supported the construction of these  
26 things, just like they support the construction of virtually  
27 anything. You'd have to talk about, you know, your radio shows.  
28 You'd have to talk about mailings that unions do, or pro-gambling  
29 groups do or anti-gambling groups do. You'd have to talk about

1 the whole issue, not just one piece of it. That's my problem.  
2 You haven't built a record as to what goes into all of this in  
3 terms of actual effort.

4 I think the language captures the fact that there is a  
5 fierce political debate about this, and that's appropriate. And I  
6 wouldn't object to having a record that talks about the elements  
7 of this, whether it's contributions or mobilization of people or  
8 pro and anti propaganda or anything else, but I don't believe we  
9 have such a record.

10 COMMISSIONER DOBSON: Madame Chair.

11 CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Commissioner Dobson.

12 COMMISSIONER DOBSON: I agree with that Commissioner  
13 Leone said, that -- that this has become an extremely important  
14 element of what's happening in this county when you look at the  
15 exponential growth of gambling in recent years. And the amount of  
16 money that's spent, it's my understanding that in South Carolina  
17 \$1.3 million was spent at the time of the last election for  
18 lobbying purposes. To have this Commission not even comment on  
19 that phenomenon that's taken place would be a big mistake. And  
20 not only with reference to campaign contributions to political  
21 operatives, but also the amount of money that's spent trying to  
22 convince the public to admit gambling into their state or their  
23 area.

24 COMMISSIONER BIBLE: I have not had an opportunity to  
25 read the "Easy Money" articles referenced. I was -- I presume  
26 that that article finds that it's true of both river boats and  
27 lotteries?

28 COMMISSIONER DOBSON: Yes.

29 COMMISSIONER BIBLE: In the same proportion in states

1 like Mississippi, and I don't know whether it documents  
2 Mississippi -- types of money into the processes?

3 COMMISSIONER DOBSON: I don't know if they're equal. I  
4 haven't analyzed that. But I have in front of me a list of six  
5 other sources that talk about this issue. One of them in Ohio,  
6 "Gambling proponents spend \$8.5 million on a failed campaign to  
7 legalize eight dock-side casinos at various locations around the  
8 state. Proponents spent 41.8 million." And that came from the  
9 Office of the Secretary of State of Ohio, Campaign Finance  
10 Department, and so on. There's a lot of evidence to support this  
11 statement.

12 COMMISSIONER LANNI: May I?

13 CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Yes, please.

14 COMMISSIONER LANNI: Jim, some factual aspects of  
15 this, regardless of whatever articles you have. Let me say a  
16 couple of things. In Ohio, I'm reasonably familiar with that.  
17 The people who raised the money for that happen to be very large  
18 shopping center developers who had an interest in providing their  
19 land for either themselves or other parties to operate casinos.  
20 They were not licensed gaming operators in other states who were  
21 participating in that particular campaign. Another -- and that  
22 campaign failed, as I think you noted, regardless of the fact that  
23 more money was spent by the proponents of this, at a distance by  
24 about a 16 to 3 to 37 vote.

25 The neighboring state of Michigan, at the same  
26 occasion, did pass the referendum by a very close margin, 51 to 49  
27 statewide, that allowed gambling in that area. There was not one  
28 legal casino operator as a commercial casino that contributed to  
29 or against that campaign. It was endorsed by local individuals

1 who wrote themselves in as preferential candidates having nothing  
2 to do with gambling in the past. They were individuals involved  
3 in restaurants, individuals involved in shopping centers, major  
4 real estate people.

5 I think there's a real misnomer. There's a lot of  
6 industry -- companies within this industry, my industry, that  
7 don't participate on the aspect of contributing to campaigns to  
8 bring about gaming in other jurisdictions. Our company is one of  
9 those. We have never ever put money into campaigns in any state  
10 other than states in which we operate currently. And in turn, we  
11 do not lobby for those benefits. If a state determines its own  
12 process -- again, state's rights issue here, to determine that  
13 gambling of a commercial nature is necessary or desirable, from a  
14 vote of the people, as it was in Michigan, we chose to bid in that  
15 area, after the fact, not putting one penny in.

16 I might add that there is a restriction on any campaign  
17 contributions as a result of that referendum in the state of  
18 Michigan, not unlike the one in -- in new Jersey, as well as the  
19 ones that we're proposing earlier today.

20 So, I don't think that this is the problem that you may  
21 perceive that it is. And in turn, the fact that people decide  
22 from an economic standpoint that they want to use their right to  
23 put monies in, most of it in the elections that we're talking  
24 about have come from people within those states who do not have  
25 associated interests with other forms of gambling.

26 COMMISSIONER DOBSON: Chairman, the issue here is not  
27 who put the money up or whether it passed or failed or whether Mr.  
28 Lanni's organization put up money for similar kinds of campaigns,  
29 but as we look at the spread of gambling, who could deny that

1 there are huge amounts of money that are flowing into the states  
2 where that initiative is before the people. And that's what we're  
3 dealing with.

4 COMMISSIONER LANNI: Jim, I think the fact you say  
5 money is flowing into the state, I think the real issue, if you  
6 take a look at the facts, the money is flowing around the state  
7 from existing entities within those states, and I think that's  
8 quite legitimate. It's --

9 COMMISSIONER DOBSON: Whether it's legitimate or not,  
10 it needs to be stated that it's happening.

11 COMMISSIONER LANNI: It's not happening, money from  
12 outside the states, to the degree that you're suggesting.

13 I think the referencing again to this particular entity  
14 makes no sense whatsoever. Using Mother Jones' "Easy Money"  
15 article as a source, I would have thought I could have found a  
16 better source than that if I were going to recommend this.

17 COMMISSIONER MCCARTHY: Madame Chair?

18 CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Yes, Commissioner McCarthy.

19 COMMISSIONER MCCARTHY: Let's not get too tough on  
20 Mother Jones.

21 COMMISSIONER LANNI: I reserve the right to get tough  
22 on anyone I want to get tough on.

23 COMMISSIONER BIBLE: But they usually specialize in how  
24 to turn your compost pile and stuff like that.

25 COMMISSIONER MCCARTHY: If I may suggest -- perhaps an  
26 even more direct contributing factor has been the increasing  
27 volume -- strike out from, "of political contributions from,"  
28 strike the word in -- from interests with an economic stake,  
29 strike the words, "coupled with high-powered lobbying campaigns."

1 An increasing volume of political contributions with an interest  
2 in an economic stake.

3 COMMISSIONER WILHELM: I would accept that.

4 COMMISSIONER MCCARTHY: I agree that Mother Jones is  
5 not the citation --

6 COMMISSIONER WILHELM: But aren't you happy that Focus  
7 on the Family is reading Mother Jones, speaking as one Democrat to  
8 another?

9 COMMISSIONER MCCARTHY: I think we've heard in  
10 testimony before the Commission at a couple of our earlier  
11 meetings, references to the increasing volume of political  
12 contributions. And I might say that I'm sorry I can't -- one of  
13 those very good -- stated that the increase of contributions from  
14 both private and non-private -- has dramatically increased.

15 People can evaluate those contributions anyway they  
16 want. They may consider it a totally legitimate pursuit of a  
17 business enterprise. I think it's up to the public to try to  
18 calculate what a sizable number of contributions from any interest  
19 going into any particular -- and they may charge it means  
20 absolutely nothing, but they may charge that it prejudiced that  
21 particular official in some inappropriate way. And I don't think  
22 we're in a position to make all those charges.

23 The mere mention of this as part of the reality of the  
24 outside world is appropriate. I do agree with Mr. Wilhelm's --  
25 that we do not need a lot of rhetorical --

26 COMMISSIONER LEONE: Leo, could you repeat that,  
27 please? The motion as it now stands?

28 COMMISSIONER MCCARTHY: But we do think that Frank is  
29 high-powered.

1 CHAIRPERSON JAMES: No question about it.

2 COMMISSIONER MCCARTHY: No question about that.

3 COMMISSIONER LEONE: Oh, powered. I though it was  
4 high-paid, you said.

5 COMMISSIONER MCCARTHY: Let me read that. Perhaps an  
6 even more direct contributing factor has been the increased volume  
7 of political contributions from interests with an economic stake,  
8 in virtually ever place expansion is sought

9 COMMISSIONER WILHELM: I would certainly agree that  
10 that is helpful in terms to the second of my two objections, but  
11 my first objection, I think, is still pertinent. There's no  
12 record. This -- let's read this sentence as Leo has proposed to  
13 amend it, together with the sentence which it follows. This would  
14 now say, if this is adopted.

15 "For both lotteries and river boat casinos, the  
16 immediate legislative attempt to capture fleeing  
17 tax dollars created a powerful, yet usually  
18 unacknowledged, dynamic for the expansion of  
19 gambling. Perhaps an even more direct  
20 contributing factor has been the increasing volume  
21 of political contributions from interests with an  
22 economic stake in virtually every place expansion  
23 is sought."

24 I would like somebody to show me where in our record it  
25 says that the political contributions from interests with an  
26 economic stake are a more direct contributing factor that fleeing  
27 -- the attempt to capture fleeing tax dollars. Where is there  
28 something in our record that makes that point? In fact, Richard  
29 has been saying for months now that this whole business of chasing

1 is what's behind a lot of this, and I personally happen to agree  
2 with him. But where do we have a record that says that after all  
3 that chasing is not as much of a factor as political  
4 contributions? First of all, that sounds wrong to me, and second  
5 of all, whether someone thinks it's right or wrong, where is the  
6 record?

7 COMMISSIONER DOBSON: Madame Chairman, we can, you  
8 know, spend some time looking at the record, but I think it was in  
9 a public comment section that this came up several times. It has  
10 been discussed, and I mentioned before, the sources that I have in  
11 front of me. Here's one of them. Pro-casino groups in Michigan  
12 spent more than \$10 million in narrowly winning the referendum to  
13 bring casinos to Detroit. Opponents spent a small fraction of  
14 that amount. There's a number of these kinds of reports that are  
15 out there. And again, to deny that it doesn't exist.

16 COMMISSIONER BIBLE: I think that report goes to the  
17 point that --

18 COMMISSIONER WILHELM: Exactly.

19 COMMISSIONER BIBLE: -- Mr. McCarthy made, that  
20 proponents out spent the opponents. And it's not necessarily  
21 gambling interests. They became gambling interests after they  
22 received the -- the initiative petition and had a vested interest  
23 in it, they grand fathered themselves into process. I personally  
24 am comfortable with Commissioner McCarthy's modifications.

25 COMMISSIONER WILHELM: I think the record will note  
26 that I said that about Michigan.

27 COMMISSIONER BIBLE: Oh. Okay.

28 COMMISSIONER WILHELM: But use this example. I tried  
29 to follow the Michigan circumstance and there's no question about

1 the facts that you just cited, Jim. But I think that at least  
2 from my reading it, I believe that the most powerful factor that -  
3 - factors, plural, that motivated the voters of the state of  
4 Michigan to pass that referendum, and it was not generally  
5 expected in the political circles of Michigan that it would pass,  
6 were three-fold.

7 First, the most important is the factor cited in the  
8 sentence that I just read. There was a tremendous amount of  
9 commentary about the fact that there's a casino in Windsor,  
10 Ontario, across the Detroit River from Detroit, that is right on  
11 the river bank. It's highly visible. Operated by the government  
12 of Ontario. In which something like 80 percent of the revenue  
13 comes from American's.

14 COMMISSIONER DOBSON: Ninety-two percent.

15 COMMISSIONER WILHELM: Ninety-two percent of the  
16 revenue in the Windsor casino comes from Americans, most of them  
17 or many of them from Michigan. So, this whole phenomenon of  
18 chasing was front and center. The second factor was the economic  
19 disasters of Detroit that needed help of some kind. And the third  
20 factor was the level playing field argument used in reverse, as  
21 the tribal casinos in Michigan and the fact that non-tribal  
22 casinos were not legal.

23 So, for us to now say, using Michigan as an example,  
24 Jim, that the factor of political contributions is an even more  
25 direct contributing factor on top of chasing and these other  
26 phenomenon, there's no record for that and I don't even think it's  
27 true.

28 CHAIRPERSON JAMES: John, what would happen if we  
29 changed the language and took out even a more contributing factor

1 and just put, "some believe that a contributing factor"?

2 COMMISSIONER WILHELM: I would have no problem with  
3 that.

4 COMMISSIONER DOBSON: Another.

5 CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Another contributing factor. And  
6 then follow with Leo's language.

7 COMMISSIONER WILHELM: I have no problem with that,  
8 plus Leo's.

9 CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Great. All in favor?

10 Opposed?

11 Any abstentions?

12 COMMISSIONER BIBLE: Can I ask a quick clarifying  
13 question?

14 CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Yes, please.

15 COMMISSIONER BIBLE: Increasing or increased volume? I  
16 heard both.

17 CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Increasing.

18 COMMISSIONER DOBSON: The next item, Madame Chairman,  
19 is on the same page. Are you ready for it?

20 CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Please.

21 COMMISSIONER DOBSON: At the end of the very next  
22 paragraph, which now reads:

23 "And referenda have been successfully waged on the  
24 issue of legalizing or expanding gambling."

25 You see the insert that's suggested:

26 "It should be noted that in virtually every case,  
27 gambling interests have out spent opponents by  
28 dramatically lopsided margins."

29 COMMISSIONER WILHELM: Do we have a record for this?

1 COMMISSIONER MOORE: This one doesn't even have the  
2 Mother Jones citations.

3 COMMISSIONER WILHELM: This one doesn't even have --

4 COMMISSIONER DOBSON: I would -- I would guess that  
5 analyzing the effects of gambling in this country by Mother Jones  
6 might be more objective than the AGA, which is --

7 COMMISSIONER WILHELM: I'd go with that.

8 COMMISSIONER DOBSON: And there's about five pages that  
9 fits, if we added from the AGA, which -- discussing here.

10 This -- the reference for this, or at least the  
11 citation, is on a state-by-state basis.

12 COMMISSIONER WILHELM: I mean in the Commission  
13 records. Do we have -- does the Commission have a record for  
14 this? Again, I just -- listen, I don't want to be a broken  
15 record, here. I have a real problem with the Commission making  
16 assertions for which our record does not provide legitimate  
17 support, even though, you know, somewhere between one and nine of  
18 us might both -- might think, well, that makes sense. I thought  
19 we gathered a record here for a purpose.

20 COMMISSIONER DOBSON: I make a motion.

21 CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Is there a second?

22 COMMISSIONER This is a different expansion. This is  
23 the next paragraph. Paragraph four.

24 CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Are you with us? Do you see where  
25 this goes?

26 COMMISSIONER MOORE: How is this different, though,  
27 than what we just did?

28 COMMISSIONER MCCARTHY: To what paragraph did we add  
29 the last one?

1 COMMISSIONER DOBSON: That was three. The end of the  
2 third.

3 CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Now, this is looking at ending the  
4 next paragraph. Do you see where it says, "waged on the issue of  
5 legalizing or expanding gambling"? And the motion is that that  
6 next line be inserted. It has been moved. Is there a second?

7 Hearing none.

8 Whose is this? Is this yours?

9 COMMISSIONER DOBSON: What's the number?

10 CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Page eight, line four.

11 COMMISSIONER DOBSON: That's mine too.

12 CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Between "here to stay."

13 COMMISSIONER DOBSON: It's in the middle of the very  
14 last paragraph.

15 "It is clear that the American people want  
16 legalized gambling, and it has already also sunk  
17 deep economic and other roots in many communities.  
18 Its form and extent may change, but it's here to  
19 stay."

20 And this recommendation would put a comma right there  
21 and say, "At least for the near future."

22 It should be noted that historians refer to this as the  
23 third wave of legalized gambling in the United States. Whether  
24 there will be significant backlash against the ills inspired by  
25 gambling to end this third wave, as there was to the first two,  
26 remains to be seen. This --

27 CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Are you there? Right her.

28 COMMISSIONER DOBSON: Page eight.

29 CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Third paragraph.

1 COMMISSIONER DOBSON: The final paragraph in this  
2 section.

3 CHAIRPERSON JAMES: "It is clear that the American  
4 people want legalized gambling." The suggestion is after that  
5 add, "At least for the near future." Is everybody on the same  
6 page now?

7 COMMISSIONER DOBSON: That's right. So, the period  
8 becomes a comma and that paragraph is inserted at that point. And  
9 there was testimony to support this one on two occasions here, as  
10 you see at the bottom.

11 CHAIRPERSON JAMES: It has been moved. Is there a  
12 second?

13 COMMISSIONER MCCARTHY: Can I ask a question?

14 CHAIRPERSON JAMES: You sure can. Before we go to the  
15 second.

16 COMMISSIONER MCCARTHY: Is this your --

17 CHAIRPERSON JAMES: It's Jim's line.

18 COMMISSIONER DOBSON: That's right. But it comes from  
19 the testimony. Was it Las Vegas? I'm trying to remember where it  
20 was where -- he took us through the history of gambling in the  
21 United States.

22 COMMISSIONER MCCARTHY: The word -- is that his words?

23 COMMISSIONER DOBSON: It's probably ours. I'm not  
24 sure.

25 COMMISSIONER MCCARTHY: Who are the historians? Is  
26 Nelson Rose determined to be a historian for this Commission?

27 CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Okay. Are there any other points  
28 of clarification before we move to discussion? If not, I'm  
29 looking for a second.

1 COMMISSIONER MCCARTHY: Still address -- negative  
2 outcomes --

3 CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Well, it seems to me, Leo, to get  
4 us to that point I need a second to get there, and then we can.

5 COMMISSIONER MOORE: I'll second it.

6 CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Okay. We have a second. So, we're  
7 open for discussion now, and perhaps friendly amendments. John.

8 COMMISSIONER WILHELM: Well, Leo's thinking about the  
9 language. This falls under another category at this hour on this  
10 day. That is my view -- if one re-reads the overview chapter, and  
11 in particular the last page and a half entitled, "Time for a  
12 pause," I would suggest that this language adds absolutely nothing  
13 to the meaning or impact of that section. Whether it's good or  
14 it's bad or if we should change the words or this and that is  
15 fine, but if we do this, we're not ever going to get done by  
16 tomorrow night. Not a chance. I think we should try to limit  
17 ourselves to things that people want to do for the meaning or the  
18 impact or something. This doesn't add anything to the meaning or  
19 the impact, in my personal opinion. There's a lot of other stuff  
20 in here likewise.

21 COMMISSIONER DOBSON: John, with all due respect,  
22 you've become very, very concerned about the time of this  
23 Commission when I've made a recommendation, whereas you have  
24 talked five times as much as I have in the last two years, and the  
25 things that have been suggested here for us to work on in these  
26 two days will greatly outnumber anything that I've done.

27 COMMISSIONER WILHELM: Well, Jim, if I've monopolized  
28 the time of the Commission to an unfair extent, then I fell badly  
29 about that, but I also didn't submit hundreds of recommendations.

1 And I was tempted to once I saw how many you did.

2 CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Okay.

3 COMMISSIONER WILHELM: And I haven't done so, and if it  
4 -- and, you know, I still can.

5 CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Well, we'd like to avoid that if at  
6 all possible.

7 COMMISSIONER LEONE: I think -- can I jump in here?

8 CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Please.

9 COMMISSIONER LEONE: I think that like any family, we  
10 have our moments. But the real --

11 COMMISSIONER WILHELM: Are we Jim's children, like he  
12 was talking about last time that he can't remember?

13 COMMISSIONER LEONE: The real question here is -- and I  
14 think we -- I think John's got a good point. I think both people  
15 have a point to make here, and there's an efficient way to make  
16 it, and maybe some plain language would help. I don't want to  
17 speak for Jim, but what I'm sure is motivating him is -- and I  
18 think there's no -- the record doesn't support -- is for us to  
19 come to a conclusion that gambling is here to stay. None of us  
20 can foresee the future. None of us, 20 years ago, had any idea  
21 that the gambling landscape in America would look like it does  
22 today. None of us can foresee the future. I don't -- I can  
23 understand Jim not wanting to leave it in place, as though the  
24 nine of us had concluded that. No, it may be replaced by some  
25 other form of entertainment, that is -- has more positives or more  
26 negatives or nothing else.

27 So, I think that's -- John's overall point is a good  
28 point. However, I probably have talked more than anybody for the  
29 last few minutes, although I kept quiet the first few. I reserved

1 my time, except for the last six months. But I think at this  
2 point we do have to try to limit what we're going to say to  
3 absolutely essential things or we're going to be bogged down on  
4 language. And, you know, I think it's easy to modify this  
5 language to accomplish Jim's purpose without -- and have everybody  
6 say, "Well, of course, that's true." Because, in fact, we don't  
7 have a basis for saying gambling is here forever, and we just  
8 can't tell about it's cost or benefits. What we have a basis for  
9 saying is that it's form and extent may change, it may even  
10 disappear as we know it, for the present it's a reality. What's  
11 not fixed is it's costs and benefits. I'm not making this up as I  
12 go along. It's easy to come up with language, but I don't think  
13 we're here to -- and I would just finish by saying the most  
14 important thing is that we try not to get excited about the period  
15 of time.

16 COMMISSIONER DOBSON: Madame Chair, I would accept the  
17 suggestion that Mr. McCarthy made with regard to negative impacts.  
18 That wasn't in the form of a motion, but if it was in a friendly  
19 amendment, I would accept that.

20 CHAIRPERSON JAMES: That's always appropriate, but let  
21 me take one pass at it before we do that. Dick, what was the  
22 language that you used? And I wanted to see if that would be  
23 acceptable to Dr. Dobson, because if it would, then we could put  
24 that one to rest and move on. Do you remember what you said?

25 COMMISSIONER LEONE: I was just making it up as I went  
26 along. I said it's form and extent may change, it may even  
27 disappear altogether, but for the present, it's a reality.

28 CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Jim?

29 COMMISSIONER DOBSON: I'm sorry.

1 COMMISSIONER LEONE: It's form and extent may change,  
2 it may even disappear, but for the present, it's a reality.

3 CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Would you be willing to accept that  
4 as a substitute?

5 COMMISSIONER DOBSON: If that will get us off the dime,  
6 I would.

7 COMMISSIONER WILHELM: I would too.

8 CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Okay. We're there. I will accept  
9 that as a friendly amendment. All in favor?

10 Any opposed?

11 Any abstentions?

12 All right. Whose is the next one? This is the  
13 moratorium language.

14 COMMISSIONER LEONE: I believe that several of us  
15 raised the point that unless the Commission votes to change the  
16 language, the majority of language is in the report.

17 CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Right.

18 COMMISSIONER LEONE: We could change it. We could  
19 change it, but we can't edit it. The staff can't edit it.

20 CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Correct.

21 COMMISSIONER LEONE: I think this is just an expression  
22 of what we actually had voted on. I think that's what it is.

23 CHAIRPERSON JAMES: I'm not sure.

24 COMMISSIONER BIBLE: The paragraph that I thought was  
25 voted on was the second to the last one.

26 CHAIRPERSON JAMES: The Commission's research suggests  
27 --

28 COMMISSIONER BIBLE: Exactly. Doug is right, that is  
29 exactly the paragraph that was voted on.

1 CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Right.

2 COMMISSIONER BIBLE: Second to the last one of the  
3 whole paragraphs on page eight.

4 CHAIRPERSON JAMES: I wasn't exactly sure what -- where  
5 this was coming from.

6 So, is there any additional thing? The first full  
7 paragraph.

8 COMMISSIONER LEONE: All right. So that's the  
9 understanding.

10 CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Okay. Any other -- anything else  
11 on the -- we have to now move to the supplement on the "Overview"  
12 chapter than came in this morning.

13 Whose is this, the first one?

14 COMMISSIONER LANNI: This is mine. It should be noted  
15 I made no recommendations.

16 This is the reference of what I've done here so you  
17 could see these. Jim referred to these as the AGA proposals.  
18 Jim, just for the record, these are not AGA's proposals. These  
19 are my proposals. There were proposals suggested to me by brewing  
20 (phonetic) organizations, including AGA, of which I determined not  
21 to present. Others modified some of mine, but in most instances  
22 these are purely mine.

23 I have included in here, so that you don't have to  
24 refer back to the documents that you have in your binder, the page  
25 and the area in which I'm questioning. The first one is the  
26 chapter one overview with the very first words, "Americans love to  
27 gamble."

28 My suggested language to replace that would be:

29 "Today, the vast majority of Americans either

1 gamble recreationally and experience no  
2 significant side negative effects related to their  
3 gambling, or they chose not to gamble at all. A  
4 relatively small percentage gamble in ways that  
5 harm themselves, their families, and their  
6 communities. This Commission's research suggests  
7 that 80 percent of Americans report having gambled  
8 at least once in their lifetimes, 68 percent of  
9 Americans report having gambled at least once in  
10 the past year."

11 That is my proposal.

12 COMMISSIONER BIBLE: I second that.

13 CHAIRPERSON JAMES: And then, Terry, we would continue  
14 on, "In 1998, they wagered," et cetera?

15 COMMISSIONER LANNI: Yes. Correct.

16 CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Certainly, please. We're ready for  
17 discussion.

18 COMMISSIONER LANNI: Well, I think that would be in the  
19 one-and-a-half to five percent, one-and-a-half to seven percent.

20 COMMISSIONER MCCARTHY: We know Dr. Schaffer --

21 COMMISSIONER LANNI: Are you using lifetime? Last  
22 year.

23 COMMISSIONER MCCARTHY: Level II.

24 A relatively small percentage.

25 COMMISSIONER LANNI: And I'm not opposed at all to that  
26 approach. I think that if I could state my overall concerns about  
27 this, if one reads this overview, very frankly, one could just  
28 assume that what the charge of this Commission was was purely to  
29 study the problem of pathological gambling. Out charge with

1 Congress, signed into law by the President, was to study social  
2 and economical impacts.

3 All of the research that was provided to this  
4 Commission, we spent almost all of our \$5 million budget on  
5 research. Every single piece of research that I've read, that  
6 you've read, each of us has read, has basically said the  
7 following, and this is where I have a great big problem why we  
8 can't say this, because -- and I know that people who don't like  
9 the industry don't feel, or the whole aspect of gambling, don't  
10 feel comfortable with it, but our research clearly says the  
11 following, and I'm not arguing points. I think relatively few I  
12 have no problem changing.

13 I think that the text needs to say, basically, all of  
14 the studies that were done before this Commission indicated that  
15 the vast majority of adults in this nation either don't gamble or  
16 gamble responsibly. A smaller percentage of the people have  
17 problems with their gambling, and even though it's a smaller  
18 percentage, it's a significant number of people. And we as a  
19 nation, and we as legal forms of gaming, gambling in this country  
20 and its regulatory bodies, have not done enough to deal with those  
21 problems, plus we don't know enough about them. I just think we  
22 miss the facts of what was presented to us by not presenting that.

23 And I'm not caught up with the words.

24 CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Dick.

25 COMMISSIONER MCCARTHY: Just briefly.

26 Let me just read one paragraph from -- the introduction  
27 of chapter four.

28 "About 20 percent of Americans do not gamble at  
29 all. Most gamblers do so for social or

1 recreational reasons, without evidencing  
2 interfering -- consequences. But there remains --  
3 pathological problems" --

4 COMMISSIONER BIBLE: I have some comments on that but  
5 generally I have -- functionally I can agree with that particular  
6 statement. I still think in the overview we need to make this  
7 other statement. I don't that replaces this particular statement.

8 COMMISSIONER MCCARTHY: Yes, if you could change this  
9 in some way.

10 COMMISSIONER BIBLE: Well, I'm happy to welcome a  
11 friendly or even an unfriendly change.

12 COMMISSIONER MCCARTHY: There's something important  
13 there that we must learn more about --

14 COMMISSIONER BIBLE: Do you have a proposed  
15 modification to it, maybe, Richard?

16 COMMISSIONER LEONE: I do, yeah. If you'll allow me  
17 to. If you have language, go ahead.

18 I think that the -- I didn't like the -- I actually  
19 think that this captures more of the factual record, and I'm even  
20 willing to go along with the vast majority. I think the  
21 relatively small percentage does create a tone problem, and I  
22 would just suggest that this paragraph be amended to replace "A  
23 relatively small percentage," with, "Regrettably, some of the  
24 gambling" -- this is the place to fight out what the right way to  
25 characterize it, three percent, five percent --

26 COMMISSIONER BIBLE: Why don't you characterize it as a  
27 relatively small percentage but a large number of Americans?  
28 You're talking about two different things. You're talking about a  
29 percentage of the population. Mr. McCarthy has just --

1 COMMISSIONER LEONE: You could say a relatively small  
2 percentage, but -- but millions of Americans.

3 CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Or I like your first suggestion  
4 better.

5 COMMISSIONER LEONE: This is not the place to fight  
6 that out. Right at the beginning just say "regrettably." It's  
7 the same thing. I've just replaced the "relatively small  
8 percentage," with these four words, "regrettably, some of them."  
9 The sentence goes on, "Regrettably, some of them gamble in ways  
10 that harm themselves and their family." I think the advantage of  
11 those two sentences -- and then they part company. This is the  
12 opening.

13 COMMISSIONER LANNI: I would accept that.

14 CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Okay.

15 COMMISSIONER MCCARTHY: Don't gamble hard

16 CHAIRPERSON JAMES: What? Well, it says at the end of  
17 that sentence, "Or they choose not to gamble at all." So, that's  
18 the end of the sentence.

19 COMMISSIONER DOBSON: Madame Chair?

20 CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Yes, Mr. Dobson.

21 COMMISSIONER DOBSON: May I offer a friendly amendment  
22 with regard to the word "significant side effects" and change that  
23 to "measurable." We don't know what side effect there are because  
24 we can't measure every impact -- every implication for those who  
25 are gambling, nor have we tried. But to say, "and experienced no  
26 measurable negative side effects," would take it in a direction  
27 that I'd be more comfortable with.

28 COMMISSIONER LANNI: Could you repeat that? And  
29 experience --

1 COMMISSIONER DOBSON: Experience -- instead of saying  
2 no significant -- no significant side negative effects, that draws  
3 a conclusion that I don't think we could make. But to say and  
4 experienced no measurable side effects.

5 COMMISSIONER LANNI: I think side -- I think side and  
6 negative are transposed.

7 COMMISSIONER DOBSON: Negative side effects. That's  
8 right.

9 CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Uh-huh. So, he's going to make it  
10 measurable as opposed to significant.

11 COMMISSIONER LANNI: I would accept that offer.

12 CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Do we have a second for that?

13 COMMISSIONER MCCARTHY: Second.

14 CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Are you ready for the question?  
15 All in favor? Any opposed? Terry, I think the next one is  
16 yours.

17 COMMISSIONER LANNI: I -- I think it would be easier  
18 for us to work on. I suggest a modification to the next sentence.

19 COMMISSIONER BIBLE: Right. I'll defer to Bill on  
20 that.

21 COMMISSIONER LANNI: That makes it easier. I apologize  
22 for the handwritten pages.

23 CHAIRPERSON JAMES: How far back are --

24 COMMISSIONER LANNI: Second one from the back. That's  
25 my handwriting, that's why you can't read it.

26 The sentence currently reads:

27 "In 1998 they wagered over \$600 billion on legal  
28 betting, and parted with \$40 billion, figures  
29 which have increased every year for over two

1 decades, and often at double-digit rates."

2 I'm suggesting that we modify that to say:

3 "Wagered over \$500 billion on legal betting, won  
4 \$50 billion, parted with over \$50 billion, so the  
5 thing adds up."

6 COMMISSIONER LEONE: That makes no economic sense.  
7 That's your own money.

8 COMMISSIONER WILHELM: Well, but it makes about as much  
9 sense as saying \$500 billion in the first place.

10 COMMISSIONER LANNI: Six hundred.

11 COMMISSIONER LEONE: Well, I've always objected to that  
12 number, myself. I've always objected to this number.

13 COMMISSIONER LANNI: I have too. It seems to me we've  
14 got an apple and we've got an orange in this sentence. In order  
15 to make it consistent, we have to indicate that they won \$450  
16 billion, or else there's \$450 billion missing somewhere. Or \$550,  
17 excuse me.

18 COMMISSIONER LEONE: That's just what I expected about  
19 the rest of that money.

20 COMMISSIONER LANNI: Well, if I may on this one. The  
21 issue does come -- step aside from Bill for a moment, his  
22 analysis. I mean, the real issue is that the industry, by all  
23 determinations, has about \$50 billion a year in revenue. Overall  
24 gambling industry in the United States. I would argue that if you  
25 take the \$600 billion figure which came from the Casino Journal  
26 Magazine as an attempt to determine how much wagering actually  
27 took place.

28 You cannot tell on table games how much wagering takes  
29 place, because if I sit down with \$100 and I play it through eight

1 or nine times and I end up loosing it at the very end, I've really  
2 only risked that amount of money, I haven't risked all the monies  
3 that are there.

4 I liken it going to a Sotheby's auction and adding up  
5 all the bids that are made on each item that's sold at the  
6 Sotheby's auction and counting that as some figure that has some  
7 meaning. To me it has no meaning. The only meaning is the only  
8 figure that the final bid that wins the particular item or gets to  
9 purchase the original item. And I share Mr. Leone's view that the  
10 number should be \$50 billion in revenue. That's a very a big  
11 number.

12 COMMISSIONER LEONE: I wasn't going to raise this  
13 issue, because I had raised it much earlier and I'm trying to keep  
14 on, but this is a number that bothers me because it's misused by  
15 both sides. The pro-gambling people say, "This is a \$600 billion  
16 industry." And they talk about it sometime, and you read articles  
17 in which it's a bigger business than the state governments of the  
18 United States. And then the second, and then people on the other  
19 side say Americans are betting \$600 billion like they were  
20 spending \$600 billion. It's frankly not a meaningful number. It  
21 suggested how many times somebody has to make a bet.

22 COMMISSIONER LANNI: Well, the problem I have with it  
23 is that it doesn't add up. You've got \$550 billion --

24 CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Somewhere out there.

25 COMMISSIONER LANNI: -- that went somewhere.

26 COMMISSIONER LEONE: Fifty billion dollars is a big  
27 number.

28 CHAIRPERSON JAMES: So, your suggestion would be what?

29 COMMISSIONER LANNI: I would move that we would delete

1 the reference to the \$600 billion, and delete everything from -- I  
2 would say, "In 1998 the legalized gambling industry in the United  
3 States produced \$50 billion in revenue.:

4 COMMISSIONER LEONE: That's fine.

5 COMMISSIONER LANNI: Handwritten edit in the  
6 supplemental package.

7 COMMISSIONER LOESCHER: Second.

8 CHAIRPERSON JAMES: We'll second that.

9 COMMISSIONER DOBSON: The way it's now written, it  
10 started out before we changed it with the subject being Americans.  
11 The way you're changing it now the subject is what the gambling  
12 industry has taken in. So, it's a change of subject matter.

13 COMMISSIONER BIBLE: And I don't mind saying,  
14 "Americans wagered over \$600 billion on legal betting, won \$550  
15 billion and parted with more than \$50 billion." If they bet \$550  
16 billion and the industry only gained \$50 billion, somebody had to  
17 win \$550 billion.

18 COMMISSIONER DOBSON: Bill, tell -- I really don't know  
19 the answer to this. The \$40 billion that is supposed to represent  
20 the net revenues of the gambling industry are expenses and fixed  
21 costs?

22 COMMISSIONER BIBLE: No. That's simple revenue that  
23 they garnered wagering. That's wins less losses.

24 COMMISSIONER DOBSON: That's not profit?

25 COMMISSIONER BIBLE: No, that's not profit. That's a  
26 revenue line to the industry. That's how much Americans wagered  
27 and lost. The \$60 billion is if you take the same dollar, if you  
28 put it into the slot machine, theoretically it would have a 95  
29 percent payback. You'd get 95 cents out of it. You put in the 95

1 cents, you get back 95 percent of 95 cents. You just keep doing  
2 it. You're talking about a volume transaction. It's not a fair  
3 representation of the industry. The way this is -- the sentence  
4 is structured, with the \$600 billion and the \$50 billion, we're  
5 missing \$550 billion, the implication being that Americans won it.

6 I know that's not true. They may have won it during the course  
7 of play, but ultimately they parted with \$50 billion.

8 So, the sentence needs to read that Americans wagered  
9 and lost more than \$50 billion.

10 CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Is there a second for that? It  
11 would say, "In 1998" --

12 COMMISSIONER BIBLE: Maybe it would be better to say  
13 lost \$50 billion in wagers.

14 COMMISSIONER LANNI: That's fine.

15 COMMISSIONER BIBLE: Because they did wager more than  
16 they lost.

17 CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Lost.

18 COMMISSIONER WILHELM: As somebody pointed out, it's  
19 not "they" anymore, it's "the American people."

20 COMMISSIONER LANNI: So, in 1998 the American people  
21 lost --

22 COMMISSIONER MCCARTHY: Lost \$50 billion in wagers.

23 CHAIRPERSON JAMES: In Wagers. Do you have that?

24 COMMISSIONER BIBLE: Does that confuse the -- wages  
25 implies their salaries.

26 CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Wagers.

27 COMMISSIONER WILHELM: We have to add in there, I  
28 think, legal wagering.

29 COMMISSIONER LANNI: So, it should be, "In 1998 the

1 American people lost \$50 billion from wagering"?

2 CHAIRPERSON JAMES: From legal wagering.

3 COMMISSIONER LANNI: From legal wagering. Those  
4 changes are certainly acceptable to me.

5 COMMISSIONER BIBLE: And then it picks up with figures?

6 COMMISSIONER LANNI: "Figures which have increased  
7 every year for over two decades and often at double-digit rates."  
8 Yes.

9 COMMISSIONER BIBLE: A figure then?

10 CHAIRPERSON JAMES: A figure.

11 COMMISSIONER LANNI: A technical point that was just  
12 pointed out to me, which is that is not the number lost by the  
13 American people, it's lost by people in America, many of whom are  
14 not Americans. A lot of foreigners gamble here.

15 CHAIRPERSON JAMES: By people gambling in this country.

16 COMMISSIONER LANNI: People gambling in this country  
17 lost.

18 CHAIRPERSON JAMES: People gambling in this country.

19 Okay. Can we have a question? Call for questions.

20 All in favor?

21 Any opposed?

22 Okay.

23 COMMISSIONER LANNI: My next proposal is on page three  
24 of chapter -- lines 34 through 37, which currently read:

25 "The second most common fact about gambling,  
26 albeit one not commonly recognized, is the central  
27 role played by the government in the industry's  
28 growth and development. The story of gambling's  
29 expansion in America has, at large, followed a

1 script written by government decisions.

2 Influencing those decisions is the principle  
3 objective of most of the debates of this issue."

4 What this doesn't take into account, I'll read what I'm  
5 proposing, the fact that a number of states have voted by  
6 referendum or initiative to approve gambling in different forms.  
7 So, what my new language that I'm suggesting is that on the next  
8 page:

9 "The public has voted either by a statewide  
10 referendum and/or local option election for the  
11 establishment or continued operation of commercial  
12 gaming, casino gaming, excuse me, in nine of 11  
13 states where commercial casinos are permitted.  
14 Similarly, the public has approved state lotteries  
15 be on the ballot box in 27 of 38 instances where  
16 lotteries have been enacted. Whatever the case  
17 for the gambling, gaming -- or gambling is fine  
18 with me -- is introduced by popular referendum by  
19 the decision of elected officials, we must  
20 recognize the important role played by government  
21 in the industry's growth and development.  
22 Government decisions have influenced expansion of  
23 gambling in America. Influencing these decisions  
24 is the principle objective of most of the public  
25 debates of this issue."

26 CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Is there a second?

27 COMMISSIONER MCCARTHY: Second.

28 CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Discussion?

29 Points of clarification?

1 Give the Commissioners a minute to read it.

2 Okay. Are we ready for the discussion?

3 Oh, a call for question. All in favor of the language?

4 Any opposed?

5 Any abstentions?

6 COMMISSIONER LEONE: Can I ask a point of  
7 clarification?

8 CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Yes.

9 COMMISSIONER LEONE: Was any of the existing paragraph  
10 deleted?

11 CHAIRPERSON JAMES: If you look at --

12 COMMISSIONER LANNI: Beginning with the second ending  
13 with on this issue, which are picked up again in your  
14 clarification.

15 CHAIRPERSON JAMES: So, that would be deleted and this  
16 will be inserted.

17 The ayes have it. Okay.

18 COMMISSIONER LANNI: Page number -- I think this --  
19 okay, page number seven, lines 24 through 27. That's been dealt  
20 with already. Let me re-read that. Lines 24 and 27. It's -- if  
21 you look at the page that I have associated with it that you have  
22 in there -- oh, I know what I have here, sorry. If you come to  
23 the second full paragraph under, "Time for a pause", the last  
24 sentence reads, after the colon, it says:

25 "We unanimously believe it is time to consider a  
26 pause in the expansion of gambling."

27 I think our vote was five to four in that particular  
28 matter. Five to three in expansion?

29 COMMISSIONER MOORE: Five to four for moratorium.

1 CHAIRPERSON JAMES: I think that was more agreement on  
2 pause.

3 COMMISSIONER LANNI: I just didn't think it was  
4 unanimous, is my recollection.

5 COMMISSIONER MOORE: There was a moratorium that was a  
6 five to four vote --

7 COMMISSIONER LANNI: It's in there. That's in there.  
8 No, it passed. And the other one has the support of the majority,  
9 but it's not unanimous.

10 CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Do you want to take out --

11 COMMISSIONER LANNI: I merely ask that you drop the  
12 word "unanimous."

13 COMMISSIONER LEONE: I think if anybody has a right to  
14 --

15 COMMISSIONER LANNI: Thank you. Exactly. Any one of  
16 the nine of us. Do you feel that way unanimously?

17 CHAIRPERSON JAMES: We unanimously agree.

18 COMMISSIONER LANNI: That we have the right to do this.  
19 And then the next one is -- the modification has already been  
20 resolved. There's nothing else.

21 COMMISSIONER BIBLE: And I -- I have the last one on  
22 there, which is just a technical change before we first talk about  
23 the National Gambling Impact Study, identified as NGIC, which is  
24 how we identify it later on.

25 CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Thank you. That's a good change,  
26 that when we refer to the National Gambling Impact Study  
27 Commission the first time we put in parenthesis "NGISC" since we  
28 will be referring to it as that later in the document.

29 COMMISSIONER WILHELM: This would be an appropriate

1 place. I submitted a bunch of little things that are stylistic or  
2 technical or whatever. But there is one observation here that  
3 jars the reader, I believe. And it's just simply an editing  
4 matter, but there's a variety of approaches in the draft so far,  
5 and I'm sure it's because they've been drafted, you know, at  
6 different times by different people, to the question of what  
7 person reviews it. Sometimes it says "the NGISC believes."  
8 Sometimes it says, "we believe." Sometimes it says some other  
9 version of that. We just ought to conform that in the final  
10 product. We ought to use -- I'm comfortable with the first  
11 person, "we", but whatever it is we're going to use we should use  
12 it consistently.

13 COMMISSIONER MOORE: I think Doug should be empowered  
14 to go through the entire report and make it consistent from  
15 chapter to chapter.

16 CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Well, CSR is supposed to be doing  
17 some of that for us as well.

18 COMMISSIONER MOORE: Somebody should be doing that.  
19 The same problem with the VLTs or the -- yeah, so a consistent  
20 terminology is throughout.

21 CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Now, the process at this point,  
22 since we have been through that chapter, which one of you is  
23 leaving? Doug is leaving and he will go and input all of the  
24 changes that we have just made to that chapter so that by the end  
25 of the day we will have that final document with all of our edits.

26 COMMISSIONER LANNI: Now, can he do a redline version  
27 of that so we don't have to read the entire document?

28 COMMISSIONER SEAY: I'll try.

29 COMMISSIONER LANNI: There is an edit function up on

1 Word 97 that does it.

2 CHAIRPERSON JAMES: At best, Doug, even if for some  
3 technical reason you can't do that, once it's printed if you could  
4 just go in -- and I believe one of the Commissioners did that when  
5 they sent in edits, just number them -- one, two, three -- or  
6 underscore them in some way to show the edits that you've made.