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Data Highlights

National progress on the 26 core indicators is slightly better than the progress that was characterized in the 1996 Goals Report. National performance has improved significantly* in six areas:

- The proportion of infants born with one or more health risks has decreased (Goal 1 indicator).
- More 2-year-olds have been fully immunized against preventable childhood diseases (Goal 1 indicator).
- More families are reading and telling stories to their children on a regular basis (Goal 1 indicator).
- Mathematics achievement has improved among students in Grades 4, 8, and 12 (Goal 3 indicator).
- More students are receiving degrees in mathematics and science. This is true for females and minorities, as well as for all students (Goal 5 indicator).
- Incidents of threats and injuries to students at school have decreased (Goal 7 indicator).

In seven areas national performance has declined:

- Reading achievement at Grade 12 has declined (Goal 3 indicator).
- The percentage of secondary school teachers who hold a degree in their main teaching assignment has decreased (Goal 4 indicator).
- Fewer adults with a high school diploma or less are participating in adult education, compared to those who have postsecondary education (Goal 6 indicator).
- Student drug use has increased (Goal 7 indicator).
- Attempted sales of drugs at school have increased (Goal 7 indicator).
- Threats and injuries to public school teachers have increased (Goal 7 indicator).
- More teachers are reporting that disruptions in their classrooms interfere with their teaching (Goal 7 indicator).

* In this report, “significance” refers to statistical significance and indicates that the observed differences are not likely to have occurred by chance.
In seven areas no significant changes in national performance have occurred. Since the Goals were established, we have not:

- reduced the gap in preschool participation rates between high- and low-income families (Goal 1 indicator);
- improved the high school completion rate (Goal 2 indicator);
- improved reading achievement at Grades 4 and 8 (Goal 3 indicator);
- reduced the gap in college enrollment rates and college completion rates between White and minority students (Goal 6 indicators);
- reduced the percentage of students who report using alcohol (Goal 7 indicator);
- reduced student reports of classroom disruptions that interfere with their learning (Goal 7 indicator); or
- increased the percentage of parents who report being involved in activities in their child’s school (Goal 8 indicator).

Since baselines were established for the state** indicators, significant improvements have occurred in the following areas:

- Forty states reduced the percentage of infants born with one or more health risks (Goal 1 indicator).
- Fifty-four jurisdictions increased the number of mothers receiving prenatal care in the first trimester of pregnancy (Goal 1 indicator).
- The proportion of children with disabilities participating in preschool rose in 46 states (Goal 1 indicator).
- Twenty-seven jurisdictions increased the percentage of 8th graders scoring at the Proficient or Advanced levels on the NAEP mathematics assessment. In no jurisdiction did the percentage decrease (Goal 3 indicator).
- In all states but one, the proportion of Advanced Placement examinations receiving a grade of 3 or higher increased (Goal 3 indicator).
- Forty-seven jurisdictions increased the percentage of all students who received a degree in mathematics or science. In addition, 33 jurisdictions increased the percentage of minority students and 43 jurisdictions increased the percentage of female students who received a degree in mathematics or science (Goal 5 indicator).
- In nine out of 12 states, the percentage of students enrolling in postsecondary education increased (Goal 6 indicator).
- In 32 states, the percentage of U.S. citizens who voted increased (Goal 6 indicator).

In other areas, the news is not as encouraging:

- In 47 jurisdictions, the number of children born with low birthweight increased (Goal 1 indicator).
- In nine of 18 states, the high school dropout rate increased (Goal 2 indicator).

** The term “state” is used to refer to the 50 states and the District of Columbia. The term “jurisdiction” is used to refer to the 50 states, the District of Columbia, and the territories.
In 13 of 19 jurisdictions, the percentage of public high school students who reported using marijuana increased. In no jurisdiction did the percentage decrease (Goal 7 indicator).

In nine of 13 jurisdictions, more students reported being offered, sold, or given an illegal drug at school. No jurisdiction showed a decrease in the percentage of students who reported that drugs were available on school property (Goal 7 indicator).

In 37 states, more teachers reported that student disruptions in their classrooms interfered with their teaching (Goal 7 indicator).

Performance has not changed markedly over time in the following areas:

- Immunizations. Forty-five states showed no change in the proportion of 2-year-olds immunized (Goal 1 indicator).
- High School Completion. Thirty-eight states experienced no change in the percentage of 18- to 24-year-olds who held a high school diploma (Goal 2 indicator).
- 4th Grade Reading. In 37 jurisdictions, the percentage of 4th graders scoring at the Proficient or Advanced levels on the NAEP reading assessment did not change (Goal 3 indicator).
- 4th Grade Mathematics. In 32 jurisdictions, the percentage of 4th graders scoring at the Proficient or Advanced levels on the NAEP mathematics assessment did not change (Goal 3 indicator).
- Teacher Preparation and Professional Development. In more than 40 states, there was no change in the percentage of teachers who reported that they held a degree or held a teaching certificate in their main teaching assignment. In 33 states, no change was reported in the proportion of beginning public school teachers who participated in a formal teacher induction process (Goal 4 indicators).
- Registering to Vote. In 32 states, there was no change in the percentage of U.S. citizens registered to vote (Goal 6 indicator).
- Alcohol Consumption. Only one jurisdiction out of 20 had a decrease in the percentage of public school 10th graders who reported having 5 or more drinks in a row (Goal 7 indicator).
- Student Victimization. Of the 13 jurisdictions that reported data, none reduced the percentage of students who reported being threatened or injured with a weapon on school property (Goal 7 indicator).
- School Safety. Of the 14 jurisdictions reporting data, none reduced the percentage of students who reported that they did not feel safe at school (Goal 7 indicator).
- Fights and Carrying Weapons at School. No progress was made in any state in decreasing the percentage of students who reported participating in a physical fight on school property. In addition, in only two jurisdictions was there a decrease in the percentage of students who reported carrying weapons on school property (Goal 7 indicators).
- Parental Involvement. In more than 40 states, no change was reported in the level of parent involvement from either the teacher’s or principal’s perspective. Similarly, public school principals in 34 states reported that there was no increase in the influence the parent association in their school had on school policy (Goal 8 indicators).
Foreword

On behalf of the National Education Goals Panel, I am pleased to present the 1997 National Education Goals Report. This report is the seventh in a series designed to measure the amount of progress made by the nation and the states toward the eight National Education Goals.

This year’s Goals Report highlights student achievement in mathematics and science, two of the core academic subjects in which we expect all students to demonstrate competency. The promising news is that more of our students in Grades 4, 8, and 12 are considered proficient or advanced in mathematics than students were six years earlier. In addition, more of our college graduates are receiving degrees in mathematics and science. We attribute much of this success to the work that states and professional organizations have done to set rigorous academic standards for students.

But as gratifying as these improvements are, we know that more must be done if we hope to raise the mathematics and science skills of all our students to world-class levels. Although our 4th graders were outperformed in science only by Korea in a recent international assessment, the United States was slightly above the international average in 4th grade mathematics and 8th grade science, and below the international average in 8th grade mathematics. In fact, the mathematics scores of our very best 8th grade students were similar to the scores of only average students in Singapore. That is why the National Education Goals Panel proposes three steps in this year’s report to raise the achievement levels of our young people: set tougher standards that are comparable to the best in the world; align all components of the education system with the standards; and strengthen our teachers’ subject matter knowledge and teaching skills.

The National Education Goals Panel has always advocated challenging academic standards as a means of measuring and improving student achievement. As important as this is, more must be done if schools are to help students reach challenging standards. It is our belief that this Goals Report brings us closer to understanding how to get there.

Sincerely,

James B. Hunt, Jr., Chair (1997)
National Education Goals Panel,
and Governor of North Carolina
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Preface

Earlier this year, we spoke to policymakers, researchers, and parents from across the country about past Goals Reports. We wanted to know not only if they found the documents relevant and useful, but how we could make the Goals Report better. We asked them questions such as: What information did you use? Were the charts and graphs easy to interpret? Does the document communicate effectively? and, How can the document be improved?

Some of the most important feedback we received was that our audience wanted more explicit state comparisons. They wanted to know the range of state scores. They wanted to be able to compare one state’s results with another state’s results, and they wanted to understand a “top” state’s policies or programs that seem to be affecting their results. And they still wanted to know how a particular state was doing compared to its own baseline.

Our response to this feedback is in two parts — that which is contained in this printed document, and that which is accessible on our web site. We believe that they complement each other. In this Goals Report, you will find on each state page the range of state scores for each indicator, the median value for each indicator, and the U.S. performance (in those cases where the U.S. data are comparable to the state data). Users who would like to make additional comparisons across the states on the indicators can do so by accessing our web site at http://www.negp.gov. There you will be able to search by indicator and easily identify the “top” states. In addition, our web site will allow you to choose the states you wish to compare on various indicators. And in early 1998, our NEGP Monthly (which is accessible on our web site) will explore Goal-related policies and programs of top achieving states on several of the indicators described in this report.

It is our hope that these changes will make this document more useful to you. Please feel free to provide us with comments. A response form is included in the back of this report for that purpose.

We would like to thank those who participated in our focus groups for their time, energy, and ideas. Special thanks also go to members of our Working Group, especially members of the Reporting Committee: John Barth, Carol Hedges, G. Thomas Houlihan, Sherry Kaiman, Tim Kelly, Lynda McCulloch, Drew Petersen, Mary Rollefson, Alexander Russo, Patty Sullivan, Lisa Weil, Dwayne Williams, and Linda Wilson. The 1997 National Education Goals Report would not have been possible without their dedication and assistance.

Ken Nelson
Executive Director
National Education Goals Panel
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Goal 1: Ready to Learn

By the year 2000, all children in America will start school ready to learn.

Objectives:

- All children will have access to high-quality and developmentally appropriate preschool programs that help prepare children for school.
- Every parent in the United States will be a child’s first teacher and devote time each day to helping such parent’s preschool child learn, and parents will have access to the training and support parents need.
- Children will receive the nutrition, physical activity experiences, and health care needed to arrive at school with healthy minds and bodies, and to maintain the mental alertness necessary to be prepared to learn, and the number of low-birthweight babies will be significantly reduced through enhanced prenatal health systems.

Goal 2: School Completion

By the year 2000, the high school graduation rate will increase to at least 90 percent.

Objectives:

- The Nation must dramatically reduce its school dropout rate, and 75 percent of the students who do drop out will successfully complete a high school degree or its equivalent.
- The gap in high school graduation rates between American students from minority backgrounds and their non-minority counterparts will be eliminated.
Goal 3: Student Achievement and Citizenship

By the year 2000, all students will leave grades 4, 8, and 12 having demonstrated competency over challenging subject matter including English, mathematics, science, foreign languages, civics and government, economics, arts, history, and geography, and every school in America will ensure that all students learn to use their minds well, so they may be prepared for responsible citizenship, further learning, and productive employment in our Nation’s modern economy.

Objectives:

- The academic performance of all students at the elementary and secondary level will increase significantly in every quartile, and the distribution of minority students in each quartile will more closely reflect the student population as a whole.
- The percentage of all students who demonstrate the ability to reason, solve problems, apply knowledge, and write and communicate effectively will increase substantially.
- All students will be involved in activities that promote and demonstrate good citizenship, good health, community service, and personal responsibility.
- All students will have access to physical education and health education to ensure they are healthy and fit.
- The percentage of all students who are competent in more than one language will substantially increase.
- All students will be knowledgeable about the diverse cultural heritage of this Nation and about the world community.

Goal 4: Teacher Education and Professional Development

By the year 2000, the Nation’s teaching force will have access to programs for the continued improvement of their professional skills and the opportunity to acquire the knowledge and skills needed to instruct and prepare all American students for the next century.

Objectives:

- All teachers will have access to preservice teacher education and continuing professional development activities that will provide such teachers with the knowledge and skills needed to teach to an increasingly diverse student population with a variety of educational, social, and health needs.
- All teachers will have continuing opportunities to acquire additional knowledge and skills needed to teach challenging subject matter and to use emerging new methods, forms of assessment, and technologies.
- States and school districts will create integrated strategies to attract, recruit, prepare, retrain, and support the continued professional development of teachers, administrators, and other educators, so that there is a highly talented work force of professional educators to teach challenging subject matter.
Partnerships will be established, whenever possible, among local educational agencies, institutions of higher education, parents, and local labor, business, and professional associations to provide and support programs for the professional development of educators.

**Goal 5: Mathematics and Science**

*By the year 2000, United States students will be first in the world in mathematics and science achievement.*

**Objectives:**

- Mathematics and science education, including the metric system of measurement, will be strengthened throughout the system, especially in the early grades.
- The number of teachers with a substantive background in mathematics and science, including the metric system of measurement, will increase by 50 percent.
- The number of United States undergraduate and graduate students, especially women and minorities, who complete degrees in mathematics, science, and engineering will increase significantly.

**Goal 6: Adult Literacy and Lifelong Learning**

*By the year 2000, every adult American will be literate and will possess the knowledge and skills necessary to compete in a global economy and exercise the rights and responsibilities of citizenship.*

**Objectives:**

- Every major American business will be involved in strengthening the connection between education and work.
- All workers will have the opportunity to acquire the knowledge and skills, from basic to highly technical, needed to adapt to emerging new technologies, work methods, and markets through public and private educational, vocational, technical, workplace, or other programs.
- The number of quality programs, including those at libraries, that are designed to serve more effectively the needs of the growing number of part-time and midcareer students will increase substantially.
- The proportion of the qualified students, especially minorities, who enter college, who complete at least two years, and who complete their degree programs will increase substantially.
- The proportion of college graduates who demonstrate an advanced ability to think critically, communicate effectively, and solve problems will increase substantially.
- Schools, in implementing comprehensive parent involvement programs, will offer more adult literacy, parent training and lifelong learning opportunities to improve the ties between home and school, and enhance parents’ work and home lives.
Goal 7: Safe, Disciplined, and Alcohol- and Drug-free Schools

By the year 2000, every school in the United States will be free of drugs, violence, and the unauthorized presence of firearms and alcohol and will offer a disciplined environment conducive to learning.

Objectives:

- Every school will implement a firm and fair policy on use, possession, and distribution of drugs and alcohol.
- Parents, businesses, governmental and community organizations will work together to ensure the rights of students to study in a safe and secure environment that is free of drugs and crime, and that schools provide a healthy environment and are a safe haven for all children.
- Every local educational agency will develop and implement a policy to ensure that all schools are free of violence and the unauthorized presence of weapons.
- Every local educational agency will develop a sequential, comprehensive kindergarten through twelfth grade drug and alcohol prevention education program.
- Drug and alcohol curriculum should be taught as an integral part of sequential, comprehensive health education.
- Community-based teams should be organized to provide students and teachers with needed support.
- Every school should work to eliminate sexual harassment.

Goal 8: Parental Participation

By the year 2000, every school will promote partnerships that will increase parental involvement and participation in promoting the social, emotional, and academic growth of children.

Objectives:

- Every State will develop policies to assist local schools and local educational agencies to establish programs for increasing partnerships that respond to the varying needs of parents and the home, including parents of children who are disadvantaged or bilingual, or parents of children with disabilities.
- Every school will actively engage parents and families in a partnership which supports the academic work of children at home and shared educational decisionmaking at school.
- Parents and families will help to ensure that schools are adequately supported and will hold schools and teachers to high standards of accountability.
Chapter 1: Mathematics and Science Achievement for the 21st Century

This summer marked one of the most miraculous scientific achievements in the history of the United States. On July 4, 1997, a team of U.S. engineers and scientists succeeded in landing a spacecraft on the surface of Mars for the first mobile exploration of another planet. The team members at mission control nervously held their breath to see whether 17-foot airbags would provide sufficient cushion for the spacecraft during an innovative landing that had never before been attempted. The airbags worked. The landing was precise. And out rolled a robot named Sojourner, the size of a microwave oven, designed to explore and transmit images of the Martian surface to scientists in California, 119 million miles away.

The success of the Pathfinder expedition has captured the American public's interest in science in a way that has not been seen since the 1969 Apollo moon landing. Some say that the Pathfinder scientists themselves deserve much of the credit for generating public interest by wearing 3-D glasses during news conferences and giving the Martian rocks names like Yogi, Barnacle Bill, and Scooby-Doo. They made science fun, and their excitement was apparently contagious. Twenty-five World Wide Web sites set up by NASA to broadcast the images relayed from Mars recorded 220 million hits the first five days. During the weekend following Pathfinder's landing, 7,000 people a day were reported to have visited the Planetary Society's “Planetfest” about Mars in downtown Pasadena. And Mattel sold out 1,500 toy models of the Pathfinder spacecraft and its Sojourner rover in less than an hour at a stand set up at NASA's Pasadena campus.

With the success of the Pathfinder mission, the American public can rest assured that our position as a world leader in aeronautics remains secure. But how does the United States compare in other scientific, mathematical, and technological fields? Are we a leader? And will we still be a leader fifty years from now, given our students' current levels of skill and training?

In the early 1980's, business leaders warned that U.S. students' mathematics and science skills were so low in comparison to other nations that the very economic stability of the U.S. was in question. In 1983, the United States was dubbed “a nation at risk.” Experts cautioned that unless students' mathematics and science skills quickly improved, the nation could expect a rapid decline in the pool of workers who had the technological skills necessary to keep the U.S. globally competitive. Singapore had become a world leader in the microchip industry. Japan and Korea were building cheaper, more energy-efficient automobiles. Germany and Taiwan were approaching the United States in total exports. Increasing the strength of U.S. students' mathematics and science skills was considered so vital to our national interest that the President and the nation's Governors agreed in 1990 that one of the nation's top education goals should be to increase mathematics and
science skills dramatically by the end of the century. The goal was to be more than competitive — the goal was to be first in the world:

**Goal 5: Mathematics and Science**

By the year 2000, United States students will be first in the world in mathematics and science achievement.

Since then, voluntary nationwide standards have been developed by subject area experts to identify what all students should know and be able to do in mathematics and science. Scores of states and local school districts have followed suit. As we approach the year 2000, the American public is eager to know what progress is being made. How far is the U.S. from being a world leader in mathematics and science? What will it take to get us where we want to be?

**International comparisons of mathematics and science achievement**

Assessments conducted over the past thirty years have shown U.S. performance to be extremely weak in both mathematics and science. In 1990, only 12-15% of 4th, 8th, and 12th graders were considered proficient in mathematics on a nationally administered U.S. achievement test, the National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP). The following year, U.S. students also fared poorly on the International Assessment of Educational Progress (IAEP), which assessed mathematics and science abilities of 13-year-olds in 20 countries and 9-year-olds in 14 countries. U.S. 13-year-olds' average mathematics and science scores were significantly lower than those of students in Korea, Taiwan, the Soviet Union, Hungary, France, Canada, Switzerland, Israel, and Slovenia.

Even when comparisons were limited to a subset of nations that compared only comprehensive student populations, the results were not much better. Results based on 10 countries for 9-year-olds and 14 countries for 13-year-olds revealed that although U.S. 9-year-olds ranked third in science, 13-year-olds were second to last. In mathematics, U.S. 9-year-olds were also second to last, while U.S. 13-year-olds were rock-bottom.

Has our lackluster performance improved over time? Results from a recent international study are just beginning to answer that question. In 1995, the most comprehensive international study of mathematics and science achievement to date was conducted, the Third International Mathematics and Science Study, or TIMSS. TIMSS tested half a million students in 41 countries in 30 different languages. Participating countries included some of the United States' chief economic competitors and trading partners, such as Japan, Germany, Canada, Korea, Singapore, and Hong Kong.
Three age groups were tested in the participating countries, corresponding roughly to Grades 4, 8, and 12 in the United States. Twenty-six nations took part in the mathematics and science assessments at Grade 4, while all 41 participated at Grade 8. Both public and private schools participated, and the same students were tested in both mathematics and science. TIMSS drew random samples of virtually all students in the participating countries, not just those enrolled in mathematics and science courses. Nearly all countries in TIMSS accomplished high participation rates, and did not exempt large portions of their student bodies from testing.*

An international curriculum analysis was conducted prior to test development to ensure that the test items reflected what was covered in the mathematics and science courses taught in the participating countries and did not overemphasize what was taught in only a few. In mathematics, six content areas were tested at Grades 4 and 8 (see Figure 1). In science, four content areas were tested at Grade 4, and five content areas were tested at Grade 8 (see Figure 2). The assessments required one and one-half hours to complete, and included both multiple-choice and open-ended questions at each grade (see examples in Figure 3).

TIMSS used multiple approaches to provide a context for the assessment results, since education policies, practices, and attitudes were likely to differ among the participating countries. In addition to the student assessments, TIMSS collected information through questionnaires administered to teachers, students, and school administrators; comparisons of mathematics and science curriculum guides and textbooks; videotapes of mathematics instruction in 8th grade classrooms in the United States, Japan, and Germany; and detailed case studies of education policies in the same three countries. To date, results have been released for 4th graders and 8th graders, with 12th graders’ results scheduled for release in 1998. A linking study designed to compare the mathematics and science performance of individual states on NAEP with participating TIMSS countries is also under way.

How did we do?

Overall, the international standing of U.S. 4th graders was stronger than that of U.S. 8th graders in both mathematics and science. And at both grade levels, the international standing of U.S. students was better in science than it was in mathematics. At both grades, there was a mixture of good and bad news about U.S. student performance.

Figures 4-7 show how the U.S. performed in relation to each of the other TIMSS participants. The authors of the TIMSS studies caution that it would not be accurate to rank the countries strictly by their average scores. (It would be erroneous, for example, to conclude that the U.S. ranked 12th out of 26 in 4th grade mathematics.) This is because the

---

* A small number of countries deviated from strict international quality control requirements regarding random selection, participation rates, etc. Their results are marked in the TIMSS findings as a caution to the reader.
Figure 3
Sample TIMSS Items – Grade 4

Mathematics — Grade 4
*Measurement, Estimation, and Number Sense*

A thin wire 20 centimeters long is formed into a rectangle. If the width of this rectangle is 4 centimeters, what is its length?

- A. 5 centimeters
- ✔ B. 6 centimeters
- C. 12 centimeters
- D. 16 centimeters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Percentage of 4th graders who answered this item correctly</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>International Average</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Germany did not participate in TIMSS at Grade 4.

Science — Grade 4
*Physical Science*

The picture shows two forms of sugar — solid cubes and packets of loose crystals. One cube has the same mass of sugar as one packet.

Sugar Cubes  ![Sugar Cubes](image)

Loose Sugar  ![Loose Sugar](image)

Which of the two forms of sugar will dissolve faster in water? *Loose sugar*.
Give a reason for your answer.

The loose sugar is smaller, so it will dissolve faster.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Percentage of 4th graders who answered this item correctly</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>International Average</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Germany did not participate in TIMSS at Grade 4.

**Sources:**
Figure 3 (continued)
Sample TIMSS Items – Grade 8

Mathematics — Grade 8
Data Representation, Analysis, and Probability

Price of renting office space
The following two advertisements appeared in a newspaper in a country where the units of currency are zeds.

**BUILDING A**
Office space available
85 - 95 square meters
475 zeds per month
100 - 120 square meters
600 zeds per month

**BUILDING B**
Office space available
35 - 50 square meters
90 zeds per square meter per year

If a company is interested in renting an office of 110 square meters in that country for a year, at which office building, A or B, should they rent the office in order to get the lower price? Show your work.

\[
\text{Price of Renting in Building A} = 110 \times 600 = 66,000 \text{ zeds (year)}
\]

\[
\text{Price of Renting in Building B} = 110 \times 35 \times 90 = 36,450 \text{ zeds (year)}
\]

\[
\therefore 9600 < 36450
\]

They should rent the office of Building B in order to get the lower price.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Percentage of 8th graders who answered this item correctly</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>International Average</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Germany did not meet international age/grade specifications.

Science — Grade 8
Chemistry

Which is NOT an example of a chemical change?

- [✓] A. Boiling water
- B. Rusting iron
- C. Burning wood
- D. Baking bread

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Percentage of 8th graders who answered this item correctly</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>International Average</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Germany did not meet international age/grade specifications.


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Singapore</td>
<td>625</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Korea</td>
<td>611</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>597</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hong Kong</td>
<td>587</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Netherlands)</td>
<td>577</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Czech Republic</td>
<td>567</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Austria)</td>
<td>559</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Slovenia)</td>
<td>552</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Netherlands)</td>
<td>550</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Hungary)</td>
<td>548</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Australia)</td>
<td>546</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td>545</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>532</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Israel)</td>
<td>531</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Latvia [LSS])</td>
<td>529</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scotland°</td>
<td>525</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>England*°</td>
<td>520</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyprus, Norway</td>
<td>513</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td>502</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greece</td>
<td>499</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Thailand)</td>
<td>492</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portugal</td>
<td>490</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iceland</td>
<td>475</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iran, Islamic Republic</td>
<td>474</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Kuwait)</td>
<td>429</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Countries higher than the U.S.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Countries similar to the U.S.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Countries lower than the U.S.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes:
1. Nations not meeting international guidelines are shown in parentheses.
2. Nations in which more than 10% of the population was excluded from testing are shown with a °. Latvia is designated LSS because only Latvian-speaking schools were tested, which represents less than 65% of the population.
3. Nations in which a participation rate of 75% of the schools and students combined was achieved only after replacements for refusals were substituted, are shown with a °.
4. The international average is the average of the national averages of the 26 nations.

# Figure 5
## Grade 4 - Science
### Average Scores of Nations Participating In TIMSS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Korea</td>
<td>597</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>574</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>565</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>562</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>557</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>England*°</td>
<td>551</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>549</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Singapore</td>
<td>547</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Slovenia)</td>
<td>546</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ireland</td>
<td>539</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scotland*</td>
<td>536</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hong Kong</td>
<td>533</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Hungary)</td>
<td>532</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td>531</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norway</td>
<td>530</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>International Average</strong> →</td>
<td>524</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Latvia [LSS])</td>
<td>512</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Israel), Iceland</td>
<td>505</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greece</td>
<td>497</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portugal</td>
<td>480</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyprus</td>
<td>475</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Thailand)</td>
<td>473</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iran, Islamic Republic</td>
<td>416</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Kuwait)</td>
<td>401</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States, (Austria)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Australia)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Netherlands), Czech Republic</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Notes:
1. Nations not meeting international guidelines are shown in parentheses.
2. Nations in which more than 10% of the population was excluded from testing are shown with a *. Latvia is designated LSS because only Latvian-speaking schools were tested, which represents less than 65% of the population.
3. Nations in which a participation rate of 75% of the schools and students combined was achieved only after replacements for refusals were substituted, are shown with a °.
4. The international average is the average of the national averages of the 26 nations.

Figure 6
Grade 8 - Mathematics
Average Scores of Nations Participating In TIMSS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Singapore</td>
<td>643</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Korea</td>
<td>607</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>605</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hong Kong</td>
<td>588</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belgium-Flemish</td>
<td>565</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Czech Republic</td>
<td>564</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slovak Republic</td>
<td>547</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Switzerland</td>
<td>545</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Netherlands), (Slovenia)</td>
<td>541</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Bulgaria)</td>
<td>540</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Austria)</td>
<td>539</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>France</td>
<td>538</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hungary</td>
<td>537</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russian Federation</td>
<td>535</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Australia)</td>
<td>530</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ireland, Canada</td>
<td>527</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Belgium-French)</td>
<td>522</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>519</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Thailand), (Israel)*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International Average</td>
<td>513</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Germany)*°</td>
<td>509</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td>508</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>England*°</td>
<td>506</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norway</td>
<td>503</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Denmark)</td>
<td>502</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States*°</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Scotland)</td>
<td>498</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latvia [LSS]°</td>
<td>493</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spain, Iceland</td>
<td>487</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Greece)</td>
<td>484</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Romania)</td>
<td>482</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lithuania*</td>
<td>477</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyprus</td>
<td>474</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portugal</td>
<td>454</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iran, Islamic Republic</td>
<td>428</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Kuwait)</td>
<td>392</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Colombia)</td>
<td>385</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(South Africa)</td>
<td>354</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes:
1. Nations not meeting international guidelines are shown in parentheses.
2. Nations in which more than 10% of the population was excluded from testing are shown with a °. Latvia is designated LSS because only Latvian-speaking schools were tested, which represents less than 65% of the population.
3. Nations in which a participation rate of 75% of the schools and students combined was achieved only after replacements for refusals were substituted, are shown with a °.
4. The international average is the average of the national averages of the 41 nations.
5. The country average for Sweden may appear to be out of place; however, statistically, its placement is correct.

## Figure 7
### Grade 8 - Science
### Average Scores of Nations Participating In TIMSS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Singapore</td>
<td>607</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Czech Republic</td>
<td>574</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>571</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Korea, (Bulgaria)</td>
<td>565</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Netherlands), (Slovenia)</td>
<td>560</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Austria)</td>
<td>558</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hungary</td>
<td>554</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>England**</td>
<td>552</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belgium-Flemish*</td>
<td>550</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Australia)</td>
<td>545</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slovak Republic</td>
<td>544</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russian Federation, Ireland</td>
<td>538</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>535</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States*</td>
<td>534</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Germany),** Canada</td>
<td>531</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norway</td>
<td>527</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Zealand, (Thailand)</td>
<td>525</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Israel)*</td>
<td>524</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hong Kong, Switzerland*</td>
<td>522</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Scotland)</td>
<td>517</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>517</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International Average →</td>
<td>516</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>France</td>
<td>498</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Greece)</td>
<td>497</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iceland</td>
<td>494</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Romania)</td>
<td>486</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latvia [LSS]°</td>
<td>485</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portugal</td>
<td>480</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Denmark)</td>
<td>478</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lithuania*</td>
<td>476</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Belgium-French)</td>
<td>471</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iran, Islamic Republic</td>
<td>470</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyprus</td>
<td>463</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Kuwait)</td>
<td>430</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Colombia)</td>
<td>411</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(South Africa)</td>
<td>326</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Notes:
1. Nations not meeting international guidelines are shown in parentheses.
2. Nations in which more than 10% of the population was excluded from testing are shown with a *. Latvia is designated LSS because only Latvian-speaking schools were tested, which represents less than 65% of the population.
3. Nations in which a participation rate of 75% of the schools and students combined was achieved only after replacements for refusals were substituted, are shown with a °.
4. The international average is the average of the national averages of the 41 nations.
5. The country average for Scotland (or Spain) may appear to be out of place; however, statistically, its placement is correct.

### Source:
scores represent samples of students, and not entire student populations. All samples contain a small amount of measurement error and are only estimates of the range within which a nation’s true score would fall. The estimates would be slightly higher or slightly lower if a different sample of students were chosen for testing. Therefore, it is more appropriate to talk about TIMSS participants’ performance in terms of clusters of countries which performed significantly higher than, significantly lower than, or not significantly different from a particular country.**

### Summary of Grade 4 results

Only one country, Korea, outperformed the U.S. in science at Grade 4. In both mathematics and science, U.S. 4th graders’ scores were above the international average. In mathematics, they scored higher than 12 countries, lower than 7, and not significantly different from 6. In science, they scored higher than 19 countries, lower than 1, and not significantly different from 5. With the exception of Japanese scores in mathematics, U.S. students’ performance was comparable to or higher than that of students in other Group of Seven, or G-7 nations, which are our major trading partners (i.e., Canada, England, Japan).

U.S. 4th graders outperformed their peers in both subjects in 9 of the other 25 participating countries (Cyprus, England, Greece, Iceland, Islamic Republic of Iran, New Zealand, Norway, Portugal, and Scotland). Only Korea outperformed the U.S. in both mathematics and science at Grade 4.

The U.S. scored above the international average in 5 out of 6 mathematics content areas (whole numbers; fractions and proportionality; data representation, analysis, and probability; geometry; and patterns, relations, and functions) and below the international average in one content area (measurement, estimation, and number sense). The U.S. scored above the international average in all four science content areas at Grade 4 (earth science; life science; environment and the nature of science; and physical science).

If an international talent search were conducted in science to select the top 10% of all students in the participating countries combined, 16% of U.S. 4th graders would be included. No country had a significantly greater percentage of students who met this target. In mathematics, 9% of U.S. 4th graders would be included among the top 10% worldwide. However, this share is substantially lower than the 39% of students from Singapore, 26% of students from Korea, and 23% of students from Japan who would be selected.

---

** In this report, “significance” refers to statistical significance and indicates that the observed differences are not likely to have occurred by chance.

---

### Highlights

**Grade 4**

- Only one country, Korea, outperformed U.S. 4th graders in science.
- U.S. scores were above the international average in both mathematics and science.
- U.S. 4th graders outperformed their peers in 12 out of 25 countries in mathematics, and in 19 out of 25 countries in science.
- If an international talent search were conducted in science to select the top 10% of all students in the participating countries combined, 16% of U.S. 4th graders would be included. No country had a significantly greater percentage of students who met this target.
- If a similar talent search were conducted in mathematics, 9% of U.S. 4th graders would be included among the top 10% worldwide. However, this share is substantially lower than the 39% of students from Singapore, 26% of students from Korea, and 23% of students from Japan who would be selected.

26% of students from Korea, and 23% of students from Japan who would rank among the top 10% worldwide.

U.S. boys and girls performed similarly in mathematics at Grade 4, but girls scored significantly lower in science. This was true for the content areas of earth science and physical science, as well as the overall science score.

Summary of Grade 8 results

U.S. 8th graders scored above the international average in science, but below the international average in mathematics. At Grade 8, the mathematics scores of the very best U.S. students were similar to the scores of only average students in Singapore.

In mathematics, U.S. 8th graders scored higher than 7 countries, lower than 20, and not significantly different from 13. In science, they scored higher than 15 countries, lower than 9, and not significantly different from 16. When compared to our chief economic partners, the U.S. is in the bottom half in mathematics and around the middle in science. There was no significant difference in mathematics or science scores between U.S. boys and girls at Grade 8.

U.S. 8th graders outperformed their peers in both mathematics and science in 4 of the other 40 participating countries (Cyprus, Iran, Lithuania, and Portugal). However, 5 nations outperformed the U.S. in both subjects (Singapore, Korea, Japan, Czech Republic, and Hungary).

The U.S. scored at about the international average in 3 out of 6 mathematics content areas (algebra; data representation, analysis, and probability; and fractions and number sense), and below the international average in the remaining 3 areas (geometry, measurement; and proportionality).

The U.S. scored above the international average in 3 out of 5 science content areas (earth science, life science, and environment and the nature of science) and at about the international average in the remaining two (chemistry and physics).

If an international talent search were conducted in science to select the top 10% of all students in the participating countries combined, 13% of U.S. 8th graders would be included. However, only 5% of U.S. 8th graders would be included among the top 10% worldwide who were tested in mathematics. This compares to 45% of students from Singapore and 32% of students from Japan.
International Differences in Curriculum, Instruction, and Teacher Training

- The content covered in 8th-grade mathematics classes in the United States is generally covered in the 7th grade in other countries. What is most likely to be taught to U.S. 8th graders is “general mathematics,” or arithmetic (fractions, decimals, computational skills, etc.). Only one in four U.S. 8th graders takes algebra.
- The topics covered in 8th-grade mathematics classes in the United States are less focused than the topics covered in Germany and Japan.
- Mathematics classes in U.S. 8th-grade classrooms require less high-level thought than classes in Germany and Japan.
- While most U.S. mathematics teachers are aware of education reforms that have been recommended by mathematics experts, they exhibit many of these teaching behaviors less frequently than Japanese teachers.
- U.S. mathematics and science teachers have more college education than teachers in nearly all other participating TIMSS countries. However, U.S. teachers are less likely than German and Japanese teachers to receive beneficial training and support at the beginning of their teaching careers through apprenticeship programs.


What explains the international differences in student achievement?

Two puzzling patterns emerge from the TIMSS findings:
1. U.S. students perform better in science than in mathematics.
2. Our international standing declines between Grades 4 and 8 in both mathematics and science.

How do we explain these findings? What causes our students to score higher in science than in mathematics? And what happens in U.S. schools between Grades 4 and 8 that accounts for our slip in performance? What are the highest-performing countries doing to prepare their students that we are not? Preliminary evidence from TIMSS suggests that although the answers to these questions are not simple, two factors that we can do something about are very important in helping explain these findings: what is taught, and how it is taught.

At the 4th grade level, TIMSS researchers have not yet found strong evidence of any particular factors that contribute heavily to differences in performance among the participating countries. However, at the 8th grade level, we can draw stronger conclusions about differences in performance, since sources of data included videotapes of selected mathematics classrooms and case studies of education policies, as well as background questionnaires. The multiple sources of information revealed several key differences among countries in terms of curriculum, instruction, and teacher training:

Curriculum
- What is taught in U.S. mathematics classes at Grade 8 — the curriculum — is less advanced and less focused than the curricula of other TIMSS countries.

Instruction
- While most U.S. mathematics teachers are aware of education reforms that have been recommended by mathematics experts, they exhibit many of these teaching behaviors less frequently than Japanese teachers.

Teacher training
- Beginning teachers in the U.S. are less likely than those in Germany and Japan to receive regular support and practical training
through apprenticeships and other kinds of opportunities to interact with, and learn from, more experienced teachers.

**Curriculum**

TIMSS findings are consistent with what we already know about curriculum and assessment. That is, students do not perform well if they are tested on subject matter that they have not been taught. Nowhere is this more clearly demonstrated than in 8th grade mathematics. In both Germany and Japan, all 8th graders enroll in mathematics classes with a heavy emphasis on algebra and geometry. In the U.S., 8th graders are generally grouped by ability into different levels of mathematics classes. What is most likely to be taught to U.S. 8th graders is “general mathematics,” or arithmetic (fractions, decimals, computational skills, etc.). Only one in four U.S. 8th graders takes algebra (see Figure 8). The percentage ranges from 10% in the lowest states to 53% in the highest states (see Figure 9). Geometry is almost never taught at Grade 8. In fact, the content covered in 8th-grade mathematics classes in the U.S. is generally covered in the 7th grade in other countries. Accordingly, U.S. mathematics textbooks cover less demanding content than German and Japanese textbooks, which devote more space to algebra and geometry.

In addition to being less challenging, the U.S. curriculum sacrifices depth for breadth. TIMSS researchers have characterized the U.S. curriculum as “a mile wide and an inch deep.” After carefully reviewing the most common mathematics and science textbooks used in different countries, researchers concluded that the U.S. curriculum covers too many topics superficially, and does not allow students sufficient time to develop in-depth understanding of...
Figure 9
Percentage of public school 8th graders' enrolled in algebra, 1996

1 The following states either did not participate in the 1996 NAEP mathematics assessment or they did not meet guidelines for public school participation in Grade 8: Idaho, Illinois, Kansas, Nevada, New Hampshire, New Jersey, Ohio, Oklahoma, Pennsylvania, and South Dakota.

mathematics and science concepts. This weakness is reflected in classroom practice. Videotapes of mathematics classrooms revealed that U.S. mathematics lessons typically attempt to cover more topics and include more activities than lessons in Germany and Japan.  

Instruction  

For years, U.S. mathematics experts have argued that teachers must change the way they teach mathematics if we expect student achievement to improve. In 1989, the National Council of Teachers of Mathematics (NCTM) became the first group of education experts in the U.S. to develop new voluntary nationwide standards which challenge conventional wisdom about what is taught in mathematics and how it is taught. The NCTM standards call for far more rigorous content so that all students will achieve at higher levels in mathematics. They also place heavy emphasis on developing problem-solving, communication, and reasoning skills.

When TIMSS researchers asked U.S. mathematics teachers whether they were aware of current ideas about mathematics teaching and learning, 95% said that they were. And when TIMSS researchers asked teachers whose mathematics lessons were videotaped whether their lessons reflected current thinking about mathematics teaching and learning, almost 75% said that they did.  

Yet the U.S. teachers seldom focused on mathematical thinking and problem-solving during their videotaped lessons. Experts who analyzed the sequencing of material and the complexity of reasoning required of students in a random sample of lesson transcripts from the United States, Germany, and Japan concluded that U.S. lessons required less high-level mathematical thought than those in the other two countries (see Figure 10). Nearly one-third of the Japanese lessons and nearly one-fourth of the German lessons were judged to be of high quality, compared to none of the U.S. lessons. In fact, the lowest rating for lesson quality was given to 87% of U.S. lessons, 40% of German lessons, and only 13% of Japanese lessons.

Teacher training  

Encouraging news from TIMSS is that U.S. mathematics and science teachers have more
years of university training than teachers in nearly all other participating TIMSS countries. However, U.S. teachers differ from their colleagues in Germany and Japan in the amount of formal and informal training and support that they receive once they finish their university degrees. Compared to teachers in these two countries, beginning U.S. teachers are less likely to participate in formal apprenticeship programs as they enter the teaching profession. Such apprenticeships typically pair new teachers with expert mentor teachers who can assist and support them during a structured initial period of on-the-job training, in which their teaching load is reduced. While this practice does occur in some U.S. school districts, it is not universal. In addition, TIMSS found that U.S. teachers teach more classes per week and have fewer informal opportunities to learn from other teachers than teachers in Japan do.

Although U.S. teachers have spent more time in college than teachers in most other participating TIMSS countries, this does not ensure that teachers have adequate subject-matter knowledge in the field which they are actually assigned to teach. Out-of-field teaching, a practice in which teachers are assigned to teach courses outside their area of specialization, is not uncommon in the United States. It is particularly prevalent at the secondary level and in the fields of mathematics and science. During 1993-1994, nearly one in three public high school mathematics teachers (32%) did not have even a minor in mathematics; nearly one in four public high school science teachers (22%) did not have even a minor in science. In mathematics, the percentage ranged from 12% to 57% in individual states (see Figure 11). In science, the percentage ranged from 6% to 39% in individual states (see Figure 12). Chances were even greater that a student would be assigned an out-of-field teacher if he or she attended a high-poverty school.

The bottom line

We can draw three important conclusions from the recent TIMSS findings:

1. While our performance in 4th grade science shows that the Goal of being first in the world in mathematics and science is attainable, other areas show that we are far from being a world leader.

   U.S. students scored above the international average in science at Grades 4 and 8, and in mathematics at Grade 4. But is this good enough? Do we want our children to be merely above average, or do we want them to excel?

2. We will not reach the Goal if we do not expect more from our students.

   Preliminary evidence suggests that neither our textbooks nor the content of our mathematics and science classes is sufficiently challenging. We demand less high-level thought from our students than other countries do, and our instruction is less focused. Instead of a central set of knowledge and skills that we expect all students to know and be able to do, our teachers are trying to cover too many topics, resulting in only superficial understanding.

3. We will not reach the Goal if we do not create the conditions that will enable our teachers to teach well.

   We permit untrained teachers in our children’s classrooms through a variety of policies, such as granting waivers, issuing emergency credentials, and allowing out-of-field teaching. We
Figure 11
Percentage of public secondary teachers\(^1\) who taught one or more mathematics classes without at least a minor in mathematics, 1993-1994

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Iowa</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missouri</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minnesota</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Dakota</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indiana</td>
<td>22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Hampshire</td>
<td>22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Connecticut</td>
<td>23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nebraska</td>
<td>23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alabama</td>
<td>24%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montana</td>
<td>24%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New York</td>
<td>24%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texas</td>
<td>24%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Georgia</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Dakota</td>
<td>27%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wyoming</td>
<td>27%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Florida</td>
<td>28%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illinois</td>
<td>29%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maryland</td>
<td>29%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mississippi</td>
<td>29%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Carolina</td>
<td>29%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kansas</td>
<td>31%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kentucky</td>
<td>31%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oklahoma</td>
<td>31%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S.</td>
<td>32%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Virginia</td>
<td>32%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arkansas</td>
<td>33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Carolina</td>
<td>33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ohio</td>
<td>33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wisconsin</td>
<td>33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nevada</td>
<td>34%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utah</td>
<td>34%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virginia</td>
<td>34%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michigan</td>
<td>35%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Mexico</td>
<td>36%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maine</td>
<td>36%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Jersey</td>
<td>37%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colorado</td>
<td>38%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Massachusetts</td>
<td>38%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tennessee</td>
<td>39%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arizona</td>
<td>43%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Idaho</td>
<td>43%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Louisiana</td>
<td>46%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hawaii</td>
<td>48%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oregon</td>
<td>49%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California</td>
<td>54%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delaware</td>
<td>54%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washington</td>
<td>56%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alaska</td>
<td>57%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^1\) Too few cases for a reliable estimate for the District of Columbia, Rhode Island, and Vermont.

Figure 12
Percentage of public secondary teachers¹ who taught one or more science classes without at least a minor in science, 1993-1994

¹ Too few cases for a reliable estimate for Delaware, the District of Columbia, Hawaii, Nevada, New Hampshire, Rhode Island, and Vermont.

do not provide the kinds of practical training and support for our teachers that other countries provide. Despite the fact that most U.S. teachers are aware of current education reforms, too few are translating them into practice. It should come as no surprise that lesson quality and student achievement are both lower than desirable.

What should we do about it?

The National Education Goals Panel firmly believes that we can and must address these deficiencies. But policymakers, educators, business leaders, parents, and the public must work together to do three things if we want to raise mathematics and science achievement to world-class levels.

Step 1. Set tougher standards for students in mathematics and science that are comparable to the best in the world.

By now all states but one have been actively engaged in the process of setting more challenging standards for their students. Twenty-eight of the nation’s largest urban districts also recently reported that they were in the process of developing or adopting their own standards. Researchers caution, however, that “although it is clear that most states have been actively working on their standards, it should not yet be taken for granted that the standards are uniformly of high quality across the states... in some states the standards are clear and readable, but in others they lack internal coherence, are poorly formatted, are susceptible to misinterpretation, or are otherwise of lower quality.”

It should also not be taken for granted that current state and local standards are as challenging as those set in other countries. While it is fairly common to find that states have reviewed standards and assessments developed by other states to see how theirs compare, few states have attempted any type of international comparisons. Only 12 states report that they actually examined standards, tests, or curricular materials from other countries when designing their own standards. And those states that did attempt to review materials from other countries were generally limited to information from English-speaking countries, since translated materials were not readily available.

Until recently, there was no single place where states and local communities could turn for help to see whether they had set their standards high enough, what they could learn from the experience of others, or how their standards compared to the best in the world. This year an independent, nongovernmental organization, “Achieve,” was created by Governors and business leaders to provide this type of assistance to states and communities.

Achieve is in the process of establishing a benchmarking service to help state leaders evaluate their standards against those of high-performing states and nations. For further information about this and other services of Achieve, see its Website (www.achieve.org) or write to Achieve, 1280 Massachusetts Avenue, Suite 410, Cambridge, MA 02138 or call (617) 496-6300.

Step 2. Align other components of the education system with the standards, including curricula, instruction, textbooks, assessments, and school policies.

Setting higher expectations is a necessary, but not sufficient, step to increase student achievement. Once a state or a community has agreed upon its standards, other components of the education system will very likely need some fine-tuning so that they are not working at cross-purposes.

For example, courses that require minimal student effort will need to be replaced with higher-level mathematics and science courses that prepare all students to meet the standards. New curriculum frameworks may need to be written to translate the essential concepts that all students are expected to know into sample lessons and practical classroom activities that teachers can use in their classrooms. States may need to review teacher licensure policies, and teachers already in the workforce may require additional training to learn new content, use new technology, or implement a wider variety of effective instructional approaches. Textbooks may need to be replaced with other instructional materials that help teachers focus lessons on a limited number of topics, develop them in depth, and link them in coherent ways to other disciplines. Assessment systems may need to be revised so that tests actually measure whether or not students have mastered the skills and knowledge specified in the standards. And graduation requirements may have to be changed to
U.S. students can be the best in the world when we give them a curriculum that is focused and coherent and that is delivered by teachers well trained in the content being offered at that level.

make standards count, so that diplomas are awarded on the basis of what students have learned, not simply the number of hours spent in school.

Will these kinds of reforms actually lead to world-class levels of mathematics and science achievement? Evidence from Minnesota suggests that they will. Minnesota participated as a “mini-nation” in the 1995 TIMSS assessment, testing nearly 5,000 students with the same mathematics and science assessments administered in the participating TIMSS countries.26 By drawing a large, state-representative sample of students for testing, Minnesotans can now compare their students’ performance directly to the average mathematics and science scores of students in the United States and in the other participating TIMSS countries.

What they found is very instructive. Only one country, Singapore, outperformed Minnesota 8th graders in science. And in earth science, Minnesota tied Singapore for the highest score. Mathematics was a different story, however. Although Minnesota is consistently one of the highest performing states in mathematics on NAEP, Minnesotans found that best in the U.S. is not the same as best in the world. Although Minnesota 8th graders scored above the U.S. average and above the international average in mathematics, their performance placed them in the middle of the participating TIMSS countries. As was the case for the U.S. as a whole, “eighth grade mathematics in Minnesota is seventh grade mathematics by international standards.”27

Why was the relative standing of Minnesotan’s 8th graders so markedly different in mathematics and science? The answer can be traced to differences in standards for what students should know and be able to do and the alignment of other education components with them. In Minnesota, there is statewide agreement that 8th grade science instruction should focus on earth science. Tracking is seldom used in science to separate students of different abilities. Eighth grade science teachers receive special training in earth science, limit the number of topics covered during the school year to four, and cover each topic in depth. Most teachers use the same or similar textbooks, supplemented with inquiry-oriented science kits and other appropriate materials.

In mathematics, however, there is no corresponding statewide consensus on what students should know and be able to do by the end of 8th grade in mathematics. More than half of the schools in Minnesota sort students by ability into three to five levels of mathematics classes. Algebra and pre-algebra are reserved for the highest achievers, while the rest are enrolled in general mathematics courses that limit their opportunities to learn rigorous content. Compared to science courses in Minnesota, mathematics courses attempt to cover far more topics than would seem desirable (an average of 3.5 per lesson). And although Minnesota mathematics teachers are better trained in their field than their colleagues in other countries and most are familiar with current mathematics reforms, they rarely engage students in activities recommended by mathematics experts, such as conducting investigations and working on projects. Like U.S. teachers in general, Minnesota mathematics teachers have limited opportunities to observe other teachers and meet with them to plan lessons or discuss mathematics.

The resulting differences in international standing in 8th grade mathematics and science show that “U.S. students can be the best in the world when we give them a curriculum that is focused and coherent and that is delivered by teachers well trained in the content being offered at that level. [But] even the same students who performed as the world’s best in earth science do not do well in mathematics when they are given a mathematics curriculum that is a ‘mile wide and an inch deep.”

Step 3. Strengthen teachers’ subject matter knowledge and teaching skills in mathematics and science and move state teacher policies more in line with instructional goals embedded in state standards.

The highest standards, the most rigorous courses, the most focused curricula and textbooks, and the most challenging assessments will still fail to raise U.S. mathematics and science achievement to world-class levels unless we also strengthen the preparation and ongoing professional development of our teachers. Research consistently shows that teacher expertise is one of the most important factors in raising student achievement.29 One of the most extensive analyses of data on teachers found that differences in expertise (as measured by college degrees, years of teaching experience, and scores on teacher licensing examinations) accounted for nearly 40% of the differences
in student test scores — more than any other factor, including parent education, family income, and other socioeconomic characteristics. Moreover, a review of 60 studies found that investing in support for teacher expertise was found to be the most cost-effective way to increase student achievement.

Research also consistently shows that the quality of teacher training matters enormously. It comes as no surprise that teachers who are trained in both their subject area and in teaching skills and who are fully certified are rated more highly and are more successful at raising student achievement than teachers with inadequate preparation.

Yet alarming numbers of individuals are hired and assigned to teach in our schools without the credentials, training, and in-depth subject matter knowledge required to be an expert teacher. This situation is allowed to occur because there is enormous variation in state policies on teacher licensing and standards for accreditation of teacher training institutions, as well as requirements for hiring and assigning teachers to classrooms. Some states require a bachelor's degree in the subject to be taught, while others require less than a minor. Some states require extensive assessments of teachers' subject matter knowledge and teaching skills, while others test only basic reading, writing, and mathematics. Most states do not require their teacher training institutions to be professionally accredited by the National Council for Accreditation of Teacher Education. And four out of five states allow temporary or emergency teaching licenses to be granted to individuals who have not met state standards. As pointed out by the National Commission on Teaching & America's Future,

> Although no state will allow a person to fix plumbing, guard swimming pools, style hair, write wills, design a building, or practice medicine without completing training and passing an examination, more than 40 states allow school districts to hire teachers on emergency licenses who have not met these basic requirements. States pay more attention to the qualifications of veterinarians treating the nation's cats and dogs than to those of teachers educating the nation's children and youth.

The National Commission on Teaching & America’s Future has proposed five recommendations to improve and professionalize teaching:

1. **Get serious about standards, for both students and teachers.** There must be agreement on what teachers should know and be able to do in order to help students meet higher academic standards. To that end, the Commission proposes strategies such as requiring that all teacher training institutions be professionally accredited, and that teacher licensing be based on demonstrated performance, including tests of subject matter knowledge and teaching knowledge and skill.

2. **Reinvent teacher preparation and professional development.** Among the proposed strategies are organizing teacher education and professional development around standards, and creating and funding mentoring programs for all beginning teachers.

3. **Fix teacher recruitment and put qualified teachers in every classroom.** To ensure that students in all districts, not just wealthy ones, are taught by well-trained teachers, the Commission urges states and local school districts to implement strategies that will increase the ability of low-wealth districts to pay for qualified teachers, insist that districts hire only qualified teachers, and aggressively recruit high-need...
teachers and provide incentives for teaching in shortage areas.

4. Encourage and reward teacher knowledge and skill. The Commission encourages states and districts to develop strategies to reward teachers for strengthening their skills at every stage of their careers, including setting goals and enacting incentives for experienced teachers to seek advanced certification through the National Board for Professional Teaching Standards.

5. Create schools that are organized for student and teacher success. Ways in which this can be done include investing more in teachers and technology and less in nonteaching personnel, and providing grants to schools for teacher learning linked to school improvement.

Some states have already implemented these kinds of education reforms as part of their efforts to raise student achievement. Connecticut and North Carolina have developed some of the most comprehensive approaches. Connecticut raised minimum salaries for beginning teachers, set tougher standards for teacher licensing, created new performance-based examinations, implemented a mentoring program for beginning teachers, invested in training for the mentor teachers, and required teachers to earn a master’s degree in education to obtain a continuing license. Connecticut also provided grants to universities to redesign teacher education programs and eliminated permanent teaching licenses, requiring instead that teachers continue to earn credits for coursework or other forms of professional development for relicensure.

North Carolina’s approach included raising minimum salaries, requiring all schools of education to be accredited, implementing a mentoring program for beginning teachers, recruiting prospective teachers to enter teacher preparation programs by offering financial support for their training, creating professional development academies, and offering veteran teachers an array of incentives to encourage them to seek advanced certification from the National Board for Professional Teaching Standards.

Did these investments yield tangible results? The evidence suggests that they did. There are more teachers in North Carolina who are Board-certified than in any other state. And North Carolina students have made some of the largest gains in the nation in reading and mathematics since teacher reforms were implemented. Connecticut students also made substantial gains and continue to score among the top states in the U.S. in reading and mathematics, despite an increase in poverty in the state. And Connecticut now has teacher surpluses instead of teacher shortages.

Conclusions

Is the United States first in the world in mathematics and science achievement? Not yet. But we have reason to believe that we can be. Although we are not where we need to be in mathematics, or in science at the later grades, the U.S. was among the top nations in the world in 4th grade science, outperformed only by Korea. Moreover, the TIMSS results for Minnesota show that when 8th graders were presented a focused, coherent science curriculum taught by well-trained teachers, they were outperformed only by Singapore in science. These results suggest that with concentrated effort, the U.S. could dramatically improve students’ mathematics and science skills. But it will require increased attention to the academic standards to which we hold ourselves; the alignment of those standards with curriculum, instruction, textbooks, assessments, and school policies; and the preparation, ongoing training, and support that we provide to our nation’s mathematics and science teachers.

The students we train now will be the doctors, engineers, mathematicians, chemists, and computer scientists of the 21st century. Some may very well be the rocket scientists who are in charge of future missions to Mars. While there is no doubt that America needs students entering these professions to have excellent mathematics and science skills, increasing evidence suggests that the vast majority of jobs in the 21st century will require higher levels of mathematical and technical skills in order for workers to be successful. This means that we must expect more of all of our students, not just those planning to attend college or major in mathematics or science. Only then can we be assured that the technological expertise and the mathematics and science skills of the students we train now will be sufficient to meet the challenges of the 21st century.
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Chapter 1 of this report described in detail where the United States stands with respect to the fifth National Education Goal, mathematics and science achievement. This chapter addresses national progress across all eight Goals, as measured by 26 core indicators. National progress on the 26 core indicators is slightly better than the progress that was characterized in the 1996 Goals Report. National performance has improved significantly* in six areas:

- The proportion of infants born with one or more health risks has decreased (Goal 1 indicator).
- More 2-year-olds have been fully immunized against preventable childhood diseases (Goal 1 indicator).
- More families are reading and telling stories to their children on a regular basis (Goal 1 indicator).
- Mathematics achievement has improved among students in Grades 4, 8, and 12 (Goal 3 indicator).
- More students are receiving degrees in mathematics and science. This is true for females and minorities, as well as for all students (Goal 5 indicator).
- Incidents of threats and injuries to students at school have decreased (Goal 7 indicator).

In seven areas national performance has declined:

- Reading achievement at Grade 12 has declined (Goal 3 indicator).
- The percentage of secondary school teachers who hold a degree in their main teaching assignment has decreased (Goal 4 indicator).
- Fewer adults with a high school diploma or less are participating in adult education, compared to those who have postsecondary education (Goal 6 indicator).
- Student drug use has increased (Goal 7 indicator).
- Attempted sales of drugs at school have increased (Goal 7 indicator).
- Threats and injuries to public school teachers have increased (Goal 7 indicator).
- More teachers are reporting that disruptions in their classrooms interfere with their teaching (Goal 7 indicator).

In seven areas no significant changes in national performance have occurred. Since the Goals were established, we have not:

- reduced the gap in preschool participation rates between high- and low-income families (Goal 1 indicator);

* In this report, “significance” refers to statistical significance and indicates that the observed differences are not likely to have occurred by chance.
• improved the high school completion rate (Goal 2 indicator);
• improved reading achievement at Grades 4 and 8 (Goal 3 indicator);
• reduced the gap in college enrollment rates and college completion rates between White and minority students (Goal 6 indicators);
• reduced the percentage of students who report using alcohol (Goal 7 indicator);
• reduced student reports of classroom disruptions that interfere with their learning (Goal 7 indicator); or
• increased the percentage of parents who report being involved in activities in their child’s school (Goal 8 indicator).

In addition, there are still a number of areas where we do not yet have a second data point to determine whether performance has improved or declined. For example:

• We do not know whether more students are competent in writing, science, history, and geography.
• We do not know whether more teachers are participating in professional development programs.
• We cannot be certain whether our performance on international mathematics and science assessments has improved.
• We cannot report whether more adults are able to perform complex literacy tasks that will enable them to compete successfully in a global economy.

• We cannot tell whether more schools are reporting that parents are attending parent-teacher conferences or that parent input is considered when making school policy decisions.

In seven areas no significant changes in national performance have occurred.

The 1997 U.S. scorecard, which summarizes national progress on the 26 core indicators, begins on page 30.

Exhibits for each of the 26 indicators begin on page 35.

Progress on Filling in the Data Gaps — The Past, the Present, and Future Challenges

The National Education Goals Panel is committed to providing the nation and each state with the most recent information with which to monitor progress toward the Goals. However, some states still lack comparable data for a few indicators, which constrains the Panel’s ability to provide full progress reports for those states. In addition, as was the case at the national level, in some areas we cannot determine whether state-level performance has improved or fallen further behind, because at present a second data point does not exist to compare against our baseline performance.

The Past

Inability to measure educational progress in some areas has long been a concern of the Goals Panel. The Panel has regularly turned to expert advice and recommendations for the best ways to measure progress toward the Goals, even when such data were not currently available or would require new data collections. In 1995, the Panel created a Data and Reporting Task Force. The purpose of this Task Force was to identify and recommend strategies for filling data gaps — strategies that would make creative use of existing data collections, plan smaller follow-up studies to original surveys, and extend existing national data collections to the state level.

In 1996, the Panel developed a Strategic Plan for Data Collection based on the recommendations of the Task Force. This plan consisted of three steps: set data priorities (at both the national and state levels); inform state officials of actions they can take to help fill some of the data gaps; and explore the possibility of reporting individual states’ data in separate publications.

The Present

Where have these efforts brought us? What have we learned?

Data Priorities

In late summer of 1996, the Goals Panel met with the Commissioner of the National Center for Education Statistics (NCES) to discuss the
Panel’s data priorities. The Panel indicated the need for:

- an additional national and state-level National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) mathematics assessment before the year 2000;
- an additional state-level NAEP reading assessment; and
- a second national and state-level NAEP science assessment.

Lower priorities included:

- a household survey at the national and state levels to measure progress on several indicators;
- a small-scale version of the National Adult Literacy Survey that would allow for state participation; and
- national NAEP assessments in economics and foreign languages.

Since that meeting, the Goals Panel has learned that NCES plans to conduct a variety of surveys and assessments in the coming years to meet these identified needs, including:

- national and state-level assessments in mathematics and science (2000), and in reading (1998);
- a household survey at the national level to measure family-child reading and storytelling, preschool participation, adult education participation, and parental involvement (1999);
- a small-scale version of the Third International Mathematics and Science Study (1998-1999); and
- an additional adult literacy survey (2002).

National and state-level data collection schedules can be found in Appendix A.

State Activities
Last year, the Panel requested that all Governors and chief state school officers participate in three data collections to help fill some of the data gaps. The Panel called upon states to participate voluntarily in NAEP (which would provide information on student achievement); comply with the uniform definition of “dropout” in NCES’ Common Core of Data (CCD); and participate voluntarily in the Youth Risk Behavior Survey (YRBS) conducted by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (which would provide information on indicators such as drug use and safety). Progress has been made in all three areas.

NAEP is the key indicator that the Panel uses to monitor progress toward Goal 3, student achievement and citizenship. In 1988, Congress added a new dimension to NAEP by authorizing voluntary participation of public schools in state-level assessments. In the first administration in 1990, 40 states and territories participated in the mathematics assessment. During the most recent mathematics assessment in 1996, 45 states and territories participated. In addition, 45 states and territories participated in the first science assessment in 1996.

To monitor progress toward Goal 2 at the state level, the Panel uses the dropout statistic from the CCD. The CCD provides basic data on all schools and school districts in the nation through state administrative record systems, using a common set of definitions and reporting metrics that can be used by all states. Although all states participate in the CCD surveys, some states do not submit data for every item requested or use the common definition. The 1991-1992 school year was the first for which states reported school district level data on the numbers and types of dropouts. For that year, 13 states and the District of Columbia reported data that met the CCD uniform definition of “dropout.” Among the states that reported dropouts for the 1993-1994 school year, 24 states and the District of Columbia adhered to the standard definition and collection procedures. These data are presented in this report.

To monitor progress toward Goal 7 at the state level, the Panel uses data from the YRBS, a component of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention’s Youth Risk Behavior Surveillance System — a system designed to measure priority health risk behaviors that contribute to the leading causes of mortality, morbidity, and social problems among youth. The first
From the start, measuring Goal 1 — the readiness goal — has proven to be difficult. No direct measure currently exists to tell us the proportion of the nation’s children who are ready to learn when they start school. Instead, the Panel reports annually on the progress of the nation and the states at meeting the health, family, and preschool objectives associated with Goal 1.

The Goals Panel recognized that it was important to build consensus about what it means for a child to be ready to learn when he/she starts school. Based on the advice of its Goal 1 advisory group — a group of early childhood experts, university officials, and state officials — the Goals Panel adopted a broad definition of readiness which entails five dimensions of children’s early learning and development: health and physical development; emotional well-being and social competence; approaches to learning; communication skills; and cognition and general knowledge.

The National Center for Education Statistics will soon conduct an Early Childhood Longitudinal Study (ECLS) which builds on these five dimensions. The study will collect data on a national sample of young children to describe (1) children’s status when they enter school; (2) their transition into school; and (3) their progress through fifth grade. Data will be collected directly from children, their parents/guardians, teachers, and schools, and will address the five dimensions of children’s early learning and development.

In 1994, the U.S. Congress charged the Goals Panel to support its Goal 1 advisory group to: “Create clear guidelines regarding the nature, functions, and uses of early childhood assessments, including assessment formats that are appropriate for use in culturally and linguistically diverse communities, based on model elements of school readiness.” The Goal 1 advisory group will soon report their recommendations and principles for early childhood assessments to the Panel. This work builds upon a 1996 survey of state and local practices in the assessment of young children and recent developments in these assessments.

There is still much work to be done to fill in the data gaps, especially at the state level.

survey was administered in 1991, from which we report data for 10 states and territories. Data for 25 states and territories from the 1995 survey are included in this year’s report.

Future Challenges

Although these accomplishments represent a great deal of progress, there is still much work that needs to be done, especially at the state level. For instance, we still do not have comparable state data on three national core indicators: family-child reading and storytelling, preschool participation, and adult education participation. And although more states are participating in the NAEP and YRBS collections and complying with the uniform definition of “dropout” in the CCD, projections of state participation rates in future administrations of these data collections do not indicate an increase in state participation.

To fill these gaps in data, the Goals Panel will continue to encourage individual states to participate in key data collections, and will continue to work with NCES and other data providers to offer our users a more comprehensive look at national and state progress toward the Goals.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1. <strong>Children's Health Index:</strong> Has the U.S. reduced the percentage of infants born with 1 or more health risks? (1990, 1995)</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Update</th>
<th>Progress?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>37%</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td>▲</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| 6. **Reading Achievement:** Has the U.S. increased the percentage of students who meet the Goals Panel's performance standard in reading? (1992, 1994) |
|---|---|---|---|
| Grade 4 | 28% | 30%* | ↔ |
| Grade 8 | 29% | 30%* | ↔ |
| Grade 12 | 40% | 36% | ↓ |

| 10. **History Achievement:** Has the U.S. increased the percentage of students who meet the Goals Panel's performance standard in U.S. history? (1994) |
|---|---|---|---|
| Grade 4 | 17% | — | |
| Grade 8 | 14% | — | |
| Grade 12 | 11% | — | |

1. Data in this column represent our starting points. Baselines were established as close as possible to 1990, the year that the National Education Goals were adopted.

2. Data in this column represent our current level of performance and are the most recent data available.

3. Progress represents progress from the baseline year to the most recent update year.

4. Progress is shown by an arrow. Arrows which point upward indicate that we have made progress. Arrows which point downward indicate that we have fallen further behind. Horizontal arrows indicate that performance has not changed or that the change was not statistically significant.

5. The source of the data and any technical notes for each national core indicator are referenced by this number in Appendix B.

6. The date(s) in parentheses indicates the year(s) in which data were collected for the national core indicator. If there are two dates, the first indicates the baseline year and the second indicates the most recent year in which data were collected.

7. *ns* means that a change from the baseline year to the most recent year was not statistically significant.

8. — means data not available. See Appendix A.
1. **Children’s Health Index**: Has the U.S. reduced the percentage of infants born with 1 or more health risks? (1990, 1995)
   - Baseline: 37%
   - Update: 34%
   - Change: ↑
   
   Late or no prenatal care, low maternal weight gain, smoking during pregnancy, and drinking alcohol during pregnancy—the four health risks that are measured by the Children’s Health Index—can directly affect newborns’ physical health.

2. **Immunizations**: Has the U.S. increased the percentage of 2-year-olds who have been fully immunized against preventable childhood diseases? (1994, 1996)
   - Baseline: 75%
   - Update: 78%
   - Change: ↑
   
   One of the most important preventive actions parents can take to see that their children receive the health care needed to arrive at school with healthy minds and bodies is to make certain that they are fully immunized against preventable childhood diseases.

3. **Family-Child Reading and Storytelling**: Has the U.S. increased the percentage of 3- to 5-year-olds whose parents read to them or tell them stories regularly? (1993, 1996)
   - Baseline: 66%
   - Update: 72%
   - Change: ↑
   
   Early, regular reading to children is one of the most important activities parents can do with their children to improve their readiness for school, serve as their child’s first teachers, and instill a love of books and reading.

4. **Preschool Participation**: Has the U.S. reduced the gap in preschool participation between 3- to 5-year-olds from high- and low-income families? (1991, 1996)
   - Baseline: 28 points
   - Update: 29 points
   - Change: ➞
   
   High-quality preschool programs can accelerate the development of all children, and poor children in particular. However, children from low-income families are the least likely to attend early care and education programs.

5. **High School Completion**: Has the U.S. increased the percentage of 18- to 24-year-olds who have a high school credential? (1990, 1996)
   - Baseline: 86%
   - Update: 86%
   - Change: ➞
   
   While possession of a high school diploma no longer guarantees easy access to jobs, lack of a diploma or its equivalent almost certainly means that an individual will experience difficulty entering the labor market and will be at pronounced educational, social, and economic disadvantages throughout his or her life.

6. **Reading Achievement**: Has the U.S. increased the percentage of students who meet the Goals Panel’s performance standard in reading? (1992, 1994)
   - Grade 4: 29% → 30%
   - Grade 8: 29% → 30%
   - Grade 12: 40% → 36%
   - Change: ➞

---
>Data not available. See Appendix A. Interpreted with caution. Change was not statistically significant. See page 29 for a Guide to Reading the U.S. Pages. See Appendix B for technical notes and sources.
### GOAL 3: Student Achievement and Citizenship (continued)

7. **Writing Achievement:** Has the U.S. increased the percentage of students who can produce basic, extended, developed, or elaborated responses to narrative writing tasks? (1992)
   - Grade 4: 55%
   - Grade 8: 78%
   - Grade 12: —

8. **Mathematics Achievement:** Has the U.S. increased the percentage of students who meet the Goals Panel's performance standard in mathematics? (1990, 1996)
   - Grade 4: 12%
   - Grade 8: 15%
   - Grade 12: 12%

9. **Science Achievement:** Has the U.S. increased the percentage of students who meet the Goals Panel's performance standard in science? (1996)
   - Grade 4: 29%
   - Grade 8: 29%
   - Grade 12: 21%

10. **History Achievement:** Has the U.S. increased the percentage of students who meet the Goals Panel's performance standard in U.S. history? (1994)
    - Grade 4: 17%
    - Grade 8: 14%
    - Grade 12: 11%

11. **Geography Achievement:** Has the U.S. increased the percentage of students who meet the Goals Panel's performance standard in geography? (1994)
    - Grade 4: 22%
    - Grade 8: 28%
    - Grade 12: 27%

### GOAL 4: Teacher Education and Professional Development

12. **Teacher Preparation:** Has the U.S. increased the percentage of secondary school teachers who hold an undergraduate or graduate degree in their main teaching assignment? (1991, 1994)
    - Baseline: 66%
    - Update: 63%

   Teachers who are trained in both their subject area and in teaching skills and who are fully certified are more successful at raising student achievement than teachers with inadequate preparation.

13. **Teacher Professional Development:** Has the U.S. increased the percentage of teachers reporting that they participated in professional development programs on 1 or more topics since the end of the previous school year? (1994)
    - Baseline: 85%
    - Update: —

   Investing in professional development is one of the most cost-effective ways to raise student achievement. Professional development is most effective when it is connected to what teachers do in their classrooms, and when it focuses on instructional content, how students learn, and how best to teach.
UNITED STATES

GOAL 5  Mathematics and Science

If the United States is to ensure a competitive workforce which possesses the necessary scientific and technological skills to fill the jobs of the future and compete in a global economy, we must develop the mathematics and science skills of all of our students, not simply the very best.

   • Grade 4 7 out of 25 countries scored above the U.S.
   • Grade 8 20 out of 40 countries scored above the U.S.
   • Grade 12

   • Grade 4 1 out of 25 countries scored above the U.S.
   • Grade 8 9 out of 40 countries scored above the U.S.
   • Grade 12

16. Mathematics and Science Degrees: Has the U.S. increased mathematics and science degrees as a percentage of all degrees awarded to: (1991, 1995)
   • all students? 39% 42%
   • minorities (Blacks, Hispanics, American Indians/Alaskan Natives)? 39% 40%
   • females? 35% 37%

GOAL 6  Adult Literacy and Lifelong Learning

17. Adult Literacy: Has the U.S. increased the percentage of adults who score at or above Level 3 in prose literacy? (1992) 52% 

Individuals demonstrating higher levels of literacy are more likely to be employed, work more weeks in a year, and earn higher wages than individuals demonstrating low levels of literacy.

18. Participation in Adult Education: Has the U.S. reduced the gap in adult education participation between adults who have a high school diploma or less, and those who have additional postsecondary education or technical training? (1991, 1995) 27 points 32 points

Adults with a high school diploma or less need additional training the most in order to upgrade their current levels of skills and qualify for better jobs, but they tend to be among those least likely to participate in adult education.

19. Participation in Higher Education: Has the U.S. reduced the gap between White and Black high school graduates who:
   • enroll in college? (1990, 1995) 14 points 13 points\textsuperscript{ns}
   • complete a college degree? (1992, 1996) 16 points 19 points

Has the U.S. reduced the gap between White and Hispanic high school graduates who:
   • enroll in college? (1990, 1995) 11 points 14 points\textsuperscript{ns}
   • complete a college degree? (1992, 1996) 15 points 20 points

Adults who complete college degrees can expect substantially higher lifetime earnings than those who do not attend college or those who complete coursework without eventually earning a degree.
GOAL 7  Safe, Disciplined, and Alcohol- and Drug-free Schools

If the nation’s schools and communities cannot guarantee a safe haven free from violence, drugs and alcohol, and other disciplinary problems that interfere with teaching and learning, it is unlikely that any other attempts at education reform will lead to the higher levels of student performance that are addressed in the other Goals.

20. Overall Student Drug and Alcohol Use: Has the U.S. reduced the percentage of 10th graders reporting doing the following during the previous year:
   - using alcohol? (1993, 1996) 63% 65%ns

21. Sale of Drugs at School: Has the U.S. reduced the percentage of 10th graders reporting that someone offered to sell or give them an illegal drug at school during the previous year? (1992, 1996) 18% 32%

22. Student and Teacher Victimization: Has the U.S. reduced the percentage of students and teachers reporting that they were threatened or injured at school during the previous year?
   - 10th grade students (1991, 1996) 40% 36%
   - public school teachers (1991, 1994) 10% 15%

23. Disruptions in Class by Students: Has the U.S. reduced the percentage of students and teachers reporting that disruptions often interfere with teaching and learning?
   - 10th grade students (1992, 1996) 17% 16%ns
   - secondary school teachers (1991, 1994) 37% 46%

GOAL 8  Parental Participation

Successful partnerships between schools, families, and communities depend on schools to create effective programs to inform and involve all families in activities such as parent-teacher conferences, school meetings or events, volunteering in the classroom, and decision-making regarding school policy.

24. Schools’ Reports of Parent Attendance at Parent-Teacher Conferences: Has the U.S. increased the percentage of K-8 public schools which reported that more than half of their parents attended parent-teacher conferences during the school year? (1996) 78% —

25. Schools’ Reports of Parent Involvement in School Policy Decisions: Has the U.S. increased the percentage of K-8 public schools which reported that parent input is considered when making policy decisions in three or more areas? (1996) 41% —

26. Parents’ Reports of Their Involvement in School Activities: Has the U.S. increased the percentage of students in Grades 3-12 whose parents reported that they participated in two or more activities in their child’s school during the current school year? (1993, 1996) 63% 62%ns
Interpreting the Exhibits

The amount of accelerated progress that must be made if we expect to reach our targets is explicitly shown in 26 exhibits which follow. In order to interpret the graphs correctly, the reader should take note of the following:

1. Baseline measures of progress were established as close as possible to 1990, the year that the National Education Goals were adopted.

2. For some of the national core indicators, baselines could not be established until as late as 1996, either because data were not collected prior to that time, or because changes in survey questions or methodology yielded noncomparable data.

3. Most of the national indicators are not updated annually. Footnotes on each graph indicate when data will be collected again. (See also Appendix A for the national data collection schedule.)

4. Although this report includes the most recent data available, there is sometimes a lag of several years between the time that data are collected and the time that they are available for inclusion in the annual Goals Report. For example, the most recent birth certificate data available to construct the Children’s Health Index for this 1997 Goals Report were collected in 1995.

5. On each of the bar graphs, a path from the baseline to the target is represented by a grey shaded area behind the bars. The grey shaded areas indicate where we should try to push our performance each year if we expect to reach the Goal by the end of the decade. Since progress is seldom perfectly linear, we should expect some ups and downs from year to year. What is most important is whether performance is moving in the right direction and whether it is within, or is at least approaching, the grey shaded area.

6. The graphs themselves should be interpreted with caution. Data are based on representative national surveys, and changes in performance could be attributable to sampling error. The reader should consult the highlight box next to each graph to determine whether the change is statistically significant and we are confident that real change has occurred. Further information on sampling can be found in the technical notes in Appendix B.

7. Finally, the achievement levels, as presented in Exhibits 6, 8, 9, 10, and 11, represent a useful way of categorizing overall performance on NAEP. They are also consistent with the Panel’s efforts to report such performance against a high-criterion standard. However, both the National Assessment Governing Board and NCES regard the achievement levels as developmental; the reader of this report is advised to interpret the achievement level results with caution. Further information can be found in the technical notes in Appendix B.
Exhibit 1

Children’s Health Index

Percentage\(^1\) of infants born in the United States with 1 or more health risks\(^2\)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>100%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40%</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Percentages are based on the number of births used to calculate the health index, not the actual number of births. See technical notes in Appendix B.

2 Risks are late (in third trimester) or no prenatal care, low maternal weight gain (less than 21 pounds), mother smoked during pregnancy, or mother drank alcohol during pregnancy.

* Data for the Children’s Health Index will be collected annually through the year 2000.

Source: National Center for Health Statistics and Westat

This exhibit updates information presented in the 1996 Goals Report.

Table 1

Disparities (in percentage points) between White and minority infants born in the United States with 1 or more health risks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1990</th>
<th>1995</th>
<th>Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>American Indian/Alaskan Native</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>−1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>−3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This table updates information presented in the 1996 Goals Report.

The United States was successful in reducing the proportion of infants born with one or more health risks between 1990 and 1995, from 37% to 34%. This reduction represents a difference of at least 61,900 children who were born with a healthier start in life.

The United States was also successful in reducing disparities between White and minority infants born with one or more health risks. For example, in 1990, the gap between Black and White infants born with one or more health risks was 9 percentage points. In 1995, this disparity had decreased to 6 percentage points.
Seventy-five percent of all 2-year-olds were fully immunized against preventable childhood diseases in 1994. By 1996, the proportion had increased to 78%.

Exhibit 2
Immunizations
Percentage of 2-year-olds\(^1\) fully immunized against preventable childhood diseases\(^2\)

\[\text{Year Data Collected}^*\]

1. Children 19 to 35 months of age.
2. Four doses of diphtheria-tetanus-pertussis vaccine, three doses of polio vaccine, and one dose of measles or measles/mumps/rubella vaccine.

* Although data on immunizations were collected prior to 1994, the data collection method changed substantially for the 1994 data collection. Therefore, 1994 is established as the baseline year for immunizations. These data will be collected annually through the year 2000.

Source: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention and Abt Associates
This exhibit updates information presented in the 1996 Goals Report.
Exhibit 3
Family-Child Reading and Storytelling
Percentage of 3- to 5-year-olds\(^1\) whose parents\(^2\) read to them or tell them stories regularly\(^3\)

Only two-thirds of preschoolers were read to or told stories regularly in 1993. By 1996, the proportion had increased to 72%.

Source: National Center for Education Statistics and Westat
This exhibit repeats information presented in the 1996 Goals Report.

\(^1\) Excluding those enrolled in kindergarten.
\(^2\) Parent or another family member.
\(^3\) Response of “read to every day” or “told a story three or more times a week.”
Exhibit 4
Preschool Participation
Disparity (in percentage points) in preschool\(^1\) participation rates between 3- to 5-year-olds\(^2\) from high-income\(^3\) families and 3- to 5-year-olds from low-income\(^4\) families

\[
\begin{array}{c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c}
\hline
\text{Data Collected*} & \text{28} & \text{28} & \text{27} & \text{29} & \text{29} & \text{29} & \text{29} & \text{29} & \text{0} \\
\end{array}
\]

\(^{1}\) Includes nursery schools, prekindergarten programs, preschools, day care centers, and Head Start.
\(^{2}\) Excluding those enrolled in kindergarten.
\(^{3}\) High income is defined as family income of $50,000 or more.
\(^{4}\) Low income is defined as family income of $10,000 or less.
\(^{ns}\) Interpret with caution. Change from the baseline was not statistically significant.

* Data on preschool participation will be collected again in 1999.

Source: National Center for Education Statistics and Westat
This exhibit repeats information presented in the 1996 Goals Report.
Goal 2: School Completion

Exhibit 5
High School Completion
Percentage of 18- to 24-year-olds\(^1\) with a high school credential\(^2\)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>86%</td>
<td>85%</td>
<td>86%</td>
<td>86%</td>
<td>85%</td>
<td>86%</td>
<td>85%</td>
<td>86%</td>
<td>90%</td>
<td>86%</td>
<td>85%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^1\) Does not include those still enrolled in high school.
\(^2\) Includes traditional high school diploma and alternative credential.
* These data will be collected annually through the year 2000.

In 1990, 86% of 18- to 24-year-olds had completed a high school credential. By 1996, the overall completion rate had not increased. In 1996, the proportion of young adults who completed an alternative credential was twice as big as it was in 1990.

Table 2
Disparities (in percentage points) between White and minority 18- to 24-year-olds who completed a high school diploma or an alternative credential

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1990</th>
<th>1996</th>
<th>Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Black</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>+3(^{ns})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>-1(^{ns})</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^{ns}\) Interpret with caution. Change from the baseline was not statistically significant.

Disparities in high school completion rates between White and minority young adults did not improve between 1990 and 1996. For example, in 1990, the gap between Hispanic and White 18- to 24-year-olds who had a high school credential was 31 points. Six years later the gap had not decreased.

Source: Bureau of the Census, National Center for Education Statistics, and MPR Associates, Inc.
This exhibit updates information presented in the 1996 Goals Report.
In 1992, approximately three out of ten 4th and 8th graders and four out of ten 12th graders met the Goals Panel’s performance standard in reading. Reading achievement remained unchanged among 4th and 8th graders, and decreased significantly among 12th graders by 1994.

1 The Goals Panel’s performance standard is “mastery over challenging subject matter” as indicated by performance at the Proficient or Advanced levels on the National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP). These levels were established by the National Assessment Governing Board (NAGB) and reported by the National Center for Education Statistics (NCES) in NAEP publications. A more complete description of the performance standard can be found in Appendix B.

ns Interpret with caution. Change from the baseline was not statistically significant.

* Student achievement levels in reading were not established until 1992. Data on reading achievement will be collected again in 1998.
Table 3
GRADE 4 – READING
Disparities (in percentage points) between White and minority students who met the Goals Panel's performance standard in reading

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1992</th>
<th>1994</th>
<th>Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>American Indian/Alaskan Native</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>+2 ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>+1 ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>+5 ns</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Disparities (in percentage points) between males and females

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1992</th>
<th>1994</th>
<th>Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Females &gt; males</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>+1 ns</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

GRADE 8 – READING
Disparities (in percentage points) between White and minority students who met the Goals Panel's performance standard in reading

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1992</th>
<th>1994</th>
<th>Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>American Indian/Alaskan Native</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Disparities (in percentage points) between males and females

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1992</th>
<th>1994</th>
<th>Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Females &gt; males</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>+1 ns</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

GRADE 12 – READING
Disparities (in percentage points) between White and minority students who met the Goals Panel’s performance standard in reading

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1992</th>
<th>1994</th>
<th>Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>American Indian/Alaskan Native</td>
<td>— 1</td>
<td>23 2</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>+1 ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Disparities (in percentage points) between males and females

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1992</th>
<th>1994</th>
<th>Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Females &gt; males</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>+2 ns</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Disparities in reading performance between White and minority students did not improve between 1992 and 1994. For example, in 1992, the disparity between American Indian/Alaskan Native and White 4th graders who met the standard in reading was 17 percentage points. The gap had not decreased by 1994.

ns Interpret with caution. Change from the baseline was not statistically significant.
1 Sample size is insufficient to permit a reliable estimate.
2 Should be interpreted with caution, since sample size does not allow accurate estimate of sample variability.

This table repeats information presented in the 1996 Goals Report.
Exhibit 7
Writing Achievement
Percentage of students who can produce basic, extended, developed, or elaborated responses\(^1\) to narrative writing tasks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>%</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>%</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In 1992, over half of 4th graders and over three-fourths of 8th graders could produce basic, extended, developed, or elaborated responses to narrative writing tasks.

\(^1\) A more complete description of the six-level scale used to evaluate student writing can be found in Appendix B.

* Student achievement levels in writing have not been established. This information is from the NAEP Writing Portfolio Study, and there are no current plans to conduct another study again before the year 2000.

Source: National Center for Education Statistics
This exhibit repeats information presented in the 1996 Goals Report.
Exhibit 8  
Mathematics Achievement  
Percentage of students who met the Goals Panel’s performance standard in mathematics

**Goal 3: Student Achievement and Citizenship**

In 1990, only one out of every seven students in Grade 8, and only one out of every eight students in Grades 4 and 12, had met the Goals Panel’s performance standard in mathematics. By 1996, mathematics achievement had increased significantly in all three grades.

---

1  The Goals Panel’s performance standard is “mastery over challenging subject matter” as indicated by performance at the Proficient or Advanced levels on the National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP). These levels were established by the National Assessment Governing Board (NAGB) and reported by the National Center for Education Statistics (NCES) in NAEP publications. A more complete description of the performance standard can be found in Appendix B.

* Data on mathematics achievement will be collected again in 2000.

Source: National Center for Education Statistics
This exhibit updates information presented in the 1996 Goals Report.
### Grade 4 – Mathematics

Disparities (in percentage points) between White and minority students who met the Goals Panel's performance standard in mathematics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1990</th>
<th>1996</th>
<th>Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>American Indian/Alaskan Native</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>+9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>+8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>+9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Disparities (in percentage points) between males and females

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1990</th>
<th>1996</th>
<th>Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Females &lt; males</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>+4 ns</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Grade 8 – Mathematics

Disparities (in percentage points) between White and minority students who met the Goals Panel's performance standard in mathematics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1990</th>
<th>1996</th>
<th>Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>American Indian/Alaskan Native</td>
<td>13(^1)</td>
<td>18(^1)</td>
<td>+5 ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>+13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>+8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Disparities (in percentage points) between males and females

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1990</th>
<th>1996</th>
<th>Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Females &lt; males</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-1 ns</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Grade 12 – Mathematics

Disparities (in percentage points) between White and minority students who met the Goals Panel's performance standard in mathematics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1990</th>
<th>1996</th>
<th>Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>American Indian/Alaskan Native</td>
<td>—2</td>
<td>17(^1)</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>+4 ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>+4 ns</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Disparities (in percentage points) between males and females

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1990</th>
<th>1996</th>
<th>Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Females &lt; males</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-2 ns</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^{ns}\) Interpret with caution. Change from the baseline was not statistically significant.

\(^{1}\) Statistical tests involving this value should be interpreted with caution. Standard error estimates may not be accurately determined and/or the sampling distribution of the statistic does not match statistical test assumptions.

\(^{2}\) Sample size is insufficient to permit a reliable estimate.

This table updates information presented in the 1996 Goals Report.
Exhibit 9  
Science Achievement  
Percentage of students who met the Goals Panel’s performance standard\(^1\) in science

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade 4</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1990</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1991</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1992</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1993</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1994</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1995</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1996</td>
<td>29%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1997</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1998</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1999</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade 8</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1990</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1991</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1992</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1993</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1994</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1995</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1996</td>
<td>29%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1997</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1998</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1999</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade 12</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1990</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1991</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1992</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1993</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1994</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1995</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1996</td>
<td>21%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1997</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1998</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1999</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^1\) The Goals Panel’s performance standard is “mastery over challenging subject matter” as indicated by performance at the Proficient or Advanced levels on the National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP). These levels were established by the National Assessment Governing Board (NAGB). A more complete description of the performance standard can be found in Appendix B.

* Student achievement levels in science were not established until 1996. Data on science achievement will be collected again in 2000.

In 1996, one out of every five students in Grade 12, and nearly one out of every three students in Grades 4 and 8, met the Goals Panel’s performance standard in science.

Source: National Assessment Governing Board
Table 5

**GRADE 4 – SCIENCE**
Disparities (in percentage points) between White and minority students who met the Goals Panel’s performance standard in science

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1996</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>American Indian/Alaskan Native</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Disparities (in percentage points) between males and females**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1996</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Females &lt; males</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**GRADE 8 – SCIENCE**
Disparities (in percentage points) between White and minority students who met the Goals Panel’s performance standard in science

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1996</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>American Indian/Alaskan Native</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Disparities (in percentage points) between males and females**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1996</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Females &lt; males</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**GRADE 12 – SCIENCE**
Disparities (in percentage points) between White and minority students who met the Goals Panel’s performance standard in science

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1996</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>American Indian/Alaskan Native</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Disparities (in percentage points) between males and females**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1996</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Females &lt; males</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Exhibit 10
History Achievement
Percentage of students who met the Goals Panel's performance standard\(^1\) in U.S. history

---

1. The Goals Panel's performance standard is "mastery over challenging subject matter" as indicated by performance at the Proficient or Advanced levels on the National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP). These levels were established by the National Assessment Governing Board (NAGB) and reported by the National Center for Education Statistics (NCES) in NAEP publications. A more complete description of the performance standard can be found in Appendix B.

2. Student achievement levels in U.S. history were not established until 1994. There are no current plans to collect these data again before the year 2000.

---

Source: National Center for Education Statistics
This exhibit repeats information presented in the 1996 Goals Report.
Table 6
GRADE 4 – HISTORY
Disparities (in percentage points) between White and minority students who met the Goals Panel’s performance standard in U.S. history

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1994</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>American Indian/Alaskan Native</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Disparities (in percentage points) between males and females

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1994</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Females &lt; males</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

GRADE 8 – HISTORY
Disparities (in percentage points) between White and minority students who met the Goals Panel’s performance standard in U.S. history

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1994</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>American Indian/Alaskan Native¹</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Disparities (in percentage points) between males and females

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1994</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Females &lt; males</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

GRADE 12 – HISTORY
Disparities (in percentage points) between White and minority students who met the Goals Panel’s performance standard in U.S. history

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1994</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>American Indian/Alaskan Native¹</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Disparities (in percentage points) between males and females

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1994</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Females &lt; males</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹ Should be interpreted with caution, since sample size does not allow accurate estimate of sample variability.

This table repeats information presented in the 1996 Goals Report.

In 1994, the proportions of White and minority students who met the Goals Panel’s performance standard in U.S. history differed by 8 to 18 percentage points. For example, the difference between the percentages of White and American Indian/Alaskan Native 4th graders who met the standard in history was 13 percentage points. Achievement gaps between White and minority students were smaller in higher grades.
Exhibit 11
Geography Achievement
Percentage of students who met the Goals Panel’s performance standard in geography

Grade 4
100% 100%
80% 80%
60% 60%
40% 40%
20% 20%
0% 0%

Year Data Collected

Grade 8
100% 100%
80% 80%
60% 60%
40% 40%
20% 20%
0% 0%

Year Data Collected

Grade 12
100% 100%
80% 80%
60% 60%
40% 40%
20% 20%
0% 0%

Year Data Collected

In 1994, approximately one in four 4th, 8th, and 12th graders met the Goals Panel’s performance standard in geography.

1 The Goals Panel’s performance standard is “mastery over challenging subject matter” as indicated by performance at the Proficient or Advanced levels on the National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP). These levels were established by the National Assessment Governing Board (NAGB) and reported by the National Center for Education Statistics (NCES) in NAEP publications. A more complete description of the performance standard can be found in Appendix B.

* Student achievement levels in geography were not established until 1994. There are no current plans to collect these data again before the year 2000.

Source: National Center for Education Statistics
This exhibit repeats information presented in the 1996 Goals Report.
Table 7
GRADE 4 – GEOGRAPHY
Disparities (in percentage points) between White and minority students who met the Goals Panel's performance standard in geography

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1994</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>American Indian/Alaskan Native</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Disparities (in percentage points) between males and females

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1994</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Females &lt; males</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

GRADE 8 – GEOGRAPHY
Disparities (in percentage points) between White and minority students who met the Goals Panel's performance standard in geography

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1994</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>American Indian/Alaskan Native</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Disparities (in percentage points) between males and females

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1994</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Females &lt; males</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

GRADE 12 – GEOGRAPHY
Disparities (in percentage points) between White and minority students who met the Goals Panel's performance standard in geography

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1994</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>American Indian/Alaskan Native</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Disparities (in percentage points) between males and females

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1994</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Females &lt; males</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

1 Should be interpreted with caution, since sample size does not allow accurate estimate of sample variability.
2 Sample size is insufficient to permit a reliable estimate.

This table repeats information presented in the 1996 Goals Report.
Goal 4: Teacher Education and Professional Development

Exhibit 12
Teacher Preparation
Percentage of secondary school teachers\(^1\) who hold an undergraduate or graduate degree\(^2\) in their main teaching assignment

In 1991, 66% of secondary school teachers held an undergraduate or graduate degree in their main teaching assignment. By 1994, this percentage had decreased to 63%.

1 Teachers include only those whose main teaching assignment was in mathematics, science, English, social studies, fine arts, foreign language, or special education.
2 Academic or education majors. Does not include minors or second majors.
* Data on teacher preparation will be collected again in 2000.

Source: National Center for Education Statistics and Westat
This exhibit repeats information presented in the 1996 Goals Report.
Exhibit 13
Teacher Professional Development
Percentage of teachers who reported that they participated in in-service or professional development programs on 1 or more topics\(^1\) since the end of the previous school year

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year Data Collected*</th>
<th>0%</th>
<th>20%</th>
<th>40%</th>
<th>60%</th>
<th>80%</th>
<th>100%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1990</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1991</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1992</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1993</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1994</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1995</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1996</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1997</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1998</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1999</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^1\) Professional development topics included uses of educational technology, methods of teaching subject field, in-depth study in subject field, or student assessment.

\(*\) Data on teacher professional development will be collected again in 2000.

Source: National Center for Education Statistics and Westat
This exhibit repeats information presented in the 1996 Goals Report.
Goal 5: Mathematics and Science

Exhibit 14
International Mathematics Achievement
Average mathematics performance of U.S. 4th graders compared with students in other countries,¹ 1995*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nations with Average Scores Significantly Higher Than the U.S.</th>
<th>Nations with Average Scores Significantly Lower Than the U.S.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nation</td>
<td>Average</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Singapore</td>
<td>625</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Korea</td>
<td>611</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>597</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hong Kong</td>
<td>587</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Netherlands</td>
<td>577</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Czech Republic</td>
<td>567</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Austria</td>
<td>559</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

International Average = 529

In a recent international mathematics assessment, U.S. 4th graders were outperformed by students in seven countries, performed the same as students in six countries, and performed significantly better than students in 12 countries. U.S. 4th graders performed above the international average.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nations with Average Scores Not Significantly Different From the U.S.</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nation</td>
<td>Average</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slovenia</td>
<td>552</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ireland</td>
<td>550</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hungary</td>
<td>548</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>546</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td>545</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>532</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Israel</td>
<td>531</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹ The Third International Mathematics and Science Study (TIMSS) required participating nations to adhere to extremely high technical standards. For a description of those nations that had difficulty meeting the standards, see Appendix B.
² Latvia is designated LSS because only Latvian-speaking schools were tested, which represents less than 65% of the population.

* There are no current plans to collect these data on 4th graders again before the year 2000.

Source: National Center for Education Statistics
This exhibit modifies information presented in the 1996 Goals Report.
Exhibit 14 continued
International Mathematics Achievement
Average mathematics performance of U.S. 8th graders compared with students in other countries,\(^1\) 1995*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nations with Average Scores Significantly Higher Than the U.S.</th>
<th>Nations with Average Scores Not Significantly Different From the U.S.</th>
<th>Nations with Average Scores Significantly Lower Than the U.S.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nation</td>
<td>Average</td>
<td>Nation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Singapore</td>
<td>643</td>
<td>Thailand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Korea</td>
<td>607</td>
<td>Israel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>605</td>
<td>Germany</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hong Kong</td>
<td>588</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belgium (Flemish)(^2)</td>
<td>565</td>
<td>England</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Czech Republic</td>
<td>564</td>
<td>Norway</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slovak Republic</td>
<td>547</td>
<td>Denmark</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Switzerland</td>
<td>545</td>
<td>United States</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Netherlands</td>
<td>541</td>
<td>Scotland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slovenia</td>
<td>541</td>
<td>Latvia (LSS)(^4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bulgaria</td>
<td>540</td>
<td>Spain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Austria</td>
<td>539</td>
<td>Iceland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>France</td>
<td>538</td>
<td>Greece</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hungary</td>
<td>537</td>
<td>Romania</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russian Federation</td>
<td>535</td>
<td>Lithuania</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>530</td>
<td>Cyprus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ireland</td>
<td>527</td>
<td>Portugal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>527</td>
<td>Iran, Islamic Republic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belgium (French)(^2)</td>
<td>526</td>
<td>Kuwait</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweden(^3)</td>
<td>519</td>
<td>Colombia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>South Africa</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

International Average = 513

1 The Third International Mathematics and Science Study (TIMSS) required participating nations to adhere to extremely high technical standards. For a description of those nations that had difficulty meeting the standards, see Appendix B.
2 The Flemish and French educational systems in Belgium participated separately.
3 The country average for Sweden may appear to be out of place; however, statistically, its placement is correct.
4 Latvia is designated LSS because only Latvian-speaking schools were tested, which represents less than 65% of the population.

* There are plans to collect data on international mathematics achievement of 8th graders again in 1999.

In 1995, U.S. 8th graders were outperformed by students in 20 countries, performed the same as students in 13 countries, and performed significantly better than students in seven countries in mathematics. U.S. 8th graders performed below the international average.

Source: National Center for Education Statistics
This exhibit modifies information presented in the 1996 Goals Report.
### Goal 5: Mathematics and Science

#### Exhibit 15

**International Science Achievement**

Average science performance of U.S. 4th graders compared with students in other countries1, 1995*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nations with Average Scores Significantly Higher Than the U.S.</th>
<th>Nations with Average Scores Significantly Lower Than the U.S.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Nation</strong></td>
<td><strong>Average</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Korea</td>
<td>597</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td>565</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Austria</td>
<td>565</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>562</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Netherlands</td>
<td>557</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Czech Republic</td>
<td>557</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

International Average = 524

In an international science assessment administered in 1995, U.S. 4th graders were outperformed by students in only one country, Korea. U.S. 4th graders performed the same as students in five countries and performed significantly better than students from 19 countries. U.S. 4th graders performed above the international average.

---

1 The Third International Mathematics and Science Study (TIMSS) required participating nations to adhere to extremely high technical standards. For a description of those nations that had difficulty meeting the standards, see Appendix B.

2 Latvia is designated LSS because only Latvian-speaking schools were tested, which represents less than 65% of the population.

* There are no current plans to collect these data on 4th graders again before the year 2000.

Source: National Center for Education Statistics

This exhibit modifies information presented in the 1996 Goals Report.
Exhibit 15 continued

International Science Achievement

Average science performance of U.S. 8th graders compared with students in other countries,\textsuperscript{1} 1995\textsuperscript{*}

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nations with Average Scores Significantly Higher Than the U.S.</th>
<th>Nations with Average Scores Significantly Lower Than the U.S.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Nation</strong></td>
<td><strong>Average</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Singapore</td>
<td>607</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Czech Republic</td>
<td>574</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>571</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Korea</td>
<td>565</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bulgaria</td>
<td>565</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Netherlands</td>
<td>560</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slovenia</td>
<td>560</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Austria</td>
<td>558</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hungary</td>
<td>554</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Nations with Average Scores Not Significantly Different From the U.S.</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Nation</strong></td>
<td><strong>Average</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>England</td>
<td>552</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belgium (Flemish)\textsuperscript{2}</td>
<td>550</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>545</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slovak Republic</td>
<td>544</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russian Federation</td>
<td>538</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ireland</td>
<td>538</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>535</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>United States</strong></td>
<td><strong>534</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>531</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>531</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norway</td>
<td>527</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td>525</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thailand</td>
<td>525</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Israel</td>
<td>524</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hong Kong</td>
<td>522</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Switzerland</td>
<td>522</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scotland\textsuperscript{2}</td>
<td>517</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

International Average = 516

\textsuperscript{1} The Third International Mathematics and Science Study (TIMSS) required participating nations to adhere to extremely high technical standards. For a description of those nations that had difficulty meeting the standards, see Appendix B.

\textsuperscript{2} The Flemish and French educational systems in Belgium participated separately.

\textsuperscript{3} The country averages for Scotland and Spain may appear to be out of place; however, statistically, their placement is correct.

\textsuperscript{4} Latvia is designated LSS because only Latvian-speaking schools were tested, which represents less than 65% of the population.

\textsuperscript{*} There are plans to collect data on international mathematics achievement of 8th graders again in 1999.

Source: National Center for Education Statistics

This exhibit modifies information presented in the 1996 Goals Report.
Exhibit 16
Mathematics and Science Degrees
Mathematics and science Bachelor’s degrees* as a percentage of all degrees awarded to all students, minorities,¹ and females

All students

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Mathematics or science degrees</th>
<th>Other degrees</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1991</td>
<td>39%</td>
<td>61%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1995</td>
<td>42%</td>
<td>58%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Minority students

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Mathematics or science degrees</th>
<th>Other degrees</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1991</td>
<td>39%</td>
<td>61%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1995</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>60%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Female students

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Mathematics or science degrees</th>
<th>Other degrees</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1991</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>65%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1995</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>63%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In 1991, 39% of all Bachelor’s degrees were earned in mathematics or science, compared to 39% of degrees earned by minorities and 35% of degrees earned by women. By 1995, the percentages of mathematics and science degrees earned by all students, minorities, and women had increased.

¹ Includes Blacks, Hispanics, and American Indians/Alaskan Natives.

* These data will be collected annually through the year 2000.

Source: National Center for Education Statistics, National Science Foundation, and Westat
This exhibit updates information presented in the 1996 Goals Report.
Nearly half of all American adults read and write at the two lowest of five levels of English proficiency; 52% scored at or above Level 3. Although adults who score below Level 3 do have some limited literacy skills, they are not likely to be able to perform the range of complex literacy tasks that the National Education Goals Panel considers important for competing successfully in a global economy and exercising fully the rights and responsibilities of citizenship.

Exhibit 17
Adult Literacy
Percentage of adults aged 16 and older who scored at or above Level 3\(^1\) in prose literacy\(^2\) on the National Adult Literacy Survey

1 Test results are reported on scales of 0 to 500 points. Scores are grouped into five levels, with Level 5 being most proficient and Level 1 being least proficient. Complete descriptions of each level can be found in Appendix B.

2 Prose literacy tasks require readers to understand and use information contained in texts such as newspapers and pamphlets. Quantitative and document literacy tasks were also assessed.

* Data on adult literacy were not available prior to 1992. There are no current plans to collect these data again before the year 2000.

Source: National Center for Education Statistics
This exhibit repeats information presented in the 1996 Goals Report.
Exhibit 18
Participation in Adult Education
Disparity (in percentage points) between adults\(^1\) aged 17 and older who have a high school diploma or less, and those who have additional postsecondary education or technical training.

\begin{figure}
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{chart.png}
\caption{Goal 6: Adult Literacy and Lifelong Learning}
\end{figure}

\begin{itemize}
\item In 1991, the gap in adult education participation rates between adults who had a high school diploma or less and those with additional postsecondary education or technical training was 27 percentage points. In 1995, the gap had increased to 32 percentage points.
\end{itemize}

\begin{itemize}
\item \(^1\) Excluding those participating in full-time educational programs exclusively.
\item * Data on participation in adult education will be collected again in 1999.
\end{itemize}

Source: National Center for Education Statistics and Westat
This exhibit repeats information presented in the 1996 Goals Report.
Disparities in college enrollment did not improve between 1990 and 1995. For example, in 1990, the disparity between proportions of Black and White students who enrolled in college immediately after high school graduation was 14 percentage points. The gap had not decreased five years later.

Disparities in college completion between White and minority students did not improve between 1992 and 1996. For example, in 1992, the gap between proportions of Hispanic and White high school graduates who completed a college degree was 15 percentage points. The gap had not decreased four years later.
Exhibit 20
Overall Student Drug and Alcohol Use

Drugs
Percentage of 10th graders who reported using any illicit drug\(^1\) during the previous year

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^1\) See Appendix B for complete description.

* Data on overall drug use by 10th graders will be collected annually through the year 2000.

Source: University of Michigan
This exhibit updates information presented in the 1996 Goals Report.

Alcohol
Percentage of 10th graders who reported using alcohol during the previous year

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^*\) Interpret with caution. Change from the baseline was not statistically significant.

* Although data on student alcohol use were collected in 1991 and 1992, the wording of the item changed substantially between the 1992 survey and the 1993 survey. Therefore, 1993 is established as the baseline year. Data on overall alcohol use by 10th graders will be collected annually through the year 2000.

Source: University of Michigan
This exhibit updates information presented in the 1996 Goals Report.

Goal 7: Safe, Disciplined, and Alcohol- and Drug-free Schools

Between 1991 and 1996, the percentage of 10th graders who reported that they had used an illicit drug during the previous year increased from 24% to 40%.

Between 1993 and 1996, there was no change in the percentage of 10th graders who reported that they had used alcohol during the previous year.
Exhibit 21
Sale of Drugs at School
Percentage of 10th graders who reported that someone offered to sell or give them an illegal drug at school\(^1\) during the previous year

\[
\begin{array}{cccccccccc}
\text{(Y)} & 18\% & 20\% & 24\% & 28\% & 32\% & & & & & & 0\% \\
\end{array}
\]

\(^1\) Or someone had actually sold or given them an illegal drug at school.

* Information on the sale of drugs at school was not asked of 10th graders prior to 1992. These data will be collected annually through the year 2000.

Source: University of Michigan
This exhibit updates information presented in the 1996 Goals Report.

Attempted drug sales at school increased significantly between 1992 and 1996, according to student reports.
Exhibit 22
Student and Teacher Victimization

Students
Percentage of 10th graders who reported that they were threatened or injured\(^1\) at school during the previous year

\[
\begin{array}{cccccccc}
\hline
\text{Students} & 40\% & 37\% & 35\% & 36\% & 35\% & 36\% & \text{0}\% & \text{In 1991, 40\% of 10th graders} & \text{reported that they had been threatened or injured at school during the previous year. By 1996, the percentage had decreased to 36\%.} \\
\end{array}
\]

\(^1\) With or without a weapon.

* Data on student victimization will be collected annually through the year 2000.

Teachers
Percentage of public school teachers who reported that they were threatened with physical injury or physically attacked by a student from their school during the previous 12 months

\[
\begin{array}{cccccccc}
\hline
\text{Teachers} & 10\% & 15\% & \text{0}\% & \text{One out of every ten public school teachers reported in 1991 that he or she had been threatened or physically attacked by a student from his or her school during the previous year. By 1994, that proportion had increased to about one out of every seven.} & \text{in 1999 and 2000.} \\
\end{array}
\]

* Data on teacher victimization will be collected again in 2000.

Source: University of Michigan
This exhibit updates information presented in the 1996 Goals Report.

Source: National Center for Education Statistics and Westat
This exhibit repeats information presented in the 1996 Goals Report.
Goal 7: Safe, Disciplined, and Alcohol- and Drug-free Schools

Exhibit 23
Disruptions in Class by Students

Student Reports
Percentage of 10th graders who reported that during an average week, misbehavior by other students often interferes with their own learning

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In 1992, 17% of 10th graders reported that other students interfered with their own learning at least six times a week. No reduction in class disruptions was seen over the next four years.

Teacher Reports
Percentage of all secondary school teachers who reported that student misbehavior interferes with their teaching

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In 1991, 37% of all secondary school teachers felt that student misbehavior interfered with their teaching. This percentage had risen to 46% by 1994.

Source: University of Michigan
This exhibit updates information presented in the 1996 Goals Report.

Source: National Center for Education Statistics and Westat
This exhibit repeats information presented in the 1996 Goals Report.

* Information on disruptions in class was not asked of 10th graders prior to 1992. These data will be collected annually through the year 2000.

1 Often = 6 times a week or more.
ns Interpret with caution. Change from the baseline was not statistically significant.
1 Responses of “agree” and “strongly agree” combined.
Exhibit 24
Schools’ Reports of Parent Attendance at Parent-Teacher Conferences
Percentage of K-8 public schools\(^1\) which reported that more than half\(^2\) of their parents\(^3\) attended parent-teacher conferences during the school year.

In 1996, 78% of public elementary and middle schools reported that more than half of their parents attended regularly scheduled parent-teacher conferences during the school year.

Parents of students in elementary schools were more likely to attend parent-teacher conferences than parents of middle school students, according to schools’ reports.

\[\begin{array}{c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c}
\hline
\hline
100% & & & & & & & & & & & \\
80% & & & & & & & & & & & \\
78% & & & & & & & & & & & \\
60% & & & & & & & & & & & \\
40% & & & & & & & & & & & \\
20% & & & & & & & & & & & \\
0% & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline
\end{array}\]

\(^1\) Survey respondents were principals or their designees.
\(^2\) Responses of “more than half” and “most or all” combined.
\(^3\) Includes only those public schools in which the school reported that it held regularly scheduled schoolwide parent-teacher conferences during the year. (95% of elementary schools and 78% of middle schools reported doing so during 1995-1996.)

* Data on schools’ reports of parent attendance at parent-teacher conferences were not available prior to 1996. There are no current plans to collect these data again before the year 2000.

Source: National Center for Education Statistics and Westat
This exhibit repeats information presented in the 1996 Goals Report.
Exhibit 25
Schools’ Reports of Parent Involvement in School Policy Decisions
Percentage of K-8 public schools\(^1\) which reported that parent input is considered\(^2\) when making policy decisions in three or more areas\(^3\)

In 1996, 41% of public elementary and middle schools reported that parent input is considered when making policy decisions in three or more areas.

1 Survey respondents were principals or their designees.
2 Responses of “moderate extent” and “great extent” combined.
3 Three or more of the following policy areas: allocation of funds; curriculum or overall instructional program; the design of special programs; library books and materials; discipline policies and procedures; health-related topics or policies; monitoring or evaluating teachers; or developing parent involvement activities.

* Data on schools’ reports of parent involvement in school policy decisions were not available prior to 1996. There are no current plans to collect these data again before the year 2000.

Source: National Center for Education Statistics and Westat
This exhibit repeats information presented in the 1996 Goals Report.
Exhibit 26
Parents’ Reports of Their Involvement in School Activities
Percentage of students in Grades 3-12 whose parents reported that they participated in two or more activities\(^1\) in their child’s school during the current school year

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>100%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>63%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>62%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Data on parents’ reports of their involvement in school activities were not available prior to 1993. These data will be collected again in 1999.

\(^1\) Activities included attending a general school meeting, attending a school or class event, and acting as a volunteer at the school or serving on a school committee.

\(^{ns}\) Interpret with caution. Change from the baseline was not statistically significant.

In 1993, 63% of parents of students in Grades 3-12 reported that they participated in two or more activities in their child’s school. By 1996, the percentage of participating parents had not increased.

Parents of students in Grades 3-5 were more likely to report participating in various school activities than were parents of older students.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1993</th>
<th>1996</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Grades 3-5</td>
<td>74%</td>
<td>73%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grades 6-8</td>
<td>62%</td>
<td>63%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grades 9-12</td>
<td>53%</td>
<td>53%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^{ns}\) Interpret with caution. Change from the baseline was not statistically significant.

Source: National Center for Education Statistics and Westat
This exhibit repeats information presented in the 1996 Goals Report.
Chapter 3: How Are We Doing at the State Level?

In order to provide more state-level information for the reader, Chapter 3 reports individual state progress on a slightly larger set of indicators than reported at the national level. Many of the 33 state-level indicators are identical to those at the national level. Each indicator includes a baseline measure (collected as close as possible to 1990, the year the Goals were adopted), the most recent update (if available), and an arrow indicating the direction of change. Again, arrows which point upward indicate that we have made progress. Arrows which point downward indicate that we have fallen further behind. Horizontal arrows indicate that performance has not changed or that the change was not statistically significant.

The reader should note several important differences between the national and state data. Each indicator presented on the state pages is accompanied by the range of state scores and the median state score to show how the state performed in relation to others. In addition, the U.S. data are shown if the data are comparable at the national and state levels. A guide to reading the state pages begins on page 72.

In some cases, limited information is available at the state level, which constrains the Panel’s ability to provide full progress reports for those states. Data gaps exist because states may choose not to participate in some data collections for reasons such as cost or the amount of time required for testing. For example, only 13 states participated in the State Adult Literacy Survey, which is the data source the Panel uses to monitor adult literacy proficiency at the state level. (State-level data collection schedules can be found in Appendix A.)

Although states do collect Goal-related information individually (for example, student achievement using their own state assessment), the data are not comparable across the states. It is especially important that the Goals Panel report comparable data in the annual Goals Report, because non-comparable state data provide no guarantee that changes over time are not due to changes in sampling or the wording of items. The Panel is committed to using a common, reliable yardstick which will ensure that differences over time are due to real changes in performance.

Since baselines were established for the state* indicators, significant** improvements have occurred in the following areas:

- Forty states reduced the percentage of infants born with one or more health risks (Goal 1 indicator).
- Fifty-four jurisdictions increased the number of mothers receiving prenatal care in the first trimester of pregnancy (Goal 1 indicator).
- The proportion of children with disabilities participating in preschool rose in 46 states (Goal 1 indicator).
- Twenty-seven jurisdictions increased the percentage of 8th graders scoring at the

---

* In this chapter, the term “state” is used to refer to the 50 states and the District of Columbia. The term “jurisdiction” is used to refer to the 50 states, the District of Columbia, and the territories.

** In this report, “significance” refers to statistical significance and indicates that the observed differences are not likely to have occurred by chance.
Proficient or Advanced levels on the NAEP mathematics assessment. In no jurisdiction did the percentage decrease (Goal 3 indicator).

- In all states but one, the proportion of Advanced Placement examinations receiving a grade of 3 or higher increased (Goal 3 indicator).

- Forty-seven jurisdictions increased the percentage of all students who received a degree in mathematics or science. In addition, 33 jurisdictions increased the percentage of minority students and 43 jurisdictions increased the percentage of female students who received a degree in mathematics or science (Goal 5 indicator).

- In nine out of 12 states, the percentage of students enrolling in postsecondary education increased (Goal 6 indicator).

- In 32 states, the percentage of U.S. citizens who voted increased (Goal 6 indicator).

In other areas, the news is not as encouraging:

- In 47 jurisdictions, the number of children born with low birthweight increased (Goal 1 indicator).

- In nine of 18 states, the high school dropout rate increased (Goal 2 indicator).

- In 13 of 19 jurisdictions, the percentage of public high school students who reported using marijuana increased. In no jurisdiction did the percentage decrease (Goal 7 indicator).

- In nine of 13 jurisdictions, more students reported being offered, sold, or given an illegal drug at school. No jurisdiction showed a decrease in the percentage of students who reported that drugs were available on school property (Goal 1 indicator).

- In 37 states, more teachers reported that student disruptions in their classrooms interfered with their teaching (Goal 3 indicator).

Performance has not changed markedly over time in the following areas:

- Immunizations. Forty-five states showed no change in the proportion of 2-year-olds immunized (Goal 1 indicator).

- High School Completion. Thirty-eight states experienced no change in the percentage of 18- to 24-year-olds who held a high school diploma (Goal 2 indicator).

- 4th Grade Reading. In 37 jurisdictions, the percentage of 4th graders scoring at the Proficient or Advanced levels on the NAEP reading assessment did not change (Goal 3 indicator).

- 4th Grade Mathematics. In 32 jurisdictions, the percentage of 4th graders scoring at the Proficient or Advanced levels on the NAEP mathematics assessment did not change (Goal 3 indicator).

- Teacher Preparation and Professional Development. In more than 40 states, there was no change in the percentage of teachers who reported that they held a degree or held a teaching certificate in their main teaching assignment. In 33 states, no change was reported in the proportion of beginning public school teachers who participated in a formal teacher induction process (Goal 4 indicators).

- Registering to Vote. In 32 states, there was no change in the percentage of U.S. citizens registered to vote (Goal 6 indicator).

- Alcohol Consumption. Only one jurisdiction out of 20 had a decrease in the percentage of public school 10th graders who reported having 5 or more drinks in a row (Goal 7 indicator).

- Student Victimization. Of the 13 jurisdictions that reported data, none reduced the percentage of students who reported being threatened or injured with a weapon on school property (Goal 7 indicator).

- School Safety. Of the 14 jurisdictions reporting data, none reduced the percentage of students who reported that they did not feel safe at school (Goal 7 indicator).

- Fights and Carrying Weapons at School. No progress was made in any state in decreasing the percentage of students who reported participating in a physical fight on school property. In addition, in only two jurisdictions was there a decrease in the percentage of students who reported carrying weapons on school property (Goal 7 indicators).

- Parental Involvement. In more than 40 states, no change was reported in the level of parent involvement from either the teacher's or principal's perspective. Similarly, public school principals in 34 states reported that there was no increase in the influence the
parent association in their school had on school policy (Goal 8 indicators).

In a number of areas, data gaps prevent us from knowing whether performance has improved or declined in individual states. For example:

- We do not know if the achievement of our 8th graders has improved in science.
- We cannot tell if more teachers are participating in professional development programs on topics such as the use of educational technology.
- We do not know if more teachers are receiving training to teach limited English proficient students.
- We cannot tell if more mathematics teachers are implementing education reforms in their classrooms that have been recommended by experts, such as working in small groups, developing reasoning and analytical ability, or teaching algebra. We also cannot tell if more students have computers available in their classrooms.
- We do not yet know how our states compare to countries that participated in the Third International Mathematics and Science Study.
- We cannot report whether more adults are able to perform complex literacy tasks that will enable them to compete successfully in a global economy.
- We cannot tell if more teachers are being threatened by students from their schools.

The Goals Panel will continue to work with states and other data providers to fill these gaps in future Goals Reports.
Guide to Reading the State Pages

1. Reduced percentage of infants born with 1 or more health risks? (1990, 1995) 39% 36%
2. Increased high school completion rate? (1990, 1995) 82% 87%
3. Reduced high school dropout rate? (1994) 6% —

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Alabama</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
<th>Range of State Scores</th>
<th>Median Scores†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>baseline</td>
<td>update</td>
<td>progress?</td>
<td>baseline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39%</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>37%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>82%</td>
<td>87%</td>
<td>←</td>
<td>86%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6%</td>
<td>—</td>
<td></td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. Data in this column represent our starting points. Baselines were established as close as possible to 1990, the year that the National Education Goals were adopted.

2. Data in this column represent our current level of performance and are the most recent data available.

3. Progress represents progress from the baseline year to the most recent update year.

4. Progress is shown by an arrow. Arrows which point upward indicate that we have made progress. Arrows which point downward indicate that we have fallen further behind. Horizontal arrows indicate that performance has not changed or that the change was not statistically significant.

5. The source of the data and any technical notes for each state indicator are referenced by this number in Appendix C.

6. A fuller description of the state indicators is provided on pages 73-75.

7. The date(s) in parentheses indicates the year(s) in which data were collected for the state indicator. If there are two dates, the first indicates the baseline year and the second indicates the most recent year in which data were collected.

8. — means data not available. See Appendix A.
Indicators for the state pages are based on comparable state data collected by federal agencies such as the National Center for Education Statistics, the National Center for Health Statistics, and the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. The state pages do not include all Goal-related data that a state may collect. See page 69 for further information.

The state indicators are:

**Goal 1: Ready to Learn**

1. **Children’s Health Index:** Has the state reduced the percentage of infants born with 1 or more health risks? (1990, 1995)

2. **Immunizations:** Has the state increased the percentage of 2-year-olds who have been fully immunized against preventable childhood diseases? (1994, 1996)

3. **Low Birthweight:** Has the state reduced the number of infants per 1,000 born with low birthweight, defined as less than 5.5 pounds? (1990, 1995)

4. **Early Prenatal Care:** Has the state increased the number of mothers per 1,000 who receive prenatal care in the first trimester of pregnancy? (1990, 1995)

5. **Preschool Programs for Children with Disabilities:** Has the state increased the number of children with disabilities participating in preschool, per 1,000 3- to 5-year-olds? (1991, 1996)

**Goal 2: School Completion**

6. **High School Completion Rates:** Has the state increased the percentage of 18- to 24-year-olds who have a high school credential? (1990, 1995)

7. **High School Dropout Rates:** Has the state reduced the percentage of students in Grades 9-12 who leave school without completing a recognized secondary program? (1992, 1994)

**Goal 3: Student Achievement and Citizenship**

8. **Reading Achievement:** Has the state increased the percentage of public school students who meet the Goals Panel’s performance standard in reading in Grade 4? (1992, 1994)

9. **Mathematics Achievement:** Has the state increased the percentage of public school students who meet the Goals Panel’s performance standard in mathematics in Grade 4 (1992, 1996) and Grade 8 (1990, 1996)?

10. **Science Achievement:** Has the state increased the percentage of public school students who meet the Goals Panel’s performance standard in science in Grade 8? (1996)

11. **Advanced Placement Performance:** Has the state increased the number of Advanced Placement examinations (per 1,000 11th and 12th graders) receiving a grade of 3 or higher? (1991, 1997)

**Goal 4: Teacher Education and Professional Development**

12. **Teacher Preparation:** Has the state increased teacher preparation, as measured by the percentage of public secondary school teachers who hold
      • an undergraduate or graduate degree in their main teaching assignment? (1991, 1994)
      • a teaching certificate in their main teaching assignment? (1991, 1994)
13. Teacher Professional Development: Has the state increased the professional development opportunities of teachers, as measured by the percentage of public school teachers reporting that they participated in inservice or professional development programs on 1 or more topics since the end of the previous school year? (1994)

14. Preparation to Teach Limited English Proficient (LEP) Students: Has the state increased the percentage of public school teachers with training to teach limited English proficient students? (1994)

15. Teacher Support: Has the state increased the percentage of public school teachers who report that during their first year of teaching they participated in a formal teacher induction program to help beginning teachers by assigning them to a master or mentor teacher? (1991, 1994)

Goal 5: Mathematics and Science

16. International Mathematics and Science Achievement: Comparisons between those states that participated in the 1996 National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) mathematics and science assessments and those countries that participated in the 1995 Third International Mathematics and Science Study (TIMSS) will be reported in future Goals Reports.

17. Mathematics Instructional Practices: Has the state increased the percentage of public school 8th graders whose mathematics teachers report they do the following in mathematics class?
   • have students work in small groups or with a partner at least once a week? (1996)
   • address algebra and functions a lot? (1996)
   • address reasoning and analytical ability a lot? (1996)

18. Mathematics Resources: Has the state increased the percentage of public school 8th graders whose mathematics teachers report they have computers available in their mathematics classrooms? (1996)

19. Mathematics and Science Degrees: Has the state increased mathematics and science degrees as a percentage of all degrees awarded to:
   • all students? (1991, 1995)
   • minorities (Blacks, Hispanics, American Indians/Alaskan Natives)? (1991, 1995)

Goal 6: Adult Literacy and Lifelong Learning

20. Adult Literacy: Has the state increased the percentage of adults who score at or above Level 3 in prose literacy? (1992)

21. Voter Registration and Voting: Has the state increased the percentage of U.S. citizens who reported that they
   • registered to vote? (1988, 1992)
   • voted? (1988, 1992)

22. Participation in Higher Education: Has the state increased the percentage of high school graduates in the state who immediately enroll in 2- or 4-year colleges in any state? (1992, 1994)

Goal 7: Safe, Disciplined, and Alcohol- and Drug-free Schools

23. Student Marijuana Use: Has the state reduced the percentage of public high school students who reported using marijuana at least once during the past 30 days? (1991, 1995)
### GOAL 1  Ready to Learn

1. **Reduced percentage of infants born with 1 or more health risks? (1990, 1995)**
   - **Alabama:** 39% 36%  
   - **U.S.:** 37% 34%  
   - **Range of State Scores:** 25-46% 24-46%  
   - **Median Scores:** 38% 35%

   - **Alabama:** 75% 78%  
   - **U.S.:** 75% 78%  
   - **Range of State Scores:** 61-88% 64-88%  
   - **Median Scores:** 76% 79%

3. **Reduced number of infants (per 1,000) born with low birthweight? (1990, 1995)**
   - **Alabama:** 84 90  
   - **U.S.:** 70 73  
   - **Range of State Scores:** 48-151 53-134  
   - **Median Scores:** 71 75

4. **Increased number of mothers (per 1,000) receiving early prenatal care? (1990, 1995)**
   - **Alabama:** 735 817  
   - **U.S.:** 758 813  
   - **Range of State Scores:** 469-868 560-900  
   - **Median Scores:** 778 828

5. **Increased number of children with disabilities in preschool (per 1,000)? (1991, 1996)**
   - **Alabama:** 41 47  
   - **U.S.:** * *  
   - **Range of State Scores:** 16-68 16-92  
   - **Median Scores:** 38 47

### GOAL 2  School Completion

   - **Alabama:** 82% 87%  
   - **U.S.:** 86% 86%  
   - **Range of State Scores:** 77-96% 79-96%  
   - **Median Scores:** 87% 88%

7. **Reduced high school dropout rate? (1994)**
   - **Alabama:** 6% —  
   - **U.S.:** * *  
   - **Range of State Scores:** 3-10% —  
   - **Median Scores:** 5% —

### GOAL 3  Student Achievement and Citizenship

8. **Increased reading achievement in Grade 4? (1992, 1994)**
   - **Alabama:** 20% 23%  
   - **U.S.:** 29% 30%  
   - **Range of State Scores:** 8-38% 8-41%  
   - **Median Scores:** 26% 27%

9. **Increased mathematics achievement**
   - **in Grade 4? (1992, 1996)**
     - **Alabama:** 10% 11%  
     - **U.S.:** 18% 21%  
     - **Range of State Scores:** 5-27% 3-31%  
     - **Median Scores:** 16% 20%
   - **in Grade 8? (1990, 1996)**
     - **Alabama:** 9% 12%  
     - **U.S.:** 15% 24%  
     - **Range of State Scores:** 1-27% 5-34%  
     - **Median Scores:** 15% 22%

10. **Increased science achievement in Grade 8? (1996)**
    - **Alabama:** 18% —  
    - **U.S.:** 29% —  
    - **Range of State Scores:** 5-41% —  
    - **Median Scores:** 27% —

---

### Children's Health Index

**Percentage of infants born with 1 or more health risks**

- Includes late (in third trimester) or no prenatal care, low maternal weight gain (less than 21 pounds), mother smoked during pregnancy, or mother drank alcohol during pregnancy.

### High School Completion

**Percentage of all 18- to 24-year-olds who have a high school credential**

- Does not include those still in high school.

---

**Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.**

- Comparable national data are not available.
- Data not available. See Appendix A.
- Baseline years and most recent update years may differ by state for this indicator. See Appendix C for more information.

See pages 72-75 for a Guide to Reading the State Pages. See Appendix C for technical notes and sources.
GOAL 3  Student Achievement and Citizenship (continued)

11. Increased the number of Advanced Placement examinations receiving a grade of 3 or higher (per 1,000)? (1991, 1997)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Alabama</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
<th>Range of State Scores</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>baseline</td>
<td>update</td>
<td>progress?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>▲</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

GOAL 4  Teacher Education and Professional Development

12. Increased the percentage of public secondary school teachers who hold
   • a degree in main teaching assignment? (1991, 1994)
   • a teaching certificate in main teaching assignment? (1991, 1994)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Alabama</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
<th>Range of State Scores</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>baseline</td>
<td>update</td>
<td>progress?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70%</td>
<td>63%</td>
<td>▲</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98%</td>
<td>96%</td>
<td>≈</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

13. Increased the percentage of public school teachers participating in professional development on 1 or more selected topics? (1994)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Alabama</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
<th>Range of State Scores</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>baseline</td>
<td>update</td>
<td>progress?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>86%</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Alabama</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
<th>Range of State Scores</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>baseline</td>
<td>update</td>
<td>progress?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4%</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

15. Increased the percentage of beginning public school teachers participating in a formal teacher induction program? (1991, 1994)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Alabama</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
<th>Range of State Scores</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>baseline</td>
<td>update</td>
<td>progress?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25%</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>≈</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

GOAL 5  Mathematics and Science

16. International comparisons in mathematics and science will be reported in future Goals Panel reports.**

Student Achievement
Percentage of public school students who met the Goals Panel's performance standard1 in reading and mathematics (Indicators 8 & 9)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Alabama</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
<th>Range of State Scores</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>baseline</td>
<td>update</td>
<td>progress?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>92%</td>
<td>94%</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22%</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Professional Development
Percentage of public school teachers participating in professional development on the following topics, 1994 (Indicator 13)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Alabama</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
<th>Range of State Scores</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>baseline</td>
<td>update</td>
<td>progress?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One or more topics</td>
<td>86%</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uses of educational technology</td>
<td>43%</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methods of teaching subject field</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In-depth study in subject field</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student assessment</td>
<td>51%</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Florida</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
<th>Range of State Scores</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>baseline</td>
<td>update</td>
<td>progress?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.
** See Table 8 for the numbers for each subject area.
— Data not available. See Appendix A.
— This information had not been released when the 1997 Goals Report went to print.
See pages 72-75 for a Guide to Reading the State Pages.
See Appendix C for technical notes and sources.

1 A complete description of the performance standard can be found in Appendix C.

∞ Interpret with caution. Change was not statistically significant.
### Alabama

#### Goal 5  Mathematics and Science (continued)

17. Increased the percentage of public school 8th graders whose mathematics teachers
   - have students work in small groups or with a partner? (1996) 50% — 66% — 45-92% — 67%
   - address Algebra and functions? (1996) 52% — 57% — 45-82% — 58%
   - address reasoning and analytical ability? (1996) 39% — 52% — 39-64% — 57%

18. Increased the percentage of public school 8th graders who have computers available in their mathematics classroom? (1996) 33% — 30% — 7-54% — 30%

19. Increased mathematics and science degrees awarded to
   - all students? (1991, 1995) 34% 38% ** 39% 42% ** 25-49% 15-53% 39% 42%
   - minorities (Black, Hispanic, American Indian/Alaskan Native)? (1991, 1995) 40% 37% ** 39% 40% ** 22-64% 22-57% 39% 39%
   - females? (1991, 1995) 30% 34% ** 35% 37% ** 23-46% 13-47% 33% 36%

#### Goal 6  Adult Literacy and Lifelong Learning

20. Increased adult literacy? (1992)

21. Increased the percentage of U.S. citizens
   - registered to vote? (1988, 1992) 74% 78% — 70% 73% ** 58-95% 63-92% 71% 75%
   - voting? (1988, 1992) 57% 64% — 61% 66% ** 50-74% 55-77% 62% 66%


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mathematics Instruction</th>
<th>Percentage of public school 8th graders whose mathematics teachers do the following, 1996 (Indicator 17)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Work in small groups or with a partner</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Address, Algebra and functions</td>
<td>52%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Address reasoning &amp; analytical ability</td>
<td>39%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Median Scores**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Alabama</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
<th>Range of State Scores</th>
<th>Median Scores†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>baseline</td>
<td>update</td>
<td>progress?</td>
<td>baseline</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

† Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.
— Data not available. See Appendix A.
** Indicators are not the same at the national and state level. See pages 72-75 for a Guide to Reading the State Pages. See Appendix C for technical notes and sources.

1 At least once a week.
2 On a 4-point scale from “none” to “a lot,” defined as a response to the top point.
## GOAL 7: Safe, Disciplined and Alcohol- and Drug-free Schools

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>1991</th>
<th>1993</th>
<th>1995</th>
<th>Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>23. Reduced marijuana use? (1991, 1995)*</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>↓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24. Reduced alcohol use (5 or more drinks in a row)? (1991, 1995)*</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>↑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25. Reduced availability of drugs on school property? (1993, 1995)*</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>↓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26. Reduced students threatened or injured with a weapon on school property? (1995)*</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>↓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27. Reduced physical fights on school property? (1993, 1995)*</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>≈</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28. Reduced students carrying weapons on school property? (1993, 1995)*</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>≈</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29. Reduced students not feeling safe at school? (1995)</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>↓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30. Reduced teacher victimization? (1994)</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31. Reduced student disruptions? (1991, 1994)</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>54%</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>46%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## GOAL 8: Parental Participation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>1991</th>
<th>1994</th>
<th>Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>32. Decreased schools with minimal parental involvement</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teacher’s perspective? (1991, 1994)</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Principal’s perspective? (1991, 1994)</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Alcohol- and Drug-free Schools

- **Used marijuana**: 10% ↓ 17%
- **Had 5 or more drinks in a row**: 30% ↓ 25%
- **Were offered, sold, or given an illegal drug on school property**: 18% ↓ 33%

### Parent-School Partnerships

- **One or more areas**: 14% 21%
- **Establishing curriculum**: 3% 7%
- **Hiring new full-time teachers**: 2% 2%
- **Setting discipline policy**: 2% 2%

**Median Scores**:

- **Baseline Scores**: 23% 10%
- **Update Scores**: 23% 10%
- **Range of State Scores**: 23-60% 33-65%
- **Median Scores†**: 23% 10%

---

† Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.
** Indicators are not the same at the national and state level.
* Baseline years and most recent update years may differ by state for this indicator. See Appendix C for more information. See pages 72-75 for a Guide to Reading the State Pages. See Appendix C for technical notes and sources.

1 During the past 30 days.
2 During the past 12 months.

---

**Notes**:

- Data not available. See Appendix A.
- Interpret with caution. Change was not statistically significant.

**ALABAMA**
# ALASKA

## GOAL 1 Ready to Learn

1. Reduced percentage of infants born with 1 or more health risks? (1990, 1995)
   - Alaska: 37% 34% ↑
   - U.S.: 37% 34% ↑
   - Range of State Scores: 25-48% 24-46% 38% 35%
   - Median Scores: 38% 35%

   - Alaska: 73% 73% ↓
   - U.S.: 75% 78% ↑
   - Range of State Scores: 61-88% 64-88% 76% 79%
   - Median Scores: 76% 79%

3. Reduced number of infants (per 1,000) born with low birthweight? (1990, 1995)
   - Alaska: 48 53 ↓
   - U.S.: 70 73 ↑
   - Range of State Scores: 48-151 53-134 71 75
   - Median Scores: 71 75

4. Increased number of mothers (per 1,000) receiving early prenatal care? (1990, 1995)
   - Alaska: 800 834 ↑
   - U.S.: 758 813 ↑
   - Range of State Scores: 469-868 560-900 778 828
   - Median Scores: 778 828

5. Increased number of children with disabilities in preschool (per 1,000)? (1991, 1996)
   - Alaska: 44 60 ↑
   - U.S.: * 6-8 3-10% 5% 5%
   - Range of State Scores: 16-68 16-92
   - Median Scores: 38 47

## GOAL 2 School Completion

   - Alaska: 89% 88% ↔
   - U.S.: 86% 86% ↔
   - Range of State Scores: 77-96% 79-96% 87% 88%
   - Median Scores: 87% 88%

7. Reduced high school dropout rate? (1992, 1994)*
   - Alaska: — —
   - U.S.: * *
   - Range of State Scores: 3-12% 3-10% 5% 5%
   - Median Scores: 5% 5%

## GOAL 3 Student Achievement and Citizenship

8. Increased reading achievement in Grade 4? (1992, 1994)*
   - Alaska: — —
   - U.S.: 29% 30% ↔
   - Range of State Scores: 8-38% 8-41% 26% 27%
   - Median Scores: 26% 27%

9. Increased mathematics achievement *
   - * in Grade 4? (1996)
   - Alaska: 21% —
   - U.S.: 21% —
   - Range of State Scores: 3-31% — 20% —
   - Median Scores: 20% —

   - * in Grade 8? (1996)
   - Alaska: 30% —
   - U.S.: 24% —
   - Range of State Scores: 5-34% — 22% —
   - Median Scores: 22% —

10. Increased science achievement in Grade 8? (1996)
    - Alaska: 31% —
    - U.S.: 29% —
    - Range of State Scores: 5-41% — 27% —
    - Median Scores: 27% —

---

**Key**

† Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.
* Comparable national data are not available.
— Data not available. See Appendix A.
* Baseline years and most recent update years may differ by state for this indicator. See Appendix C for more information.
See pages 72-75 for a Guide to Reading the State Pages.
See Appendix C for technical notes and sources.

---

1. **Children’s Health Index**

   Percentage of infants born with 1 or more health risks¹ (Indicator 1)

   - 1995: 27%
   - 1990: 34%

1. Includes late (in third trimester) or no prenatal care, low maternal weight gain (less than 21 pounds), mother smoked during pregnancy, or mother drank alcohol during pregnancy.

---

1. **High School Completion**

   Percentage of all 18- to 24-year-olds¹ who have a high school credential² (Indicator 6)

   - 1995: 89%
   - 1990: 88%

1. Does not include those still in high school.
2. Includes traditional high school diploma and alternative credential.

*Interpret with caution. Change was not statistically significant.*
GOAL 3  Student Achievement and Citizenship (continued)

11. Increased the number of Advanced Placement examinations receiving a grade of 3 or higher (per 1,000)? (1991, 1997)†
   | Alaska | U.S. | Range of State Scores | Median Scores† |
   | baseline | update | progress? | baseline | update | progress? | baseline | update |
   | 56 | 69 | up | 55 | 85 | up | 9-177 | 18-223 | 41 | 65 |

GOAL 4  Teacher Education and Professional Development

12. Increased the percentage of public secondary school teachers who hold
   | Alaska | U.S. | Range of State Scores |
   | 60% | 64% | 66% | 63% | 51-85% | 50-81% | 69% | 64% |
   | 91% | 92% | 94% | 93% | 91-100% | 89-100% | 98% | 97% |

13. Increased the percentage of public school teachers participating in professional development on 1 or more selected topics? (1994)
   | Alaska | U.S. |
   | 90% | — |
   | 85% | — |
   | 76-98% | — |
   | 86% | — |

14. Increased the percentage of public school teachers with training to
   teach limited English-proficient students? (1994)
   | Alaska | U.S. |
   | 33% | — |
   | 16% | — |
   | 4-81% | — |
   | 16% | — |

15. Increased the percentage of beginning public school teachers participating in a formal teacher induction program? (1991, 1994)
   | Alaska | U.S. |
   | 15% | 12% |
   | 22% | 27% |
   | 6-42% | 7-48% |
   | 20% | 23% |

GOAL 5  Mathematics and Science

16. International comparisons in mathematics and science will be reported in future Goals Panel reports.**

---

** Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.
† See Table 8 for the numbers for each subject area.
— Data not available. See Appendix A.
** This information had not been released when the 1997 Goals Report went to print. See pages 72-75 for a Guide to Reading the State Pages. See Appendix C for technical notes and sources.

---

** Student Achievement**

Percentage of public school students who met the Goals Panel's performance standard in reading and mathematics (Indicators 8 & 9)

| Alaska | U.S. |
| 100% | 90% |
| 80% | — |
| 60% | — |
| 40% | — |
| 20% | — |
| 0% | — |

** Professional Development**

Percentage of public school teachers participating in professional development on the following topics, 1994 (Indicator 13)

- One or more topics
- Uses of educational technology
- Methods of teaching subject field
- In-depth study in subject field
- Student assessment

---

1 A complete description of the performance standard can be found in Appendix C.
## ALASKA

### GOAL 5  Mathematics and Science (continued)

17. Increased the percentage of public school 8th graders whose mathematics teachers
   • have students work in small groups or with a partner? (1996)
     - Alaska: 67% (baseline), 66% (update)
     - U.S.: 45-92% (baseline), 45-82% (update)
   • address Algebra and functions? (1996)
     - Alaska: 52% (baseline), 51% (update)
     - U.S.: 38-84% (baseline), 47% (update)
   • address reasoning and analytical ability? (1996)
     - Alaska: 41% (baseline)
     - U.S.: 39-64% (baseline)

18. Increased the percentage of public school 8th graders who have computers available
    in their mathematics classroom? (1996)
   - Alaska: 50% (baseline)
   - U.S.: 7-54% (baseline)

19. Increased mathematics and science degrees awarded to
   • all students? (1991, 1995)
     - Alaska: 34% (baseline), 34% (update)
     - U.S.: 25-49% (baseline), 39% (update)
   • minorities (Black, Hispanic, American Indian/Alaskan Native)? (1991, 1995)
     - Alaska: 28% (baseline), 26% (update)
     - U.S.: 22-64% (baseline), 39% (update)
     - Alaska: 34% (baseline), 34% (update)
     - U.S.: 25-49% (baseline), 39% (update)

### GOAL 6  Adult Literacy and Lifelong Learning

20. Increased adult literacy? (1992)
   - Alaska: — (baseline), — (update)
   - U.S.: 52% (baseline), — (update)

21. Increased the percentage of U.S. citizens
   • registered to vote? (1988, 1992)
     - Alaska: 73% (baseline), 73% (update)
     - U.S.: 58-95% (baseline), 71% (update)
   • voting? (1988, 1992)
     - Alaska: 62% (baseline), 66% (update)
     - U.S.: 50-74% (baseline), 62% (update)

   - Alaska: 39% (baseline), 37% (update)
   - U.S.: — (baseline), — (update)

---

**KEY**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Significance</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>![Significant progress]</td>
<td>Significant progress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>![Significant decline]</td>
<td>Significant decline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>![Change is not significant]</td>
<td>Change is not significant</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

† Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.
— Data not available. See Appendix A.
** Indicators are not the same at the national and state level.
⊙ Sample size does not permit a reliable estimate of change.
See pages 72-75 for a Guide to Reading the State Pages.
See Appendix C for technical notes and sources.

---

1 At least once a week.
2 On a 4-point scale from “none” to “a lot,” defined as a response to the top point.
**GOAL 7** Safe, Disciplined and Alcohol- and Drug-free Schools

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Alaska</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
<th>Range of State Scores</th>
<th>Median Scores†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>23. Reduced marijuana use? (1995)*</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>** General</td>
<td>7-32%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24. Reduced alcohol use (more than 5 drinks in a row)? (1995)*</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>** General</td>
<td>13-43%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25. Reduced availability of drugs on school property? (1995)*</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>** General</td>
<td>20-46%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26. Reduced students threatened or injured with a weapon while on school property? (1995)*</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>** General</td>
<td>4-11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27. Reduced physical fights on school property? (1995)*</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>** General</td>
<td>12-18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28. Reduced students carrying weapons on school property? (1995)*</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>** General</td>
<td>7-14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29. Reduced students not feeling safe at school? (1995)*</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>** General</td>
<td>3-16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30. Reduced teacher victimization? (1994)</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>8-26%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31. Reduced student disruptions? (1991, 1994)</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>46%</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>23-60%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**GOAL 8** Parental Participation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Alaska</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
<th>Range of State Scores</th>
<th>Median Scores†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>32. Decreased schools with minimal parental involvement</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Teacher’s perspective? (1991, 1994)</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>** General</td>
<td>9-44%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Principal’s perspective? (1991, 1994)</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>** General</td>
<td>4-22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33. Increased influence of parent associations? (1991, 1994)</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>43%</td>
<td>** General</td>
<td>8-37%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**KEY**

↑ Significant progress  
↓ Significant decline  
↕ Change is not significant

† Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.  
** Indicates are not the same at the national and state level.  
* Baseline years and most recent update years may differ by state for this indicator. See Appendix C for more information. See pages 72-75 for a Guide to Reading the State Pages. See Appendix C for technical notes and sources.

1 During the past 30 days.  
2 During the past 12 months.

---

**Alcohol- and Drug-free Schools**

Percentage of public high school students who reported the following (Indicators 23, 24, & 25)

- Used marijuana: 29%
- Had 5 or more drinks in a row: 31%
- Were offered, sold, or given an illegal drug on school property: 34%

**Parent-School Partnerships**

Percentage of public school principals who reported that the parent association has influence on the following areas (Indicator 33)

- One or more areas: 27%
- Establishing curriculum: 19%
- Hiring new full-time teachers: 8%
- Setting discipline policy: 21%

1 On a 6-point scale from no influence to a great deal of influence, defined as a response to the top two points.

ns Interpret with caution. Change was not statistically significant.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GOAL 1</th>
<th>Ready to Learn</th>
<th>Arizona</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
<th>Range of State Scores</th>
<th>Median Scores†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>baseline</td>
<td>update</td>
<td>progress?</td>
<td>baseline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Reduced percentage of infants born with 1 or more health risks? (1990, 1995)</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>37%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Increased percentage of 2-year-olds immunized? (1994, 1996)</td>
<td>77%</td>
<td>72%</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>75%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Reduced number of infants (per 1,000) born with low birthweight? (1990, 1995)</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>Increased number of mothers (per 1,000) receiving early prenatal care? (1990, 1995)</td>
<td>675</td>
<td>721</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>758</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>Increased number of children with disabilities in preschool (per 1,000)? (1991, 1996)</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**GOAL 2** | School Completion | Arizona | U.S. | Range of State Scores | Median Scores† |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>baseline</td>
<td>update</td>
<td>progress?</td>
<td>baseline</td>
<td>update</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>Increased high school completion rate? (1990, 1995)</td>
<td>83%</td>
<td>86%</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>86%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td>Reduced high school dropout rate? (1992)*</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**GOAL 3** | Student Achievement and Citizenship | Arizona | U.S. | Range of State Scores | Median Scores† |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>baseline</td>
<td>update</td>
<td>progress?</td>
<td>baseline</td>
<td>update</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.</td>
<td>Increased reading achievement in Grade 4? (1992, 1994)*</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>29%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.</td>
<td>Increased mathematics achievement*</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.</td>
<td>in Grade 4? (1992, 1996)</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>in Grade 8? (1990, 1996)</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>29%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Children's Health Index**
Percentage of infants born with 1 or more health risks (Indicator 1)

- 1990: 37%
- 1995: 33%

**High School Completion**
Percentage of all 18- to 24-year-olds who have a high school credential (Indicator 6)

- 1990: 83%
- 1995: 88%

† Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.
* Comparable national data are not available.
* Data not available. See Appendix A.
* Baseline years and most recent update years may differ by state for this indicator. See Appendix C for more information.
See pages 72-75 for a Guide to Reading the State Pages. See Appendix C for technical notes and sources.

1 Includes late (in third trimester) or no prenatal care, low maternal weight gain (less than 21 pounds), mother smoked during pregnancy, or mother drank alcohol during pregnancy.
2 Includes traditional high school diploma and alternative credential.
3 Interpret with caution. Change was not statistically significant.
GOAL 3  Student Achievement and Citizenship (continued)

11. Increased the number of Advanced Placement examinations receiving a grade of 3 or higher (per 1,000)? (1991, 1997)†

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Arizona</th>
<th></th>
<th>U.S.</th>
<th></th>
<th>Range of State Scores</th>
<th>Median Scores</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>baseline</td>
<td>update</td>
<td>progress?</td>
<td>baseline</td>
<td>update</td>
<td>baseline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>†</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>†</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

GOAL 4  Teacher Education and Professional Development

12. Increased the percentage of public secondary school teachers who hold
   • a degree in main teaching assignment? (1991, 1994)
   63%  58%  
   • a teaching certificate in main teaching assignment? (1991, 1994)
   96%  95%  

13. Increased the percentage of public school teachers participating in professional development on 1 or more selected topics? (1994)
   85% —

14. Increased the percentage of public school teachers with training to teach limited English-proficient students? (1994)
   40% —

15. Increased the percentage of beginning public school teachers participating in a formal teacher induction program? (1991, 1994)
   25%  30%  

GOAL 5  Mathematics and Science

16. International comparisons in mathematics and science will be reported in future Goals Panel reports.†

Student Achievement
Percentage of public school students who met the Goals Panel's performance standard† in reading and mathematics (Indicators 8 & 9)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reading Grade 4</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mathematics Grade 4</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>46%</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>44%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Professional Development
Percentage of public school teachers participating in professional development on the following topics, 1994 (Indicator 13)

1 A complete description of the performance standard can be found in Appendix C.

† Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.
° See Table 8 for the numbers for each subject area.
— Data not available. See Appendix A.
∞ This information had not been released when the 1997 Goals Report went to print.
See pages 72-75 for a Guide to Reading the State Pages. See Appendix C for technical notes and sources.

Interpret with caution. Change was not statistically significant.
ARIZONA

GOAL 5  Mathematics and Science (continued)

17. Increased the percentage of public school 8th graders whose mathematics teachers
   • have students work in small groups or with a partner? (1996)
     75% —— 66% —— 45-92% —— 67% ——
   • address Algebra and functions? (1996)
     56% —— 57% —— 45-82% —— 58% ——
   • address reasoning and analytical ability? (1996)
     48% —— 52% —— 39-64% —— 48% ——
18. Increased the percentage of public school 8th graders who have computers available
   in their mathematics classroom? (1996)
     33% —— 30% —— 7-54% —— 30% ——
19. Increased mathematics and science degrees awarded to
   • all students? (1991, 1995)
     26% 34% ↑ 39% 42% ↑ 25-49% 15-53% 39% 42%
   • minorities (Black, Hispanic, American Indian/Alaskan Native)? (1991, 1995)
     22% 30% ↑ 39% 40% ↑ 22-64% 22-57% 39% 39%
     24% 29% ↑ 35% 37% ↑ 23-46% 13-47% 33% 36%

GOAL 6  Adult Literacy and Lifelong Learning

20. Increased adult literacy? (1992)
     — — 52% — 46-77% — 53% —
21. Increased the percentage of U.S. citizens
   • registered to vote? (1988, 1992)
     66% 75% ↑ 70% 73% ↑ 58-95% 63-92% 71% 75%
   • voting? (1988, 1992)
     57% 69% ↑ 61% 66% ↑ 50-74% 55-77% 62% 68%
     45% 50% *  **  **  33-68% 37-71% 53% 55%

KEY

↑ Significant progress
↓ Significant decline
→ Change is not significant

† Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.
** Data not available. See Appendix A.
** Indicators are not the same at the national and state level.
⊙ Sample size does not permit a reliable estimate of change.
See pages 72-75 for a Guide to Reading the State Pages.
See Appendix C for technical notes and sources.
### GOAL 7  Safe, Disciplined and Alcohol- and Drug-free Schools

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>23. Reduced marijuana use?</td>
<td>— —</td>
<td>** **</td>
<td>4-18% 7-32%</td>
<td>10% 23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24. Reduced alcohol use (more than 5 drinks in a row)?</td>
<td>— —</td>
<td>** **</td>
<td>17-43% 13-43%</td>
<td>30% 31%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25. Reduced availability of drugs on school property?</td>
<td>— —</td>
<td>** **</td>
<td>11-31% 20-46%</td>
<td>22% 30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26. Reduced students threatened or injured with a weapon while on school property?</td>
<td>— —</td>
<td>** **</td>
<td>6-15% 4-11%</td>
<td>8% 8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27. Reduced physical fights on school property?</td>
<td>— —</td>
<td>** **</td>
<td>13-30% 12-19%</td>
<td>16% 15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28. Reduced students carrying weapons on school property?</td>
<td>— —</td>
<td>** **</td>
<td>8-18% 7-14%</td>
<td>12% 11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29. Reduced students not feeling safe at school?</td>
<td>— —</td>
<td>** **</td>
<td>3-23% 3-16%</td>
<td>6% 5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30. Reduced teacher victimization? (1994)</td>
<td>15% —</td>
<td>15% —</td>
<td>8-26% —</td>
<td>14% —</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31. Reduced student disruptions? (1991, 1994)</td>
<td>40% 46%</td>
<td>37% 46%</td>
<td>23-60% 33-65%</td>
<td>37% 47%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### GOAL 8  Parental Participation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>32. Decreased schools with minimal parental involvement</td>
<td>36% 37%</td>
<td>** **</td>
<td>9-44% 13-50%</td>
<td>23% 27%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33. Increased influence of parent associations?</td>
<td>20% 32%</td>
<td>** **</td>
<td>8-37% 12-50%</td>
<td>16% 22%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.**

**Indicators are not the same at the national and state level.**

*Baseline years and most recent update years may differ by state for this indicator. See Appendix C for more information. See pages 72-75 for a Guide to Reading the State Pages. See Appendix C for technical notes and sources.

---

**KEY**

- ↑ Significant progress
- ↓ Significant decline
- ⇪ Change is not significant

---

**Parent-School Partnerships**

Percentage of public school principals who reported that the parent association has influence\(^1\) on the following areas (Indicator 33)

- One or more areas
- Establishing curriculum
- Hiring new full-time teachers
- Setting discipline policy

\(^1\) On a 6-point scale from no influence to a great deal of influence, defined as a response to the top two points.

Interpret with caution. Change was not statistically significant.
### ARKANSAS

#### GOAL 1 Ready to Learn

1. Reduced percentage of infants born with 1 or more health risks? (1990, 1995)
   - Baseline: 42% Update: 40%
   - Significant Progress
   - Baseline: 71% Update: 75%
   - Significant Progress
3. Reduced number of infants (per 1,000) born with low birthweight? (1990, 1995)
   - Baseline: 82 Update: 82
   - Change is not significant
4. Increased number of mothers (per 1,000) receiving early prenatal care? (1990, 1995)
   - Baseline: 708 Update: 766
   - Significant Progress
5. Increased number of children with disabilities in preschool (per 1,000)? (1991, 1996)
   - Baseline: 45 Update: 70
   - Significant Progress

#### GOAL 2 School Completion

   - Baseline: 87% Update: 87%
   - Change is not significant
7. Reduced high school dropout rate? (1992, 1994) *
   - Baseline: 4% Update: 5%
   - Significant Progress

#### GOAL 3 Student Achievement and Citizenship

8. Increased reading achievement in Grade 4? (1992, 1994) *
   - Baseline: 23% Update: 24%
   - Change is not significant
9. Increased mathematics achievement *
   - * in Grade 4 (1992, 1996)
     - Baseline: 10% Update: 13%
     - Significant Progress
   - * in Grade 8 (1990, 1996)
     - Baseline: 9% Update: 13%
     - Significant Progress
10. Increased science achievement in Grade 8? (1996)
    - Baseline: 22%
    - Change is not significant

---

**Key**

- **↑** Significant progress
- **↓** Significant decline
- **↔** Change is not significant

---

1. **Children’s Health Index**
   - Percentage of infants born with 1 or more health risks
   - **Indicator 1**
   - Baseline: 42% Update: 40%

2. **High School Completion**
   - Percentage of all 18- to 24-year-olds who have a high school credential
   - **Indicator 6**
   - Baseline: 87% Update: 87%
### GOAL 3  Student Achievement and Citizenship (continued)

11. Increased the number of Advanced Placement examinations receiving a grade of 3 or higher (per 1,000)? (1991, 1997)°

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Arkansas</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
<th>Range of State Scores</th>
<th>Median Scores°</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>baseline</td>
<td>update</td>
<td>progress?</td>
<td>baseline</td>
<td>update</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### GOAL 4  Teacher Education and Professional Development

12. Increased the percentage of public secondary school teachers who hold

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Arkansas</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
<th>Range of State Scores</th>
<th>Median Scores°</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>baseline</td>
<td>update</td>
<td>progress?</td>
<td>baseline</td>
<td>update</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>62%</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>↔</td>
<td>66%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>98%</td>
<td>97%</td>
<td>↔</td>
<td>94%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

13. Increased the percentage of public school teachers participating in professional development on 1 or more selected topics? (1994)

- 84% — — 85% — — 76-98% — — 86% —


- 6% — — 16% — — 4-81% — — 16% —

15. Increased the percentage of beginning public school teachers participating in a formal teacher induction program? (1991, 1994)

- 15% 14% ↔ 22% 27% ↑ 6-42% 7-48% 20% 23%

### GOAL 5  Mathematics and Science

16. International comparisons in mathematics and science will be reported in future Goals Panel reports.°°

---

**KEY**

- ↑ Significant progress
- ↓ Significant decline
- ↔ Change is not significant

† Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.
° See Table 8 for the numbers for each subject area.
— Data not available. See Appendix A.
∞ This information had not been released when the 1997 Goals Report went to print.
See pages 72-75 for a Guide to Reading the State Pages.
See Appendix C for technical notes and sources.

---

**Student Achievement**

Percentage of public school students who met the Goals Panel’s performance standard in reading and mathematics (Indicators 8 & 9)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reading Grade 4</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>24%°</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mathematics Grade 4</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>19%°</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>17%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- ° Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.
- °° A complete description of the performance standard can be found in Appendix C.
- Interpret with caution. Change was not statistically significant.
## ARKANSAS

### GOAL 5 Mathematics and Science (continued)

17. Increased the percentage of public school 8th graders whose mathematics teachers
   • have students work in small groups or with a partner? (1996)
     - Arkansas: 47%  
     - U.S.: 66%  
     - Range of State Scores: 45-92%  
   • address Algebra and functions? (1996)
     - Arkansas: 59%  
     - U.S.: 57%  
     - Range of State Scores: 45-82%  
   • address reasoning and analytical ability? (1996)
     - Arkansas: 39%  
     - U.S.: 52%  
     - Range of State Scores: 39-64%  

18. Increased the percentage of public school 8th graders who have computers available in their mathematics classroom? (1996)
   - Arkansas: 23%  
   - U.S.: 30%  
   - Range of State Scores: 7-54%  

19. Increased mathematics and science degrees awarded to
   • all students? (1991, 1995)
     - Arkansas: 32%  
     - U.S.: 39%  
   • minorities (Black, Hispanic, American Indian/Alaskan Native)? (1991, 1995)
     - Arkansas: 31%  
     - U.S.: 39%  
     - Arkansas: 28%  
     - U.S.: 35%  

### GOAL 6 Adult Literacy and Lifelong Learning

20. Increased adult literacy? (1992)
   - Arkansas: —  
   - U.S.: 52%  
   - Range of State Scores: 46-77%  

21. Increased the percentage of U.S. citizens
   • registered to vote? (1988, 1992)
     - Arkansas: 68%  
     - U.S.: 70%  
   • voting? (1988, 1992)
     - Arkansas: 56%  
     - U.S.: 61%  

   - Arkansas: 46%  
   - U.S.: 48%  

### Mathematics Instruction Percentage of public school 8th graders whose mathematics teachers do the following, 1996 (Indicator 17)

- **Work in small groups or with a partner**
  - 47%

- **Address Algebra and functions**
  - 59%

- **Address reasoning & analytical ability**
  - 39%

### Key
- **†** Significant progress
- **↓** Significant decline
- **↔** Change is not significant

---

**Note:**
- † Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.
- **—** Data not available. See Appendix A.
- **↔** Indicators are not the same at the national and state level.
- ⊖ Sample size does not permit a reliable estimate of change. See pages 72-75 for a Guide to Reading the State Pages. See Appendix C for technical notes and sources.

1 At least once a week.
2 On a 4-point scale from "none" to "a lot," defined as a response to the top point.
### GOAL 7  Safe, Disciplined and Alcohol- and Drug-free Schools

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Arkansas</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
<th>Range of State Scores</th>
<th>Median Scores†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>baseline</td>
<td>update</td>
<td>progress?</td>
<td>baseline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23. Reduced marijuana use? (1995) *</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24. Reduced alcohol use (more than 5 drinks in a row)? (1995) *</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25. Reduced availability of drugs on school property? (1995) *</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26. Reduced students threatened or injured with a weapon while on school property? (1995) *</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27. Reduced physical fights on school property? (1995) *</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28. Reduced students carrying weapons on school property? (1995) *</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29. Reduced students not feeling safe at school? (1995) *</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30. Reduced teacher victimization? (1994)</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31. Reduced student disruptions? (1991, 1994)</td>
<td>34% 45%</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>37% 46%</td>
<td>↓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### GOAL 8  Parental Participation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Arkansas</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
<th>Range of State Scores</th>
<th>Median Scores†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>baseline</td>
<td>update</td>
<td>progress?</td>
<td>baseline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32. Decreased schools with minimal parental involvement</td>
<td>30% 29%</td>
<td>←</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* Teacher’s perspective? (1991, 1994)</td>
<td>20% 22%</td>
<td>←</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* Principal’s perspective? (1991, 1994)</td>
<td>11% 17%</td>
<td>←</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**KEY**

† Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.

** Indicates not the same at the national and state level.

* Baseline years and most recent update years may differ by state for this indicator. See Appendix C for more information.

1 During the past 30 days.

2 During the past 12 months.

---

**Scorecards #1 (AL-MS) 10/30/97 10:11 AM Page 91**
## CALIFORNIA

### GOAL 1 Ready to Learn

1. Reduced percentage of infants born with 1 or more health risks? (1990, 1995) — — 37% 34% 25-46% 24-46% 38% 35%
2. Increased percentage of 2-year-olds immunized? (1994, 1996) 74% 78% 75% 78% 61-88% 64-88% 76% 79%
3. Reduced number of infants (per 1,000) born with low birthweight? (1990, 1995) 58 61 — 70 73 48-151 53-134 71 75
4. Increased number of mothers (per 1,000) receiving early prenatal care? (1990, 1995) 723 785 — 758 813 469-868 560-900 778 828
5. Increased number of children with disabilities in preschool (per 1,000)? (1991, 1996) 28 32 — * — 16-68 16-92 38 47

### GOAL 2 School Completion

6. Increased high school completion rate? (1990, 1995) 77% 79% — 86% 86% 77-96% 79-96% 87% 88%
7. Reduced high school dropout rate? (1992, 1994)* 5% 5% — * — 3-12% 3-10% 5% 5%

### GOAL 3 Student Achievement and Citizenship

8. Increased reading achievement in Grade 4? (1992, 1994)* 19% 18% — 29% 30% — 8-38% 8-41% 26% 27%
9. Increased mathematics achievement *
   - in Grade 4? (1992, 1996) 12% 11% — 18% 21% — 5-27% 3-31% 16% 20%
   - in Grade 8? (1990, 1996) 12% 17% — 15% 24% — 1-27% 5-34% 15% 22%
10. Increased science achievement in Grade 8? (1996) 20% — — 29% — 5-41% — 27%

### KEY

- ↑ Significant progress
- ↓ Significant decline
- ← Change is not significant

† Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.
* Comparable national data are not available.
— Data not available. See Appendix A.
* Baseline years and most recent update years may differ by state for this indicator. See Appendix C for more information. See pages 72-75 for a Guide to Reading the State Pages. See Appendix C for technical notes and sources.

### Median Scores

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>California Median Scores</th>
<th>U.S. Median Scores</th>
<th>Range of State Scores</th>
<th>Median Scores</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>baseline</td>
<td>update</td>
<td>progress?</td>
<td>baseline</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### High School Completion

Percentage of all 18- to 24-year-olds who have a high school credential (Indicator 6)

1 Does not include those still in high school.
2 Includes traditional high school diploma and alternative credential.
3 Interpret with caution. Change was not statistically significant.
### GOAL 3  Student Achievement and Citizenship (continued)

11. Increased the number of Advanced Placement examinations receiving a grade of 3 or higher (per 1,000)? (1991, 1997)†

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>California</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
<th>Range of State Scores</th>
<th>Median Scores†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>baseline</td>
<td>update</td>
<td>progress?</td>
<td>baseline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### GOAL 4  Teacher Education and Professional Development

12. Increased the percentage of public secondary school teachers who hold
   • a degree in main teaching assignment? (1991, 1994)
   • a teaching certificate in main teaching assignment? (1991, 1994)

13. Increased the percentage of public school teachers participating in professional development on 1 or more selected topics? (1994)

14. Increased the percentage of public school teachers with training to teach limited English-proficient students? (1994)

15. Increased the percentage of beginning public school teachers participating in a formal teacher induction program? (1991, 1994)

### GOAL 5  Mathematics and Science

16. International comparisons in mathematics and science will be reported in future Goals Panel reports.∞

---

**KEY**

- ↑ Significant progress
- ↓ Significant decline
- ↔ Change is not significant

† Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.

See Table 8 for the numbers for each subject area.

— Data not available. See Appendix A.

∞ This information had not been released when the 1997 Goals Report went to print.

See pages 72-75 for a Guide to Reading the State Pages. See Appendix C for technical notes and sources.

---

**Student Achievement**

Percentage of public school students who met the Goals Panel's performance standard in reading and mathematics (Indicators 8 & 9)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reading Grade 4</th>
<th>Mathematics Grade 4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1992</td>
<td>1994</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19%</td>
<td>19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1919</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20%</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Professional Development**

Percentage of public school teachers participating in professional development on the following topics, 1994 (Indicator 13)

- One or more topics: 94%
- Uses of educational technology: 53%
- Methods of teaching subject field: 78%
- In-depth study in subject field: 40%
- Student assessment: 69%

1 A complete description of the performance standard can be found in Appendix C.

∞ Interpret with caution. Change was not statistically significant.
CALIFORNIA

GOAL 5 Mathematics and Science (continued)

17. Increased the percentage of public school 8th graders whose mathematics teachers
   • have students work in small groups or with a partner? (1996) 79% — — 66% — — 45-92% — — 67% — —
   • address Algebra and functions? (1996) 56% — — 57% — — 45-82% — — 58% — —
   • address reasoning and analytical ability? (1996) 55% — — 52% — — 39-64% — — 48% — —
18. Increased the percentage of public school 8th graders who have computers available
   in their mathematics classroom? (1996) 33% — — 30% — — 7-54% — — 30% — —
19. Increased mathematics and science degrees awarded to
   • all students? (1991, 1995) 43% 47% — 39% 42% — 25-49% 15-53% 39% 42%
   • minorities (Black, Hispanic, American Indian/Alaskan Native)? (1991, 1995) 43% 45% — 39% 40% — 22-64% 22-57% 39% 39%
   • female students? (1991, 1995) 39% 41% — 35% 37% — 23-46% 13-47% 33% 36%

GOAL 6 Adult Literacy and Lifelong Learning

20. Increased adult literacy? (1992)
21. Increased the percentage of U.S. citizens
   • registered to vote? (1988, 1992) 72% 73% — 70% 73% — 58-95% 63-92% 71% 75%
   • voting? (1988, 1992) 63% 67% — 61% 66% — 50-74% 55-77% 62% 68%

Adult Literacy
Percentage of adults who scored at 3 highest levels in Prose Literacy
(Indicator 20)

Mathematics
Instruction
Percentage of
classroom 8th
graders whose
mathematics
teachers do the
following, 1996
(Indicator 17)

Mathematics Instruction
Percentage of public school 8th graders whose mathematics teachers do the following, 1996 (Indicator 17)

- Work in small groups or with a partner
- Address Algebra and functions
- Address reasoning and analytical ability

0% 20% 40% 60% 80% 100%

Key
- Significant progress
- Significant decline
- Change is not significant

† Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.
- Data not available. See Appendix A.
** Indicators are not the same at the national and state level.
See pages 72-75 for a Guide to Reading the State Pages.
See Appendix C for technical notes and sources.
### GOAL 7  Safe, Disciplined and Alcohol- and Drug-free Schools

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>California</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
<th>Range of State Scores</th>
<th>Median Scores†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reduced marijuana use? (1991, 1995)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>4-18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced alcohol use (more than 5 drinks in a row)? (1991, 1995)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>17-43%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced availability of drugs on school property? (1993, 1995)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>11-31%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced students threatened or injured with a weapon while on school property? (1993, 1995)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>6-15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced physical fights on school property? (1993, 1995)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>13-39%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced students carrying weapons on school property? (1993, 1995)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>8-18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced students not feeling safe at school? (1993, 1995)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>3-23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced teacher victimization? (1994)</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>23-60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced student disruptions? (1991, 1994)</td>
<td>43%</td>
<td>43%</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>23-60%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### GOAL 8  Parental Participation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>California</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
<th>Range of State Scores</th>
<th>Median Scores†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Decreased schools with minimal parental involvement</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>9-44%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teacher's perspective? (1991, 1994)</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>4-22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Principal's perspective? (1991, 1994)</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>8-37%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

† Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.  
** Indicators are not the same at the national and state level.  
* Baseline years and most recent update years may differ by state for this indicator.  
See Appendix C for more information.  
See pages 72-75 for a Guide to Reading the State Pages.  
See Appendix C for technical notes and sources.
COLORADO

GOAL 1  Ready to Learn

1. Reduced percentage of infants born with 1 or more health risks? (1990, 1995) 33% 31% 75% 79% 80 84 778 804 27 43
2. Increased percentage of 2-year-olds immunized? (1994, 1996) 75% 78% 70 73 758 813 8-38% 8-41% 29% 30%
3. Reduced number of infants (per 1,000) born with low birthweight? (1990, 1995) 70-73 48-151 53-134 71 75
4. Increased number of mothers (per 1,000) receiving early prenatal care? (1990, 1995) 758 813 469-868 560-900 778 828
5. Increased number of children with disabilities in preschool (per 1,000)? (1991, 1996) * Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.

GOAL 2  School Completion

6. Increased high school completion rate? (1990, 1995) 88% 88% 86% 86% 87% 88%
7. Reduced high school dropout rate? (1992, 1994) *

GOAL 3  Student Achievement and Citizenship

8. Increased reading achievement in Grade 4? (1992, 1994)*
9. Increased mathematics achievement *
   • in Grade 4? (1992, 1996) 17% 22% 18% 21% 15% 24% 16% 20%
   • in Grade 8? (1990, 1996) 17% 25% 20% 20% 20% 20% 15% 22%
10. Increased science achievement in Grade 8? (1996)

Children’s Health Index
Percentage of infants born with 1 or more health risks1 (Indicator 1)

1 Includes late (in third trimester) or no prenatal care, low maternal weight gain (less than 21 pounds), mother smoked during pregnancy, or mother drank alcohol during pregnancy.

High School Completion
Percentage of all 18- to 24-year-olds1 who have a high school credential2 (Indicator 6)

1 Does not include those still in high school.
2 Includes traditional high school diploma and alternative credential.

Median Scores1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Colorado</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
<th>Range of State Scores</th>
<th>Median Scores1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>baseline</td>
<td>update</td>
<td>progress?</td>
<td>baseline</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**KEY**

- Significant progress
- Significant decline
- Change is not significant

* Comparable national data are not available.
* Baseline years and most recent update years may differ by state for this indicator. See Appendix C for more information.

See pages 72-75 for a Guide to Reading the State Pages. See Appendix C for technical notes and sources.
GOAL 3  Student Achievement and Citizenship (continued)

11. Increased the number of Advanced Placement examinations receiving a grade of 3 or higher (per 1,000)? (1991, 1997)
   - Median (1991): 72
   - Median (1997): 87
   - U.S. baseline 55, update 85, progress? 9-177, 18-223

GOAL 4  Teacher Education and Professional Development

12. Increased the percentage of public secondary school teachers who hold
     - 74% (1991), 66% (1994)
     - U.S. baseline 66%, update 63%
     - Range of State Scores: 51-85%, 50-81%, 59-98%
     - 93% (1991), 93% (1994)
     - U.S. baseline 94%, update 93%
     - Range of State Scores: 91-100%, 89-100%, 98-97%

13. Increased the percentage of public school teachers participating in professional development on 1 or more selected topics? (1994)
   - Median: 88%
   - U.S. baseline 85%
   - Range of State Scores: 76-98%, 86%

   - Median: 21%
   - U.S. baseline 16%
   - Range of State Scores: 4-81%, 16%

15. Increased the percentage of beginning public school teachers participating in a formal teacher induction program? (1991, 1994)
   - Median: 20%
   - U.S. baseline 24%
   - Range of State Scores: 22%, 27%

GOAL 5  Mathematics and Science

16. International comparisons in mathematics and science will be reported in future Goals Panel reports.

---

**Notes:**

† Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.
° See Table 8 for the numbers for each subject area.
— Data not available. See Appendix A.
∞ This information had not been released when the 1997 Goals Report went to print.
See pages 72-75 for a Guide to Reading the State Pages. See Appendix C for technical notes and sources.

---

**Student Achievement**

Percentage of public school students who met the Goals Panel’s performance standard in reading and mathematics (Indicators 8 & 9)

- Reading Grade 4: 1992 - 25%, 1994 - 28%
- Mathematics Grade 4: 1992 - 17%, 1994 - 22%

**Professional Development**

Percentage of public school teachers participating in professional development on the following topics, 1994 (Indicator 13)

- One or more topics: 88%
- Uses of educational technology: 55%
- Methods of teaching subject field: 57%
- In-depth study in subject field: 32%
- Student assessment: 58%
GOAL 5  Mathematics and Science (continued)

17. Increased the percentage of public school 8th graders whose mathematics teachers
   - have students work in small groups or with a partner? (1996)
     - 75% — —
   - address Algebra and functions? (1996)
     - 55% — —
   - address reasoning and analytical ability? (1996)
     - 45% — —
18. Increased the percentage of public school 8th graders who have computers available
   in their mathematics classroom? (1996)
     - 27% — —
19. Increased mathematics and science degrees awarded to
     - 48% 51% ↑
   - minorities (Black, Hispanic, American Indian/Alaskan Native)? (1991, 1995)
     - 46% 48% ↑
     - 43% 47% ↑
GOAL 6  Adult Literacy and Lifelong Learning

20. Increased adult literacy? (1992)
   - — —
21. Increased the percentage of U.S. citizens
   - registered to vote? (1988, 1992)
     - 76% 77% ↔
     - 68% 71% ↔
   - 50% 52% ↔

**KEY**

↑ Significant progress
↓ Significant decline
↔ Change is not significant

† Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.
— Data not available. See Appendix A.
** Indicators are not the same at the national and state level.
See pages 72-75 for a Guide to Reading the State Pages.
See Appendix C for technical notes and sources.

1 At least once a week.
2 On a 4-point scale from “none” to “a lot,” defined as a response to the top point.
**GOAL 7** Safe, Disciplined and Alcohol- and Drug-free Schools

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>1995</th>
<th>1997</th>
<th>Change?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reduced marijuana use? (1995)*</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced alcohol use (more than 5 drinks in a row)? (1995)*</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced availability of drugs on school property? (1995)*</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced students threatened or injured with a weapon while on school property? (1995)*</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced staff availability on school property? (1995)*</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced students carrying weapons on school property? (1995)*</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced students not feeling safe at school? (1995)*</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced student disruptions? (1991, 1994)</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>49%</td>
<td>↓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**GOAL 8** Parental Participation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>1991</th>
<th>1994</th>
<th>Change?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Decreased schools with minimal parental involvement</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>↑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teacher’s perspective? (1991, 1994)</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>↑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Principal’s perspective? (1991, 1994)</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>↑</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Median Scores**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Median Scores†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reduced marijuana use? (1995)*</td>
<td>7-32%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced alcohol use (more than 5 drinks in a row)? (1995)*</td>
<td>13-43%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced availability of drugs on school property? (1995)*</td>
<td>20-46%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced students threatened or injured with a weapon while on school property? (1995)*</td>
<td>4-11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced staff availability on school property? (1995)*</td>
<td>12-18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced students carrying weapons on school property? (1995)*</td>
<td>7-14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced students not feeling safe at school? (1995)*</td>
<td>3-16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced student disruptions? (1991, 1994)</td>
<td>23-60%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Key**

- ↑ Significant progress
- ↓ Significant decline
- ↔ Change is not significant

† Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.
** Indicators are not the same at the national and state level.
* Baseline years and most recent update years may differ by state for this indicator. See Appendix C for more information.

**Parental Participation**

Percentage of public school principals who reported that the parent association has influence on the following areas (Indicator 33)

1 On a 6-point scale from no influence to a great deal of influence, defined as a response to the top two points.

---

**Colorado**

- **Establishing curriculum:** 1991: 15%, 1994: 20%
- **Hiring new full-time teachers:** 1991: 7%, 1994: 13%
- **Setting discipline policy:** 1991: 23%, 1994: 40%

---

1 During the past 30 days.
2 During the past 12 months.
CONNECTICUT

GOAL 1 Ready to Learn

1. Reduced percentage of infants born with 1 or more health risks? (1990, 1995) 25% 25% # Change is not significant
2. Increased percentage of 2-year-olds immunized? (1994, 1996) 86% 88% # Change is not significant
3. Reduced number of infants (per 1,000) born with low birthweight? (1990, 1995) 66 71 # Change is not significant
4. Increased number of mothers (per 1,000) receiving early prenatal care? (1990, 1995) 849 878 # Change is not significant
5. Increased number of children with disabilities in preschool (per 1,000)? (1991, 1996) 41 51 # Change is not significant

GOAL 2 School Completion

6. Increased high school completion rate? (1990, 1995) 90% 96% # Change is not significant
7. Reduced high school dropout rate? (1993, 1994) * 5% 5% # Change is not significant

GOAL 3 Student Achievement and Citizenship

8. Increased reading achievement in Grade 4? (1992, 1994) * 34% 38% 
9. Increased mathematics achievement *
   • in Grade 4? (1992, 1996) 24% 31% # Change is not significant
   • in Grade 8? (1990, 1996) 22% 31% # Change is not significant
10. Increased science achievement in Grade 8? (1996) 36% — # Change is not significant

*Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.
*Comparable national data are not available.
*Baseline years and most recent update years may differ by state for this indicator. See Appendix A.
Source: See pages 72-75 for a Guide to Reading the State Pages. See Appendix C for technical notes and sources.

KEY

↑ Significant progress
↓ Significant decline
↔ Change is not significant

Children’s Health Index
Percentage of infants born with 1 or more health risks1 (Indicator 1)

High School Completion
Percentage of all 18- to 24-year-olds1 who have a high school credential2 (Indicator 6)
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GOAL 3  Student Achievement and Citizenship (continued)

11. Increased the number of Advanced Placement examinations receiving a grade of 3 or higher (per 1,000)? (1991, 1997)°
   83  132  ↑
   55  85  ↑
   9-177  18-223  41  65

GOAL 4  Teacher Education and Professional Development

12. Increased the percentage of public secondary school teachers who hold
   • a degree in main teaching assignment? (1991, 1994)
     76%  74%  ↔
     66%  63%  ↑
     51-85%  50-81%  69%  64%
   • a teaching certificate in main teaching assignment? (1991, 1994)
     99%  99%  ↔
     94%  93%  ↓
     91-100%  89-100%  98%  97%

13. Increased the percentage of public school teachers participating in professional development on 1 or more selected topics? (1994)
   92% —
   85% —
   76-98% —
   86% —

14. Increased the percentage of public school teachers with training to teach limited English-proficient students? (1994)
   17% —
   16% —
   4-81% —
   16% —

15. Increased the percentage of beginning public school teachers participating in a formal teacher induction program? (1991, 1994)
   13%  19%  ↑
   22%  27%  ↑
   6-42%  7-48%  20%  23%

GOAL 5  Mathematics and Science

16. International comparisons in mathematics and science will be reported in future Goals Panel reports.°°

---

**KEY**

↑ Significant progress
° Significant decline
 ↔ Change is not significant

† Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.
* See Table 8 for the numbers for each subject area.
— Data not available. See Appendix A.
∞ This information had not been released when the 1997 Goals Report went to print.

---

A complete description of the performance standard can be found in Appendix C.

Interpret with caution. Change was not statistically significant.
## GOAL 5  Mathematics and Science (continued)

17. Increased the percentage of public school 8th graders whose mathematics teachers
   • have students work in small groups or with a partner? (1996) 63% — 66% — 45-92% — 67% —
   • address Algebra and functions? (1996) 64% — 57% — 45-82% — 58% —
   • address reasoning and analytical ability? (1996) 59% — 52% — 39-64% — 48% —
18. Increased the percentage of public school 8th graders who have computers available
   in their mathematics classroom? (1996) 20% — 30% — 7-54% — 30% —
19. Increased mathematics and science degrees awarded to
   • all students? (1991, 1995) 43% 50% ↑ 39% 42% ↑ 25-49% 15-53% 39% 42%
   • minorities (Black, Hispanic, American Indian/Alaskan Native)? (1991, 1995) 47% 52% ↑ 39% 40% ↑ 22-64% 22-57% 39% 39%
   • female students? (1991, 1995) 37% 47% ↑ 35% 37% ↑ 23-46% 13-47% 33% 36%

## GOAL 6  Adult Literacy and Lifelong Learning

20. Increased adult literacy? (1992) — — 52% — 46-77% — 53% —
21. Increased the percentage of U.S. citizens
   • registered to vote? (1988, 1992) 78% 82% ↔ 70% 73% ↑ 58-95% 63-92% 71% 75%
   • voting? (1988, 1992) 68% 77% ↑ 61% 66% ↑ 50-74% 55-77% 62% 68%

### KEY

- ↑ Significant progress
- ↓ Significant decline
- ↔ Change is not significant

† Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.
— Data not available. See Appendix A.
** Indicates are not the same at the national and state level.
⊙ Sample size does not permit a reliable estimate of change.

See pages 72-75 for a Guide to Reading the State Pages.
See Appendix C for technical notes and sources.

1 At least once a week.
2 On a 4-point scale from "none" to "a lot," defined as a response to the top point.
### GOAL 7  Safe, Disciplined and Alcohol- and Drug-free Schools

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>23. Reduced marijuana use?</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>4-18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24. Reduced alcohol use (more than 5 drinks in a row)?</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>17-43%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25. Reduced availability of drugs on school property?</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>11-31%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26. Reduced students threatened or injured with a weapon while on school property?</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>6-15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27. Reduced physical fights on school property?</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>13-30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28. Reduced students carrying weapons on school property?</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>8-18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29. Reduced students not feeling safe at school?</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>3-23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30. Reduced teacher victimization?</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td></td>
<td>8-26%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31. Reduced student disruptions?</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>47%</td>
<td></td>
<td>23-60%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### GOAL 8  Parental Participation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>32. Decreased schools with minimal parental involvement</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>9-44%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Teacher’s perspective?</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>4-22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Principal’s perspective?</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>8-37%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**KEY**

- **Significant progress**
- **Significant decline**
- **Change is not significant**

† Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.

** Indicators are not the same at the national and state level.

* Baseline years and most recent update years may differ by state for this indicator. See Appendix C for more information. See pages 72-75 for a Guide to Reading the State Pages. See Appendix C for technical notes and sources.

---

**CONNECTICUT**

---

**Parent-School Partnerships**

Percentage of public school principals who reported that the parent association has influence on the following areas (Indicator 33)

- **One or more areas**
- **Establishing curriculum**
- **Hiring new full-time teachers**
- **Setting discipline policy**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1991</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1994</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:**

- Significant progress
- Significant decline
- Change is not significant

1 On a 6-point scale from no influence to a great deal of influence, defined as a response to the top two points.

ns Interpret with caution. Change was not statistically significant.
### GOAL 1 Ready to Learn

1. Reduced percentage of infants born with 1 or more health risks? (1990, 1995)  
   - Delaware: 40% baseline, 39% update  
   - U.S.: 37% baseline, 34% update  
   - Range of State Scores: 25-48% baseline, 24-46% update  
   - Median Scores: 38% baseline, 35% update

   - Delaware: 81% baseline, 81% update  
   - U.S.: 75% baseline, 78% update  
   - Range of State Scores: 61-88% baseline, 64-88% update  
   - Median Scores: 76% baseline, 79% update

3. Reduced number of infants (per 1,000) born with low birthweight? (1990, 1995)  
   - Delaware: 76 baseline, 84 update  
   - U.S.: 70 baseline, 73 update  
   - Range of State Scores: 48-151 baseline, 53-134 update  
   - Median Scores: 71 baseline, 75 update

4. Increased number of mothers (per 1,000) receiving early prenatal care? (1990, 1995)  
   - Delaware: 795 baseline, 853 update  
   - U.S.: 758 baseline, 813 update  
   - Range of State Scores: 469-868 baseline, 560-900 update  
   - Median Scores: 778 baseline, 828 update

5. Increased number of children with disabilities in preschool (per 1,000)? (1991, 1996)  
   - Delaware: 51 baseline, 60 update  
   - U.S.: * baseline, * update  
   - Range of State Scores: 16-68 baseline, 16-92 update  
   - Median Scores: 38 baseline, 47 update

### GOAL 2 School Completion

   - Delaware: 86% baseline, 89% update  
   - U.S.: 86% baseline, 86% update  
   - Range of State Scores: 77-96% baseline, 79-96% update  
   - Median Scores: 87% baseline, 88% update

   - Delaware: 4% baseline, 5% update  
   - U.S.: * baseline, * update  
   - Range of State Scores: 2-10% baseline, 3-10% update  
   - Median Scores: 5% baseline, 5% update

### GOAL 3 Student Achievement and Citizenship

8. Increased reading achievement in Grade 4? (1992, 1994)  
   - Delaware: 24% baseline, 23% update  
   - U.S.: 29% baseline, 30% update  
   - Range of State Scores: 8-38% baseline, 8-41% update  
   - Median Scores: 26% baseline, 27% update

9. Increased mathematics achievement *  
   - in Grade 4? (1992, 1996)  
   - Delaware: 17% baseline, 16% update  
   - U.S.: 18% baseline, 21% update  
   - Range of State Scores: 5-27% baseline, 3-31% update  
   - Median Scores: 16% baseline, 20% update

   - in Grade 8? (1990, 1996)  
   - Delaware: 14% baseline, 19% update  
   - U.S.: 15% baseline, 24% update  
   - Range of State Scores: 1-27% baseline, 5-34% update  
   - Median Scores: 15% baseline, 22% update

10. Increased science achievement in Grade 8? (1996)  
    - Delaware: 21% baseline, — update  
    - U.S.: 29% baseline, — update  
    - Range of State Scores: 5-41% baseline, — update  
    - Median Scores: 27% baseline, — update

---

**KEY**

- **Significant progress**  
- **Significant decline**  
- **Change is not significant**

† Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.  
* Comparable national data are not available.  
* Data not available. See Appendix A.  
* Baseline years and most recent update years may differ by state for this indicator. See Appendix C for more information.  
See pages 72-75 for a Guide to Reading the State Pages.  
See Appendix C for technical notes and sources.

---

1 Includes late (in third trimester) or no prenatal care, low maternal weight gain (less than 21 pounds), mother smoked during pregnancy, or mother drank alcohol during pregnancy.  
2 Interpret with caution. Change was not statistically significant.

---

**Children’s Health Index**  
Percentage of infants born with 1 or more health risks* (Indicator 1)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Delaware</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
<th>Median Scores†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1990</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>39%</td>
<td>38%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1995</td>
<td>39%</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>35%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**High School Completion**  
Percentage of all 18- to 24-year-olds1 who have a high school credential2 (Indicator 6)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Delaware</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
<th>Median Scores†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1990</td>
<td>88%</td>
<td>89%</td>
<td>89%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1995</td>
<td>86%</td>
<td>85%</td>
<td>85%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Does not include those still in high school.  
2 Includes traditional high school diploma and alternative credential.  
3 Interpret with caution. Change was not statistically significant.
## GOAL 3  Student Achievement and Citizenship (continued)

11. Increased the number of Advanced Placement examinations receiving a grade of 3 or higher (per 1,000)? (1991, 1997)†

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Delaware</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
<th>Range of State Scores</th>
<th>Median Scores†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>baseline</td>
<td>update</td>
<td>progress?</td>
<td>baseline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## GOAL 4  Teacher Education and Professional Development

12. Increased the percentage of public secondary school teachers who hold
   • a degree in main teaching assignment? (1991, 1994) 73% 71% ↔ 66% 63% ↓ 51-85% 50-81% 69% 64%
   • a teaching certificate in main teaching assignment? (1991, 1994) 99% 94% ↓ 94% 93% ↓ 91-100% 89-100% 98% 97%

13. Increased the percentage of public school teachers participating in professional development on 1 or more selected topics? (1994) 86% — 85% — 76-98% — 86% —

14. Increased the percentage of public school teachers with training to teach limited English-proficient students? (1994) 9% — 16% — 4-81% — 16% —

15. Increased the percentage of beginning public school teachers participating in a formal teacher induction program? (1991, 1994) 20% 27% ↑ 22% 27% ↑ 6-42% 7-48% 20% 23%

## GOAL 5  Mathematics and Science

16. International comparisons in mathematics and science will be reported in future Goals Panel reports.™

### INTERNATIONAL COMPARISONS 📚

**Student Achievement**
- Percentage of public school students who met the Goals Panel's performance standard in reading and mathematics (Indicators 8 & 9)

![Graph showing student achievement](image)

**Professional Development**
- Percentage of public school teachers participating in professional development on the following topics, 1994 (Indicator 13)

![Graph showing professional development](image)

---

† Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.

™ See Table 8 for the numbers for each subject area.

— Data not available. See Appendix A.

∞ This information had not been released when the 1997 Goals Report went to print.

See pages 72-75 for a Guide to Reading the State Pages. See Appendix C for technical notes and sources.

---

1 A complete description of the performance standard can be found in Appendix C.

™™ Interpret with caution. Change was not statistically significant.
### DELAWARE

#### GOAL 5  Mathematics and Science (continued)

17. Increased the percentage of public school 8th graders whose mathematics teachers
   - have students work in small groups or with a partner? (1996) 70% — —
   - address Algebra and functions? (1996) 62% — —
   - address reasoning and analytical ability? (1996) 51% — —

18. Increased the percentage of public school 8th graders who have computers available in their mathematics classroom? (1996) 31% — —

19. Increased mathematics and science degrees awarded to
   - all students? (1991, 1995) 46% 45% —
   - minorities (Black, Hispanic, American Indian/Alaskan Native)? (1991, 1995) 38% 35% —
   - female students? (1991, 1995) 40% 40% —

#### GOAL 6  Adult Literacy and Lifelong Learning

20. Increased adult literacy? (1992) — —

21. Increased the percentage of U.S. citizens
   - registered to vote? (1988, 1992) 65% 73% —
   - voting? (1988, 1992) 60% 68% —

22. Increased postsecondary enrollment? (1992, 1994) 57% 65% —

### Scorecards #1 (AL-MS) 10/30/97 10:11 AM  Page 106

---

**KEY**

- **Significant progress**
- **Significant decline**
- **Change is not significant**

† Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.

— Data not available. See Appendix A.

** Indicators are not the same at the national and state level.

Δ Sample size does not permit a reliable estimate of change. 
See pages 72-75 for a Guide to Reading the State Pages. 
See Appendix C for technical notes and sources.

---

**Mathematics Instruction**

Percentage of public school 8th graders whose mathematics teachers do the following, 1996 (Indicator 17)

- **Work in small groups or with a partner**
  - 70%

- **Address Algebra and functions**
  - 62%

- **Address reasoning & analytical ability**
  - 51%

---

1 At least once a week.

2 On a 4-point scale from “none” to “a lot,” defined as a response to the top point.
### GOAL 7  Safe, Disciplined and Alcohol- and Drug-free Schools

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Delaware</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
<th>Range of State Scores</th>
<th>Median Scores†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>23. Reduced marijuana use? (1991, 1995)*</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>** **</td>
<td>4-18% 7-32%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24. Reduced alcohol use (more than 5 drinks in a row)? (1991, 1995)*</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>** **</td>
<td>17-43% 13-43%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25. Reduced availability of drugs on school property? (1993, 1995)*</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>** **</td>
<td>11-31% 20-46%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26. Reduced students threatened or injured with a weapon while on school property? (1993, 1995)*</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>** **</td>
<td>6-15% 4-11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27. Reduced physical fights on school property? (1993, 1995)*</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>** **</td>
<td>13-30% 12-19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28. Reduced students carrying weapons on school property? (1993, 1995)*</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>** **</td>
<td>8-18% 7-14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29. Reduced students not feeling safe at school? (1993, 1995)*</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>** **</td>
<td>3-23% 3-16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30. Reduced teacher victimization? (1994)</td>
<td>20% —</td>
<td>15% —</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>8-26% —</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31. Reduced student disruptions? (1991, 1994)</td>
<td>48% 65%</td>
<td>37% 46%</td>
<td>23-60% 33-65%</td>
<td>37% 47%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### GOAL 8  Parental Participation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Delaware</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
<th>Range of State Scores</th>
<th>Median Scores†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>32. Decreased schools with minimal parental involvement</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Teacher’s perspective? (1991, 1994)</td>
<td>29% 27%</td>
<td>** **</td>
<td>9-44% 13-50%</td>
<td>23% 27%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Principal’s perspective? (1991, 1994)</td>
<td>17% 7%</td>
<td>** **</td>
<td>4-22% 3-27%</td>
<td>13% 13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33. Increased influence of parent associations? (1991, 1994)</td>
<td>21% 28%</td>
<td>** **</td>
<td>8-37% 12-50%</td>
<td>16% 22%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**KEY**
- **Significant progress**
- **Significant decline**
- **Change is not significant**

† Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.
** Indicators are not the same at the national and state level.
* Baseline years and most recent update years may differ by state for this indicator. See Appendix C for more information. See pages 72-75 for a Guide to Reading the State Pages. See Appendix C for technical notes and sources.

---

**Parent-School Partnerships**
Percentage of public school principals who reported that the parent association has influence on the following areas (Indicator 33)

- **Establishing curriculum**
- **Hiring new full-time teachers**
- **Setting discipline policy**

---

1 On a 6-point scale from no influence to a great deal of influence, defined as a response to the top two points.
ns Interpret with caution. Change was not statistically significant.
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

GOAL 1 Ready to Learn

1. Reduced percentage of infants born with 1 or more health risks? (1990, 1995) 48% 42% ↑
2. Increased percentage of 2-year-olds immunized? (1994, 1996) 73% 80% ↔
3. Reduced number of infants (per 1,000) born with low birthweight? (1990, 1995) 151 134 ↑
4. Increased number of mothers (per 1,000) receiving early prenatal care? (1990, 1995) 562 598 ↑
5. Increased number of children with disabilities in preschool (per 1,000)? (1991, 1996) 19 16 ↓

GOAL 2 School Completion

6. Increased high school completion rate? (1990, 1995) 82% 88% ↔
7. Reduced high school dropout rate? (1992, 1994) 12% 10% ↑

GOAL 3 Student Achievement and Citizenship

8. Increased reading achievement in Grade 4? (1992) 10% —
9. Increased mathematics achievement? (1990, 1996) 5% 5% ↔
   • in Grade 4? (1992, 1996) 5% 5% ↔
   • in Grade 8? (1990, 1996) 3% 5% ↔
10. Increased science achievement in Grade 8? (1996) 5% —

Children’s Health Index

Percentage of infants born with 1 or more health risks1 (Indicator 1)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1990</td>
<td>48%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1995</td>
<td>42%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

High School Completion

Percentage of all 18- to 24-year-olds1 who have a high school credential2 (Indicator 6)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1990</td>
<td>82%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1995</td>
<td>88%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Includes late (in third trimester) or no prenatal care, low maternal weight gain (less than 21 pounds), mother smoked during pregnancy, or mother drank alcohol during pregnancy.
2 Does not include those still in high school.

MEDIAN SCORES†

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>District of Columbia</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
<th>Range of State Scores</th>
<th>Median Scores†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>baseline</td>
<td>update</td>
<td>progress?</td>
<td>baseline</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

† Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.
* Comparable national data are not available.
— Data not available. See Appendix A.
* Baseline years and most recent update years may differ by state for this indicator. See Appendix C for more information.
See pages 72-75 for a Guide to Reading the State Pages. See Appendix C for technical notes and sources.
GOAL 3  Student Achievement and Citizenship (continued)

11. Increased the number of Advanced Placement examinations receiving a grade of 3 or higher (per 1,000)? (1991, 1997)†
   - District of Columbia: 177, 223
   - U.S.: 55, 85
   - Range of State Scores: 9-177, 18-223
   - Median Scores: 41, 65

GOAL 4  Teacher Education and Professional Development

12. Increased the percentage of public secondary school teachers who hold
     - District of Columbia: 85%, 73%
     - U.S.: 66%, 63%
     - Range of State Scores: 51-85%, 50-81%
     - Median Scores: 69%, 64%

13. Increased the percentage of public school teachers participating in professional development on 1 or more selected topics? (1994)
   - District of Columbia: 92%
   - U.S.: 85%
   - Range of State Scores: 76-98%
   - Median Scores: 86%

14. Increased the percentage of public school teachers with training to teach limited English-proficient students? (1994)
   - District of Columbia: 25%
   - U.S.: 16%
   - Range of State Scores: 4-81%
   - Median Scores: 16%

15. Increased the percentage of beginning public school teachers participating in a formal teacher induction program? (1991, 1994)
   - District of Columbia: 37%, 39%
   - U.S.: 22%, 27%
   - Range of State Scores: 6-42%, 7-48%
   - Median Scores: 20%, 23%

GOAL 5  Mathematics and Science

16. International comparisons in mathematics and science will be reported in future Goals Panel reports.™

---

**KEY**

- Significant progress
- Significant decline
- Change is not significant

† Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.

See Table 8 for the numbers for each subject area.

— Data not available. See Appendix A.

This information had not been released when the 1997 Goals Report went to print.

See pages 72-75 for a Guide to Reading the State Pages.

See Appendix C for technical notes and sources.

A complete description of the performance standard can be found in Appendix C.
GOAL 5  Mathematics and Science (continued)

17. Increased the percentage of public school 8th graders whose mathematics teachers
   • have students work in small groups or with a partner? (1996) 92% — — 45-92% — 67% —
   • address Algebra and functions? (1996) 64% — — 45-82% — 58% —
   • address reasoning and analytical ability? (1996) 64% — — 39-64% — 48% —

18. Increased the percentage of public school 8th graders who have computers available
   in their mathematics classroom? (1996) 42% — — 7-54% — 30% —

19. Increased mathematics and science degrees awarded to
   • all students? (1991, 1995) 49% 53% ↑ 39% 42% ↑ 25-49% 15-53% 39% 42%
   • minorities (Black, Hispanic, American Indian/Alaskan Native)? (1991, 1995) 44% 47% ↑ 39% 40% ↑ 22-64% 22-57% 39% 39%
   • female students? (1991, 1995) 46% 44% ↑ 35% 37% ↑ 23-46% 13-47% 33% 36%

GOAL 6  Adult Literacy and Lifelong Learning

20. Increased adult literacy? (1992) — — — 52% — 46-77% — 53% —

21. Increased the percentage of U.S. citizens
   • registered to vote? (1988, 1992) 66% 81% ↑ 70% 73% ↑ 58-95% 63-92% 71% 75%
   • voting? (1988, 1992) 56% 72% ↑ 61% 66% ↑ 50-74% 55-77% 62% 68%

   33% 71% ** 33-68% 37-71% 53% 55%

KEY

↑ Significant progress
↓ Significant decline
← Change is not significant

† Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.
** Data not available. See Appendix A.
• Indicators are not the same at the national and state level.
⊙ Sample size does not permit a reliable estimate of change.
See pages 72-75 for a Guide to Reading the State Pages.
See Appendix A for technical notes and sources.
GOAL 7  Safe, Disciplined and Alcohol- and Drug-free Schools

23. Reduced marijuana use? (1993)*
   18%  —  **  **
   7-21%  —  14%  —

24. Reduced alcohol use (more than 5 drinks in a row)? (1993)*
   16%  —  **  **
   9-44%  —  28%  —

25. Reduced availability of drugs on school property? (1993)*
   16%  —  **  **
   11-31%  —  22%  —

26. Reduced students threatened or injured with a weapon while on school property? (1993)*
   11%  —  **  **
   6-15%  —  8%  —

27. Reduced physical fights on school property? (1993)*
   18%  —  **  **
   13-30%  —  16%  —

28. Reduced students carrying weapons on school property? (1993)*
   16%  —  **  **
   8-18%  —  12%  —

29. Reduced students not feeling safe at school? (1993)*
   11%  —  **  **
   3-23%  —  6%  —

30. Reduced teacher victimization? (1994)
   26%  —  15%  —
   8-26%  —  14%  —

   60%  63%  ↔
   37%  46%  ↓
   23-60%  33-65%

GOAL 8  Parental Participation

32. Decreased schools with minimal parental involvement
   • Teacher’s perspective? (1991, 1994)
     44%  50%  ↔
     9-44%  13-50%

   • Principal’s perspective? (1991, 1994)
     14%  24%  ↔
     4-22%  3-27%

   34%  29%  ↔
   8-37%  12-50%

**Indicators are not the same at the national and state level.**
— Data not available. See Appendix A.
• Baseline years and most recent update years may differ by state
  for this indicator. See Appendix C for more information.

Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.
** Indicators are not the same at the national and state level.
Data not available. See Appendix A.
* Baseline years and most recent update years may differ by state
  for this indicator. See Appendix C for more information.
GOAL 1  Ready to Learn

1. Reduced percentage of infants born with 1 or more health risks? (1990, 1995) 37% 31% ↑ 37% 34% ↑ 25-46% 24-46% 38% 35%
2. Increased percentage of 2-year-olds immunized? (1994, 1996) 76% 78% ↓ 75% 78% ↑ 61-88% 64-88% 76% 79%
3. Reduced number of infants (per 1,000) born with low birthweight? (1990, 1995) 74 77 ↓ 70 73 ↑ 48-151 53-134 71 75
4. Increased number of mothers (per 1,000) receiving early prenatal care? (1990, 1995) 724 826 ↑ 758 813 ↑ 469-868 560-900 778 828
5. Increased number of children with disabilities in preschool (per 1,000)? (1991, 1996) 30 45 ↑ * * ↑ 16-68 16-92 38 47

GOAL 2  School Completion

6. Increased high school completion rate? (1990, 1995) 83% 80% ↑ 86% 86% ↑ 77-96% 79-96% 87% 88%
7. Reduced high school dropout rate? (1992, 1994)* — — — — 3-12% 3-10% 5% 5%

GOAL 3  Student Achievement and Citizenship

8. Increased reading achievement in Grade 4? (1992, 1994)* 21% 23% ↔ 29% 30% ↔ 8-38% 8-41% 26% 27%
9. Increased mathematics achievement* * in Grade 4? (1992, 1996) 13% 15% ↔ 18% 21% ↑ 5-27% 3-31% 16% 20%
** in Grade 8? (1990, 1996) 12% 17% ↑ 15% 24% ↑ 1-27% 5-34% 15% 22%
10. Increased science achievement in Grade 8? (1996) 21% — ↑ 29% — ↑ 5-41% — 27% —

FLORIDA

Children’s Health Index Percentage of infants born with 1 or more health risks* (Indicator 1)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>FLORIDA</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
<th>Median Scores†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1990</td>
<td>37% 31%</td>
<td>37% 34%</td>
<td>38% 35%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1995</td>
<td>31% 37%</td>
<td>34% 37%</td>
<td>35% 38%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

High School Completion Percentage of all 18- to 24-year-olds† who have a high school credential‡ (Indicator 6)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>FLORIDA</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
<th>Median Scores†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1990</td>
<td>83% 80%</td>
<td>86% 86%</td>
<td>87% 88%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1995</td>
<td>80% 83%</td>
<td>86% 86%</td>
<td>88% 87%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

† Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.
* Comparable national data are not available.
* Data not available. See Appendix A.
† Baseline years and most recent update years may differ by state for this indicator. See Appendix C for more information. See pages 72-75 for a Guide to Reading the State Pages. See Appendix C for technical notes and sources.

1 Includes late (in third trimester) or no prenatal care, low maternal weight gain (less than 21 pounds), mother smoked during pregnancy, or mother drank alcohol during pregnancy.
‡ Does not include those still in high school.
‡ Includes traditional high school diploma and alternative credential.
11. Increased the number of Advanced Placement examinations receiving a grade of 3 or higher (per 1,000)? (1991, 1997)

GOAL 3  Student Achievement and Citizenship (continued)


GOAL 4  Teacher Education and Professional Development

13. Increased the percentage of public school teachers participating in professional development on 1 or more selected topics? (1994)

14. Increased the percentage of public school teachers with training to teach limited English-proficient students? (1994)

15. Increased the percentage of beginning public school teachers participating in a formal teacher induction program? (1991, 1994)

GOAL 5  Mathematics and Science

16. International comparisons in mathematics and science will be reported in future Goals Panel reports.
### GOAL 5  Mathematics and Science (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Florida</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
<th>Range of State Scores</th>
<th>Median Scores</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>baseline</td>
<td>update</td>
<td>progress?</td>
<td>baseline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17. Increased the percentage of public school 8th graders whose mathematics teachers</td>
<td>67%</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>45-92%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• have students work in small groups or with a partner? (1996)</td>
<td>59%</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• address Algebra and functions? (1996)</td>
<td>53%</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18. Increased the percentage of public school 8th graders who have computers available in their mathematics classroom? (1996)</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>7-54%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19. Increased mathematics and science degrees awarded to</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>39%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• all students? (1991, 1995)</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>↓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• minorities (Black, Hispanic, American Indian/Alaskan Native)? (1991, 1995)</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>↑</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### GOAL 6  Adult Literacy and Lifelong Learning

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Florida</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
<th>Range of State Scores</th>
<th>Median Scores</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>baseline</td>
<td>update</td>
<td>progress?</td>
<td>baseline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20. Increased adult literacy? (1992)</td>
<td>51%</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>46-77%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21. Increased the percentage of U.S. citizens</td>
<td>69%</td>
<td>69%</td>
<td>↔</td>
<td>70%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• registered to vote? (1988, 1992)</td>
<td>59%</td>
<td>62%</td>
<td>↔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22. Increased postsecondary enrollment? (1992, 1994)</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>49%</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**KEY**

- ![Symbol] Significant progress
- ![Symbol] Significant decline
- ![Symbol] Change is not significant

† Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.
— Data not available. See Appendix A.
** Indicators are not the same at the national and state level. See pages 72-75 for a Guide to Reading the State Pages. See Appendix C for technical notes and sources.

---

**Mathematics Instruction**

Percentage of public school 8th graders whose mathematics teachers do the following, 1996 (Indicator 17)

- Work in small groups or with a partner: 67%
- Address Algebra and functions: 59%
- Address reasoning & analytical ability: 53%

---

**Adult Literacy**

Percentage of adults who scored at 3 highest levels in Prose Literacy (Indicator 20)

- 49% 3 highest levels
- 51% 2 lowest levels
GOAL 7  Safe, Disciplined and Alcohol- and Drug-free Schools

  - 4-18% 7-32% 10% 23%
- **24. Reduced alcohol use (more than 5 drinks in a row)? (1991, 1995)**
  - 17-43% 13-43% 30% 31%
  - 11-31% 20-46% 22% 30%
- **26. Reduced students threatened or injured with a weapon while on school property? (1993, 1995)**
  - 6-15% 4-11% 8% 8%
- **27. Reduced physical fights on school property? (1993, 1995)**
  - 13-30% 12-19% 16% 15%
  - 8-18% 7-14% 12% 11%
- **29. Reduced students not feeling safe at school? (1993, 1995)**
  - 3-23% 3-16% 6% 5%
- **30. Reduced teacher victimization? (1994)**
  - 21% 15% 8-26% 14%
  - 46% 58% 37% 46%

GOAL 8  Parental Participation

- **32. Decreased schools with minimal parental involvement**
    - 33% 33% 5-44% 13-50% 23% 27%
    - 18% 22% 4-22% 3-27% 13% 13%
    - 26% 34% 8-37% 12-50% 16% 22%
## GOAL 1  Ready to Learn

1. Reduced percentage of infants born with 1 or more health risks? (1990, 1995)
   - Georgia: 35% 32% ▲
   - U.S.: 37% 34% ▲
   - Range of State Scores: 25-48% 24-46% 38% 35%
   - Median Scores: —

   - Georgia: 79% 83% ▲
   - U.S.: 75% 78% ▲
   - Range of State Scores: 61-88% 64-88% 76% 79%
   - Median Scores: 48-151 53-134 71 75

3. Reduced number of infants (per 1,000) born with low birthweight? (1990, 1995)
   - Georgia: 87 88 ▲
   - U.S.: 70 73 ▲
   - Range of State Scores: 48-151 53-134 71 75
   - Median Scores: —

4. Increased number of mothers (per 1,000) receiving early prenatal care? (1990, 1995)
   - Georgia: 731 842 ▲
   - U.S.: 758 813 ▲
   - Range of State Scores: 469-868 560-900 778 828
   - Median Scores: 16-68 16-92 38 47

5. Increased number of children with disabilities in preschool (per 1,000)? (1991, 1996)
   - Georgia: 24 40 ▲
   - U.S.: —
   - Range of State Scores: —
   - Median Scores: —

## GOAL 2  School Completion

   - Georgia: 86% 81% ▲
   - U.S.: 86% 86% ▲
   - Range of State Scores: 77-96% 79-96% 87% 88%
   - Median Scores: 7-10% — 5% —

7. Reduced high school dropout rate? (1994)*
   - Georgia: 9% —
   - U.S.: * —
   - Range of State Scores: —
   - Median Scores: —

## GOAL 3  Student Achievement and Citizenship

8. Increased reading achievement in Grade 4? (1992, 1994)*
   - Georgia: 25% 26% ▲
   - U.S.: 29% 30% ▲
   - Range of State Scores: 8-38% 8-41% 26% 27%
   - Median Scores: —

9. Increased mathematics achievement *
   - * in Grade 4? (1992, 1996)
     - Georgia: 15% 13% ▲
     - U.S.: 18% 21% ▲
     - Range of State Scores: 5-27% 3-31% 16% 20%
   - * in Grade 8? (1990, 1996)
     - Georgia: 14% 16% ▲
     - U.S.: 15% 24% ▲
     - Range of State Scores: 1-27% 5-34% 15% 22%

10. Increased science achievement in Grade 8? (1996)
    - Georgia: 21% —
    - U.S.: 29% —
    - Range of State Scores: —
    - Median Scores: —

### Children's Health Index

**Percentage of infants born with 1 or more health risks**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Georgia</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
<th>Median Scores</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1990</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>25-48%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1995</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td>24-46%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Definitions**

- ▲: Significant progress
- ▼: Significant decline
- ×: Change is not significant

**Notes**

- †: Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.
- *:Comparable national data are not available.
- ‡: Significantly lower.
- —: Data not available. See Appendix A.
- **: Baseline years and most recent update years may differ by state for this indicator. See Appendix C for more information.
- †: Baseline years and most recent update years may differ by state for this indicator. See Appendix C for more information.
- 1: Includes late (in third trimester) or no prenatal care, low maternal weight gain (less than 21 pounds), mother smoked during pregnancy, or mother drank alcohol during pregnancy.
- 2: Includes traditional high school diploma and alternative credential.
GOAL 3  Student Achievement and Citizenship (continued)

11. Increased the number of Advanced Placement examinations receiving a grade of 3 or higher (per 1,000)? (1991, 1997)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Georgia</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
<th>Range of State Scores</th>
<th>Median Scores†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>baseline</td>
<td>update</td>
<td>progress?</td>
<td>baseline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

GOAL 4  Teacher Education and Professional Development

12. Increased the percentage of public secondary school teachers who hold
   a degree in main teaching assignment? (1991, 1994)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(°)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

13. Increased the percentage of public school teachers participating in professional development on 1 or more selected topics? (1994)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(°)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

14. Increased the percentage of public school teachers with training to teach limited English-proficient students? (1994)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(°)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

15. Increased the percentage of beginning public school teachers participating in a formal teacher induction program? (1991, 1994)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(°)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

GOAL 5  Mathematics and Science

16. International comparisons in mathematics and science will be reported in future Goals Panel reports.*

---

**KEY**

↑ Significant progress
↓ Significant decline
↔ Change is not significant

† Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.
° See Table 8 for the numbers for each subject area.
∞ This information had not been released when the 1997 Goals Report went to print.
See pages 72-75 for a Guide to Reading the State Pages.
See Appendix C for technical notes and sources.

---

A complete description of the performance standard can be found in Appendix C.
Interpret with caution. Change was not statistically significant.
**GOAL 5** Mathematics and Science (continued)

17. Increased the percentage of public school 8th graders whose mathematics teachers
   - have students work in small groups or with a partner? (1996)
     - Georgia: 66%
     - U.S.: 66%
     - Range: 45-92%
     - Median: 67%

18. Increased the percentage of public school 8th graders who have computers available in their mathematics classroom? (1996)
   - Georgia: 41%
   - U.S.: 30%
   - Range: 7-54%
   - Median: 30%

19. Increased mathematics and science degrees awarded to
     - Georgia: 38% 40%
     - U.S.: 39% 42%
     - Range: 25-49% 15-53%
     - Median: 39% 42%
   - minorities (Black, Hispanic, American Indian/Alaskan Native)? (1991, 1995)
     - Georgia: 44% 43%
     - U.S.: 39% 40%
     - Range: 22-64% 22-57%
     - Median: 39% 39%
     - Georgia: 33% 35%
     - U.S.: 35% 37%
     - Range: 23-46% 13-47%
     - Median: 33% 36%

**GOAL 6** Adult Literacy and Lifelong Learning

20. Increased adult literacy? (1992)
   - Georgia: —
   - U.S.: 52%
   - Range: 46-77%
   - Median: 53%

21. Increased the percentage of U.S. citizens
   - registered to vote? (1988, 1992)
     - Georgia: 62% 63%
     - U.S.: 70% 73%
     - Range: 58-95% 63-92%
     - Median: 71% 75%
     - Georgia: 50% 55%
     - U.S.: 61% 66%
     - Range: 50-74% 55-77%
     - Median: 62% 68%

   - Georgia: 54% 59%
   - U.S.: **
   - Range: 33-68% 37-71%
   - Median: 53% 55%

---

**NOTE**

† Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.
— Data not available. See Appendix A.
** Indicators are not the same at the national and state level.
See pages 72-75 for a Guide to Reading the State Pages.
See Appendix C for technical notes and sources.
### GOAL 7  Safe, Disciplined and Alcohol- and Drug-free Schools

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>1991</th>
<th>1993</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Update</th>
<th>Progress?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reduced marijuana use? (1991, 1993)*</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced alcohol use (more than 5 drinks in a row)? (1991, 1993)*</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced availability of drugs on school property? (1993)*</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced students threatened or injured with a weapon on school property? (1993)*</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced physical fights on school property? (1993)*</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced students carrying weapons on school property? (1993)*</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced students not feeling safe at school? (1993)*</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced teacher victimization? (1994)</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced student disruptions? (1991, 1994)</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>46%</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### GOAL 8  Parental Participation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>1991</th>
<th>1993</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Update</th>
<th>Progress?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Decreased schools with minimal parental involvement</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Teacher’s perspective? (1991, 1994)</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Principal’s perspective? (1991, 1994)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increased influence of parent associations? (1991, 1994)</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Key

- **Significant progress**
- **Significant decline**
- — **Change is not significant**

† Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.
** Indicators are not the same at the national and state level.
* Baseline years and most recent update years may differ by state for this indicator. See Appendix C for more information.
See pages 72-75 for a Guide to Reading the State Pages.
See Appendix C for technical notes and sources.

**GOAL 7** Safe, Disciplined and Alcohol- and Drug-free Schools

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>1991</th>
<th>1993</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Update</th>
<th>Progress?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Used marijuana</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Had 5 or more drinks in a row</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Were offered, sold, or given an illegal drug on school property</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**GOAL 8** Parental Participation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>1991</th>
<th>1993</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Update</th>
<th>Progress?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Parent-School Partnerships Percentage of public school principals who reported that the parent association has influence on the following areas (Indicator 33)</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Parent-School Partnerships Percentage of public school principals who reported that the parent association has influence on the following areas (Indicator 33)**

1 During the past 30 days.
2 During the past 12 months.
3 During the past 12 months.
4 Interpreted with caution. Change was not statistically significant.

**KEY**

- **Significant progress**
- **Significant decline**
- — **Change is not significant**

1 On a 6-point scale from no influence to a great deal of influence, defined as a response to the top two points.
2 Interpret with caution. Change was not statistically significant.
### HAWAII

#### GOAL 1  Ready to Learn

1. Reduced percentage of infants born with 1 or more health risks? (1990, 1995)  
   - baseline: 30%  
   - update: 24%  
   - progress?:  
   - U.S.  
   - baseline: 37%  
   - update: 34%  
   - progress?:  
   -  
   - Range of State Scores  
   - baseline: 25-48%  
   - update: 24-46%  
   - Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.  

   - baseline: 86%  
   - update: 78%  
   - progress?:  
   - U.S.  
   - baseline: 75%  
   - update: 78%  
   - progress?:  
   -  
   - Range of State Scores  
   - baseline: 61-88%  
   - update: 64-88%  
   - Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.  

3. Reduced number of infants (per 1,000) born with low birthweight? (1990, 1995)  
   - baseline: 71  
   - update: 70  
   - progress?:  
   - U.S.  
   - baseline: 70  
   - update: 73  
   - progress?:  
   -  
   - Range of State Scores  
   - baseline: 48-151  
   - update: 53-134  
   - Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.  

4. Increased number of mothers (per 1,000) receiving early prenatal care? (1990, 1995)  
   - baseline: 735  
   - update: 837  
   - progress?:  
   - U.S.  
   - baseline: 758  
   - update: 813  
   - progress?:  
   -  
   - Range of State Scores  
   - baseline: 469-868  
   - update: 560-900  
   - Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.  

5. Increased number of children with disabilities in preschool (per 1,000)? (1991, 1996)  
   - baseline: 16  
   - update: 23  
   - progress?:  
   - U.S.  
   - baseline: *  
   - update: *  
   - progress?:  
   -  
   - Range of State Scores  
   - baseline: 16-68  
   - update: 16-92  
   - Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.  

#### GOAL 2  School Completion

   - baseline: 93%  
   - update: 93%  
   - progress?:  
   - U.S.  
   - baseline: 86%  
   - update: 86%  
   - progress?:  
   -  
   - Range of State Scores  
   - baseline: 77-96%  
   - update: 79-96%  
   - Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.  

7. Reduced high school dropout rate? (1994)*  
   - baseline: 5%  
   - update: 5%  
   - progress?:  
   - U.S.  
   - baseline: *  
   - update: *  
   - progress?:  
   -  
   - Range of State Scores  
   - baseline: 3-10%  
   - update: 5%  
   - Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.  

#### GOAL 3  Student Achievement and Citizenship

8. Increased reading achievement in Grade 4? (1992, 1994)*  
   - baseline: 17%  
   - update: 19%  
   - progress?:  
   - U.S.  
   - baseline: 29%  
   - update: 30%  
   - progress?:  
   -  
   - Range of State Scores  
   - baseline: 8-38%  
   - update: 8-41%  
   - Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.  

9. Increased mathematics achievement*  
   - * in Grade 4 (1992, 1996)  
   - baseline: 15%  
   - update: 16%  
   - progress?:  
   - U.S.  
   - baseline: 18%  
   - update: 21%  
   - progress?:  
   -  
   - Range of State Scores  
   - baseline: 5-27%  
   - update: 3-31%  
   - Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.  

   - * in Grade 8 (1990, 1996)  
   - baseline: 12%  
   - update: 16%  
   - progress?:  
   - U.S.  
   - baseline: 15%  
   - update: 24%  
   - progress?:  
   -  
   - Range of State Scores  
   - baseline: 1-27%  
   - update: 5-34%  
   - Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.  

10. Increased science achievement in Grade 8? (1996)  
    - baseline: 15%  
    - update: —  
    - progress?:  
    - U.S.  
    - baseline: —  
    - update: —  
    - progress?:  
    -  
    - Range of State Scores  
    - baseline: —  
    - update: —  
    - Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.  

#### Key

- **↑** Significant progress  
- **↓** Significant decline  
- **—** Change is not significant

---

1. Reduced percentage of infants born with 1 or more health risks? (Indicator 1)  
2. Increased percentage of 2-year-olds immunized? (Indicator 2)  
3. Reduced number of infants (per 1,000) born with low birthweight? (Indicator 3)  
4. Increased number of mothers (per 1,000) receiving early prenatal care? (Indicator 4)  
5. Increased number of children with disabilities in preschool (per 1,000)? (Indicator 5)  
6. Increased high school completion rate? (Indicator 6)  
7. Reduced high school dropout rate? (Indicator 7)  
8. Increased reading achievement in Grade 4? (Indicator 8)  
9. Increased mathematics achievement*  
   - * in Grade 4 (Indicator 9)  
   - * in Grade 8 (Indicator 10)  
10. Increased science achievement in Grade 8? (Indicator 11)  

---

1. Includes late (in third trimester) or no prenatal care, low maternal weight gain (less than 21 pounds), mother smoked during pregnancy, or mother drank alcohol during pregnancy.

---

1. Does not include those still in high school.
2. Includes traditional high school diploma and alternative credential.

---

10. Increased high school completion rate? (Indicator 6)

---

Children's Health Index  
Percentage of infants born with 1 or more health risks (Indicator 1)

High School Completion  
Percentage of all 18- to 24-year-olds who have a high school credential (Indicator 6)
GOAL 3  Student Achievement and Citizenship (continued)

11. Increased the number of Advanced Placement examinations receiving a grade of 3 or higher (per 1,000)? (1991, 1997)

   | Hawaii | U.S. | Range of State Scores | Median Scores
   | baseline | update | progress? | baseline | update | progress? | baseline | update | baseline | update |
   | 87 | 90 | ↑ | 55 | 85 | ↑ | 9-177 | 18-223 | 41 | 65 |

GOAL 4  Teacher Education and Professional Development

12. Increased the percentage of public secondary school teachers who hold
   • a degree in main teaching assignment? (1991, 1994) 62% 67% ↔ 66% 63% ↑ 51-85% 50-81% 69% 64%
   • a teaching certificate in main teaching assignment? (1991, 1994) 93% 89% ↔ 94% 93% ↓ 91-100% 89-100% 98% 97%

13. Increased the percentage of public school teachers participating in professional development on 1 or more selected topics? (1994) 88% — 85% — 76-98% — 86% —

14. Increased the percentage of public school teachers with training to teach limited English-proficient students? (1994) 41% — 16% — 4-81% — 16% —

15. Increased the percentage of beginning public school teachers participating in a formal teacher induction program? (1991, 1994) 34% 33% ↔ 22% 27% ↑ 6-42% 7-48% 20% 23%

GOAL 5  Mathematics and Science

16. International comparisons in mathematics and science will be reported in future Goals Panel reports.™

---

**KEY**

↑ Significant progress

↓ Significant decline

↔ Change is not significant

*† Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.

° See Table 8 for the numbers for each subject area.

— Data not available. See Appendix A.

∞ This information had not been released when the 1997 Goals Report went to print.

See pages 72-75 for a Guide to Reading the State Pages. See Appendix C for technical notes and sources.
GOAL 5  Mathematics and Science (continued)

17. Increased the percentage of public school 8th graders whose mathematics teachers
   • have students work in small groups or with a partner? (1996)  70% — — 66% — — 45-92% — — 67% — —
   • address Algebra and functions? (1996)  45% — — 57% — — 45-82% — — 58% — —
   • address reasoning and analytical ability? (1996)  43% — — 52% — — 39-64% — — 48% — —
18. Increased the percentage of public school 8th graders who have computers available
   in their mathematics classroom? (1996)  36% — — 30% — — 7-54% — — 30% — —
19. Increased mathematics and science degrees awarded to
   • all students? (1991, 1995)  40% 40% — — 39% 42% — — 25-49% 15-53% 39% 42%
   • minorities (Black, Hispanic, American Indian/Alaskan Native)? (1991, 1995)  47% 44% — — 39% 40% — — 22-64% 22-57% 39% 39%
   • female students? (1991, 1995)  37% 29% — — 35% 37% — — 23-46% 13-47% 33% 36%

GOAL 6  Adult Literacy and Lifelong Learning

20. Increased adult literacy? (1992)  — — — 52% — — 46-77% — — 53% — —
21. Increased the percentage of U.S. citizens
   • registered to vote? (1988, 1992)  66% 65% — — 70% 73% — — 58-95% 63-92% 71% 75%
   • voting? (1988, 1992)  59% 59% — — 61% 66% — — 50-74% 55-77% 62% 68%
22. Increased postsecondary enrollment? (1992, 1994)  54% 62% ** ** 33-68% 37-71% 53% 55%

**Indicators are not the same at the national and state level.
† Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.
@@ Data not available. See Appendix A.
## Change is not significant.
** Sample size does not permit a reliable estimate of change. See pages 72-75 for a Guide to Reading the State Pages.
See Appendix C for technical notes and sources.
GOAL 7 Safe, Disciplined and Alcohol- and Drug-free Schools

   17% 24% 

24. Reduced alcohol use (more than 5 drinks in a row)? (1993, 1995)*
   23% 24% 

25. Reduced availability of drugs on school property? (1993, 1995)*
   26% 36% 

26. Reduced students threatened or injured with a weapon while on school property? (1993, 1995)*
   7% 5% 

27. Reduced physical fights on school property? (1993, 1995)*
   14% 13% 

28. Reduced students carrying weapons on school property? (1993, 1995)*
   8% 8% 

29. Reduced students not feeling safe at school? (1993, 1995)*
   7% 5% 

30. Reduced teacher victimization? (1994)
   11% — 

   49% 62% 

GOAL 8 Parental Participation

32. Decreased schools with minimal parental involvement
   • Teacher’s perspective? (1991, 1994)
     32% 31% 
   • Principal’s perspective? (1991, 1994)
     18% 13% 

   37% 33% 

**Indicators are not the same at the national and state level.**
— Data not available. See Appendix A.
• Baseline years and most recent update years may differ by state for this indicator. See Appendix C for more information.
See pages 72-75 for a Guide to Reading the State Pages. See Appendix C for technical notes and sources.
**GOAL 1** Ready to Learn

1. Reduced percentage of infants born with 1 or more health risks? (1990, 1995) 35% 31% ↑
2. Increased percentage of 2-year-olds immunized? (1994, 1996) 64% 68% ←
3. Reduced number of infants (per 1,000) born with low birthweight? (1990, 1995) 742 799 ↑
4. Increased number of mothers (per 1,000) receiving early prenatal care? (1990, 1995) 742 799 ↑
5. Increased number of children with disabilities in preschool (per 1,000)? (1991, 1996) 56 56 ←

**GOAL 2** School Completion

6. Increased high school completion rate? (1990, 1995) 83% 85% ←
7. Reduced high school dropout rate? (1992, 1994) — — ←

**GOAL 3** Student Achievement and Citizenship

8. Increased reading achievement in Grade 4? (1992)* 28% —
9. Increased mathematics achievement* • in Grade 4? (1992) 16% —
• in Grade 8? (1990, 1992) 18% 22% ←
10. Increased science achievement in Grade 8? (1996) — — ←

**KEY**

† Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.
* Comparable national data are not available.
— Data not available. See Appendix A.
• Baseline years and most recent update years may differ by state for this indicator. See Appendix C for more information.
See pages 72-75 for a Guide to Reading the State Pages. See Appendix C for technical notes and sources.

---

**Children’s Health Index**

**Percentage of infants born with 1 or more health risks1 (Indicator 1)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>1990</th>
<th>1995</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0%</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>31%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Includes late (in third trimester) or no prenatal care, low maternal weight gain (less than 21 pounds), mother smoked during pregnancy, or mother drank alcohol during pregnancy.

---

**High School Completion**

**Percentage of all 18- to 24-year-olds1 who have a high school credential2 (Indicator 6)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>1995</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>83%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Does not include those still in high school.
2 Includes traditional high school diploma and alternative credential.
3 Interpret with caution. Change was not statistically significant.
11. Increased the number of Advanced Placement examinations receiving a grade of 3 or higher (per 1,000)? (1991, 1997)

12. Increased the percentage of public secondary school teachers who hold

13. Increased the percentage of public school teachers participating in professional development on 1 or more selected topics? (1994)

14. Increased the percentage of public school teachers with training to teach limited English-proficient students? (1994)

15. Increased the percentage of beginning public school teachers participating in a formal teacher induction program? (1991, 1994)

GOAL 5 Mathematics and Science

16. International comparisons in mathematics and science will be reported in future Goals Panel reports.

---

**Student Achievement**

Percentage of public school students who met the Goals Panel's performance standard in reading and mathematics (Indicators 8 & 9)

**Professional Development**

Percentage of public school teachers participating in professional development on the following topics, 1994 (Indicator 13)

---

† Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.
° See Table 8 for the numbers for each subject area.
— Data not available. See Appendix A.
∞ This information had not been released when the 1997 Goals Report went to print.
See pages 72-75 for a Guide to Reading the State Pages. See Appendix C for technical notes and sources.
**GOAL 5** Mathematics and Science (continued)

17. Increased the percentage of public school 8th graders whose mathematics teachers
   • have students work in small groups or with a partner? (1996)
     — — 66% — 45-92% — 67% —
   • address Algebra and functions? (1996)
     — — 57% — 45-82% — 58% —
   • address reasoning and analytical ability? (1996)
     — — 52% — 39-64% — 48% —
18. Increased the percentage of public school 8th graders who have computers available
   in their mathematics classroom? (1996)
     — — 30% — 7-54% — 30% —
19. Increased mathematics and science degrees awarded to
   • all students? (1991, 1995)
     34% 38% ↑ 39% 42% ↑ 25-49% 15-53% 39% 42%
   • minorities (Black, Hispanic, American Indian/Alaskan Native)? (1991, 1995)
     43% 39% ↓ 39% 40% ↑ 22-64% 22-57% 39% 39%
     29% 31% ↑ 35% 37% ↑ 23-46% 13-47% 33% 36%

**GOAL 6** Adult Literacy and Lifelong Learning

20. Increased adult literacy? (1992)
    — — 52% — 46-77% — 53% —
21. Increased the percentage of U.S. citizens
   • registered to vote? (1988, 1992)
     72% 74% ↔ 70% 73% ↑ 58-95% 63-92% 71% 75%
   • voting? (1988, 1992)
     66% 69% ↔ 61% 66% ↑ 50-74% 55-77% 62% 68%
    49% 48% * 52% 54% 33-68% 37-71% 53% 55%

**KEY**

↑ Significant progress
↓ Significant decline
↔ Change is not significant

† Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.
— Data not available. See Appendix A.
** Indicators are not the same at the national and state level.
※ Sample size does not permit a reliable estimate of change.
See pages 72-75 for a Guide to Reading the State Pages.
See Appendix C for technical notes and sources.
**GOAL 7  Safe, Disciplined and Alcohol- and Drug-free Schools**

| 23. Reduced marijuana use? (1991, 1993)* | 10% 13% ↑ | **  ** | 4-18% 7-21% ↑ | 10% 14% ↑ |
| 24. Reduced alcohol use (more than 5 drinks in a row)? (1991, 1993)* | 30% 31% ↑ | **  ** | 17-43% 9-44% ↓ | 30% 28% ↓ |
| 25. Reduced availability of drugs on school property? (1993)* | 24% — ↓ | **  ** | 11-31% — ↓ | 22% — ↓ |
| 26. Reduced students threatened or injured with a weapon while on school property? (1993)* | 8% — ↓ | **  ** | 6-15% — ↓ | 8% — ↓ |
| 27. Reduced physical fights on school property? (1993)* | 17% — ↓ | **  ** | 13-39% — ↓ | 16% — ↓ |
| 28. Reduced students carrying weapons on school property? (1993)* | 14% — ↓ | **  ** | 8-18% — ↓ | 12% — ↓ |
| 29. Reduced students not feeling safe at school? (1993) | 5% — ↓ | **  ** | 2-23% — ↓ | 6% — ↓ |
| 30. Reduced teacher victimization? (1994) | 11% — ↓ | 15% — ↓ | 8-26% — ↓ | 14% — ↓ |
| 31. Reduced student disruptions? (1991, 1994) | 32% 46% ↓ | 37% 46% ↓ | 23-60% 33-65% ↓ | 37% 47% ↓ |

**GOAL 8  Parental Participation**

| 32. Decreased schools with minimal parental involvement
• Teacher’s perspective? (1991, 1994) | 16% 19% ↓ | **  ** | 9-44% 13-50% ↓ | 23% 27% ↓ |
• Principal’s perspective? (1991, 1994) | 7% 9% ↓ | **  ** | 4-22% 3-27% ↓ | 13% 13% ↓ |
| 33. Increased influence of parent associations? (1991, 1994) | 12% 21% ↑ | **  ** | 8-37% 12-50% ↑ | 16% 22% ↑ |

**KEY**

- ↑ Significant progress
- ↓ Significant decline
- ↔ Change is not significant

† Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.
** Indicators are not the same at the national and state level.
* Baseline years and most recent update years may differ by state for this indicator. See Appendix C for more information.
  Data not available. See Appendix A.

**Idaho**

### Alcohol- and Drug-free Schools

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Percentage of public high school students who reported the following (Indicators 23, 24, &amp; 25)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Used marijuana</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Had 5 or more drinks in a row</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Were offered, sold, or given an illegal drug on school property</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Parent-School Partnerships**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Percentage of public school principals who reported that the parent association has influence¹ on the following areas (Indicator 33)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>On the following areas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Establishing curriculum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hiring new full-time teachers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Setting discipline policy</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 On a 6-point scale from no influence to a great deal of influence, defined as a response to the top two points.

**IDAH**
## ILLINOIS

### GOAL 1  Ready to Learn

1. Reduced percentage of infants born with 1 or more health risks? (1990, 1995)  
   - Illinois: 35% baseline, 33% update  
   - U.S. baseline: 37%  
   - Range of State Scores: 25-46% baseline, 24-46% update  
   - Median Scores: 38% baseline, 35% update

   - Illinois: 68% baseline, 76% update  
   - U.S. baseline: 75%  
   - Range of State Scores: 61-88% baseline, 64-88% update  
   - Median Scores: 76% baseline, 79% update

3. Reduced number of infants (per 1,000) born with low birthweight? (1990, 1995)  
   - Illinois: 76 baseline, 79 update  
   - U.S. baseline: 70  
   - Range of State Scores: 48-151 baseline, 53-134 update  
   - Median Scores: 71 baseline, 75 update

4. Increased number of mothers (per 1,000) receiving early prenatal care? (1990, 1995)  
   - Illinois: 778 baseline, 808 update  
   - U.S. baseline: 758  
   - Range of State Scores: 469-868 baseline, 560-900 update  
   - Median Scores: 778 baseline, 828 update

5. Increased number of children with disabilities in preschool (per 1,000)? (1991, 1996)  
   - Illinois: 53 baseline, 45 update  
   - U.S. baseline: *  
   - Range of State Scores: 16-68 baseline, 16-92 update  
   - Median Scores: 38 baseline, 47 update

### GOAL 2  School Completion

   - Illinois: 85% baseline, 89% update  
   - U.S. baseline: 86%  
   - Range of State Scores: 77-96% baseline, 79-96% update  
   - Median Scores: 87% baseline, 88% update

7. Reduced high school dropout rate? (1992, 1994)  
   - Illinois: — baseline, — update  
   - U.S. baseline: *  
   - Range of State Scores: 3-12% baseline, 3-10% update  
   - Median Scores: 5% baseline, 5% update

### GOAL 3  Student Achievement and Citizenship

8. Increased reading achievement in Grade 4? (1992, 1994)  
   - Illinois: — baseline, — update  
   - U.S. baseline: 29%  
   - Range of State Scores: 8-38% baseline, 8-41% update  
   - Median Scores: 26% baseline, 27% update

9. Increased mathematics achievement *  
   - in Grade 4? (1992, 1996)  
   - Illinois: — baseline, — update  
   - U.S. baseline: 18%  
   - Range of State Scores: 5-27% baseline, 3-31% update  
   - Median Scores: 16% baseline, 20% update

   * in Grade 8? (1990, 1996)  
   - Illinois: — baseline, — update  
   - U.S. baseline: 15%  
   - Range of State Scores: 1-27% baseline, 5-34% update  
   - Median Scores: 15% baseline, 22% update

10. Increased science achievement in Grade 8? (1996)  
    - Illinois: — baseline, — update  
    - U.S. baseline: 29%  
    - Range of State Scores: 5-41% baseline, — update  
    - Median Scores: 27% baseline, — update

---

**Children’s Health Index**  
Percentage of infants born with 1 or more health risks (Indicator 1)

**High School Completion**  
Percentage of all 18- to 24-year-olds who have a high school credential (Indicator 6)

---

**KEY**  
- † Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.  
- * Comparable national data are not available.  
- ′ Data not available. See Appendix A.  
- * Baseline years and most recent update years may differ by state for this indicator. See Appendix C for more information.  
- ′ Baseline years and most recent update years may differ by state for this indicator. See Appendix C for more information.

---

1 Includes late (in third trimester) or no prenatal care, low maternal weight gain (less than 21 pounds), mother smoked during pregnancy, or mother drank alcohol during pregnancy.

2 Does not include those still in high school.

---

1 Does not include those still in high school.

2 Includes traditional high school diploma and alternative credential.
GOAL 3 | Student Achievement and Citizenship (continued)

11. Increased the number of Advanced Placement examinations receiving a grade of 3 or higher (per 1,000)? (1991, 1997)†

   | Illinois | U.S. | Range of State Scores | Median Scores
   | baseline | update | progress | baseline | update | baseline | update |
   | 61 | 92 | ↑ | 55 | 85 | ↑ | 9-177 | 18-223 | 41 | 65

GOAL 4 | Teacher Education and Professional Development

12. Increased the percentage of public secondary school teachers who hold
   • a degree in main teaching assignment? (1991, 1994) 69% 72% 66% 63%
   • a teaching certificate in main teaching assignment? (1991, 1994) 96% 96% 94% 93%

13. Increased the percentage of public school teachers participating in professional development on 1 or more selected topics? (1994) 81% — 85% — 76-98% — 86% —

14. Increased the percentage of public school teachers with training to teach limited English-proficient students? (1994) 19% — 16% — 4-81% — 16% —

15. Increased the percentage of beginning public school teachers participating in a formal teacher induction program? (1991, 1994) 18% 20% 22% 27% 6-42% 7-48% 20% 23%

GOAL 5 | Mathematics and Science

16. International comparisons in mathematics and science will be reported in future Goals Panel reports.™

   — — — — — — — —

---

† Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.

° See Table 8 for the numbers for each subject area.

— Data not available. See Appendix A.

™ This information had not been released when the 1997 Goals Report went to print.
See pages 72-75 for a Guide to Reading the State Pages.
See Appendix C for technical notes and sources.
### GOAL 5  Mathematics and Science (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Illinois</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
<th>Range of State Scores</th>
<th>Median Scores†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>17. Increased the percentage of public school 8th graders whose mathematics teachers • have students work in small groups or with a partner? (1996)</td>
<td>— — 66%</td>
<td>— 45-92%</td>
<td>— 67%</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• address Algebra and functions? (1996)</td>
<td>— — 57%</td>
<td>— 45-82%</td>
<td>— 58%</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• address reasoning and analytical ability? (1996)</td>
<td>— — 52%</td>
<td>— 39-64%</td>
<td>— 48%</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18. Increased the percentage of public school 8th graders who have computers available in their mathematics classroom? (1996)</td>
<td>— — 30%</td>
<td>— 7-54%</td>
<td>— 30%</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19. Increased mathematics and science degrees awarded to • all students? (1991, 1995)</td>
<td>39% 39%</td>
<td>39% 42%</td>
<td>25-49% 15-53%</td>
<td>39% 42%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• minorities (Black, Hispanic, American Indian/Alaskan Native)? (1991, 1995)</td>
<td>36% 36%</td>
<td>39% 40%</td>
<td>22-64% 22-57%</td>
<td>39% 39%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• female students? (1991, 1995)</td>
<td>35% 34%</td>
<td>35% 37%</td>
<td>23-46% 13-47%</td>
<td>33% 36%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### GOAL 6  Adult Literacy and Lifelong Learning

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Illinois</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
<th>Range of State Scores</th>
<th>Median Scores†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20. Increased adult literacy? (1992)</td>
<td>52% — 52%</td>
<td>— 46-77%</td>
<td>— 53%</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21. Increased the percentage of U.S. citizens • registered to vote? (1988, 1992)</td>
<td>73% 77%</td>
<td>— 70% 73%</td>
<td>— 58-95% 63-92%</td>
<td>71% 75%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• voting? (1988, 1992)</td>
<td>64% 69%</td>
<td>— 61% 66%</td>
<td>— 50-74% 55-77%</td>
<td>62% 68%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22. Increased postsecondary enrollment? (1992, 1994)</td>
<td>63% 64%</td>
<td>** **</td>
<td>33-68% 37-71%</td>
<td>53% 55%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### KEY

- ↑ Significant progress
- ↓ Significant decline
- ↔ Change is not significant

† Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.
— Data not available. See Appendix A.
** Indicators are not the same at the national and state level.
See pages 72-75 for a Guide to Reading the State Pages.
See Appendix C for technical notes and sources.
GOAL 7  Safe, Disciplined and Alcohol- and Drug-free Schools

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Illinois</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
<th>Range of State Scores</th>
<th>Median Scores†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>23. Reduced marijuana use? (1993, 1995)*</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24. Reduced alcohol use (more than 5 drinks in a row)? (1993, 1995)*</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>↔</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25. Reduced availability of drugs on school property? (1993, 1995)*</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26. Reduced students threatened or injured with a weapon while on school property? (1993, 1995)*</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>↔</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27. Reduced physical fights on school property? (1993, 1995)*</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>↔</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28. Reduced students carrying weapons on school property? (1993, 1995)*</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>↔</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29. Reduced students not feeling safe at school? (1993, 1995)*</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>↔</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30. Reduced teacher victimization? (1994)</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>8-26%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31. Reduced student disruptions? (1991, 1994)</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>49%</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>23-60%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

GOAL 8  Parental Participation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Illinois</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
<th>Range of State Scores</th>
<th>Median Scores†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>32. Decreased schools with minimal parental involvement</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>↔</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Teacher’s perspective? (1991, 1994)</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>↔</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Principal’s perspective? (1991, 1994)</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>↔</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

** Indicates are not the same at the national and state level.
* Baseline years and most recent update years may differ by state for this indicator. See Appendix C for more information.
† Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.
" Interpret with caution. Change was not statistically significant.

KEY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>↑</td>
<td>Significant progress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>↓</td>
<td>Significant decline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>↔</td>
<td>Change is not significant</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 During the past 30 days.
2 During the past 12 months.
3n Interpret with caution. Change was not statistically significant.

Source: Illinois Department of Education.
## GOAL 1  Ready to Learn

1. Reduced percentage of infants born with 1 or more health risks? (1990, 1995)  
   | Indiana | U.S. | Range of State Scores | Median Scores|
   | baseline | update | progress | baseline | update | baseline | update |
   | — | — | 37% 34% | 25-48% 24-46% | 38% 35% |

   | 74% 73% | 75% 78% | 61-88% 64-88% | 76% 79% |

3. Reduced number of infants (per 1,000) born with low birthweight? (1990, 1995)  
   | 66 75 | 70 73 | 48-151 53-134 | 71 75 |

4. Increased number of mothers (per 1,000) receiving early prenatal care? (1990, 1995)  
   | 779 809 | 758 813 | 469-868 560-900 | 778 828 |

5. Increased number of children with disabilities in preschool (per 1,000)? (1991, 1996)  
   | 30 48 | * | 16-68 16-92 | 38 47 |

## GOAL 2  School Completion

   | 89% 88% | 86% 86% | 77-96% 79-96% | 87% 88% |

7. Reduced high school dropout rate? (1992, 1994)  
   | — | — | * | 3-12% 3-10% | 5% 5% |

## GOAL 3  Student Achievement and Citizenship

8. Increased reading achievement in Grade 4? (1992, 1994)  
   | 30% 33% | 29% 30% | 8-38% 8-41% | 26% 27% |

9. Increased mathematics achievement *  
   - in Grade 4? (1992, 1996)  
   | 16% 24% | 18% 21% | 5-27% 3-31% | 16% 20% |
   - in Grade 8? (1990, 1996)  
   | 17% 24% | 15% 24% | 1-27% 5-34% | 15% 22% |

10. Increased science achievement in Grade 8? (1996)  
    | 30% | 29% | 5-41% | — | 27% | — |

---

### Key

↑ Significant progress  
↓ Significant decline  
⇔ Change is not significant

† Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.  
* Comparable national data are not available.  
* Data not available.  
* Baseline years and most recent update years may differ by state for this indicator.  
See Appendix A for more information.  
See pages 72-75 for a Guide to Reading the State Pages.  
See Appendix C for technical notes and sources.

---

### High School Completion

Percentage of all 18- to 24-year-olds who have a high school credential  
(Indicator 6)

---

1 Does not include those still in high school.  
2 Includes traditional high school diploma and alternative credential.  
3 Interpret with caution. Change was not statistically significant.
### GOAL 3  Student Achievement and Citizenship (continued)

11. Increased the number of Advanced Placement examinations receiving a grade of 3 or higher (per 1,000) (1991, 1997)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Indiana</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
<th>Range of State Scores</th>
<th>Median Scores†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>baseline</td>
<td>update</td>
<td>progress?</td>
<td>baseline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### GOAL 4  Teacher Education and Professional Development

12. Increased the percentage of public secondary school teachers who hold
   • a degree in main teaching assignment? (1991, 1994)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Indiana</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
<th>Range of State Scores</th>
<th>Median Scores†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>baseline</td>
<td>update</td>
<td>progress?</td>
<td>baseline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>73%</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>66%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

13. Increased the percentage of public school teachers participating in professional development on 1 or more selected topics? (1994)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Indiana</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
<th>Range of State Scores</th>
<th>Median Scores†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>baseline</td>
<td>update</td>
<td>progress?</td>
<td>baseline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>85%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Indiana</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
<th>Range of State Scores</th>
<th>Median Scores†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>baseline</td>
<td>update</td>
<td>progress?</td>
<td>baseline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

15. Increased the percentage of beginning public school teachers participating in a formal teacher induction program? (1991, 1994)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Indiana</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
<th>Range of State Scores</th>
<th>Median Scores†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>baseline</td>
<td>update</td>
<td>progress?</td>
<td>baseline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>22%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### GOAL 5  Mathematics and Science

16. International comparisons in mathematics and science will be reported in future Goals Panel reports.\(^{\text{**}}\)

---

**KEY**

- ↑ Significant progress
- ↓ Significant decline
- ▼ Change is not significant

\(\dag\) Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.
\(\circ\) See Table 8 for the numbers for each subject area.
— Data not available. See Appendix A.
\(\circ\) This information had not been released when the 1997 Goals Report went to print.
See pages 72-75 for a Guide to Reading the State Pages.
See Appendix C for technical notes and sources.

---

1. A complete description of the performance standard can be found in Appendix C.
2. Interpret with caution. Change was not statistically significant.
INDIANA

GOAL 5  Mathematics and Science (continued)

17. Increased the percentage of public school 8th graders whose mathematics teachers
have students work in small groups or with a partner? (1996) 62% — 66% — 45-92% — 67% —
address Algebra and functions? (1996) 52% — 57% — 45-82% — 59% —
address reasoning and analytical ability? (1996) 43% — 52% — 39-64% — 48% —
18. Increased the percentage of public school 8th graders who have computers available
in their mathematics classroom? (1996) 23% — 30% — 7-54% — 30% —
19. Increased mathematics and science degrees awarded to
• all students? (1991, 1995) 40% 42% ▲ 39% 42% ▲ 25-49% 15-53% 39% 42%
• minorities (Black, Hispanic, American Indian/Alaskan Native)? (1991, 1995) 39% 40% ▲ 39% 40% ▲ 22-64% 22-57% 39% 39%
• female students? (1991, 1995) 34% 37% ▲ 35% 37% ▲ 23-46% 13-47% 33% 36%

GOAL 6  Adult Literacy and Lifelong Learning

20. Increased adult literacy? (1992) 58% — 52% — 46-77% — 53% —
21. Increased the percentage of U.S. citizens
• registered to vote? (1988, 1992) 69% 68% ▲ 70% 73% ▲ 53-95% 63-92% 71% 75%
• voting? (1988, 1992) 61% 63% ▲ 61% 66% ▲ 51-74% 55-77% 62% 68%
22. Increased postsecondary enrollment? (1992, 1994) 51% 55% * ** 33-68% 37-71% 53% 55%

KEY

▲ Significant progress
▼ Significant decline
▲▲ Change is not significant

† Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.
— Data not available. See Appendix A.
* ** Indicators are not the same at the national and state level.
اقتصاد Sample size does not permit a reliable estimate of change.
See pages 72-75 for a Guide to Reading the State Pages.
See Appendix C for technical notes and sources.

Mathematics Instruction
Percentage of public school 8th graders whose mathematics teachers do the following, 1996 (Indicator 17)

- Work in small groups or with a partner 62%
- Address Algebra and functions 53%
- Address reasoning & analytical ability 43%

Adult Literacy
Percentage of adults who scored at 3 highest levels in Prose Literacy (Indicator 20)

42% 58%
### GOAL 7 | Safe, Disciplined and Alcohol- and Drug-free Schools

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reduced marijuana use? (1991, 1995)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>4-18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced alcohol use (more than 5 drinks in a row) (1991, 1995)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>17-43%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced availability of drugs on school property? (1993, 1995)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>11-31%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced students threatened or injured with a weapon while on school property? (1993, 1995)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>6-15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced physical fights on school property? (1993, 1995)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>13-39%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced students carrying weapons on school property? (1993, 1995)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>8-18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced students not feeling safe at school? (1993, 1995)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>3-23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced teacher victimization? (1994)</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>8-26%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced student disruptions? (1991, 1994)</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>46%</td>
<td>23-60%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### GOAL 8 | Parental Participation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Decreased schools with minimal parental involvement</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>9-44%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teacher's perspective? (1991, 1994)</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>4-22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Principal's perspective? (1991, 1994)</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>8-37%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Key**

- **Significant progress**
- **Significant decline**
- **Change is not significant**

† Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.
**Indicators are not the same at the national and state level.
* Baseline years and most recent update years may differ by state for this indicator. See Appendix C for more information. See pages 72-75 for a Guide to Reading the State Pages. See Appendix C for technical notes and sources.

---

**Scorecards #1 (AL-MS) 10/30/97 10:12 AM Page 135**
### GOAL 1  Ready to Learn

- **1. Reduced percentage of infants born with 1 or more health risks? (1990, 1995)**
  - Iowa: 39% → 36%
  - U.S.: 37% → 34%
  - Range: 25-48% → 24-46%
  - Median: 38% → 35%

  - Iowa: 81% → 82%
  - U.S.: 75% → 78%
  - Range: 61-88% → 64-88%
  - Median: 76% → 79%

- **3. Reduced number of infants (per 1,000) born with low birthweight? (1990, 1995)**
  - Iowa: 54 → 60
  - U.S.: 70 → 73
  - Range: 48-151 → 53-134
  - Median: 71 → 75

- **4. Increased number of mothers (per 1,000) receiving early prenatal care? (1990, 1995)**
  - Iowa: 848 → 871
  - U.S.: 758 → 813
  - Range: 469-868 → 560-900
  - Median: 778 → 828

- **5. Increased number of children with disabilities in preschool (per 1,000)? (1991, 1996)**
  - Iowa: 45 → 51
  - U.S.: * → *
  - Range: 16-68 → 16-92
  - Median: 38 → 47

### GOAL 2  School Completion

  - Iowa: 95% → 92%
  - U.S.: 86% → 86%
  - Range: 77-96% → 79-96%
  - Median: 87% → 88%

- **7. Reduced high school dropout rate? (1994)*
  - Iowa: 3% —
  - U.S.: * —
  - Range: 3-10% —
  - Median: 5% —

### GOAL 3  Student Achievement and Citizenship

- **8. Increased reading achievement in Grade 4? (1992, 1994)*
  - Iowa: 36% → 35%
  - U.S.: 29% → 30%
  - Range: 8-36% → 8-41%
  - Median: 26% → 27%

- **9. Increased mathematics achievement *
  - * in Grade 4? (1992, 1996)
  - Iowa: 26% → 22%
  - U.S.: 18% → 21%
  - Range: 5-27% → 3-31%
  - Median: 16% → 20%
  - * in Grade 8? (1990, 1996)
  - Iowa: 25% → 31%
  - U.S.: 15% → 24%
  - Range: 1-27% → 5-34%
  - Median: 15% → 22%

- **10. Increased science achievement in Grade 8? (1996)**
  - Iowa: 36% —
  - U.S.: 29% —
  - Range: 5-41% —
  - Median: 27% —

### Key

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Iowa</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
<th>Range</th>
<th>Median</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Reduced percentage of infants born with 1 or more health risks? (1990, 1995)</td>
<td>39% → 36%</td>
<td>37% → 34%</td>
<td>25-48% → 24-46%</td>
<td>38% → 35%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Increased percentage of 2-year-olds immunized? (1994, 1996)</td>
<td>81% → 82%</td>
<td>75% → 78%</td>
<td>61-88% → 64-88%</td>
<td>76% → 79%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Reduced number of infants (per 1,000) born with low birthweight? (1990, 1995)</td>
<td>54 → 60</td>
<td>70 → 73</td>
<td>48-151 → 53-134</td>
<td>71 → 75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Increased number of mothers (per 1,000) receiving early prenatal care? (1990, 1995)</td>
<td>848 → 871</td>
<td>758 → 813</td>
<td>469-868 → 560-900</td>
<td>778 → 828</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Increased number of children with disabilities in preschool (per 1,000)? (1991, 1996)</td>
<td>45 → 51</td>
<td>* → *</td>
<td>16-68 → 16-92</td>
<td>38 → 47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Increased high school completion rate? (1990, 1995)</td>
<td>95% → 92%</td>
<td>86% → 86%</td>
<td>77-96% → 79-96%</td>
<td>87% → 88%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Reduced high school dropout rate? (1994)*</td>
<td>3% —</td>
<td>* —</td>
<td>3-10% —</td>
<td>5% —</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Increased reading achievement in Grade 4? (1992, 1994)*</td>
<td>36% → 35%</td>
<td>29% → 30%</td>
<td>8-36% → 8-41%</td>
<td>26% → 27%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Increased mathematics achievement *</td>
<td>26% → 22%</td>
<td>18% → 21%</td>
<td>5-27% → 3-31%</td>
<td>16% → 20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Increased science achievement in Grade 8? (1996)</td>
<td>36% —</td>
<td>29% —</td>
<td>5-41% —</td>
<td>27% —</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Children's Health Index**

- Percentage of infants born with 1 or more health risks\(^1\) (Indicator 1)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Iowa</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1990</td>
<td>39%</td>
<td>36%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1995</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>35%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**High School Completion**

- Percentage of all 18- to 24-year-olds\(^2\) who have a high school credential\(^3\) (Indicator 6)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Iowa</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1990</td>
<td>95%</td>
<td>92%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

\(^1\) Includes late (in third trimester) or no prenatal care, low maternal weight gain (less than 21 pounds), mother smoked during pregnancy, or mother drank alcohol during pregnancy.

\(^2\) Does not include those still in high school.

\(^3\) Includes traditional high school diploma and alternative credential.
GOAL 3  Student Achievement and Citizenship (continued)

11. Increased the number of Advanced Placement examinations receiving a grade of 3 or higher (per 1,000)? (1991, 1997)

   - Iowa: 19 34 ▲
   - U.S.: 55 85 ▲
   - Median Scores: 9.177-18.223
   - Iowa: 41 65

GOAL 4  Teacher Education and Professional Development

12. Increased the percentage of public secondary school teachers who hold
   • a degree in main teaching assignment? (1991, 1994)
     - Iowa: 71% 70% ▲
     - U.S.: 66% 63% ▲
     - Range of State Scores: 51.85% 50.81%
     - Median Scores: 69% 64%

13. Increased the percentage of public school teachers with training to teach limited English-proficient students? (1991, 1994)
     - Iowa: 15% —
     - U.S.: 16% —
     - Range of State Scores: 4.81%
     - Median Scores: 16% —

14. Increased the percentage of public school teachers participating in professional development on 1 or more selected topics? (1994)
     - Iowa: 89% —
     - U.S.: 85% —
     - Range of State Scores: 76.98%
     - Median Scores: 86% —

15. Increased the percentage of beginning public school teachers participating in a formal teacher induction program? (1991, 1994)
     - Iowa: 11% 13% ▲
     - U.S.: 22% 27% ▲
     - Range of State Scores: 6.42% 7.48%
     - Median Scores: 20% 23%

GOAL 5  Mathematics and Science

16. International comparisons in mathematics and science will be reported in future Goals Panel reports.

Student Achievement
Percentage of public school students who met the Goals Panel’s performance standard in reading and mathematics (Indicators 8 & 9)

- Iowa
- U.S.
- Range of State Scores
- Median Scores

Professional Development
Percentage of public school teachers participating in professional development on the following topics, 1994
(Indicator 13)

KEY

▲ Significant progress
▼ Significant decline
▲▲ Change is not significant

† Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.
° See Table 8 for the numbers for each subject area.
— Data not available. See Appendix A.
∞ This information had not been released when the 1997 Goals Report went to print.
See pages 72-75 for a Guide to Reading the State Pages.
See Appendix C for technical notes and sources.

1 A complete description of the performance standard can be found in Appendix C.
~Interpret with caution. Change was not statistically significant.

Student Achievement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Reading Grade 4</th>
<th>Mathematics Grade 4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1992</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1994</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1995</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1996</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>22%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Teacher Education and Professional Development

- One or more topics
- Uses of educational technology
- Methods of teaching subject field
- In-depth study in subject field
- Student assessment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Iowa</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>One or more topics</td>
<td>89%</td>
<td>89%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uses of educational technology</td>
<td>57%</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methods of teaching subject field</td>
<td>58%</td>
<td>58%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In-depth study in subject field</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>27%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student assessment</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>36%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### GOAL 5  Mathematics and Science (continued)

17. Increased the percentage of public school 8th graders whose mathematics teachers
   • have students work in small groups or with a partner? (1996)
     - 60% baseline — 66% update — 45-92% progress
   • address Algebra and functions? (1996)
     - 55% baseline — 57% update — 45-82% progress
   • address reasoning and analytical ability? (1996)
     - 44% baseline — 52% update — 39-64% progress

18. Increased the percentage of public school 8th graders who have computers available in their mathematics classroom? (1996)
   - 32% baseline — 30% update — 7-54% progress

19. Increased mathematics and science degrees awarded to
   • all students? (1991, 1995)
     - 33% baseline 37% update — 25-49% progress
   • minorities (Black, Hispanic, American Indian/Alaskan Native)? (1991, 1995)
     - 32% baseline 37% update — 22-64% progress
     - 28% baseline 32% update — 23-46% progress

### GOAL 6  Adult Literacy and Lifelong Learning

20. Increased adult literacy? (1992)
   - 61% baseline — 52% update — 46-77% progress

21. Increased the percentage of U.S. citizens
   • registered to vote? (1988, 1992)
     - 73% baseline 79% update — 58-95% progress
   • voting? (1988, 1992)
     - 64% baseline 72% update — 50-74% progress

   - 64% baseline 64% update — 33-68% progress

---

**KEY**

- Significant progress
- Significant decline
- Change is not significant

↑ Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.

---

1. At least once a week.

2. On a 4-point scale from “none” to “a lot,” defined as a response to the top point.

---

**Mathematics Instruction**

Percentage of public school 8th graders whose mathematics teachers do the following, 1996 (Indicator 17)

- Work in small groups or with a partner
  - 60%
- Address Algebra and functions
  - 55%
- Address reasoning & analytical ability
  - 44%

**Adult Literacy**

Percentage of adults who scored at 3 highest levels in Prose Literacy (Indicator 20)

- 3 highest levels
- 2 lowest levels

---

1. Data not available. See Appendix A.

2. Indicators are not the same at the national and state level.

3. Sample size does not permit a reliable estimate of change.

See pages 72-75 for a Guide to Reading the State Pages.

See Appendix C for technical notes and sources.
### Goal 7: Safe, Disciplined and Alcohol- and Drug-free Schools

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Iowa Baseline</th>
<th>Iowa Update</th>
<th>U.S. Baseline</th>
<th>U.S. Update</th>
<th>Range of State Scores</th>
<th>Median Scores†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>23. Reduced marijuana use? (1991, 1995)*</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>4-18% 7-32%</td>
<td>10% 23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24. Reduced alcohol use (more than 5 drinks in a row)? (1991, 1995)*</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>17-43% 13-43%</td>
<td>30% 31%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25. Reduced availability of drugs on school property? (1993, 1995)*</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>11-31% 20-46%</td>
<td>22% 30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26. Reduced students threatened or injured with a weapon while on school property? (1993, 1995)*</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>6-15% 4-11%</td>
<td>8% 8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27. Reduced physical fights on school property? (1993, 1995)*</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>13-30% 12-19%</td>
<td>16% 15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28. Reduced students carrying weapons on school property? (1993, 1995)*</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>8-18% 7-14%</td>
<td>12% 11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29. Reduced students not feeling safe at school? (1993, 1995)*</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>3-23% 3-16%</td>
<td>6% 5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30. Reduced teacher victimization? (1994)</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>8-26% —</td>
<td>14% —</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31. Reduced student disruptions? (1991, 1994)</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>48%</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>46%</td>
<td>23-60% 33-65%</td>
<td>37% 47%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Goal 8: Parental Participation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Iowa Baseline</th>
<th>Iowa Update</th>
<th>U.S. Baseline</th>
<th>U.S. Update</th>
<th>Range of State Scores</th>
<th>Median Scores†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>32. Decreased schools with minimal parental involvement</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>9-44% 13-50%</td>
<td>23% 27%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Teacher's perspective? (1991, 1994)</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>4-22% 3-27%</td>
<td>13% 13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Principal's perspective? (1991, 1994)</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>4-22% 3-27%</td>
<td>13% 13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33. Increased influence of parent associations? (1991, 1994)</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>8-37% 12-50%</td>
<td>16% 22%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Key**

- Significant progress
- Significant decline
- Change is not significant

† Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.
** Indicators are not the same at the national and state level.
* Baseline years and most recent update years may differ by state for this indicator. See Appendix C for more information. See pages 72-75 for a Guide to Reading the State Pages. See Appendix C for technical notes and sources.

---

**Scorecards #1 (AL-MS) 10/30/97 10:12 AM Page 139**
KANSAS

GOAL 1  Ready to Learn

1. Reduced percentage of infants born in the state with 1 or more health risks? (1990, 1995) 32% 31% ↑
2. Increased percentage of 2-year-olds immunized? (1994, 1996) 82% 76% ↔
3. Reduced number of infants (per 1,000) born with low birthweight? (1990, 1995) 62 64 ↓
4. Increased number of mothers (per 1,000) receiving early prenatal care? (1990, 1995) 807 857 ↑
5. Increased number of children with disabilities in preschool (per 1,000)? (1991, 1996) 33 54 ↑

GOAL 2  School Completion

6. Increased high school completion rate? (1990, 1995) 93% 92% ↔
7. Reduced high school dropout rate? (1993, 1994) * 5% 5% ↔

GOAL 3  Student Achievement and Citizenship

8. Increased reading achievement in Grade 4? (1992, 1994) *
9. Increased mathematics achievement *
   • in Grade 4? (1992, 1996)
   • in Grade 8? (1990, 1996)
10. Increased science achievement in Grade 8? (1996)

Children's Health Index
Percentage of infants born with 1 or more health risks1 (Indicator 1)

High School Completion
Percentage of all 18- to 24-year-olds1 who have a high school credential2 (Indicator 6)

† Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.
* Comparable national data are not available.
** Data not available. See Appendix A.
* Baseline years and most recent update years may differ by state for this indicator. See Appendix C for more information.
See pages 72-75 for a Guide to Reading the State Pages. See Appendix C for technical notes and sources.

1 Includes late (in third trimester) or no prenatal care, low maternal weight gain (less than 21 pounds), mother smoked during pregnancy, or mother drank alcohol during pregnancy.
2 Includes traditional high school diploma and alternative credential.
3 Interpret with caution. Change was not statistically significant.
**GOAL 3**  
**Student Achievement and Citizenship (continued)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Kansas</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
<th>Range of State Scores</th>
<th>Median Scores†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11. Increased the number of Advanced Placement examinations receiving a grade of 3 or higher (per 1,000)? (1991, 1997)</td>
<td>22 29</td>
<td>55 85</td>
<td>9-177 18-223</td>
<td>41 65</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**GOAL 4**  
**Teacher Education and Professional Development**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Kansas</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
<th>Range of State Scores</th>
<th>Median Scores†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12. Increased the percentage of public secondary school teachers who hold a degree in main teaching assignment? (1991, 1994)</td>
<td>62% 60%</td>
<td>66% 63%</td>
<td>51-85% 50-81%</td>
<td>69% 64%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13. Increased the percentage of public school teachers participating in professional development on 1 or more selected topics? (1994)</td>
<td>89% —</td>
<td>85% —</td>
<td>76-98% —</td>
<td>86% —</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14. Increased the percentage of public school teachers with training to teach limited English-proficient students? (1994)</td>
<td>16% —</td>
<td>16% —</td>
<td>4-81% —</td>
<td>16% —</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15. Increased the percentage of beginning public school teachers participating in a formal teacher induction program? (1991, 1994)</td>
<td>17% 19%</td>
<td>22% 27%</td>
<td>6-42% 7-48%</td>
<td>20% 23%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**GOAL 5**  
**Mathematics and Science**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Kansas</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
<th>Range of State Scores</th>
<th>Median Scores†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>16. International comparisons in mathematics and science will be reported in future Goals Panel reports.</td>
<td>— —</td>
<td>— —</td>
<td>— — — —</td>
<td>— —</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**KEY**

- **Significant progress**
- **Significant decline**
- **Change is not significant**

† Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.
* See Table 8 for the numbers for each subject area.
— Data not available. See Appendix A.
∞ This information had not been released when the 1997 Goals Report went to print.
See pages 72-75 for a Guide to Reading the State Pages. See Appendix C for technical notes and sources.
### Mathematics and Science (continued)

17. Increased the percentage of public school 8th graders whose mathematics teachers
   - have students work in small groups? (1996) — — 66% — 45-92% — 67% —
   - address Algebra and functions? (1996) — — 57% — 45-82% — 58% —
   - address reasoning and analytical ability? (1996) — — 52% — 39-64% — 48% —

18. Increased the percentage of public school 8th graders who have computers available in their mathematics classroom? (1996) — — 30% — 7-54% — 30% —

19. Increased mathematics and science degrees awarded to
   - all students? (1991, 1995) 36% 39% ↑ 39% 42% ↑ 25-49% 15-53% 39% 42%
   - minority (Black, Hispanic, American Indian/Alaskan Native) students? (1991, 1995) 35% 36% ↑ 39% 40% ↑ 22-64% 22-57% 39% 39%
   - female students? (1991, 1995) 32% 32% ↔ 35% 37% ↑ 23-46% 13-47% 33% 36%

### Adult Literacy and Lifelong Learning

20. Increased adult literacy? (1992) — — 52% — 46-77% — 53% —

21. Increased the percentage of U.S. citizens
   - registered to vote? (1988, 1992) 69% 78% ↑ 70% 73% ↑ 58-95% 63-92% 71% 75%
   - voting? (1988, 1992) 62% 73% ↑ 61% 66% ↑ 50-74% 55-77% 62% 66%

22. Increased postsecondary enrollment? (1992, 1994) 58% 57% ★ ★ 33-68% 37-71% 53% 55%
**GOAL 7 Safe, Disciplined and Alcohol- and Drug-free Schools**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reduced marijuana use? (1991, 1995)*</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>4-18%</td>
<td>7-32%</td>
<td>10% 23%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced alcohol use (more than 5 drinks in a row)? (1991, 1995)*</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>17-43%</td>
<td>13-43%</td>
<td>30% 31%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced availability of drugs on school property? (1993, 1995)*</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>11-31%</td>
<td>20-46%</td>
<td>22% 30%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced students threatened or injured with a weapon while on school property? (1993, 1995)*</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>6-15%</td>
<td>4-11%</td>
<td>8% 8%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced physical fights on school property? (1993, 1995)*</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>13-30%</td>
<td>12-19%</td>
<td>16% 15%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced students carrying weapons on school property? (1993, 1995)*</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>8-18%</td>
<td>7-14%</td>
<td>12% 11%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced students not feeling safe at school? (1993, 1995)*</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>3-23%</td>
<td>3-16%</td>
<td>6% 5%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced teacher victimization? (1994)</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>8-26%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced student disruptions? (1991, 1994)</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>42%</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>46%</td>
<td>23-60%</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>47%</td>
<td>—</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**GOAL 8 Parental Participation**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Decreased schools with minimal parental involvement</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>9-44%</td>
<td>13-50%</td>
<td>23% 27%</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Teacher’s perspective? (1991, 1994)</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>4-22%</td>
<td>3-27%</td>
<td>13% 13%</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Principal’s perspective? (1991, 1994)</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>8-37%</td>
<td>12-50%</td>
<td>16% 22%</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**KEY**

† Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.
** Indicators are not the same at the national and state level.
* Baseline years and most recent update years may differ by state for this indicator. See Appendix C for more information. See pages 72-75 for a Guide to Reading the State Pages. See Appendix C for technical notes and sources.

---

Parent-School Partnerships
Percentage of public school principals who reported that the parent association has influence on the following areas (Indicator 33)

- One or more areas
- Establishing curriculum
- Hiring new full-time teachers
- Setting discipline policy

1 On a 6-point scale from no influence to a great deal of influence, defined as a response to the top two points.

ns Interpret with caution. Change was not statistically significant.
**KENTUCKY**

### GOAL 1  Ready to Learn

1. Reduced percentage of infants born in the state with 1 or more health risks? (1990, 1995)  
   - Kentucky: 45% 42%  
   - U.S.: 37% 34%  
   - Baseline: 45% 44%  
   - Range of State Scores: 25-46% 24-46%  
   - Median Scores: 38% 35%

   - Kentucky: 80% 79%  
   - U.S.: 75% 78%  
   - Baseline: 80% 79%  
   - Range of State Scores: 61-86% 64-86%  
   - Median Scores: 76% 79%

3. Reduced number of infants (per 1,000) born with low birthweight? (1990, 1995)  
   - Kentucky: 71 76  
   - U.S.: 70 73  
   - Baseline: 71 76  
   - Range of State Scores: 48-151 53-134  
   - Median Scores: 71 75

4. Increased number of mothers (per 1,000) receiving early prenatal care? (1990, 1995)  
   - Kentucky: 777 843  
   - U.S.: 758 813  
   - Baseline: 777 843  
   - Range of State Scores: 469-868 560-900  
   - Median Scores: 778 828

5. Increased number of children with disabilities in preschool (per 1,000)? (1991, 1996)  
   - Kentucky: 68 92  
   - U.S.: * *  
   - Baseline: * *  
   - Range of State Scores: 16-68 16-92  
   - Median Scores: 38 47

### GOAL 2  School Completion

   - Kentucky: 82% 82%  
   - U.S.: 86% 86%  
   - Baseline: 82% 82%  
   - Range of State Scores: 77-96% 79-96%  
   - Median Scores: 87% 88%

7. Reduced high school dropout rate? (1992, 1994)  
   - Kentucky: — —  
   - U.S.: * *  
   - Baseline: * *  
   - Range of State Scores: 3-12% 3-10%  
   - Median Scores: 5% 5%

### GOAL 3  Student Achievement and Citizenship

8. Increased reading achievement in Grade 4? (1992, 1994)  
   - Kentucky: 23% 26%  
   - U.S.: 29% 30%  
   - Baseline: 23% 26%  
   - Range of State Scores: 18-38% 20-41%  
   - Median Scores: 26% 27%

9. Increased mathematics achievement  
   - in Grade 4? (1992, 1996)  
   - Kentucky: 13% 16%  
   - U.S.: 18% 21%  
   - Baseline: 13% 16%  
   - Range of State Scores: 5-27% 3-31%  
   - Median Scores: 16% 20%

   - in Grade 8? (1990, 1996)  
   - Kentucky: 10% 16%  
   - U.S.: 15% 24%  
   - Baseline: 10% 16%  
   - Range of State Scores: 1-27% 5-34%  
   - Median Scores: 15% 22%

10. Increased science achievement in Grade 8? (1996)  
    - Kentucky: 23% —  
    - U.S.: 29% —  
    - Baseline: 23% —  
    - Range of State Scores: 5-41% —  
    - Median Scores: 27% —

---

**Children’s Health Index**

**Percentage of infants born with 1 or more health risks** (Indicator 1)

- **1990**: 45%
- **1995**: 42%

1 Includes late (in third trimester) or no prenatal care, low maternal weight gain (less than 21 pounds), mother smoked during pregnancy, or mother drank alcohol during pregnancy.

**High School Completion**

**Percentage of all 18- to 24-year-olds** (Indicator 6)

- **1990**: 82%
- **1995**: 82%

1 Does not include those still in high school.

---

**KEY**

- ↑ Significant progress
- ↓ Significant decline
- ↔ Change is not significant

† Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.

* Comparable national data are not available.

** Baseline years and most recent update years may differ by state for this indicator. See Appendix C for more information.

See pages 72-75 for a Guide to Reading the State Pages.

See Appendix C for technical notes and sources.
### GOAL 3  
**Student Achievement and Citizenship (continued)**

11. Increased the number of Advanced Placement examinations receiving a grade of 3 or higher (per 1,000)? (1991, 1997)
   - Kentucky: 29, 46
   - U.S.: 55, 85
   - Range of State Scores: 9-177, 18-223
   - Median Scores: 41, 65

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Student Achievement</td>
<td>Percentage of public school students who met the Goals Panel's performance standard in reading and mathematics (Indicators 8 &amp; 9)</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>90%</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mathematics Grade 4</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>90%</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reading Grade 4</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>90%</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>1992</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### GOAL 4  
**Teacher Education and Professional Development**

12. Increased the percentage of public secondary school teachers who hold

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Degree</td>
<td>65%</td>
<td>53%</td>
<td>66%</td>
<td>63%</td>
<td>51-85%</td>
<td>50-81%</td>
<td>69%</td>
<td>64%</td>
<td>98%</td>
<td>97%</td>
<td>98%</td>
<td>97%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Certificate</td>
<td>95%</td>
<td>94%</td>
<td>94%</td>
<td>93%</td>
<td>91-100%</td>
<td>89-100%</td>
<td>98%</td>
<td>97%</td>
<td>98%</td>
<td>97%</td>
<td>98%</td>
<td>97%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

13. Increased the percentage of public school teachers participating in professional development on 1 or more selected topics? (1994)
   - Kentucky: 98%
   - U.S.: 85%

14. Increased the percentage of public school teachers with training to teach limited English proficient students? (1994)
   - Kentucky: 7%
   - U.S.: 16%

15. Increased the percentage of beginning public school teachers participating in a formal teacher induction program? (1991, 1994)
   - Kentucky: 24%
   - U.S.: 34%

### GOAL 5  
**Mathematics and Science**

16. International comparisons in mathematics and science will be reported in future Goals Panel reports.

---

\[\text{Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.}\]

\[\text{See Table 8 for the numbers for each subject area.}\]

\[\text{— Data not available. See Appendix A.}\]

\[\text{This information had not been released when the 1997 Goals Report went to print.}\]

\[\text{See pages 72-75 for a Guide to Reading the State Pages.}\]

\[\text{See Appendix C for technical notes and sources.}\]

[1] A complete description of the performance standard can be found in Appendix C.

[2] Interpret with caution. Change was not statistically significant.
## KENTUCKY

### GOAL 5  Mathematics and Science (continued)

17. Increased the percentage of public school 8th graders whose mathematics teachers
   - have students work in small groups? (1996) 61% — 66% — 45-92% — 67% —
   - address Algebra and functions? (1996) 49% — 57% — 45-82% — 58% —
   - address reasoning and analytical ability? (1996) 49% — 52% — 39-64% — 48% —

18. Increased the percentage of public school 8th graders who have computers available in their mathematics classroom? (1996)
   37% — 30% — 7-54% — 30% —

19. Increased mathematics and science degrees awarded to
   - all students? (1991, 1995) 36% 41% ↑ 39% 42% ↑ 25-49% 15-53% 39% 42%
   - minority (Black, Hispanic, American Indian/Alaskan Native) students? (1991, 1995) 33% 33% ↔ 39% 40% ↑ 22-64% 22-57% 39% 39%
   - female students? (1991, 1995) 31% 36% ↑ 35% 37% ↑ 23-46% 13-47% 33% 36%

### GOAL 6  Adult Literacy and Lifelong Learning

20. Increased adult literacy? (1992)
   — — 52% — 46-77% — 53% —

21. Increased the percentage of U.S. citizens
   - registered to vote? (1988, 1992) 63% 65% ↔ 70% 73% ↑ 58-95% 63-92% 71% 75%
   - voting? (1988, 1992) 50% 58% ↑ 61% 66% ↑ 50-74% 55-77% 62% 68%

   50% 49% * 52% — 46-77% — 53% —

---

**KEY**

↑ Significant progress
↓ Significant decline
↔ Change is not significant

† Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.
— Data not available. See Appendix A.
** Indicators are not the same at the national and state level.
⊗ Sample size does not permit a reliable estimate of change.
See pages 72-75 for a Guide to Reading the State Pages.
See Appendix C for technical notes and sources.
### GOAL 7 Safe, Disciplined and Alcohol- and Drug-free Schools

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Kentucky</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
<th>Range of State Scores</th>
<th>Median Scores†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>23. Reduced marijuana use? (1991, 1995)*</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>4-18% 7-32% 10% 23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24. Reduced alcohol use (more than 5 drinks in a row)? (1991, 1995)*</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>17-43% 13-43% 30% 31%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25. Reduced availability of drugs on school property? (1993, 1995)*</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>11-31% 20-46% 22% 30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26. Reduced students threatened or injured with a weapon while on school property? (1993, 1995)*</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>6-15% 4-11% 8% 8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27. Reduced physical fights on school property? (1993, 1995)*</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>13-30% 12-19% 16% 15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28. Reduced students carrying weapons on school property? (1993, 1995)*</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>8-18% 7-14% 12% 11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29. Reduced students not feeling safe at school? (1993, 1995)*</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>3-23% 3-16% 6% 5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30. Reduced teacher victimization? (1994)</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>8-26% 14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31. Reduced student disruptions? (1991, 1994)</td>
<td>39%</td>
<td>48%</td>
<td>37% 46%</td>
<td>23-60% 33-65% 37% 47%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### GOAL 8 Parental Participation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Kentucky</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
<th>Range of State Scores</th>
<th>Median Scores†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>32. Decreased schools with minimal parental involvement</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>9-44% 13-50% 23% 27%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Teacher’s perspective? (1991, 1994)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>4-22% 3-27% 13% 13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Principal’s perspective? (1991, 1994)</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>3-23% 3-16% 6% 5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33. Increased influence of parent associations? (1991, 1994)</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>8-37% 12-50% 16% 22%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.**

**Indicators are not the same at the national and state level.**

*Baseline years and most recent update years may differ by state for this indicator. See Appendix C for more information. See pages 72-75 for a Guide to Reading the State Pages. See Appendix C for technical notes and sources.

---

**Parent-School Partnerships**

Percentage of public school principals who reported that the parent association has influence on the following areas (Indicator 33)

- **One or more areas**
  - 1991: 17% 1994: 37%
- **Establishing curriculum**
  - 1991: 19% 1994: 32%
- **Hiring new full-time teachers**
  - 1991: 19% 1994: 32%
- **Setting discipline policy**
  - 1991: 19% 1994: 32%

1 On a 6-point scale from no influence to a great deal of influence, defined as a response to the top two points. Interpret with caution. Change was not statistically significant.
### GOAL 1  Ready to Learn

1. Reduced percentage of infants born in the state with 1 or more health risks? (1990, 1995)  39%  37%  ↑  37%  34%  ↑  25-46%  24-46%  38%  35%
2. Increased percentage of 2-year-olds immunized? (1994, 1996)  71%  80%  ↑  75%  78%  ↑  61-86%  64-88%  76%  79%
3. Reduced number of infants (per 1,000) born with low birthweight? (1990, 1995)  92  97  ↓  70  73  ↓  48-151  53-134  71  75
4. Increased number of mothers (per 1,000) receiving early prenatal care? (1990, 1995)  745  807  ↑  758  813  ↑  469-868  560-900  778  828
5. Increased number of children with disabilities in preschool (per 1,000)? (1991, 1996)  32  47  ↑  *  *  ↑  16-68  16-92  38  47

### GOAL 2  School Completion

6. Increased high school completion rate? (1990, 1995)  81%  82%  ↔  86%  86%  ↔  77-96%  79-96%  87%  88%
7. Reduced high school dropout rate? (1994)*  5%  —  *  *  —  3-10%  —  5%  —

### GOAL 3  Student Achievement and Citizenship

8. Increased reading achievement in Grade 4? (1992, 1994)*  15%  15%  ↔  29%  30%  ↔  8-38%  8-41%  26%  27%
9. Increased mathematics achievement*  
   • in Grade 4? (1992, 1996)  8%  8%  ↔  18%  21%  ↑  5-27%  3-31%  16%  20%
   • in Grade 8? (1990, 1996)  5%  7%  ↔  15%  24%  ↑  1-27%  5-34%  15%  22%
10. Increased science achievement in Grade 8? (1996)  13%  —  29%  —  5-41%  —  27%  —

---

**Children’s Health Index**

**Percentage of infants born with 1 or more health risks**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1990</td>
<td>39%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1995</td>
<td>37%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**High School Completion**

**Percentage of all 18- to 24-year-olds who have a high school credential**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1990</td>
<td>18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1995</td>
<td>24%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

1. Includes late (in third trimester) or no prenatal care, low maternal weight gain (less than 21 pounds), mother smoked during pregnancy, or mother drank alcohol during pregnancy.

---

1. Does not include those still in high school.

2. Includes traditional high school diploma and alternative credential.
### GOAL 3  Student Achievement and Citizenship (continued)

11. Increased the number of Advanced Placement examinations receiving a grade of 3 or higher (per 1,000)? (1991, 1997)°

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Louisiana</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
<th>Range of State Scores</th>
<th>Median Scores†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>baseline</td>
<td>update</td>
<td>progress?</td>
<td>baseline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### GOAL 4  Teacher Education and Professional Development

12. Increased the percentage of public secondary school teachers who hold
     - 51% 50% 66% 63% 51-85% 50-81% 69% 64%
     - 96% 91% 94% 93% 91-100% 89-100% 98% 97%

13. Increased the percentage of public school teachers participating in professional development on 1 or more selected topics? (1994)
    - 83% — 85% — 76-98% — 86% —

    - 17% — 16% — 4-81% — 16% —

15. Increased the percentage of beginning public school teachers participating in a formal teacher induction program? (1991, 1994)
    - 23% 24% 22% 27% 6-42% 7-48% 20% 23%

### GOAL 5  Mathematics and Science

16. International comparisons in mathematics and science will be reported in future Goals Panel reports.∞

---

**Key**

- ↑ Significant progress
- ↓ Significant decline
- ↔ Change is not significant

---

† Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.
° See Table 8 for the numbers for each subject area.
∞ This information had not been released when the 1997 Goals Report went to print.
See pages 72-75 for a Guide to Reading the State Pages.
See Appendix C for technical notes and sources.

---

1 A complete description of the performance standard can be found in Appendix C.
### GOAL 5  Mathematics and Science (continued)

17. Increased the percentage of public school 8th graders whose mathematics teachers
   - have students work in small groups? (1996)
     - Louisiana: 61%  
     - U.S.: 66%  
   - address Algebra and functions? (1996)
     - Louisiana: 71%  
     - U.S.: 57%  
   - address reasoning and analytical ability? (1996)
     - Louisiana: 44%  
     - U.S.: 52%  

18. Increased the percentage of public school 8th graders who have computers available
    in their mathematics classroom? (1996)
   - Louisiana: 21%  
   - U.S.: 30%  

19. Increased mathematics and science degrees awarded to
     - Louisiana: 37%  
     - U.S.: 39%  
   - minority (Black, Hispanic, American Indian/Alaskan Native) students? (1991, 1995)
     - Louisiana: 41%  
     - U.S.: 39%  
     - Louisiana: 34%  
     - U.S.: 35%  

### GOAL 6  Adult Literacy and Lifelong Learning

20. Increased adult literacy? (1992)
   - Louisiana: 46%  
   - U.S.: 52%  

21. Increased the percentage of U.S. citizens
   - registered to vote? (1988, 1992)
     - Louisiana: 66%  
     - U.S.: 70%  
     - Louisiana: 61%  
     - U.S.: 66%  

   - Louisiana: 55%  
   - U.S.: 53%  

---

**KEY**

- **↑** Significant progress
- **↓** Significant decline
- **↔** Change is not significant

---

† Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.

** Data not available. See Appendix A.

** Indicators are not the same at the national and state level.

⊙ Sample size does not permit a reliable estimate of change.

See pages 72-75 for a Guide to Reading the State Pages.

See Appendix C for technical notes and sources.

---

**Mathematics Instruction**

Percentage of public school 8th graders whose mathematics teachers do the following, 1996
(Indicator 17)

- Work in small groups or with a partner
  - Louisiana: 61%
  - U.S.: 71%

- Address Algebra and functions
  - Louisiana: 44%
  - U.S.: 44%

- Address reasoning & analytical ability
  - 0% 20% 40% 60% 80% 100%

**Adult Literacy**

Percentage of adults who scored at 3 highest levels in Prose Literacy (Indicator 20)

- 3 highest levels
- 2 lowest levels

---

1 At least once a week.

2 On a 4-point scale from “none” to “a lot,” defined as a response to the top point.
**GOAL 7  Safe, Disciplined and Alcohol- and Drug-free Schools**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Louisiana</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
<th>Range of State Scores</th>
<th>Median Scores†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>23. Reduced marijuana use? (1993)</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>****</td>
<td>7-21%</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24. Reduced alcohol use (more than 5 drinks in a row)? (1993)</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>****</td>
<td>9-44%</td>
<td>28%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25. Reduced availability of drugs on school property? (1993)</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>****</td>
<td>11-31%</td>
<td>22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26. Reduced students threatened or injured with a weapon while on school property? (1993)</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>****</td>
<td>6-15%</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27. Reduced physical fights on school property? (1993)</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>****</td>
<td>13-30%</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28. Reduced students carrying weapons on school property? (1993)</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>****</td>
<td>8-18%</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29. Reduced students not feeling safe at school? (1993)</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>****</td>
<td>3-23%</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30. Reduced teacher victimization? (1994)</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>8-26%</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31. Reduced student disruptions? (1991, 1994)</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>47%</td>
<td>37% 46%</td>
<td>37% 47%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**GOAL 8  Parental Participation**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Louisiana</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
<th>Range of State Scores</th>
<th>Median Scores†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>32. Decreased schools with minimal parental involvement</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>** **</td>
<td>9-44% 13-50% 23% 27%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Teacher’s perspective? (1991, 1994)</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>** **</td>
<td>4-22% 3-27% 13% 13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Principal’s perspective? (1991, 1994)</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>** **</td>
<td>8-37% 12-50% 16% 22%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Alcohol- and Drug-free Schools**

Percentage of public high school students who reported the following (Indicators 23, 24, & 25)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Behavior</th>
<th>1993</th>
<th>1994</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Used marijuana†</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Had 5 or more drinks in a row†</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Were offered, sold, or given an illegal drug on school property</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Parent-School Partnerships**

Percentage of public school principals who reported that the parent association has influence on the following areas (Indicator 33)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area</th>
<th>1991</th>
<th>1994</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>One or more areas</td>
<td></td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Establishing curriculum</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hiring new full-time teachers</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Setting discipline policy</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**KEY**

- † Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.
- **** Indicators are not the same at the national and state level.
- Data not available. See Appendix A.
- Baseline years and most recent update years may differ by state for this indicator. See Appendix C for more information. See pages 72-75 for a Guide to Reading the State Pages. See Appendix C for technical notes and sources.

1 During the past 30 days.
2 During the past 12 months.
## MAINE

### GOAL 1  Ready to Learn

1. Reduced percentage of infants born in the state with 1 or more health risks? (1990, 1995)  
   - Maine: 35% - 35%  
   - U.S.: 37% - 34%  
   - Median: 25-46% - 24-46%  
   - Median Scores: 38% - 35%

   - Maine: 82% - 87%  
   - U.S.: 75% - 78%  
   - Median: 61-88% - 64-88%  
   - Median Scores: 76% - 79%

3. Reduced number of infants (per 1,000) born with low birthweight? (1990, 1995)  
   - Maine: 51 - 61  
   - U.S.: 70 - 73  
   - Median: 48-151 - 53-134  
   - Median Scores: 71 - 75

4. Increased number of mothers (per 1,000) receiving early prenatal care? (1990, 1995)  
   - Maine: 850 - 891  
   - U.S.: 758 - 813  
   - Median: 469-868 - 560-900  
   - Median Scores: 778 - 828

5. Increased number of children with disabilities in preschool (per 1,000)? (1991, 1996)  
   - Maine: 54 - 72  
   - U.S.: 78 - 85  
   - Median: 16-68 - 16-92  
   - Median Scores: 38 - 47

### GOAL 2  School Completion

   - Maine: 91% - 92%  
   - U.S.: 88% - 89%  
   - Median: 77-96% - 79-96%  
   - Median Scores: 87% - 88%

7. Reduced high school dropout rate? (1994)*  
   - Maine: 3% - —  
   - U.S.: * - *  
   - Median: 3-10% - —  
   - Median Scores: 5% - —

### GOAL 3  Student Achievement and Citizenship

8. Increased reading achievement in Grade 4? (1992, 1994)*  
   - Maine: 36% - 41%  
   - U.S.: 29% - 30%  
   - Median: 8-38% - 8-41%  
   - Median Scores: 26% - 27%

9. Increased mathematics achievement*  
   - * in Grade 4? (1992, 1996)  
     - Maine: 27% - 27%  
     - U.S.: 18% - 21%  
     - Median: 5-27% - 3-31%  
     - Median Scores: 16% - 20%
   - * in Grade 8? (1992, 1996)  
     - Maine: 25% - 31%  
     - U.S.: 21% - 24%  
     - Median: 1-31% - 5-34%  
     - Median Scores: 18% - 22%

10. Increased science achievement in Grade 8? (1996)  
    - Maine: 41% - —  
    - U.S.: 29% - —  
    - Median: 5-41% - —  
    - Median Scores: 27% - —

### KEY

↑ Significant progress  
↓ Significant decline  
↔ Change is not significant

1 Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.  
* Comparable national data are not available.  
  Data not available. See Appendix A.  
* Baseline years and most recent update years may differ by state for this indicator. See Appendix C for more information. See pages 72-75 for a Guide to Reading the State Pages. See Appendix C for technical notes and sources.

---

### Children's Health Index

#### Percentage of infants born with 1 or more health risks1 (Indicator 1)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>year</th>
<th>Maine</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1990</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>37%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1995</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>34%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Includes late (in third trimester) or no prenatal care, low maternal weight gain (less than 21 pounds), mother smoked during pregnancy, or mother drank alcohol during pregnancy.

---

### High School Completion

#### Percentage of all 18- to 24-year-olds1 who have a high school credential2 (Indicator 6)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>year</th>
<th>Maine</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1990</td>
<td>91%</td>
<td>95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1995</td>
<td>52%</td>
<td>55%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Does not include those still in high school.  
2 Includes traditional high school diploma and alternative credential.  
* Interpret with caution. Change was not statistically significant.
GOAL 3  Student Achievement and Citizenship (continued)

11. Increased the number of Advanced Placement examinations receiving a grade of 3 or higher (per 1,000)? (1991, 1997)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Maine</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
<th>Range of State Scores</th>
<th>Median Scores†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>baseline</td>
<td>update</td>
<td>progress?</td>
<td>baseline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1997</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>9-177</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

GOAL 4  Teacher Education and Professional Development

12. Increased the percentage of public secondary school teachers who hold
13. Increased the percentage of public school teachers participating in professional development on 1 or more selected topics? (1994)
14. Increased the percentage of public school teachers with training to teach limited English-proficient students? (1994)
15. Increased the percentage of beginning public school teachers participating in a formal teacher induction program? (1991, 1994)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Maine</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
<th>Range of State Scores</th>
<th>Median Scores†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>baseline</td>
<td>update</td>
<td>progress?</td>
<td>baseline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1994</td>
<td>64%</td>
<td>59%</td>
<td>↔</td>
<td>66%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1995</td>
<td>97%</td>
<td>95%</td>
<td>↔</td>
<td>94%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>85%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2001</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>↔</td>
<td>22%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

GOAL 5  Mathematics and Science

16. International comparisons in mathematics and science will be reported in future Goals Panel reports.†

Student Achievement
Percentage of public school students who met the Goals Panel's performance standard† in reading and mathematics (Indicators 8 & 9)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Reading Grade 4</th>
<th>Mathematics Grade 4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1992</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>27%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1994</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>27%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Professional Development
Percentage of public school teachers participating in professional development on the following topics, 1994 (Indicator 13)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>One or more topics</th>
<th>Uses of educational technology</th>
<th>Methods of teaching subject field</th>
<th>In-depth study in subject field</th>
<th>Student assessment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1994</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>59%</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

† Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.
‡ See Table 8 for the numbers for each subject area.
∞ Data not available. See Appendix A.
∞ This information had not been released when the 1997 Goals Report went to print.
See pages 72-75 for a Guide to Reading the State Pages. See Appendix C for technical notes and sources.

1 A complete description of the performance standard can be found in Appendix C.
** Interpret with caution. Change was not statistically significant.
MAINE

GOAL 5  Mathematics and Science (continued)

17. Increased the percentage of public school 8th graders whose mathematics teachers
   • have students work in small groups? (1996)
     68% — —
   • address Algebra and functions? (1996)
     55% — —
   • address reasoning and analytical ability? (1996)
     48% — —
18. Increased the percentage of public school 8th graders who have computers available
   in their mathematics classroom? (1996)
     34% — —
19. Increased mathematics and science degrees awarded to
   • all students? (1991, 1995)
     49% 50% ↑
   • minority (Black, Hispanic, American Indian/Alaskan Native) students? (1991, 1995)
     64% 50% ↓
     45% 45% ↔

GOAL 6  Adult Literacy and Lifelong Learning

20. Increased adult literacy? (1992)
    — — —
21. Increased the percentage of U.S. citizens
   • registered to vote? (1988, 1992)
     82% 86% ↑
   • voting? (1988, 1992)
     67% 75% ↑
    48% 50% ★

† Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.
** Data not available. See Appendix A.
★ Indicators are not the same at the national and state level.
⊙ Sample size does not permit a reliable estimate of change.
See pages 72-75 for a Guide to Reading the State Pages.
See Appendix C for technical notes and sources.

1 At least once a week.
2 On a 4-point scale from “none” to “a lot,” defined as a response to the top point.
**GOAL 7** Safe, Disciplined and Alcohol- and Drug-free Schools

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>23. Reduced marijuana use? (1995)•</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>** **</td>
<td>7-32%</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24. Reduced alcohol use (more than 5 drinks in a row)? (1995)•</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>** **</td>
<td>13-43%</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25. Reduced availability of drugs on school property? (1995)•</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>** **</td>
<td>20-46%</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26. Reduced students threatened or injured with a weapon while on school property? (1995)•</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>** **</td>
<td>4-11%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27. Reduced physical fights on school property? (1995)•</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>** **</td>
<td>12-18%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28. Reduced students carrying weapons on school property? (1995)•</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>** **</td>
<td>7-14%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29. Reduced students not feeling safe at school? (1995)•</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>** **</td>
<td>3-16%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30. Reduced teacher victimization? (1994)</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>8-26%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31. Reduced student disruptions? (1991, 1994)</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>23-60%</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>33-65%</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>47%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**GOAL 8** Parental Participation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>32. Decreased schools with minimal parental involvement</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>** **</td>
<td>** **</td>
<td>9-44%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Teacher's perspective? (1991, 1994)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>** **</td>
<td>** **</td>
<td>4-22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Principal's perspective? (1991, 1994)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>** **</td>
<td>** **</td>
<td>8-37%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33. Increased influence of parent associations? (1991, 1994)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>** **</td>
<td>** **</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**KEY**

† Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.

**Indicators are not the same at the national and state level.**

Data not available. See Appendix A.

* Baseline years and most recent update years may differ by state for this indicator. See Appendix C for more information.

See pages 72-75 for a Guide to Reading the State Pages.

See Appendix C for technical notes and sources.

1 During the past 30 days.

2 During the past 12 months.

1 On a 6-point scale from no influence to a great deal of influence, defined as a response to the top two points.

**Interpret with caution. Change was not statistically significant.**

---

**Alcohol- and Drug-free Schools**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Used marijuana*</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>38%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Had 5 or more drinks in a row†</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Were offered, sold, or given an illegal drug on school property†</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>60%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Parent-School Partnerships**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area</th>
<th>1991 Median</th>
<th>1994 Median</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>One or more areas</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Establishing curriculum</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hiring new full-time teachers</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Setting discipline policy</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

MAINE
MARYLAND

GOAL 1  Ready to Learn
1. Reduced percentage of infants born in the state with 1 or more health risks? (1990, 1995)  31% 29%  
2. Increased percentage of 2-year-olds immunized? (1994, 1996)  79% 80%  
3. Reduced number of infants (per 1,000) born with low birthweight? (1990, 1995)  78 85  
4. Increased number of mothers (per 1,000) receiving early prenatal care? (1990, 1995)  835 879  
5. Increased number of children with disabilities in preschool (per 1,000)? (1991, 1996)  34 41  

GOAL 2  School Completion
6. Increased high school completion rate? (1990, 1995)  87% 93%  
7. Reduced high school dropout rate? (1992, 1994)*  — —  

GOAL 3  Student Achievement and Citizenship
8. Increased reading achievement in Grade 4? (1992, 1994)*  
9. Increased mathematics achievement*  
   • in Grade 4? (1992, 1996)  18% 22%  
   • in Grade 8? (1990, 1996)  17% 24%  
10. Increased science achievement in Grade 8? (1996)  25% —  

KEY

† Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.
★ Comparable national data are not available.
* Data not available. See Appendix A.
* Baseline years and most recent update years may differ by state for this indicator. See Appendix C for more information.
See pages 72-75 for a Guide to Reading the State Pages.
See Appendix C for technical notes and sources.

1 Includes late (in third trimester) or no prenatal care, low maternal weight gain (less than 21 pounds), mother smoked during pregnancy, or mother drank alcohol during pregnancy.
2 Includes traditional high school diploma and alternative credential.
GOAL 3  Student Achievement and Citizenship (continued)

11. Increased the number of Advanced Placement examinations receiving a grade of 3 or higher (per 1,000)? (1991, 1997)
   - Maryland: 90 baseline, 131 update
   - U.S.: 55 baseline, 85 update
   - Range of State Scores: 9-177 baseline, 18-223 update
   - Median Scores*: 41 baseline, 65 update

GOAL 4  Teacher Education and Professional Development

12. Increased the percentage of public secondary school teachers who hold
     - Maryland: 70% baseline, 72% update
     - U.S.: 66% baseline, 63% update
     - Maryland: 96% baseline, 95% update
     - U.S.: 94% baseline, 93% update

13. Increased the percentage of public school teachers participating in professional development on 1 or more selected topics? (1994)
   - Maryland: 84% baseline
   - U.S.: 85% baseline
   - Range of State Scores: 76-98% baseline
   - Median Scores*: 86% update

14. Increased the percentage of public school teachers with training to teach limited English-proficient students? (1994)
   - Maryland: 16% baseline
   - U.S.: 16% baseline
   - Range of State Scores: 4-81% baseline
   - Median Scores*: 16% update

15. Increased the percentage of beginning public school teachers participating in a formal teacher induction program? (1991, 1994)
   - Maryland: 32% baseline, 28% update
   - U.S.: 22% baseline, 27% update
   - Range of State Scores: 6-42% baseline, 7-48% update
   - Median Scores*: 20% update

GOAL 5  Mathematics and Science

16. International comparisons in mathematics and science will be reported in future Goals Panel reports.

Maryland  U.S.  Range of State Scores  Median Scores*
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Goal</th>
<th>baseline</th>
<th>update</th>
<th>progress?</th>
<th>baseline</th>
<th>update</th>
<th>baseline</th>
<th>update</th>
<th>baseline</th>
<th>update</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Student Achievement</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of public school students who met the Goals Panel's performance standard</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1A complete description of the performance standard can be found in Appendix C.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ns</td>
<td>Interpreted with caution. Change was not statistically significant.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

KEY
| | Significant progress | Significant decline | Change is not significant |

† Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.
* See Table 8 for the numbers for each subject area.
- Data not available. See Appendix A.
- This information had not been released when the 1997 Goals Report went to print.
See pages 72-75 for a Guide to Reading the State Pages. See Appendix C for technical notes and sources.
**GOAL 5  Mathematics and Science (continued)**

17. Increased the percentage of public school 8th graders whose mathematics teachers
   - have students work in small groups? (1996) 70% 66% 45-92% 67%
   - address Algebra and functions? (1996) 59% 57% 45-82% 58%
   - address reasoning and analytical ability? (1996) 46% 52% 39-64% 48%

18. Increased the percentage of public school 8th graders who have computers available in their mathematics classroom? (1996) 13% 30% 7-54% 30%

19. Increased mathematics and science degrees awarded to
   - all students? (1991, 1995) 43% 46% 39% 42% 25-49% 15-53% 39% 42%
   - minority (Black, Hispanic, American Indian/Alaskan Native) students? (1991, 1995) 40% 43% 39% 40% 22-64% 22-57% 39% 39%
   - female students? (1991, 1995) 38% 40% 35% 37% 23-46% 13-47% 33% 36%

**GOAL 6  Adult Literacy and Lifelong Learning**

20. Increased adult literacy? (1992) — — 52% — 46-77% — 53%

21. Increased the percentage of U.S. citizens
   - registered to vote? (1988, 1992) 67% 76% 70% 73% 58-95% 63-92% 71% 75%
   - voting? (1988, 1992) 57% 70% 61% 66% 50-74% 55-77% 62% 66%

22. Increased postsecondary enrollment? (1992, 1994) 55% 55% ** ** 33-68% 37-71% 53% 55%

---

**KEY**

- Significant progress
- Significant decline
- Change is not significant

† Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.

— Data not available. See Appendix A.

** Indicators are not the same at the national and state level.

● Sample size does not permit a reliable estimate of change.

See pages 72-75 for a Guide to Reading the State Pages.

See Appendix C for technical notes and sources.
**GOAL 7**  Safe, Disciplined and Alcohol- and Drug-free Schools

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>baseline</th>
<th>update</th>
<th>progress?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>23.</td>
<td>Reduced marijuana use? (1991, 1995)*</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24.</td>
<td>Reduced alcohol use (more than 5 drinks in a row)? (1991, 1995)*</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25.</td>
<td>Reduced availability of drugs on school property? (1993, 1995)*</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26.</td>
<td>Reduced students threatened or injured with a weapon while on school property? (1993, 1995)*</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27.</td>
<td>Reduced physical fights on school property? (1993, 1995)*</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28.</td>
<td>Reduced students carrying weapons on school property? (1993, 1995)*</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29.</td>
<td>Reduced students not feeling safe at school? (1993, 1995)*</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30.</td>
<td>Reduced teacher victimization? (1994)</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31.</td>
<td>Reduced student disruptions? (1991, 1994)</td>
<td>47%</td>
<td>62%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**GOAL 8**  Parental Participation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>baseline</th>
<th>update</th>
<th>progress?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>32.</td>
<td>Decreased schools with minimal parental involvement</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>29%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Teacher’s perspective? (1991, 1994)</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Principal’s perspective? (1991, 1994)</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33.</td>
<td>Increased influence of parent associations? (1991, 1994)</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>22%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**KEY**

- ↑ Significant progress
- ↓ Significant decline
- ⇧ Change is not significant

† Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.
** Indicators are not the same at the national and state level.
* Baseline years and most recent update years may differ by state for this indicator. See Appendix C for more information.

---

1 On a 6-point scale from no influence to a great deal of influence, defined as a response to the top two points.
2 Interpret with caution. Change was not statistically significant.
## Massachusetts

### Goal 1 Ready to Learn

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Massachusetts</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
<th>Range of State Scores</th>
<th>Median Scores†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Reduced percentage of infants born in the state with 1 or more health risks? (1990, 1995)</td>
<td>42% 31% ↑</td>
<td>37% 34% ↑</td>
<td>25-46% 24-46%</td>
<td>38% 35%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Increased percentage of 2-year-olds immunized? (1994, 1996)</td>
<td>82% 87% ↔</td>
<td>75% 78% ↑</td>
<td>61-86% 64-88%</td>
<td>76% 79%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Reduced number of infants (per 1,000) born with low birthweight? (1990, 1995)</td>
<td>59 63 ↓</td>
<td>70 73 ↑</td>
<td>48-151 53-134</td>
<td>71 75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Increased number of mothers (per 1,000) receiving early prenatal care? (1990, 1995)</td>
<td>843 893 ↑</td>
<td>758 813 ↑</td>
<td>469-868 560-900</td>
<td>778 828</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Increased number of children with disabilities in preschool (per 1,000)? (1991, 1996)</td>
<td>50 55 ↑</td>
<td>* * ↑</td>
<td>16-68 16-92</td>
<td>38 47</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Goal 2 School Completion

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Massachusetts</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
<th>Range of State Scores</th>
<th>Median Scores†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6. Increased high school completion rate? (1990, 1995)</td>
<td>90% 92% ↔</td>
<td>86% 86% ↔</td>
<td>77-96% 79-96%</td>
<td>87% 88%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Reduced high school dropout rate? (1992, 1994)</td>
<td>3% 4% ↓</td>
<td>* * ↓</td>
<td>3-12% 3-10%</td>
<td>5% 5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Goal 3 Student Achievement and Citizenship

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Massachusetts</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
<th>Range of State Scores</th>
<th>Median Scores†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8. Increased reading achievement in Grade 4? (1992, 1994)*</td>
<td>36% 36% ↔</td>
<td>29% 30% ↔</td>
<td>8-38% 8-41%</td>
<td>26% 27%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Increased mathematics achievement*</td>
<td>22% 24% ↔</td>
<td>18% 21% ↑</td>
<td>5-27% 3-31%</td>
<td>16% 20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* in Grade 4? (1992, 1996)</td>
<td>23% 26% ↔</td>
<td>21% 24% ↑</td>
<td>1-31% 5-34%</td>
<td>18% 22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Increased science achievement in Grade 8? (1996)</td>
<td>37% — ♬</td>
<td>29% — ♬</td>
<td>5-41% —</td>
<td>27% —</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Children's Health Index

- **Percentage of infants born with 1 or more health risks**
  - Indicator 1
  - Includes late (in third trimester) or no prenatal care, low maternal weight gain (less than 21 pounds), mother smoked during pregnancy, or mother drank alcohol during pregnancy.

### High School Completion

- **Percentage of all 18- to 24-year-olds who have a high school credential**
  - Indicator 6
  - Does not include those still in high school.
  - Includes traditional high school diploma and alternative credential.

**Key**

- ↑ Significant progress
- ↓ Significant decline
- ↔ Change is not significant

† Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.

* Comparable national data are not available.

* Data not available. See Appendix A.

* Baseline years and most recent update years may differ by state for this indicator. See Appendix C for more information. See pages 72-75 for a Guide to Reading the State Pages. See Appendix C for technical notes and sources.
GOAL 3  Student Achievement and Citizenship (continued)

11. Increased the number of Advanced Placement examinations receiving a grade of 3 or higher (per 1,000)? (1991, 1997)†

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Massachusetts</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
<th>Range of State Scores</th>
<th>Median Scores†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>baseline</td>
<td>update</td>
<td>progress?</td>
<td>baseline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>82</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

GOAL 4  Teacher Education and Professional Development

12. Increased the percentage of public secondary school teachers who hold
   • a degree in main teaching assignment? (1991, 1994)
     69% 72%  ↔  66% 63%  ↑  51-85% 50-81%  69% 64%  97% 94%  ↓  94% 93%  ↓  91-100% 89-100%  98% 97%

13. Increased the percentage of public school teachers participating in professional development on 1 or more selected topics? (1994)

12. Increased the percentage of public school teachers with training to teach limited English-proficient students? (1994)


GOAL 5  Mathematics and Science

16. International comparisons in mathematics and science will be reported in future Goals Panel reports.™

---

**KEY**

↑ Significant progress
↓ Significant decline
↔ Change is not significant

† Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.
^ See Table 8 for the numbers for each subject area.
∞ Data not available. See Appendix A.
∞ This information had not been released when the 1997 Goals Report went to print.
See pages 72-75 for a Guide to Reading the State Pages.
See Appendix C for technical notes and sources.

---

A complete description of the performance standard can be found in Appendix C.
Interpret with caution. Change was not statistically significant.
GOAL 5  Mathematics and Science (continued)

17. Increased the percentage of public school 8th graders whose mathematics teachers
   • have students work in small groups? (1996) 65% — 66% — 45-92% — 67% —
   • address Algebra and functions? (1996) 68% — 57% — 45-82% — 58% —
   • address reasoning and analytical ability? (1996) 60% — 52% — 39-64% — 48% —

18. Increased the percentage of public school 8th graders who have computers available
   in their mathematics classroom? (1998) 23% — 30% — 7-54% — 30% —

19. Increased mathematics and science degrees awarded to
   • all students? (1991, 1995) 46% 49% ↑ 39% 42% ↑ 25-49% 15-53% 39% 42%
   • minority (Black, Hispanic, American Indian/Alaskan Native) students? (1991, 1995) 51% 54% ↑ 39% 40% ↑ 22-64% 22-57% 39% 39%
   • female students? (1991, 1995) 43% 44% ↑ 35% 37% ↑ 23-46% 13-47% 33% 36%

GOAL 6  Adult Literacy and Lifelong Learning

20. Increased adult literacy? (1992) — — 52% — 46-77% — 53% —

21. Increased the percentage of U.S. citizens
   • registered to vote? (1988, 1992) 74% 77% ↑ 70% 73% ↑ 58-95% 63-92% 71% 75%
   • voting? (1988, 1992) 67% 70% ↑ 61% 66% ↑ 50-74% 55-77% 62% 68%

   60% 65% ** 52% — 33-68% 37-71% 53% 55%

KEY

↑ Significant progress
↓ Significant decline
= Change is not significant

† Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.
** Data not available. See Appendix A.
** Indicators are not the same at the national and state level.
⊙ Sample size does not permit a reliable estimate of change.
See pages 72-75 for a Guide to Reading the State Pages.
See Appendix C for technical notes and sources.

1 At least once a week.
2 On a 4-point scale from “none” to “a lot,” defined as a response to the top point.
## GOAL 7  Safe, Disciplined and Alcohol- and Drug-free Schools

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Massachusetts</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
<th>Range of State Scores</th>
<th>Median Scores†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reduced marijuana use? (1993, 1995)*</td>
<td>20% 32%</td>
<td>**  **</td>
<td>7-21% 7-32%</td>
<td>14% 23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced alcohol use (more than 5 drinks in a row)?</td>
<td>28% 33%</td>
<td>**  **</td>
<td>9-44% 13-43%</td>
<td>28% 31%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced availability of drugs on school property?</td>
<td>31% 39%</td>
<td>**  **</td>
<td>11-31% 20-46%</td>
<td>22% 30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced students threatened or injured by a weapon while on school property? (1993, 1995)</td>
<td>9% 8%</td>
<td>**  **</td>
<td>6-15% 4-11%</td>
<td>8% 8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced physical fights on school property? (1993, 1995)</td>
<td>15% 15%</td>
<td>**  **</td>
<td>13-39% 12-19%</td>
<td>16% 15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced students carrying weapons on school property? (1993, 1995)</td>
<td>10% 9%</td>
<td>**  **</td>
<td>8-18% 7-14%</td>
<td>12% 11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced students not feeling safe at school? (1993, 1995)</td>
<td>5% 6%</td>
<td>**  **</td>
<td>2-23% 3-16%</td>
<td>6% 5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced teacher victimization? (1994)</td>
<td>14% —</td>
<td>15% —</td>
<td>8-26% —</td>
<td>14% —</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced student disruptions? (1991, 1994)</td>
<td>40% 49%</td>
<td>37% 46%</td>
<td>23-60% 33-65%</td>
<td>37% 47%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## GOAL 8  Parental Participation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Massachusetts</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
<th>Range of State Scores</th>
<th>Median Scores†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Decreased schools with minimal parental involvement</td>
<td>18% 22%</td>
<td>**  **</td>
<td>9-44% 13-50%</td>
<td>23% 27%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teacher’s perspective? (1991, 1994)</td>
<td>9% 5%</td>
<td>**  **</td>
<td>4-22% 3-27%</td>
<td>13% 13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Principal’s perspective? (1991, 1994)</td>
<td>17% 31%</td>
<td>**  **</td>
<td>8-37% 12-50%</td>
<td>16% 22%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Alcohol- and Drug-free Schools

#### Percentage of public high school students who reported the following:
1. **Used marijuana**
2. **Had 5 or more drinks in a row**
3. **Were offered, sold, or given an illegal drug on school property**

#### Parent-School Partnerships

Percentage of public school principals who reported that the parent association has influence¹ on the following areas (Indicator 33)

---

**Key**
- Significant progress
- Significant decline
- Change is not significant

† Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.
** Indicators are not the same at the national and state level.
* Baseline years and most recent update years may differ by state for this indicator. See Appendix C for more information.

¹ During the past 30 days.
² During the past 12 months.
### GOAL 1  Ready to Learn

1. Reduced percentage of infants born in the state with 1 or more health risks? (1990, 1995) 38% 37% ▲ 37% 34% ▲ 25-46% 24-46% 38% 35%
2. Increased percentage of 2-year-olds immunized? (1994, 1996) 61% 76% ▲ 75% 78% ▲ 61-88% 64-88% 76% 79%
3. Reduced number of infants (per 1,000) born with low birthweight? (1990, 1995) 76 77 ▼ 70 73 ▼ 48-151 53-134 71 75
4. Increased number of mothers (per 1,000) receiving early prenatal care? (1990, 1995) 794 836 ▲ 758 813 ▲ 469-868 560-900 778 828
5. Increased number of children with disabilities in preschool (per 1,000)? (1991, 1996) 34 42 ▲ * *・ 16-68 16-92 38 47

### GOAL 2  School Completion

6. Increased high school completion rate? (1990, 1995) 86% 89% ▲ 86% 86% ◄ 77-96% 79-96% 87% 88%
7. Reduced high school dropout rate? (1992, 1994)• — — — 3-12% 3-10% 5% 5%

### GOAL 3  Student Achievement and Citizenship

8. Increased reading achievement in Grade 4? (1992)* 26% — — 29% — — 8-38% — — 26% —
9. Increased mathematics achievement* • in Grade 4 (1992, 1996) 18% 23% ◄ 18% 21% ◄ 5-27% 3-31% 16% 20%
   • in Grade 8? (1990, 1996) 16% 28% ▲ 15% 24% ▲ 1-22% 5-34% 15% 22%
10. Increased science achievement in Grade 8? (1996) 32% — — 29% — — 5-41% — — 27% —

---

**Children's Health Index**

- **Percentage of infants born with 1 or more health risks** (Indicator 1)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>1990</th>
<th>1995</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>37%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**High School Completion**

- **Percentage of all 18- to 24-year-olds who have a high school credential** (Indicator 6)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>1990</th>
<th>1995</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>89%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

1. Includes late (in third trimester) or no prenatal care, low maternal weight gain (less than 21 pounds), mother smoked during pregnancy, or mother drank alcohol during pregnancy.
2. Does not include those still in high school.
3. Includes traditional high school diploma and alternative credential.

---

† Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.
* Comparable national data are not available.
— Data not available. See Appendix A.
• Baseline years and most recent update years may differ by state for this indicator. See Appendix C for more information. See pages 72-75 for a Guide to Reading the State Pages. See Appendix C for technical notes and sources.
### GOAL 3  Student Achievement and Citizenship (continued)

11. Increased the number of Advanced Placement examinations receiving a grade of 3 or higher (per 1,000)? *(1991, 1997)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Michigan</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
<th>Range of State Scores</th>
<th>Median Scores†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>baseline</td>
<td>update</td>
<td>progress?</td>
<td>baseline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### GOAL 4  Teacher Education and Professional Development

12. Increased the percentage of public secondary school teachers who hold a degree in main teaching assignment? *(1991, 1994)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Michigan</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
<th>Range of State Scores</th>
<th>Median Scores†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>baseline</td>
<td>update</td>
<td>progress?</td>
<td>baseline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>67%</td>
<td>←</td>
<td>66%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

13. Increased the percentage of public school teachers participating in professional development on 1 or more selected topics? *(1994)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Michigan</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
<th>Range of State Scores</th>
<th>Median Scores†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>baseline</td>
<td>update</td>
<td>progress?</td>
<td>baseline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>82%</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>←</td>
<td>85%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Michigan</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
<th>Range of State Scores</th>
<th>Median Scores†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>baseline</td>
<td>update</td>
<td>progress?</td>
<td>baseline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>←</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

15. Increased the percentage of beginning public school teachers participating in a formal teacher induction program? *(1991, 1994)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Michigan</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
<th>Range of State Scores</th>
<th>Median Scores†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>baseline</td>
<td>update</td>
<td>progress?</td>
<td>baseline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>←</td>
<td>22%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### GOAL 5  Mathematics and Science

16. International comparisons in mathematics and science will be reported in future Goals Panel reports.†

**Student Achievement**

Percentage of public school students who met the Goals Panel's performance standard in reading and mathematics (Indicators 8 & 9)

- **Reading Grade 4**
  - 1992: 26%
  - 1993: 18%
  - 1994: 23%

- **Mathematics Grade 4**
  - 1992: 26%
  - 1993: 18%
  - 1994: 23%

**Professional Development**

Percentage of public school teachers participating in professional development on the following topics, 1994 (Indicator 13)

- **One or more topics**
  - 1992: 53%
  - 1993: 53%
  - 1994: 53%

- **Uses of educational technology**
  - 1992: 62%
  - 1993: 62%
  - 1994: 62%

- **Methods of teaching subject field**
  - 1992: 25%
  - 1993: 25%
  - 1994: 25%

- **In-depth study in subject field**
  - 1992: 53%
  - 1993: 53%
  - 1994: 53%

- **Student assessment**
  - 1992: 53%
  - 1993: 53%
  - 1994: 53%

**Key**

- ↑ Significant progress
- ↓ Significant decline
- ← Change is not significant

† Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.
° See Table 8 for the numbers for each subject area.
∞ This information had not been released when the 1997 Goals Report went to print.
* See pages 72-75 for a Guide to Reading the State Pages.
See Appendix C for technical notes and sources.

---

1 A complete description of the performance standard can be found in Appendix C.
2 Interpret with caution. Change was not statistically significant.
### GOAL 5  Mathematics and Science (continued)

17. Increased the percentage of public school 8th graders whose mathematics teachers
   - have students work in small groups? (1996) 75% — 66%
   - address Algebra and functions? (1996) 62% — 57%
   - address reasoning and analytical ability? (1996) 48% — 52%

18. Increased the percentage of public school 8th graders who have computers available in their mathematics classroom? (1996) 27% — 30%

19. Increased mathematics and science degrees awarded to
   - all students? (1991, 1995) 40% 42% ➺ ➺ 39% 42% ➺ ➺
   - minority (Black, Hispanic, American Indian/Alaskan Native) students? (1991, 1995) 39% 37% ➺ ➺ 39% 39% ➺ ➺
   - female students? (1991, 1995) 35% 35% ➺ ➺ 35% 37% ➺ ➺

### GOAL 6  Adult Literacy and Lifelong Learning

20. Increased adult literacy? (1992) — — 52% — 46-77% — 53%

21. Increased the percentage of U.S. citizens
   - registered to vote? (1988, 1992) 74% 77% ➺ 70% 73% ➺ 58-95% 63-92% 71% 75%
   - voting? (1988, 1992) 61% 68% ➺ 61% 66% ➺ 50-74% 55-77% 62% 68%

22. Increased postsecondary enrollment? (1992, 1994) 59% 60% ** ** 33-68% 37-71% 53% 55%

#### KEY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>➺</td>
<td>Significant progress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>➺</td>
<td>Significant decline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>➺</td>
<td>Change is not significant</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

1. Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.
2. Data not available. See Appendix A.
3. Indicators are not the same at the national and state level.
4. Sample size does not permit a reliable estimate of change.
   See pages 72-75 for a Guide to Reading the State Pages.
   See Appendix C for technical notes and sources.
### GOAL 7  Safe, Disciplined and Alcohol- and Drug-free Schools

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Michigan</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
<th>Range of State Scores</th>
<th>Median Scores†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>23. Reduced marijuana use? (1991, 1995)*</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>** 4-18%</td>
<td>10% 23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24. Reduced alcohol use (more than 5 drinks in a row)? (1991, 1995)*</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>** 17-43%</td>
<td>30% 31%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25. Reduced availability of drugs on school property? (1993, 1995)*</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>** 11-31%</td>
<td>22% 30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26. Reduced students threatened or injured with a weapon while on school property? (1993, 1995)*</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>** 6-15%</td>
<td>8% 8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27. Reduced physical fights on school property? (1993, 1995)*</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>** 13-30%</td>
<td>16% 15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28. Reduced students carrying weapons on school property? (1993, 1995)*</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>** 8-18%</td>
<td>12% 11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29. Reduced students not feeling safe at school? (1993, 1995)*</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>** 3-23%</td>
<td>6% 5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30. Reduced teacher victimization? (1994)</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>8-26%</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31. Reduced student disruptions? (1991, 1994)</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>46%</td>
<td>23-60%</td>
<td>37% 47%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### GOAL 8  Parental Participation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Michigan</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
<th>Range of State Scores</th>
<th>Median Scores†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>32. Decreased schools with minimal parental involvement</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>** 9-44%</td>
<td>23% 27%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Teacher’s perspective? (1991, 1994)</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>** 4-22%</td>
<td>13% 13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Principal’s perspective? (1991, 1994)</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>** 8-37%</td>
<td>16% 22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33. Increased influence of parent associations? (1991, 1994)</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>** 8-37%</td>
<td>16% 22%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

** Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.
** Indicators are not the same at the national and state level.
* Baseline years and most recent update years may differ by state for this indicator. See Appendix C for more information. See pages 72-75 for a Guide to Reading the State Pages. See Appendix C for technical notes and sources.

---

### Parent-School Partnerships

Percentage of public school principals who reported that the parent association has influence on the following areas (Indicator 33)

- **Establishing curriculum:** 0%
- **Hiring new full-time teachers:** 3%
- **Setting discipline policy:** 1%

**Significant progress**
**Significant decline**
---

---

**ns** Interpret with caution. Change was not statistically significant.

---

**MICHIGAN**
MINNESOTA

GOAL 1  Ready to Learn

1. Reduced percentage of infants born in the state with 1 or more health risks? (1990, 1995)  28%  29%  ↓  37%  34%  ↑  25-46%  24-46%  38%  35%
2. Increased percentage of 2-year-olds immunized? (1994, 1996)  81%  85%  ↔  75%  78%  ↑  61-86%  64-88%  76%  79%
3. Reduced number of infants (per 1,000) born with low birthweight? (1990, 1995)  51  59  ↓  70  73  ↑  48-151  53-134  71  75
4. Increased number of mothers (per 1,000) receiving early prenatal care? (1990, 1995)  812  836  ↑  758  813  ↑  469-868  560-900  778  828
5. Increased number of children with disabilities in preschool (per 1,000)? (1991, 1996)  42  53  ↑  *  *  ↑  16-68  16-92  38  47

GOAL 2  School Completion

6. Increased high school completion rate? (1990, 1995)  92%  95%  ↑  86%  86%  ↔  77-96%  79-96%  87%  88%
7. Reduced high school dropout rate? (1994)*  5%  —  —  81%  85%  ↑  61-88%  64-88%  77-96%  87-96%

GOAL 3  Student Achievement and Citizenship

8. Increased reading achievement in Grade 4? (1992, 1994)*  31%  33%  ↔  29%  30%  ↔  8-38%  8-41%  26%  27%
9. Increased mathematics achievement *
   • in Grade 4? (1992, 1996)  26%  29%  ↓  18%  21%  ↑  5-27%  3-31%  16%  20%
   • in Grade 8? (1990, 1996)  23%  34%  ↑  15%  24%  ↑  1-27%  5-34%  15%  22%
10. Increased science achievement in Grade 8? (1996)  37%  —  —  29%  —  —  5-41%  —  —  27%  —

Children’s Health Index
Percentage of infants born with 1 or more health risks1 (Indicator 1)

1 Includes late (in third trimester) or no prenatal care, low maternal weight gain (less than 21 pounds), mother smoked during pregnancy, or mother drank alcohol during pregnancy.

High School Completion
Percentage of all 18- to 24-year-olds1 who have a high school credential1 (Indicator 6)

1 Does not include those still in high school.

† Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.
* Comparable national data are not available.
** Baseline years and most recent update years may differ by state for this indicator. See Appendix C for more information. See pages 72-75 for a Guide to Reading the State Pages. See Appendix C for technical notes and sources.
GOAL 3  Student Achievement and Citizenship (continued)

11. Increased the number of Advanced Placement examinations receiving a grade of 3 or higher (per 1,000)? (1991, 1997)

Minnesota  U.S.  Range of State Scores  Median Scores†

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>baseline</th>
<th>update</th>
<th>progress?</th>
<th>baseline</th>
<th>update</th>
<th>progress?</th>
<th>baseline</th>
<th>update</th>
<th>baseline</th>
<th>update</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>22</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>9-177</td>
<td>18-223</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

GOAL 4  Teacher Education and Professional Development

12. Increased the percentage of public secondary school teachers who hold
   • a degree in main teaching assignment? (1991, 1994)
     80%  81%  ↔  66%  63%  ↓  51-85%  50-81%  69%  64%
   • a teaching certificate in main teaching assignment? (1991, 1994)
     100%  98%  ↓  94%  93%  ↓  91-100%  89-100%  98%  97%
13. Increased the percentage of public school teachers participating in professional development on 1 or more selected topics? (1994)
    85%  —    85%  —    76-98%  —    86%  —
14. Increased the percentage of public school teachers with training to teach limited English-proficient students? (1994)
    10%  —    16%  —    4-81%  —    16%  —
15. Increased the percentage of beginning public school teachers participating in a formal teacher induction program? (1991, 1994)
    14%  18%  ↔  22%  27%  ↑  6-42%  7-48%  20%  23%

GOAL 5  Mathematics and Science

16. International comparisons in mathematics and science will be reported in future Goals Panel reports.™

Student Achievement
Percentage of public school students who met the Goals Panel's performance standard† in reading and mathematics (Indicators 8 & 9)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td></td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20%</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td></td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>31%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40%</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td></td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>39%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Professional Development
Percentage of public school teachers participating in professional development on the following topics, 1994 (Indicator 13)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>One or more topics</th>
<th>Uses of educational technology</th>
<th>Methods of teaching subject field</th>
<th>In-depth study in subject field</th>
<th>Student assessment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>64%</td>
<td>54%</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td>51%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>80%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>60%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>40%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

† Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.
♂ See Table 8 for the numbers for each subject area.
— Data not available. See Appendix A.
This information had not been released when the 1997 Goals Report went to print. See pages 72-75 for a Guide to Reading the State Pages. See Appendix C for technical notes and sources.
MINNESOTA

GOAL 5  Mathematics and Science (continued)

17. Increased the percentage of public school 8th graders whose mathematics teachers
   • have students work in small groups? (1996) 69% — —
   • address Algebra and functions? (1996) 64% — —
   • address reasoning and analytical ability? (1996) 47% — —
18. Increased the percentage of public school 8th graders who have computers available
   in their mathematics classroom? (1996) 28% — —
19. Increased mathematics and science degrees awarded to
   • all students? (1991, 1995) 37% 41% †
   • minority (Black, Hispanic, American Indian/Alaskan Native) students? (1991, 1995) 39% 41% †
   • female students? (1991, 1995) 33% 35% †

GOAL 6  Adult Literacy and Lifelong Learning

20. Increased adult literacy? (1992) — —
21. Increased the percentage of U.S. citizens
   • registered to vote? (1988, 1992) 79% 88% †
   • voting? (1988, 1992) 71% 76% †
22. Increased postsecondary enrollment? (1992, 1994) 54% 53% *

Median Scores†

1 At least once a week.
2 On a 4-point scale from “none” to “a lot,” defined as a response to the top point.
† Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.
** Indicators are not the same at the national and state level.
‡ Sample size does not permit a reliable estimate of change.
See pages 72-75 for a Guide to Reading the State Pages.
See Appendix C for technical notes and sources.
### GOAL 7 Safe, Disciplined and Alcohol- and Drug-free Schools

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>1991 Update</th>
<th>1995 Update</th>
<th>Median Scores†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reduced marijuana use?</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced alcohol use (more than 5 drinks in a row)?</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced availability of drugs on school property?</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced students threatened or injured with a weapon while on school property?</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced physical fights on school property?</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced students carrying weapons on school property?</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced students not feeling safe at school?</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced teacher victimization?</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced student disruptions?</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>52%</td>
<td>37%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### GOAL 8 Parental Participation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>1991 Update</th>
<th>1994 Update</th>
<th>Median Scores†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Decreased schools with minimal parental involvement</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teacher’s perspective?</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Principal’s perspective?</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.**

**Indicators are not the same at the national and state level.**

*Baseline years and most recent update years may differ by state for this indicator. See Appendix C for more information. See pages 72-75 for a Guide to Reading the State Pages. See Appendix C for technical notes and sources.
MISSISSIPPI

GOAL 1  Ready to Learn

1. Reduced percentage of infants born in the state with 1 or more health risks? (1990, 1995) | 40% → 39% ↑ | 37% → 34% ↑ | 25–46% → 24–46% | 38% → 35%
2. Increased percentage of 2-year-olds immunized? (1994, 1996) | 83% → 81% ↓ | 75% → 78% ↑ | 61–86% → 64–86% | 76% → 79%
3. Reduced number of infants (per 1,000) born with low birthweight? (1990, 1995) | 96 → 98 ↑ | 70 → 73 ↑ | 48–151 → 53–134 | 71 → 75
4. Increased number of mothers (per 1,000) receiving early prenatal care? (1990, 1995) | 745 → 772 ↑ | 758 → 813 ↑ | 469–868 → 560–900 | 778 → 828
5. Increased number of children with disabilities in preschool (per 1,000)? (1991, 1996) | 46 → 53 ↑ | * → * ↑ | 16–68 → 16–92 | 38 → 47

GOAL 2  School Completion

6. Increased high school completion rate? (1990, 1995) | 84% → 84% ↓ | 86% → 86% ↓ | 77–96% → 79–96% | 87% → 88%
7. Reduced high school dropout rate? (1992, 1994) * | 5% → 6% ↓ | * → * ↓ | 3–12% → 3–10% | 5% → 5%

GOAL 3  Student Achievement and Citizenship

8. Increased reading achievement in Grade 4? (1992, 1994) * | 14% → 18% ↑ | 29% → 30% ↑ | 8–38% → 8–41% | 26% → 27%
9. Increased mathematics achievement *
   • in Grade 4? (1992, 1996) | 6% → 8% ↑ | 18% → 21% ↑ | 5–27% → 3–31% | 16% → 20%
   • in Grade 8? (1992, 1996) | 6% → 7% ↑ | 21% → 24% ↑ | 1–31% → 5–34% | 18% → 22%
10. Increased science achievement in Grade 8? (1996) | 12% → — | 29% → — | 5–41% → — | 27% → —

KEY

↑ Significant progress
↓ Significant decline
↔ Change is not significant

† Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.
* Comparable national data are not available.
* Data not available. See Appendix A.
* Baseline years and most recent update years may differ by state for this indicator. See Appendix C for more information.
See pages 72–75 for a Guide to Reading the State Pages. See Appendix C for technical notes and sources.

1 Includes late (in third trimester) or no prenatal care, low maternal weight gain (less than 21 pounds), mother smoked during pregnancy, or mother drank alcohol during pregnancy.
2 Does not include those still in high school.

1990 1995

Children’s Health Index
Percentage of infants born with 1 or more health risks
(Indicator 1)

1990 1995

High School Completion
Percentage of all 18- to 24-year-olds
who have a high school credential
(Indicator 6)
GOAL 3  Student Achievement and Citizenship (continued)

11. Increased the number of Advanced Placement examinations receiving a grade of 3 or higher (per 1,000)? (1991, 1997)†

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mississippi</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
<th>Range of State Scores</th>
<th>Median Scores†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>baseline</td>
<td>update</td>
<td>progress?</td>
<td>baseline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>65</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

GOAL 4  Teacher Education and Professional Development

   • Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mississippi</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
<th>Range of State Scores</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>baseline</td>
<td>update</td>
<td>progress?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67%</td>
<td>61%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66%</td>
<td>63%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51-85%</td>
<td>50-81%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>69%</td>
<td>64%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

13. Increased the percentage of public school teachers participating in professional development on 1 or more selected topics? (1994)
   • Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mississippi</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
<th>Range of State Scores</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>baseline</td>
<td>update</td>
<td>progress?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>88%</td>
<td>—</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85%</td>
<td>—</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>76-98%</td>
<td>—</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>86%</td>
<td>—</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

14. Increased the percentage of public school teachers with training to teach limited English-proficient students? (1994)
   • Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mississippi</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
<th>Range of State Scores</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>baseline</td>
<td>update</td>
<td>progress?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18%</td>
<td>—</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16%</td>
<td>—</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-81%</td>
<td>—</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16%</td>
<td>—</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

15. Increased the percentage of beginning public school teachers participating in a formal teacher induction program? (1991, 1994)
   • Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mississippi</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
<th>Range of State Scores</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>baseline</td>
<td>update</td>
<td>progress?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22%</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-42%</td>
<td>7-48%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20%</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

GOAL 5  Mathematics and Science

16. International comparisons in mathematics and science will be reported in future Goals Panel reports.™

Student Achievement
Percentage of public school students who met the Goals Panel's performance standard1 in reading and mathematics (Indicators 8 & 9)

Professional Development
Percentage of public school teachers participating in professional development on the following topics, 1994 (Indicator 13)

1 A complete description of the performance standard can be found in Appendix C.

™ Interact with caution. Change was not statistically significant.

KEY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Significantly improved (SI)</td>
<td>Significant progress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Significantly declined (SD)</td>
<td>Significant decline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equal (E)</td>
<td>Change is not significant</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

† Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.

™ See Table 8 for the numbers for each subject area.

— Data not available. See Appendix A.

∞ This information had not been released when the 1997 Goals Report went to print.

See pages 72-75 for a Guide to Reading the State Pages. See Appendix C for technical notes and sources.
## MISSISSIPPI

### GOAL 5  Mathematics and Science (continued)

17. Increased the percentage of public school 8th graders whose mathematics teachers
   • have students work in small groups? (1996)
     58% — — 66% — 45-92% — 67% —
   • address Algebra and functions? (1996)
     51% — — 57% — 45-82% — 58% —
   • address reasoning and analytical ability? (1996)
     49% — — 52% — 39-64% — 48% —

18. Increased the percentage of public school 8th graders who have computers available
   in their mathematics classroom? (1996)
     22% — — 30% — 7-54% — 30% —

19. Increased mathematics and science degrees awarded to
   • all students? (1991, 1995)
     36% 40% ↑ 39% 42% ↑ 25-49% 15-53% 39% 42%
   • minority (Black, Hispanic, American Indian/Alaskan Native) students? (1991, 1995)
     36% 40% ↑ 39% 40% ↑ 22-64% 22-57% 39% 39%
     30% 37% ↑ 35% 37% ↑ 23-46% 13-47% 33% 36%

### GOAL 6  Adult Literacy and Lifelong Learning

20. Increased adult literacy? (1992)
    — — — 52% — 46-77% — 53% —

21. Increased the percentage of U.S. citizens
   • registered to vote? (1988, 1992)
     78% 80% — 70% 73% ↑ 58-95% 63-92% 71% 75%
   • voting? (1988, 1992)
     63% 67% — 61% 66% ↑ 50-74% 55-77% 62% 66%

    61% 69% ↑ ** ** 33-68% 37-71% 53% 55%

---

**KEY**

↑ Significant progress
↓ Significant decline
↔ Change is not significant

† Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.
— Data not available. See Appendix A.
** Indicators are not the same at the national and state level.
See pages 72-75 for a Guide to Reading the State Pages.
See Appendix C for technical notes and sources.

---

2 At least once a week.
2 On a 4-point scale from “none” to “a lot,” defined as a response to the top point.
### GOAL 7 | Safe, Disciplined and Alcohol- and Drug-free Schools

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Mississippi</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
<th>Range of State Scores</th>
<th>Median Scores†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>23. Reduced marijuana use? (1993, 1995)</td>
<td>9% 16%</td>
<td>**  **</td>
<td>7-21% 7-32%</td>
<td>14% 23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24. Reduced alcohol use (more than 5 drinks in a row)? (1993, 1995)</td>
<td>27% 30%</td>
<td>**  **</td>
<td>9-44% 13-43%</td>
<td>28% 31%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25. Reduced availability of drugs on school property? (1993, 1995)</td>
<td>16% 20%</td>
<td>**  **</td>
<td>11-31% 20-46%</td>
<td>22% 30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26. Reduced students threatened or injured with a weapon while on school property? (1993, 1995)</td>
<td>8% 8%</td>
<td>**  **</td>
<td>6-15% 4-11%</td>
<td>8% 8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27. Reduced physical fights on school property? (1993, 1995)</td>
<td>17% 16%</td>
<td>**  **</td>
<td>13-39% 12-19%</td>
<td>16% 15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28. Reduced students carrying weapons on school property? (1993, 1995)</td>
<td>14% 8%</td>
<td>**  **</td>
<td>8-18% 7-14%</td>
<td>12% 11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29. Reduced students not feeling safe at school? (1993, 1995)</td>
<td>6% 5%</td>
<td>**  **</td>
<td>2-23% 3-16%</td>
<td>6% 5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30. Reduced teacher victimization? (1994)</td>
<td>15% —</td>
<td>15% —</td>
<td>8-26% —</td>
<td>14% —</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31. Reduced student disruptions? (1991, 1994)</td>
<td>30% 47%</td>
<td>37% 46%</td>
<td>23-60% 33-65%</td>
<td>37% 47%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### GOAL 8 | Parental Participation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Mississippi</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
<th>Range of State Scores</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>32. Decreased schools with minimal parental involvement</td>
<td>31% 40%</td>
<td>**  **</td>
<td>9-44% 13-50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teacher’s perspective? (1991, 1994)</td>
<td>31% 40%</td>
<td>**  **</td>
<td>9-44% 13-50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Principal’s perspective? (1991, 1994)</td>
<td>21% 24%</td>
<td>**  **</td>
<td>4-22% 3-27%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33. Increased influence of parent associations? (1991, 1994)</td>
<td>24% 25%</td>
<td>**  **</td>
<td>8-37% 12-50%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

** KEY **

- ** Significant progress
- ** Significant decline
- Change is not significant

† Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.

** Indicators are not the same at the national and state level.

* Baseline years and most recent update years may differ by state for this indicator. See Appendix C for more information.

See pages 72-75 for a Guide to Reading the State Pages. See Appendix C for technical notes and sources.

### Alcohol-and Drug-free Schools

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Mississippi</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Used marijuana</td>
<td>9% 16%</td>
<td>**  **</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Had 5 or more drinks in a row</td>
<td>27% 30%</td>
<td>**  **</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Were offered, sold, or given an illegal drug on school property</td>
<td>16% 20%</td>
<td>**  **</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. During the past 30 days.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>1993</th>
<th>1995</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1991</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1994</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Parent-School Partnerships

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Mississippi</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>One or more areas</td>
<td>26% 25%</td>
<td>**  **</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Establishing curriculum</td>
<td>10% 9%</td>
<td>**  **</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hiring new full-time teachers</td>
<td>2% 3%</td>
<td>**  **</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Setting discipline policy</td>
<td>19% 15%</td>
<td>**  **</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. On a 6-point scale from no influence to a great deal of influence, defined as a response to the top two points.

** Interpret with caution. Change was not statistically significant.**
### MISSOURI

**GOAL 1  Ready to Learn**

1. Reduced percentage of infants born in the state with 1 or more health risks? (1990, 1995) 41% 36% ➰ 37% 34% ➰ 25-48% 24-46% 38% 35%
2. Increased percentage of 2-year-olds immunized? (1994, 1996) 64% 75% ➰ 75% 78% ➰ 61-88% 64-88% 76% 79%
3. Reduced number of infants (per 1,000) born with low birthweight? (1990, 1995) 71 76 ➰ 70 73 ➰ 48-151 53-134 71 75
4. Increased number of mothers (per 1,000) receiving early prenatal care? (1990, 1995) 785 852 ➰ 758 813 ➰ 469-868 560-900 778 828
5. Increased number of children with disabilities in preschool (per 1,000)? (1991, 1996) 18 36 ➰ * * ➰ 16-68 16-92 38 47

**GOAL 2  School Completion**

6. Increased high school completion rate? (1990, 1995) 88% 88% ↔ 88% 86% ↔ 77-96% 79-96% 87% 88%
7. Reduced high school dropout rate? (1992, 1994)* 6% 7% ↓ * * ↓ 3-12% 3-10% 5% 5%

**GOAL 3  Student Achievement and Citizenship**

8. Increased reading achievement in Grade 4? (1992, 1994)* 30% 31% ↔ 29% 30% ↔ 8-38% 8-41% 26% 27%
9. Increased mathematics achievement*
   • in Grade 4? (1992, 1996) 19% 20% ↔ 18% 21% ↔ 5-27% 3-31% 16% 20%
   • in Grade 8? (1992, 1996) 20% 22% ↔ 21% 24% ↔ 1-31% 5-34% 18% 22%
10. Increased science achievement in Grade 8? (1996) 28% — 29% — 5-41% — 27% —

### KEY

- **↑** Significant progress
- **↓** Significant decline
- **↔** Change is not significant

---

1. Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.
2. Comparable national data are not available.
3. Data not available. See Appendix A.
4. Baseline years and most recent update years may differ by state for this indicator. See Appendix C for more information.
5. See pages 72-75 for a Guide to Reading the State Pages.
6. See Appendix C for technical notes and sources.

---

1. Includes late (in third trimester) or no prenatal care, low maternal weight gain (less than 21 pounds), mother smoked during pregnancy, or mother drank alcohol during pregnancy.
2. Does not include those still in high school.
3. Includes traditional high school diploma and alternative credential.
### GOAL 3  Student Achievement and Citizenship (continued)

11. Increased the number of Advanced Placement examinations receiving a grade of 3 or higher (per 1,000)? (1991, 1997)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Missouri</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
<th>Range of State Scores</th>
<th>Median Scores†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>baseline</td>
<td>update</td>
<td>progress?</td>
<td>baseline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>24</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### GOAL 4  Teacher Education and Professional Development

12. Increased the percentage of public secondary school teachers who hold
   • a degree in main teaching assignment? (1991, 1994)
   • a teaching certificate in main teaching assignment? (1991, 1994)
   13. Increased the percentage of public school teachers participating in professional development on 1 or more selected topics? (1994)
   14. Increased the percentage of public school teachers with training to teach limited English-proficient students? (1994)
   15. Increased the percentage of beginning public school teachers participating in a formal teacher induction program? (1991, 1994)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Missouri</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
<th>Range of State Scores</th>
<th>Median Scores†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>baseline</td>
<td>update</td>
<td>progress?</td>
<td>baseline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>72%</td>
<td>65%</td>
<td></td>
<td>66%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>98%</td>
<td>98%</td>
<td></td>
<td>94%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>81%</td>
<td>—</td>
<td></td>
<td>85%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>—</td>
<td></td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>22%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### GOAL 5  Mathematics and Science

16. International comparisons in mathematics and science will be reported in future Goals Panel reports.

---

**KEY**

- \[\uparrow\] Significant progress
- \[\downarrow\] Significant decline
- \[\leftrightarrow\] Change is not significant

† Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.

° See Table 8 for the numbers for each subject area.

— Data not available. See Appendix A.

∞ This information had not been released when the 1997 Goals Report went to print.

See pages 72-75 for a Guide to Reading the State Pages. See Appendix C for technical notes and sources.

---

1 A complete description of the performance standard can be found in Appendix C.

**Student Achievement**

Percentage of public school students who met the Goals Panel’s performance standard† in reading and mathematics (Indicators 8 & 9)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reading Grade 4</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mathematics Grade 4</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Professional Development**

Percentage of public school teachers participating in professional development on the following topics, 1994 (Indicator 13)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>One or more topics</th>
<th>Uses of educational technology</th>
<th>Methods of teaching subject field</th>
<th>In-depth study in subject field</th>
<th>Student assessment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>81%</td>
<td>46%</td>
<td>57%</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>64%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Uses of educational technology**

0% 20% 40% 60% 80% 100%

**Methods of teaching subject field**

0% 20% 40% 60% 80% 100%

**In-depth study in subject field**

0% 20% 40% 60% 80% 100%

**Student assessment**
MISSOURI

GOAL 5  Mathematics and Science (continued)

17. Increased the percentage of public school 8th graders whose mathematics teachers
   • have students work in small groups? (1996) 60%  —  66%  —  45-92%  —  67%  —
   • address Algebra and functions? (1996) 65%  —  57%  —  45-82%  —  58%  —
   • address reasoning and analytical ability? (1996) 46%  —  52%  —  39-64%  —  48%  —

18. Increased the percentage of public school 8th graders who have computers available
    in their mathematics classroom? (1996) 27%  —  30%  —  7-54%  —  30%  —

19. Increased mathematics and science degrees awarded to
   • all students? (1991, 1995) 35% 38%  ↑  39% 42%  ↑  25-49% 15-53% 39% 42%
   • minority (Black, Hispanic, American Indian/Alaskan Native) students? (1991, 1995) 32% 33%  ↑  39% 40%  ↑  22-64% 22-57% 39% 39%
   • female students? (1991, 1995) 30% 35%  ↑  35% 37%  ↑  23-46% 13-47% 33% 36%

GOAL 6  Adult Literacy and Lifelong Learning

20. Increased adult literacy? (1992) — — 52% — 46-77% — 53% —

21. Increased the percentage of U.S. citizens
   • registered to vote? (1988, 1992) 76% 75%  ↔  70% 73%  ↑  58-95% 63-92% 71% 75%
   • voting? (1988, 1992) 66% 67%  ↔  61% 66%  ↑  50-74% 55-77% 62% 68%

22. Increased postsecondary enrollment? (1992, 1994) 49% 51%  ↔  ** **  **

Mathematics
Instruction
Percentage of
public school 8th
graders whose
mathematics
teachers do the
following, 1996
(Indicator 17)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Work in small groups or with a partner</th>
<th>Address Algebra and functions</th>
<th>Address reasoning &amp; analytical ability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>60%</td>
<td>65%</td>
<td>46%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

** Key **

- ↑ Significant progress
- ↓ Significant decline
- ↔ Change is not significant

† Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.
— Data not available. See Appendix A.
** Indicators are not the same at the national and state level.
*j Sample size does not permit a reliable estimate of change. See pages 72-75 for a Guide to Reading the State Pages. See Appendix C for technical notes and sources.

1 At least once a week.
2 On a 4-point scale from “none” to “a lot,” defined as a response to the top point.
GOAL 7 Safe, Disciplined and Alcohol- and Drug-free Schools

23. Reduced marijuana use? (1995)*
   • Missouri: 22%
   • U.S.: 7-32%
   • Median: 23%

24. Reduced alcohol use (more than 5 drinks in a row)? (1995)*
   • Missouri: 40%
   • U.S.: 13-43%
   • Median: 31%

25. Reduced availability of drugs on school property? (1995)*
   • Missouri: 26%
   • U.S.: 20-46%
   • Median: 30%

26. Reduced students threatened or injured with a weapon while on school property? (1995)*
   • Missouri: 8%
   • U.S.: 4-11%
   • Median: 8%

27. Reduced physical fights on school property? (1995)*
   • Missouri: 15%
   • U.S.: 12-19%
   • Median: 15%

28. Reduced students carrying weapons on school property? (1995)*
   • Missouri: 13%
   • U.S.: 7-14%
   • Median: 11%

29. Reduced students not feeling safe at school? (1995)*
   • Missouri: 4%
   • U.S.: 3-16%
   • Median: 5%

30. Reduced teacher victimization? (1994)
   • Missouri: 14%
   • U.S.: 8-26%
   • Median: 14%

   • Missouri: 41%
   • U.S.: 23-60%
   • Median: 37%

GOAL 8 Parental Participation

32. Decreased schools with minimal parental involvement
   • Teacher’s perspective? (1991, 1994)
     • Missouri: 22%
     • U.S.: 9-44%
     • Median: 23%
   • Principal’s perspective? (1991, 1994)
     • Missouri: 15%
     • U.S.: 4-22%
     • Median: 13%

   • Missouri: 10%
   • U.S.: 8-37%
   • Median: 16%

---

**Keys**
- **↑**: Significant progress
- **↓**: Significant decline
- **↔**: Change is not significant

† Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.
****: Indicators are not the same at the national and state level.
— Data not available. See Appendix A.
* Baseline years and most recent update years may differ by state for this indicator. See Appendix C for more information.

1 During the past 30 days.
2 During the past 12 months.
## MONTANA

### GOAL 1  Ready to Learn

1. Reduced percentage of infants born in the state with 1 or more health risks? (1990, 1995)  
   - Baseline: 38%  
   - Update: 35%  
   - Progress: ↑  
   - U.S.: 37%  
   - Change: ↑  
   - Range of State Scores: 25-48%  
   - Median Scores: 38%  

   - Baseline: 75%  
   - Update: 78%  
   - Progress: ↑  
   - U.S.: 75%  
   - Change: ↑  
   - Range of State Scores: 61-88%  
   - Median Scores: 76%  

3. Reduced number of infants (per 1,000) born with low birthweight? (1990, 1995)  
   - Baseline: 62  
   - Update: 58  
   - Progress: ↓  
   - U.S.: 70  
   - Change: ↓  
   - Range of State Scores: 48-151  
   - Median Scores: 71  

4. Increased number of mothers (per 1,000) receiving early prenatal care? (1990, 1995)  
   - Baseline: 763  
   - Update: 815  
   - Progress: ↑  
   - U.S.: 758  
   - Change: ↑  
   - Range of State Scores: 469-868  
   - Median Scores: 778  

5. Increased number of children with disabilities in preschool (per 1,000)? (1991, 1996)  
   - Baseline: 46  
   - Update: 48  
   - Progress: ↑  
   - U.S.: *  
   - Change: *  
   - Range of State Scores: 16-68  
   - Median Scores: 38  

### GOAL 2  School Completion

   - Baseline: 93%  
   - Update: 90%  
   - Progress: ↓  
   - U.S.: 86%  
   - Change: ↓  
   - Range of State Scores: 77-96%  
   - Median Scores: 87%  

7. Reduced high school dropout rate? (1992, 1994)*  
   - Baseline: —  
   - Update: —  
   - Progress: *  
   - U.S.: *  
   - Change: *  
   - Range of State Scores: 3-12%  
   - Median Scores: 5%  

### GOAL 3  Student Achievement and Citizenship

8. Increased reading achievement in Grade 4? (1994)*  
   - Baseline: 35%  
   - Update: —  
   - Progress: —  
   - U.S.: 30%  
   - Change: —  
   - Range of State Scores: 8-41%  
   - Median Scores: 27%  

9. Increased mathematics achievement*  
   - in Grade 4? (1996)  
   - Baseline: 22%  
   - Update: —  
   - Progress: —  
   - U.S.: 21%  
   - Change: —  
   - Range of State Scores: 3-31%  
   - Median Scores: 20%  

   - in Grade 8? (1990, 1996)  
   - Baseline: 27%  
   - Update: 32%  
   - Progress: ↑  
   - U.S.: 15%  
   - Change: ↑  
   - Range of State Scores: 1-27%  
   - Median Scores: 15%  

10. Increased science achievement in Grade 8? (1996)  
    - Baseline: 46%  
    - Update: 48%  
    - Progress: ↑  
    - U.S.: —  
    - Change: —  
    - Range of State Scores: 5-41%  
    - Median Scores: 27%  

### Children’s Health Index

**Percentage of infants born with 1 or more health risks1 (Indicator 1)**

- **1990:** 38%  
- **1995:** 35%  

**High School Completion**

**Percentage of all 18- to 24-year-olds1 who have a high school credential2 (Indicator 6)**

- **1990:** 85%  
- **1995:** 90%  

---

1. Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.  
2. Comparable national data are not available.  
3. Data not available. See Appendix A.  
4. Baseline years and most recent update years may differ by state for this indicator. See Appendix C for more information.  
See pages 72-75 for a Guide to Reading the State Pages.  
See Appendix C for technical notes and sources.

---

1 Includes late (in third trimester) or no prenatal care, low maternal weight gain (less than 21 pounds), mother smoked during pregnancy, or mother drank alcohol during pregnancy.

2 Includes traditional high school diploma and alternative credential.

ns Interpret with caution. Change was not statistically significant.
GOAL 3  Student Achievement and Citizenship (continued)

11. Increased the number of Advanced Placement examinations receiving a grade of 3 or higher (per 1,000)? (1991, 1997)†

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Montana</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
<th>Range of State Scores</th>
<th>Median Scores†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>baseline</td>
<td>update</td>
<td>progress?</td>
<td>baseline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

GOAL 4  Teacher Education and Professional Development

12. Increased the percentage of public secondary school teachers who hold
   • a degree in main teaching assignment? (1991, 1994)
   • a teaching certificate in main teaching assignment? (1991, 1994)

13. Increased the percentage of public school teachers participating in professional development on 1 or more selected topics? (1994)

14. Increased the percentage of public school teachers with training to teach limited English-proficient students? (1994)

15. Increased the percentage of beginning public school teachers participating in a formal teacher induction program? (1991, 1994)

GOAL 5  Mathematics and Science

16. International comparisons in mathematics and science will be reported in future Goals Panel reports.∞

**KEY**

↑ Significant progress
↓ Significant decline
↔ Change is not significant

† Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.
° Data not available. See Appendix A.
∞ This information had not been released when the 1997 Goals Report went to print.

See pages 72-75 for a Guide to Reading the State Pages. See Appendix C for technical notes and sources.

A complete description of the performance standard can be found in Appendix C.
MONTANA

GOAL 5  Mathematics and Science (continued)

17. Increased the percentage of public school 8th graders whose mathematics teachers
   • have students work in small groups? (1996) 72% — 66% — 45-92% — 67% —
   • address Algebra and functions? (1996) 67% — 57% — 45-82% — 58% —
   • address reasoning and analytical ability? (1996) 51% — 52% — 39-64% — 48% —

18. Increased the percentage of public school 8th graders who have computers available in their mathematics classroom? (1996)
   39% — 30% — 7-54% — 30% —

19. Increased mathematics and science degrees awarded to
   • all students? (1991, 1995) 38% 44% ↑ 39% 42% ↑ 25-49% 15-53% 39% 42%
   • minority (Black, Hispanic, American Indian/Alaskan Native) students? (1991, 1995) 39% 43% ↑ 39% 40% ↑ 22-64% 22-57% 39% 39%
   • female students? (1991, 1995) 29% 35% ↑ 35% 37% ↑ 23-46% 13-47% 33% 36%

GOAL 6  Adult Literacy and Lifelong Learning

20. Increased adult literacy? (1992) — — 52% — 46-77% — 53% —

21. Increased the percentage of U.S. citizens
   • registered to vote? (1988, 1992) 76% 78% ↔ 70% 73% ↑ 58-95% 63-92% 71% 75%
   • voting? (1988, 1992) 69% 72% ↔ 61% 66% ↑ 50-74% 55-77% 62% 68%

   51% 54% ** 33-68% 37-71% 53% 55%

KEY

↑ Significant progress
↓ Significant decline
↔ Change is not significant

Med. is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.
— Data not available. See Appendix A.
** Indicators are not the same at the national and state level.
+ Sample size does not permit a reliable estimate of change. See pages 72-75 for a Guide to Reading the State Pages. See Appendix C for technical notes and sources.

At least once a week.
On a 4-point scale from "none" to "a lot," defined as a response to the top point.
## GOAL 7  Safe, Disciplined and Alcohol- and Drug-free Schools

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Montana Baseline</th>
<th>Montana Update</th>
<th>U.S. Baseline</th>
<th>U.S. Update</th>
<th>Range of State Scores</th>
<th>Median Scores†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>23. Reduced marijuana use? (1993, 1995)*</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>7-21%  7-32%</td>
<td>14%  23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24. Reduced alcohol use (more than 5 drinks in a row)? (1993, 1995)*</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>43%</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>9-44%  13-43%</td>
<td>28%  31%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25. Reduced availability of drugs on school property? (1993, 1995)*</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>11-31%  20-46%</td>
<td>22%  30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26. Reduced students threatened or injured with a weapon while on school property? (1993, 1995)*</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>6-15%  4-11%</td>
<td>8%  8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27. Reduced physical fights on school property? (1993, 1995)*</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>13-39%  12-19%</td>
<td>16%  15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28. Reduced students carrying weapons on school property? (1993, 1995)*</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>8-18%  7-14%</td>
<td>12%  11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29. Reduced students not feeling safe at school? (1993, 1995)*</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>3-23%  3-16%</td>
<td>6%  5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30. Reduced teacher victimization? (1994)</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>8-26%  —</td>
<td>14%  —</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31. Reduced student disruptions? (1991, 1994)</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>46%</td>
<td>23-60%  33-65%</td>
<td>37%  47%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## GOAL 8  Parental Participation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Montana Baseline</th>
<th>Montana Update</th>
<th>U.S. Baseline</th>
<th>U.S. Update</th>
<th>Range of State Scores</th>
<th>Median Scores†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>32. Decreased schools with minimal parental involvement</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>9-44%  13-50%</td>
<td>23%  27%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Teacher's perspective? (1991, 1994)</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>4-22%  3-27%</td>
<td>13%  13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Principal's perspective? (1991, 1994)</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>8-37%  12-50%</td>
<td>16%  22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33. Increased influence of parent associations? (1991, 1994)</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table Notes
- **Median** is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.
- **Indicators** are not the same at the national and state level.
- Baseline and most recent update years may differ by state for this indicator. See Appendix C for more information.
- See pages 72-75 for a Guide to Reading the State Pages. See Appendix C for technical notes and sources.

### Charts
- **Safe, Disciplined and Alcohol- and Drug-free Schools**
- **Parent-School Partnerships**
- **Key**

---

**Key**

- **↑** Significant progress
- **↓** Significant decline
- **ns** Change is not significant

1. During the past 30 days.
2. During the past 12 months.
3. Interpret with caution. Change was not statistically significant.
NEBRASKA

**GOAL 1** Ready to Learn

1. Reduced percentage of infants born in the state with 1 or more health risks? (1990, 1995) 38% 36% 37% 34% 25-48% 24-46% 38% 35%
2. Increased percentage of 2-year-olds immunized? (1994, 1996) 72% 82% 75% 78% 61-88% 64-88% 76% 79%
3. Reduced number of infants (per 1,000) born with low birthweight? (1990, 1995) 53 63 70 73 48-151 53-134 71 75
4. Increased number of mothers (per 1,000) receiving early prenatal care? (1990, 1995) 825 841 758 813 469-868 560-900 778 828
5. Increased number of children with disabilities in preschool (per 1,000)? (1991, 1996) 34 46 * * 16-68 16-92 38 47

**GOAL 2** School Completion

6. Increased high school completion rate? (1990, 1995) 91% 93% 86% 86% 77-96% 79-96% 87% 88%
7. Reduced high school dropout rate? (1992, 1994)* 4% 5% * * 3-12% 3-10% 5% 5%

**GOAL 3** Student Achievement and Citizenship

8. Increased reading achievement in Grade 4? (1992, 1994)* 31% 34% 29% 30% 8-38% 8-41% 26% 27%
9. Increased mathematics achievement*
   - in Grade 4? (1992, 1996) 22% 24% 18% 21% 5-27% 3-31% 16% 20%
   - in Grade 8? (1990, 1996) 24% 31% 15% 24% 1-27% 5-34% 15% 22%
10. Increased science achievement in Grade 8? (1996) 35% — 29% — 5-41% — 27% —

---

**KEY**
- Significantly increased
- Significantly decreased
- Change not significant

* Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.
* Comparable national data are not available.
* Data not available. See Appendix A.
* Baseline years and most recent update years may differ by state for this indicator. See Appendix C for more information.
* Includes late (in third trimester) or no prenatal care, low maternal weight gain (less than 21 pounds), mother smoked during pregnancy, or mother drank alcohol during pregnancy.

---

1. Includes late (in third trimester) or no prenatal care, low maternal weight gain (less than 21 pounds), mother smoked during pregnancy, or mother drank alcohol during pregnancy.

---

1 Does not include those still in high school.
2 Includes traditional high school diploma and alternative credential.
   ns Interpret with caution. Change was not statistically significant.
GOAL 3  Student Achievement and Citizenship (continued)

11. Increased the number of Advanced Placement examinations receiving a grade of 3 or higher (per 1,000)? (1991, 1997)
   
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nebraska</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
<th>Range of State Scores</th>
<th>Median Scores(^{1})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>baseline</td>
<td>update</td>
<td>progress?</td>
<td>baseline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

GOAL 4  Teacher Education and Professional Development

12. Increased the percentage of public secondary school teachers who hold
   • a degree in main teaching assignment? (1991, 1994)
   • a teaching certificate in main teaching assignment? (1991, 1994)
   
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nebraska</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
<th>Range of State Scores</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>baseline</td>
<td>update</td>
<td>progress?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>82%</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>66%  63%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99%</td>
<td>99%</td>
<td>94%  93%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

13. Increased the percentage of public school teachers participating in professional development on 1 or more selected topics? (1994)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nebraska</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
<th>Range of State Scores</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>baseline</td>
<td>update</td>
<td>progress?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>87%</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>85%  —</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

14. Increased the percentage of public school teachers with training to teach limited English-proficient students? (1994)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nebraska</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
<th>Range of State Scores</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>baseline</td>
<td>update</td>
<td>progress?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13%</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>16%  —</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

15. Increased the percentage of beginning public school teachers participating in a formal teacher induction program? (1991, 1994)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nebraska</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
<th>Range of State Scores</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>baseline</td>
<td>update</td>
<td>progress?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>22%  27%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

GOAL 5  Mathematics and Science

16. International comparisons in mathematics and science will be reported in future Goals Panel reports.\(^{11}\)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nebraska</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
<th>Range of State Scores</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>baseline</td>
<td>update</td>
<td>progress?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^{1}\) Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.
° Data not available. See Appendix A.
∞ This information had not been released when the 1997 Goals Report went to print.
See pages 72-75 for a Guide to Reading the State Pages.
See Appendix C for technical notes and sources.

**KEY**

\(\uparrow\) Significant progress
\(\downarrow\) Significant decline
\(\leftrightarrow\) Change is not significant

\(\^1\) A complete description of the performance standard can be found in Appendix C.
\(\^2\) Interpret with caution. Change was not statistically significant.
NEBRASKA

GOAL 5  Mathematics and Science (continued)

17. Increased the percentage of public school 8th graders whose mathematics teachers
   • have students work in small groups? (1996) 62% — 66% — 45-92% — 67% —
   • address Algebra and functions? (1996) 58% — 57% — 45-82% — 58% —
   • address reasoning and analytical ability? (1996) 45% — 52% — 39-64% — 48% —

18. Increased the percentage of public school 8th graders who have computers available in their mathematics classroom? (1996)
   31% — 30% — 7-54% — 30% —

19. Increased mathematics and science degrees awarded to
   • all students? (1991, 1995) 33% 36% ↑ 39% 42% ↑ 25-49% 15-53% 39% 42%
   • minority (Black, Hispanic, American Indian/Alaskan Native) students? (1991, 1995) 32% 36% ↑ 39% 40% ↑ 22-64% 22-57% 39% 39%
   • female students? (1991, 1995) 31% 33% ↑ 35% 37% ↑ 23-46% 13-47% 33% 36%

GOAL 6  Adult Literacy and Lifelong Learning

20. Increased adult literacy? (1992)
   — — — 52% — 46-77% — 53% —

21. Increased the percentage of U.S. citizens
   • registered to vote? (1988, 1992) 72% 74% ↔ 70% 73% ↔ 58-95% 63-92% 71% 75%
   • voting? (1988, 1992) 65% 67% ↔ 61% 66% ↑ 50-74% 55-77% 62% 68%

   65% 60% * 65% 60% ** 33-68% 37-71% 53% 55%

Mathematics Instruction

Percentage of public school 8th graders whose mathematics teachers do the following, 1996 (Indicator 17)

- Work in small groups or with a partner 62%
- Address Algebra and functions 58%
- Address reasoning & analytical ability 45%

KEY

↑ Significant progress
↓ Significant decline
↔ Change is not significant

† Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.
— Data not available. See Appendix A.
** Indicators are not the same at the national and state level.
†† Sample size does not permit a reliable estimate of change. See pages 72-75 for a Guide to Reading the State Pages. See Appendix C for technical notes and sources.

1 At least once a week.
2 On a 4-point scale from “none” to “a lot,” defined as a response to the top point.
### GOAL 7  Safe, Disciplined and Alcohol- and Drug-free Schools

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Nebraska Update</th>
<th>U.S. Update</th>
<th>U.S. Progress</th>
<th>Nebraska Median</th>
<th>U.S. Median</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>23. Reduced marijuana use? (1991, 1993)</strong></td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>4-18%</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>24. Reduced alcohol use (more than 5 drinks in a row)? (1991, 1993)</strong></td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>17-43%</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>25. Reduced availability of drugs on school property? (1993)</strong></td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>11-31%</td>
<td>22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>26. Reduced students threatened or injured with a weapon while on school property? (1993)</strong></td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>6-15%</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>27. Reduced physical fights on school property? (1993)</strong></td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>13-39%</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>28. Reduced students carrying weapons on school property? (1993)</strong></td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>8-18%</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>29. Reduced students not feeling safe at school? (1993)</strong></td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>3-23%</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>30. Reduced teacher victimization? (1994)</strong></td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>8-26%</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>31. Reduced student disruptions? (1991, 1994)</strong></td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>23-60%</td>
<td>37%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**GOAL 8  Parental Participation**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Nebraska Update</th>
<th>U.S. Update</th>
<th>U.S. Progress</th>
<th>Nebraska Median</th>
<th>U.S. Median</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>32. Decreased schools with minimal parental involvement</strong></td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>9-44%</td>
<td>23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Teacher’s perspective? (1991, 1994)</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>4-22%</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Principal’s perspective? (1991, 1994)</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>8-37%</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>33. Increased influence of parent associations? (1991, 1994)</strong></td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### KEY

- ↑ Significant progress
- ↓ Significant decline
- ↔ Change is not significant

**Median** is the middle score in a set of ranked scores. **Indicators are not the same at the national and state level.** Baseline years and most recent update years may differ by state for this indicator. See Appendix C for more information. See pages 72-75 for a Guide to Reading the State Pages. See Appendix C for technical notes and sources.
### NEVADA

#### GOAL 1  Ready to Learn

1. Reduced percentage of infants born in the state with 1 or more health risks? (1990, 1995)  
   - Nevada: 38% 35%  
   - U.S.: 37% 34%  
   - Range of State Scores: 25-48% 24-46%  
   - Median Scores: 38% 35%

   - Nevada: 69% 71%  
   - U.S.: 75% 78%  
   - Range of State Scores: 61-88% 64-88%  
   - Median Scores: 71% 75%

3. Reduced number of infants (per 1,000) born with low birthweight? (1990, 1995)  
   - Nevada: 72 74  
   - U.S.: 70 73  
   - Range of State Scores: 48-151 53-134  
   - Median Scores: 71 75

4. Increased number of mothers (per 1,000) receiving early prenatal care? (1990, 1995)  
   - Nevada: 725 757  
   - U.S.: 758 813  
   - Range of State Scores: 469-868 560-900  
   - Median Scores: 778 828

5. Increased number of children with disabilities in preschool (per 1,000)? (1991, 1996)  
   - Nevada: 26 44  
   - U.S.: * *  
   - Range of State Scores: 16-68 16-92  
   - Median Scores: 38 47

#### GOAL 2  School Completion

   - Nevada: 83% 81%  
   - U.S.: 86% 86%  
   - Range of State Scores: 77-96% 79-96%  
   - Median Scores: 87% 88%

7. Reduced high school dropout rate? (1992, 1994)*  
   - Nevada: 8% 10%  
   - U.S.: * *  
   - Range of State Scores: 3-12% 3-10%  
   - Median Scores: 5% 5%

#### GOAL 3  Student Achievement and Citizenship

8. Increased reading achievement in Grade 4? (1992, 1994)*  
   - Nevada: — —  
   - U.S.: 29% 30%  
   - Range of State Scores: 8-38% 8-41%  
   - Median Scores: 26% 27%

9. Increased mathematics achievement*  
   - * in Grade 4? (1996)  
     - Nevada: 14% —  
     - U.S.: 21% —  
     - Range of State Scores: 3-31%  
     - Median Scores: 20%

   - * in Grade 8? (1990, 1996)  
     - Nevada: — —  
     - U.S.: 15% 24%  
     - Range of State Scores: 1-27% 5-34%  
     - Median Scores: 15% 22%

10. Increased science achievement in Grade 8? (1996)  
    - Nevada: — —  
    - U.S.: 29% —  
    - Range of State Scores: 5-41%  
    - Median Scores: 27%

---

**Key**

- **↑**: Significant progress
- **↓**: Significant decline
- **↔**: Change is not significant

1. Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.
2. Comparable national data are not available.
3. Data not available. See Appendix A.
4. Baseline years and most recent update years may differ by state for this indicator. See Appendix C for more information.
5. See pages 72-75 for a Guide to Reading the State Pages.
6. See Appendix C for technical notes and sources.

---

**Children’s Health Index**

- **%**: Percentage of infants born with 1 or more health risks\(^1\) (Indicator 1)

- **1990**: 38%
- **1995**: 35%

---

**High School Completion**

- **%**: Percentage of all 18- to 24-year-olds\(^1\) who have a high school credential\(^2\) (Indicator 6)

- **1990**: 83%
- **1995**: 81%

---

\(^1\) Includes late (in third trimester) or no prenatal care, low maternal weight gain (less than 21 pounds), mother smoked during pregnancy, or mother drank alcohol during pregnancy.

\(^2\) Includes traditional high school diploma and alternative credential.

\(^\text{ns}\)** Interpret with caution. Change was not statistically significant.
### GOAL 3  Student Achievement and Citizenship (continued)

11. Increased the number of Advanced Placement examinations receiving a grade of 3 or higher (per 1,000)? (1991, 1997)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nevada</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
<th>Range of State Scores</th>
<th>Median Scores†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>baseline</td>
<td>update</td>
<td>progress?</td>
<td>baseline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### GOAL 4  Teacher Education and Professional Development

12. Increased the percentage of public secondary school teachers who hold
   • a degree in main teaching assignment? (1991, 1994)
   • a teaching certificate in main teaching assignment? (1991, 1994)

13. Increased the percentage of public school teachers participating in professional development on 1 or more selected topics? (1994)

14. Increased the percentage of public school teachers with training to teach limited English-proficient students? (1994)

15. Increased the percentage of beginning public school teachers participating in a formal teacher induction program? (1991, 1994)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nevada</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
<th>Range of State Scores</th>
<th>Median Scores†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>baseline</td>
<td>update</td>
<td>progress?</td>
<td>baseline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62%</td>
<td>66%</td>
<td>↔</td>
<td>66%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>96%</td>
<td>98%</td>
<td>↔</td>
<td>94%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### GOAL 5  Mathematics and Science

16. International comparisons in mathematics and science will be reported in future Goals Panel reports.

### KEY

- **↑**: Significant progress
- **↓**: Significant decline
- **↔**: Change is not significant

---

1. Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.
2. °See Table 8 for the numbers for each subject area.
3. — Data not available. See Appendix A.
4. ∞ This information had not been released when the 1997 Goals Report went to print.
5. See pages 72-75 for a Guide to Reading the State Pages. See Appendix C for technical notes and sources.
### GOAL 5  Mathematics and Science (continued)

17. Increased the percentage of public school 8th graders whose mathematics teachers
   • have students work in small groups? (1996)  — — 66% — 45-92% — 67% —
   • address Algebra and functions? (1996)  — — 57% — 45-82% — 58% —
   • address reasoning and analytical ability? (1996)  — — 52% — 39-64% — 48% —

18. Increased the percentage of public school 8th graders who have computers available in their mathematics classroom? (1996)  — — 30% — 7-54% — 30% —

19. Increased mathematics and science degrees awarded to
   • all students? (1991, 1995)  30% 35% ↑ 39% 42% ↑ 25-49% 15-53% 39% 42%
   • minority (Black, Hispanic, American Indian/Alaskan Native) students? (1991, 1995)  26% 35% ↑ 39% 40% ↑ 22-64% 22-57% 39% 39%
   • female students? (1991, 1995)  27% 29% ↑ 35% 37% ↑ 23-46% 13-47% 33% 36%

### GOAL 6  Adult Literacy and Lifelong Learning

20. Increased adult literacy? (1992)  — — 52% — 46-77% — 53% —

21. Increased the percentage of U.S. citizens
   • registered to vote? (1988, 1992)  58% 68% ↑ 70% 73% ↑ 58-95% 63-92% 71% 75%
   • voting? (1988, 1992)  50% 63% ↑ 61% 66% ↑ 50-74% 55-77% 62% 68%

22. Increased postsecondary enrollment? (1992, 1994)  33% 38% ⬤  ** ** 33-68% 37-71% 53% 55%

### KEY

- **Significant progress**
- **Significant decline**
- **Change is not significant**

† Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.
— Data not available. See Appendix A.
** Indicators are not the same at the national and state level.
‡ Sample size does not permit a reliable estimate of change.
See pages 72-75 for a Guide to Reading the State Pages.
See Appendix C for technical notes and sources.
### GOAL 7  Safe, Disciplined and Alcohol- and Drug-free Schools

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Nevada Baseline</th>
<th>Nevada Update</th>
<th>U.S. Baseline</th>
<th>U.S. Update</th>
<th>Range of State Scores Baseline</th>
<th>Range of State Scores Update</th>
<th>Median Scores Baseline</th>
<th>Median Scores Update</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>23. Reduced marijuana use? (1993, 1995)*</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>7-21%</td>
<td>7-32%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24. Reduced alcohol use (more than 5 drinks in a row)? (1993, 1995)*</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>9-44%</td>
<td>13-43%</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>31%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25. Reduced availability of drugs on school property? (1993, 1995)*</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>11-31%</td>
<td>20-46%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26. Reduced students threatened or injured with a weapon while on school property? (1993, 1995)*</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6-15%</td>
<td>4-11%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27. Reduced physical fights on school property? (1993, 1995)*</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>13-39%</td>
<td>12-19%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28. Reduced students carrying weapons on school property? (1993, 1995)*</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>8-18%</td>
<td>7-14%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29. Reduced students not feeling safe at school? (1993, 1995)*</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3-23%</td>
<td>3-16%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### GOAL 8  Parental Participation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Nevada Baseline</th>
<th>Nevada Update</th>
<th>U.S. Baseline</th>
<th>U.S. Update</th>
<th>Range of State Scores Baseline</th>
<th>Range of State Scores Update</th>
<th>Median Scores Baseline</th>
<th>Median Scores Update</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>32. Decreased schools with minimal parental involvement</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>9-44%</td>
<td>13-50%</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>27%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Teacher's perspective? (1991, 1994)</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4-22%</td>
<td>3-27%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Principal's perspective? (1991, 1994)</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>8-37%</td>
<td>12-50%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33. Increased influence of parent associations? (1991, 1994)</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**KEY**

↑ Significant progress

↓ Significant decline

↔ Change is not significant

† Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.

** Indicates are not the same at the national and state level.

* Baseline years and most recent update years may differ by state for this indicator. See Appendix C for more information.

See pages 72-75 for a Guide to Reading the State Pages. See Appendix C for technical notes and sources.
## NEW HAMPSHIRE

### GOAL 1  Ready to Learn

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Update</th>
<th>Progress</th>
<th>U.S. Baseline</th>
<th>U.S. Update</th>
<th>Range of State Scores</th>
<th>Median Scores†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Reduced percentage of infants born in the state with 1 or more health risks? (1990, 1995)</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>25-48%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Increased percentage of 2-year-olds immunized? (1994, 1996)</td>
<td>83%</td>
<td>84%</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>78%</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>61-88%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Reduced number of infants (per 1,000) born with low birthweight? (1990, 1995)</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>48-151</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Increased number of mothers (per 1,000) receiving early prenatal care? (1990, 1995)</td>
<td>858</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>758</td>
<td>813</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>469-868</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Increased number of children with disabilities in preschool (per 1,000)? (1991, 1996)</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>16-68</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### GOAL 2  School Completion

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Update</th>
<th>Progress</th>
<th>U.S. Baseline</th>
<th>U.S. Update</th>
<th>Range of State Scores</th>
<th>Median Scores†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6. Increased high school completion rate? (1990, 1995)</td>
<td>87%</td>
<td>88%</td>
<td>↔</td>
<td>86%</td>
<td>86%</td>
<td>↔</td>
<td>77-96%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Reduced high school dropout rate? (1992, 1994)*</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>↔</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>↔</td>
<td>3-12%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### GOAL 3  Student Achievement and Citizenship

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Update</th>
<th>Progress</th>
<th>U.S. Baseline</th>
<th>U.S. Update</th>
<th>Range of State Scores</th>
<th>Median Scores†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8. Increased reading achievement in Grade 4? (1992, 1994)*</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>↔</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>↔</td>
<td>8-38%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Increased mathematics achievement*</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>5-27%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Increased science achievement in Grade 8? (1996)</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>1-27%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Children’s Health Index

- Percentage of infants born with 1 or more health risks† (Indicator 1)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>1990</th>
<th>1995</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### High School Completion

- Percentage of all 18- to 24-year-olds§ who have a high school credential§ (Indicator 6)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>1990</th>
<th>1995</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Mediation Scores**: Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.

* Comparable national data are not available.

** Data not available. See Appendix A.

† Baseline years and most recent update years may differ by state for this indicator. See Appendix C for more information.

See pages 72-75 for a Guide to Reading the State Pages. See Appendix C for technical notes and sources.

---

**KEY**

- Significant progress
- Significant decline
- Change is not significant

---

† Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.

* Comparable national data are not available.

** Data not available. See Appendix A.

§ Baseline years and most recent update years may differ by state for this indicator. See Appendix C for more information.

See pages 72-75 for a Guide to Reading the State Pages. See Appendix C for technical notes and sources.

---

1 Includes late (in third trimester) or no prenatal care, low maternal weight gain (less than 21 pounds), mother smoked during pregnancy, or mother drank alcohol during pregnancy.

2 Does not include those still in high school.

§§ Interpret with caution. Change was not statistically significant.
### GOAL 3  Student Achievement and Citizenship (continued)

11. Increased the number of Advanced Placement examinations receiving a grade of 3 or higher (per 1,000)? (1991, 1997)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>New Hampshire</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
<th>Range of State Scores</th>
<th>Median Scores†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>baseline</td>
<td>update</td>
<td>progress?</td>
<td>baseline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### GOAL 4  Teacher Education and Professional Development

12. Increased the percentage of public secondary school teachers who hold
   • a degree in main teaching assignment? (1991, 1994)
   • a teaching certificate in main teaching assignment? (1991, 1994)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>New Hampshire</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
<th>Range of State Scores</th>
<th>Median Scores†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>baseline</td>
<td>update</td>
<td>progress?</td>
<td>baseline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80%</td>
<td>71%</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>66%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>96%</td>
<td>96%</td>
<td>↔</td>
<td>94%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

13. Increased the percentage of public school teachers participating in professional development on 1 or more selected topics? (1994)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>New Hampshire</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
<th>Range of State Scores</th>
<th>Median Scores†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>baseline</td>
<td>update</td>
<td>progress?</td>
<td>baseline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>89%</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>85%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

14. Increased the percentage of public school teachers with training to teach limited English-proficient students? (1994)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>New Hampshire</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
<th>Range of State Scores</th>
<th>Median Scores†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>baseline</td>
<td>update</td>
<td>progress?</td>
<td>baseline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13%</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

15. Increased the percentage of beginning public school teachers participating in a formal teacher induction program? (1991, 1994)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>New Hampshire</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
<th>Range of State Scores</th>
<th>Median Scores†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>baseline</td>
<td>update</td>
<td>progress?</td>
<td>baseline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>↔</td>
<td>22%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### GOAL 5  Mathematics and Science

16. International comparisons in mathematics and science will be reported in future Goals Panel reports.

![Student Achievement](image1)

**Student Achievement**
Percentage of public school students who met the Goals Panel’s performance standard\(^1\) in reading and mathematics (Indicators 8 & 9)

![Professional Development](image2)

**Professional Development**
Percentage of public school teachers participating in professional development on the following topics, 1994 (Indicator 13)

\(^1\) A complete description of the performance standard can be found in Appendix C.
\(^\text{n}\) Interpret with caution. Change was not statistically significant.

---

\(†\) Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.
○ See Table 8 for the numbers for each subject area.
— Data not available. See Appendix A.
\(^\text{**}\) This information had not been released when the 1997 Goals Report went to print.
See pages 72-75 for a Guide to Reading the State Pages.
See Appendix C for technical notes and sources.
## NEW HAMPSHIRE

### GOAL 5  Mathematics and Science (continued)

| 17. Increased the percentage of public school 8th graders whose mathematics teachers |
|---------------------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| • have students work in small groups? (1996) | 66% | 45-92% | 67% |
| • address Algebra and functions? (1996) | 57% | 45-82% | 58% |
| • address reasoning and analytical ability? (1996) | 52% | 39-64% | 48% |

| 18. Increased the percentage of public school 8th graders who have computers available in their mathematics classroom? (1996) | 30% | 7-54% | 30% |

| 19. Increased mathematics and science degrees awarded to |
|---------------------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| • all students? (1991, 1995) | 40% 44% | 39% 42% | 25-49% 15-53% | 39% 42% |
| • minority (Black, Hispanic, American Indian/Alaskan Native) students? (1991, 1995) | 49% 57% | 39% 40% | 22-64% 22-57% | 39% 39% |
| • female students? (1991, 1995) | 37% 40% | 35% 37% | 23-46% 13-47% | 33% 36% |

### GOAL 6  Adult Literacy and Lifelong Learning

| 20. Increased adult literacy? (1992) | — — | 52% | 46-77% | 53% |

| 21. Increased the percentage of U.S. citizens |
|---------------------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| • registered to vote? (1988, 1992) | 67% 72% | 70% 73% | 58-95% 63-92% | 71% 75% |
| • voting? (1988, 1992) | 59% 66% | 61% 66% | 50-74% 55-77% | 62% 68% |

| 22. Increased postsecondary enrollment? (1992, 1994) | 56% 56% | ** ** | 33-68% 37-71% | 53% 55% |

### KEY

- **Significant progress**
- **Significant decline**
- **Change is not significant**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Median Scores'</th>
<th>New Hampshire</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
<th>Range of State Scores</th>
<th>Median Scores'</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>baseline update progress</td>
<td>baseline update progress</td>
<td>baseline update</td>
<td>baseline update</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.
- Data not available. See Appendix A.
- Indicators are not the same at the national and state level.
- Sample size does not permit a reliable estimate of change.
- See pages 72-75 for a Guide to Reading the State Pages.
- See Appendix C for technical notes and sources.
**GOAL 7: Safe, Disciplined and Alcohol- and Drug-free Schools**

   - 20% (21%)

24. Reduced alcohol use (more than 5 drinks in a row)? (1993, 1995)*
   - 31% (33%)

25. Reduced availability of drugs on school property? (1993, 1995)*
   - 26% (28%)

26. Reduced students threatened or injured with a weapon while on school property? (1993, 1995)*
   - 7% (6%)

27. Reduced physical fights on school property? (1993, 1995)*
   - 15% (14%)

28. Reduced students carrying weapons on school property? (1993, 1995)*
   - 12% (9%)

29. Reduced students not feeling safe at school? (1993, 1995)*
   - 4% (3%)

30. Reduced teacher victimization? (1994)
   - 13% (—)

   - 34% (40%)

**GOAL 8: Parental Participation**

32. Decreased schools with minimal parental involvement
     - 17% (21%)
     - 8% (12%)

   - 13% (22%)

---

**KEY**

- Significant progress
- Significant decline
- Change is not significant

† Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.
** Indicators are not the same at the national and state level.
* Baseline years and most recent update years may differ by state for this indicator. See Appendix C for more information.

See pages 72-75 for a Guide to Reading the State Pages. See Appendix C for technical notes and sources.

---

**NEW HAMPSHIRE**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Alcohol- and Drug-free Schools</th>
<th>Percentage of public high school students who reported the following (Indicators 23, 24, &amp; 25)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Used marijuana</td>
<td>21% (28%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Had 5 or more drinks in a row</td>
<td>31% (33%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Were offered, sold, or given an illegal drug on school property</td>
<td>26% (22%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parent-School Partnerships</th>
<th>Percentage of public school principals who reported that the parent association has influence on the following areas (Indicator 33)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>One or more areas</td>
<td>13% (22%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Establishing curriculum</td>
<td>9% (14%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hiring new full-time teachers</td>
<td>1% (11%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Setting discipline policy</td>
<td>3% (18%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 During the past 30 days.
2 During the past 12 months.
nsInterpret with caution. Change was not statistically significant.

1 On a 6-point scale from no influence to a great deal of influence, defined as a response to the top two points.
nsInterpret with caution. Change was not statistically significant.
NEW JERSEY

GOAL 1  Ready to Learn

1. Reduced percentage of infants born in the state with 1 or more health risks? (1990, 1995) 31%  29%  
2. Increased percentage of 2-year-olds immunized? (1994, 1996) 71%  76%  
3. Reduced number of infants (per 1,000) born with low birthweight? (1990, 1995) 70  76  
4. Increased number of mothers (per 1,000) receiving early prenatal care? (1990, 1995) 817  828  
5. Increased number of children with disabilities in preschool (per 1,000)? (1991, 1996) 47  47  

GOAL 2  School Completion

6. Increased high school completion rate? (1990, 1995) 90%  87%  
7. Reduced high school dropout rate? (1992, 1994)*  — —  

GOAL 3  Student Achievement and Citizenship

8. Increased reading achievement in Grade 4? (1992, 1994)* 35%  33%  
9. Increased mathematics achievement* 25%  25%  
  - in Grade 4? (1992, 1996) 18%  21%  
  - in Grade 8? (1990, 1992) 15%  21%  
10. Increased science achievement in Grade 8? (1996) — —  

Children’s Health Index
Percentage of infants born with 1 or more health risks1 (Indicator 1)

High School Completion
Percentage of all 18- to 24-year-olds1 who have a high school credential2 (Indicator 6)

KEY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Significant progress</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Significant decline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Change is not significant</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.
2 Comparable national data are not available.
3 Baseline years and most recent update years may differ by state for this indicator. See Appendix C for more information.

1 Includes late (in third trimester) or no prenatal care, low maternal weight gain (less than 21 pounds), mother smoked during pregnancy, or mother drank alcohol during pregnancy.
2 Includes traditional high school diploma and alternative credential.
3 Interpret with caution. Change was not statistically significant.

See pages 72-75 for a Guide to Reading the State Pages.
See Appendix C for technical notes and sources.
GOAL 3  Student Achievement and Citizenship (continued)

11. Increased the number of Advanced Placement examinations receiving a grade of 3 or higher (per 1,000)? (1991, 1997)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>New Jersey</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
<th>Range of State Scores</th>
<th>Median Scores†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>baseline</td>
<td>update</td>
<td>progress?</td>
<td>baseline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>81</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

GOAL 4  Teacher Education and Professional Development

12. Increased the percentage of public secondary school teachers who hold
• a degree in main teaching assignment? (1991, 1994) 99% 99% ↔ 66% 63% ↓ 51-85% 50-81% 69% 64%
• a teaching certificate in main teaching assignment? (1991, 1994) 97% 97% ↔ 94% 93% ↓ 91-100% 89-100% 98% 97%

13. Increased the percentage of public school teachers participating in professional development on 1 or more selected topics? (1994) 87% — 85% — 76-98% — 86% —

14. Increased the percentage of public school teachers with training to teach limited English-proficient students? (1994) 12% — 16% — 4-81% — 16% —

15. Increased the percentage of beginning public school teachers participating in a formal teacher induction program? (1991, 1994) 18% 17% ↔ 22% 27% ↑ 6-42% 7-48% 20% 23%

GOAL 5  Mathematics and Science

16. International comparisons in mathematics and science will be reported in future Goals Panel reports.

Student Achievement
Percentage of public school students who met the Goals Panel’s performance standard† in reading and mathematics (Indicators 8 & 9)

Professional Development
Percentage of public school teachers participating in professional development on the following topics, 1994 (Indicator 13)

KEY
↑ Significant progress
↓ Significant decline
↔ Change is not significant

† Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.
° Data not available. See Appendix A.
∞ This information had not been released when the 1997 Goals Report went to print.

See pages 72-75 for a Guide to Reading the State Pages. See Appendix C for technical notes and sources.
NEW JERSEY

GOAL 5  Mathematics and Science (continued)

17. Increased the percentage of public school 8th graders whose mathematics teachers
   • have students work in small groups? (1996)
   • address Algebra and functions? (1996)
   • address reasoning and analytical ability? (1996)
   — — — 66% — — 45-92% — — 67% —

18. Increased the percentage of public school 8th graders who have computers available
   in their mathematics classroom? (1996)
   — — — 30% — — 7-54% — — 30% —

19. Increased mathematics and science degrees awarded to
   • all students? (1991, 1995)
   • minority (Black, Hispanic, American Indian/Alaskan Native) students? (1991, 1995)
   43% 45% ↑ 39% 42% ↑ 25-49% 15-53% 39% 42%
   48% 48% ↔ 39% 40% ↑ 22-64% 22-57% 39% 39%
   39% 41% ↑ 35% 37% ↑ 23-46% 13-47% 33% 36%

GOAL 6  Adult Literacy and Lifelong Learning

20. Increased adult literacy? (1992)
   — — — 53% — — 46-77% — — 53% —

21. Increased the percentage of U.S. citizens
   • registered to vote? (1988, 1992)
   • voting? (1988, 1992)
   72% 75% ↑ 70% 73% ↑ 58-95% 63-92% 71% 75%
   64% 67% ↑ 61% 66% ↑ 50-74% 55-77% 62% 68%

   60% 64% ★ ★ ** ** 33-68% 37-71% 53% 55%

KEY

↑ Significant progress
↓ Significant decline
↔ Change is not significant

† Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.
— Data not available. See Appendix A.
** Indicators are not the same at the national and state level.
★ Sample size does not permit a reliable estimate of change.
See pages 72-75 for a Guide to Reading the State Pages.
See Appendix C for technical notes and sources.
GOAL 7  Safe, Disciplined and Alcohol- and Drug-free Schools

23. Reduced marijuana use? (1995)*  24%  —  **  **  7-32%  —  23%  —
24. Reduced alcohol use (more than 5 drinks in a row)? (1995)*  31%  —  **  **  13-43%  —  31%  —
25. Reduced availability of drugs on school property? (1995)*  30%  —  **  **  20-46%  —  30%  —
26. Reduced students threatened or injured with a weapon while on school property? (1995)*  9%  —  **  **  4-11%  —  8%  —
27. Reduced physical fights on school property? (1995)*  16%  —  **  **  12-19%  —  15%  —
28. Reduced students carrying weapons on school property? (1995)*  10%  —  **  **  7-14%  —  11%  —
29. Reduced students not feeling safe at school? (1995)*  5%  —  **  **  3-16%  —  5%  —
30. Reduced teacher victimization? (1994)  9%  —  15%  —  8-26%  —  14%  —
31. Reduced student disruptions? (1991, 1994)  37%  45%  ↓  37%  46%  ↓  23-60%  33-65%  37%  47%

GOAL 8  Parental Participation

32. Decreased schools with minimal parental involvement
   • Teacher’s perspective? (1991, 1994)  23%  24%  ↔  9-44%  13-50%  23%  27%
   • Principal’s perspective? (1991, 1994)  12%  8%  ↔  4-22%  3-27%  13%  13%
33. Increased influence of parent associations? (1991, 1994)  12%  22%  ↔  8-37%  12-50%  16%  22%

KEY

† Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.
** Indicators are not the same at the national and state level.
Baseline years and most recent update years may differ by state for this indicator. See Appendix C for more information.
See Appendix C for technical notes and sources.

1 During the past 30 days.
2 During the past 12 months.
### GOAL 1 Ready to Learn

1. Reduced percentage of infants born in the state with 1 or more health risks? (1990, 1995)  
   - New Mexico: 37% 35%  
   - U.S.: 37% 34%  
   - Range of State Scores: 25-48% 24-46%  
   - Median Scores: 38% 35%  

   - New Mexico: 73% 80%  
   - U.S.: 75% 78%  
   - Range of State Scores: 61-88% 64-88%  
   - Median Scores: 76% 79%  

3. Reduced number of infants (per 1,000) born with low birthweight? (1990, 1995)  
   - New Mexico: 74 75  
   - U.S.: 70 73  
   - Range of State Scores: 48-151 53-134  
   - Median Scores: 71 75  

4. Increased number of mothers (per 1,000) receiving early prenatal care? (1990, 1995)  
   - New Mexico: 573 695  
   - U.S.: 758 813  
   - Range of State Scores: 469-868 560-900  
   - Median Scores: 778 828  

5. Increased number of children with disabilities in preschool (per 1,000)? (1991, 1996)  
   - New Mexico: 28 54  
   - U.S.: *  *  
   - Range of State Scores: 16-68 16-92  
   - Median Scores: 38 47  

### GOAL 2 School Completion

   - New Mexico: 85% 83%  
   - U.S.: 86% 86%  
   - Range of State Scores: 77-96% 79-96%  
   - Median Scores: 87% 88%  

7. Reduced high school dropout rate? (1992, 1994)  
   - New Mexico: 8% 8%  
   - U.S.: *  *  
   - Range of State Scores: 3-12% 3-10%  
   - Median Scores: 5% 5%  

### GOAL 3 Student Achievement and Citizenship

8. Increased reading achievement in Grade 4? (1992, 1994)  
   - New Mexico: 23% 21%  
   - U.S.: 29% 30%  
   - Range of State Scores: 8-38% 8-41%  
   - Median Scores: 26% 27%  

9. Increased mathematics achievement*  
   - * in Grade 4? (1992, 1996)  
     - New Mexico: 11% 13%  
     - U.S.: 18% 21%  
     - Range of State Scores: 5-27% 3-31%  
     - Median Scores: 16% 20%  
   - * in Grade 8? (1990, 1996)  
     - New Mexico: 10% 14%  
     - U.S.: 15% 24%  
     - Range of State Scores: 1-27% 5-34%  
     - Median Scores: 15% 22%  

10. Increased science achievement in Grade 8? (1996)  
    - New Mexico: 15% —  
    - U.S.: 29% —  
    - Range of State Scores: 5-41% —  
    - Median Scores: 27% —  

---

**Children’s Health Index**  
Percentage of infants born with 1 or more health risks1 (Indicator 1)  

- 1990: 37%  
- 1995: 35%  

**High School Completion**  
Percentage of all 18- to 24-year-olds1 who have a high school credential2 (Indicator 6)  

- 1990: 85%  
- 1995: 83%ns  

---

1 Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.  
2 Comparable national data are not available.  
3 Data not available. See Appendix A.  
4 Baseline years and most recent update years may differ by state for this indicator. See Appendix C for information.  
5 See pages 72-75 for a Guide to Reading the State Pages.  
6 See Appendix C for technical notes and sources.  

---

1 Includes late (in third trimester) or no prenatal care, low maternal weight gain (less than 21 pounds), mother smoked during pregnancy, or mother drank alcohol during pregnancy.  
2 Does not include those still in high school.  
3 Includes traditional high school diploma and alternative credential.  
4ns Interpret with caution. Change was not statistically significant.
GOAL 3  Student Achievement and Citizenship (continued)

11. Increased the number of Advanced Placement examinations receiving a grade of 3 or higher (per 1,000)? (1991, 1997)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>New Mexico</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
<th>Range of State Scores</th>
<th>Median Scores†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>baseline</td>
<td>update</td>
<td>progress?</td>
<td>baseline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1991</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>9-177</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1992</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>18-223</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1993</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>65</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

GOAL 4  Teacher Education and Professional Development

12. Increased the percentage of public secondary school teachers who hold
   • a degree in main teaching assignment? (1991, 1994)
   • a teaching certificate in main teaching assignment? (1991, 1994)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>New Mexico</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
<th>Range of State Scores</th>
<th>Median Scores†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>baseline</td>
<td>update</td>
<td>progress?</td>
<td>baseline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1991</td>
<td>53%</td>
<td>52%</td>
<td>↔</td>
<td>51-85%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1992</td>
<td>66%</td>
<td>63%</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>50-81%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1993</td>
<td>96%</td>
<td>96%</td>
<td></td>
<td>91-100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1994</td>
<td>94%</td>
<td>93%</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>89-100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1995</td>
<td>98%</td>
<td>97%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

13. Increased the percentage of public school teachers participating in professional development on 1 or more selected topics? (1994)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>New Mexico</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
<th>Range of State Scores</th>
<th>Median Scores†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>baseline</td>
<td>update</td>
<td>progress?</td>
<td>baseline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1991</td>
<td>79%</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>76-98%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1992</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>85%</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>98%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1993</td>
<td>85%</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>97%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

14. Increased the percentage of public school teachers with training to teach limited English-proficient students? (1994)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>New Mexico</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
<th>Range of State Scores</th>
<th>Median Scores†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>baseline</td>
<td>update</td>
<td>progress?</td>
<td>baseline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1991</td>
<td>39%</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>4-81%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1992</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1993</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1994</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

15. Increased the percentage of beginning public school teachers participating in a formal teacher induction program? (1991, 1994)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>New Mexico</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
<th>Range of State Scores</th>
<th>Median Scores†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>baseline</td>
<td>update</td>
<td>progress?</td>
<td>baseline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1991</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>↔</td>
<td>6-42%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1992</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>7-48%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1993</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

16. International comparisons in mathematics and science will be reported in future Goals Panel reports.

GOAL 5  Mathematics and Science

16. International comparisons in mathematics and science will be reported in future Goals Panel reports.

NEW MEXICO
# NEW MEXICO

## GOAL 5  Mathematics and Science (continued)

17. Increased the percentage of public school 8th graders whose mathematics teachers
- have students work in small groups? (1996) 67% — 66% — 45-92% — 67% —
- address Algebra and functions? (1996) 60% — 57% — 45-82% — 58% —
- address reasoning and analytical ability? (1996) 45% — 52% — 39-64% — 48% —

18. Increased the percentage of public school 8th graders who have computers available in their mathematics classroom? (1996) 29% — 30% — 7-54% — 30% —

19. Increased mathematics and science degrees awarded to
- all students? (1991, 1995) 40% 40% ↔ 39% 42% ↑ 25-49% 15-53% 39% 42%
- minority (Black, Hispanic, American Indian/Alaskan Native) students? (1991, 1995) 38% 37% ↓ 39% 40% ↑ 22-64% 22-57% 39% 39%
- female students? (1991, 1995) 33% 34% ↑ 35% 37% ↑ 23-46% 13-47% 33% 36%

## GOAL 6  Adult Literacy and Lifelong Learning

20. Increased adult literacy? (1992) — — 52% — 46-77% — 53% —

21. Increased the percentage of U.S. citizens
- registered to vote? (1988, 1992) 69% 71% ↔ 70% 73% ↑ 58-95% 63-92% 71% 75%
- voting? (1988, 1992) 58% 66% ↑ 61% 66% ↑ 50-74% 55-77% 62% 68%

22. Increased postsecondary enrollment? (1992, 1994) 49% 54% ✷ ** ** 33-68% 37-71% 53% 55%

| Mathematics Instruction Percentage of public school 8th graders whose mathematics teachers do the following, 1996 (Indicator 17) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Work in small groups or with a partner | 67% |
| Address Algebra and functions | 60% |
| Address reasoning & analytical ability | 45% |

### KEY
- ✷ Significant progress
- ✖ Significant decline
- ↔ Change is not significant

1. Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.
- Data not available. See Appendix A.
2. Indicators are not the same at the national and state level.
3. Sample size does not permit a reliable estimate of change. See pages 72-75 for a Guide to Reading the State Pages. See Appendix C for technical notes and sources.
**GOAL 7** Safe, Disciplined and Alcohol- and Drug-free Schools

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>New Mexico</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
<th>Range of State Scores</th>
<th>Median Scores†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>23. Reduced marijuana use? (1991)*</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>** 4-18%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24. Reduced alcohol use (more than 5 drinks in a row)? (1991)*</td>
<td>43%</td>
<td>** 17-43%</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25. Reduced availability of drugs on school property? (1993, 1995)*</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>** 11-31%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26. Reduced students threatened or injured with a weapon while on school property? (1993, 1995)*</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>** 6-15%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27. Reduced physical fights on school property? (1993, 1995)*</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>** 13-39%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28. Reduced students carrying weapons on school property? (1993, 1995)*</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>** 8-18%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29. Reduced students not feeling safe at school? (1993, 1995)*</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>** 3-23%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30. Reduced teacher victimization? (1994)</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31. Reduced student disruptions? (1991, 1994)</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**GOAL 8** Parental Participation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>New Mexico</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
<th>Range of State Scores</th>
<th>Median Scores†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>32. Decreased schools with minimal parental involvement</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>** 9-44%</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Teacher’s perspective? (1991, 1994)</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>** 13-50%</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Principal’s perspective? (1991, 1994)</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>** 4-22%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33. Increased influence of parent associations? (1991, 1994)</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>** 8-37%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**KEY**

- ↑ Significant progress
- ↓ Significant decline
- ↔ Change is not significant

† Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.
** Indicators are not the same at the national and state level.
— Data not available. See Appendix A.
* Baseline years and most recent update years may differ by state for this indicator. See Appendix C for more information.
See pages 72-75 for a Guide to Reading the State Pages. See Appendix C for technical notes and sources.

1 During the past 30 days.

1 On a 6-point scale from no influence to a great deal of influence, defined as a response to the top two points.

---

**NEW MEXICO**
## New York

### Goal 1: Ready to Learn

1. Reduced percentage of infants born in the state with 1 or more health risks? (1990, 1995)
   - Baseline: N/A
   - Update: N/A
   - Change: N/A

   - Baseline: 77%
   - Update: 82%
   - Change: N/A

3. Reduced number of infants (per 1,000) born with low birthweight? (1990, 1995)
   - Baseline: 76
   - Update: 76
   - Change: N/A

4. Increased number of mothers (per 1,000) receiving early prenatal care? (1990, 1995)
   - Baseline: 731
   - Update: 780
   - Change: N/A

5. Increased number of children with disabilities in preschool (per 1,000)? (1991, 1996)
   - Baseline: 35
   - Update: 58
   - Change: N/A

### Goal 2: School Completion

   - Baseline: 88%
   - Update: 91%
   - Change: N/A

### Goal 3: Student Achievement and Citizenship

8. Increased reading achievement in Grade 4? (1992, 1994)*
   - Baseline: 27%
   - Update: 27%
   - Change: N/A

9. Increased mathematics achievement*
   * in Grade 4? (1992, 1996)
     - Baseline: 17%
     - Update: 20%
     - Change: N/A

   * in Grade 8? (1990, 1996)
     - Baseline: 15%
     - Update: 22%
     - Change: N/A

10. Increased science achievement in Grade 8? (1996)
    - Baseline: 27%
    - Update: N/A
    - Change: N/A

### Median Scores

- GOAL 1: Ready to Learn
  - New York: 37% - 34%
  - U.S.: 25-48% - 24-46%
  - N/A: 38% - 35%

- GOAL 2: School Completion
  - Range of State Scores: 16-68 - 16-92
  - Median Scores: 38 - 47

- GOAL 3: Student Achievement and Citizenship
  - Range of State Scores: 469-868 - 560-900
  - Median Scores: 778 - 828

---

**Key**

- **Significant progress**
- **Significant decline**
- **Change is not significant**

---

1. Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.
2. Comparable national data are not available.
3. Data not available. See Appendix A.
4. Baseline years and most recent update years may differ by state for this indicator. See Appendix C for more information.
5. See pages 72-75 for a Guide to Reading the State Pages.
6. See Appendix C for technical notes and sources.

---

1. Does not include those still in high school.
2. Includes traditional high school diploma and alternative credential.
GOAL 3  Student Achievement and Citizenship (continued)

11. Increased the number of Advanced Placement examinations receiving a grade of 3 or higher (per 1,000)? (1991, 1997)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>New York</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
<th>Range of State Scores</th>
<th>Median Scores</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>baseline</td>
<td>update</td>
<td>progress?</td>
<td>baseline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>97</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

GOAL 4  Teacher Education and Professional Development

12. Increased the percentage of public secondary school teachers who hold
   • a degree in main teaching assignment? (1991, 1994)
   • a teaching certificate in main teaching assignment? (1991, 1994)

13. Increased the percentage of public school teachers participating in professional development on 1 or more selected topics? (1994)

14. Increased the percentage of public school teachers with training to teach limited English-proficient students? (1994)

15. Increased the percentage of beginning public school teachers participating in a formal teacher induction program? (1991, 1994)

GOAL 5  Mathematics and Science

16. International comparisons in mathematics and science will be reported in future Goals Panel reports.™

---

**KEY**

- Significant progress
- Significant decline
- Change is not significant

1 Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.
2 Data not available. See Appendix A.
3 This information had not been released when the 1997 Goals Report went to print. See pages 72-75 for a Guide to Reading the State Pages. See Appendix C for technical notes and sources.

1 A complete description of the performance standard can be found in Appendix C.
2 Interpret with caution. Change was not statistically significant.
### NEW YORK

#### GOAL 5  Mathematics and Science (continued)

17. Increased the percentage of public school 8th graders whose mathematics teachers
   - have students work in small groups? (1996) 54% — 66% — 45-92% — 67% —
   - address Algebra and functions? (1996) 62% — 57% — 45-82% — 58% —
   - address reasoning and analytical ability? (1996) 51% — 52% — 39-64% — 48% —

18. Increased the percentage of public school 8th graders who have computers available in their mathematics classroom? (1996) 11% — 30% — 7-54% — 30% —

19. Increased mathematics and science degrees awarded to
   - all students? (1991, 1995) 41% 43% ↑ 39% 42% ↑ 25-49% 15-53% 39% 42%
   - minority (Black, Hispanic, American Indian/Alaskan Native) students? (1991, 1995) 43% 43% ↔ 39% 40% ↑ 22-64% 22-57% 39% 39%
   - female students? (1991, 1995) 38% 40% ↑ 35% 37% ↑ 23-46% 13-47% 33% 36%

#### GOAL 6  Adult Literacy and Lifelong Learning

20. Increased adult literacy? (1992) 46% — 52% — 46-77% — 53% —

21. Increased the percentage of U.S. citizens
   - registered to vote? (1988, 1992) 67% 69% ↑ 70% 73% ↑ 58-95% 63-92% 71% 75%
   - voting? (1988, 1992) 60% 63% ↑ 61% 66% ↑ 50-74% 55-77% 62% 68%

22. Increased postsecondary enrollment? (1992, 1994) 67% 70% ↑ ** ** 33-68% 37-71% 53% 55%

---

**KEY**

- ↑ Significant progress
- ↓ Significant decline
- ↔ Change is not significant

---

1. Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.
   - Data not available. See Appendix A.
2. **Indicators are not the same at the national and state level.**
   - See Appendix C for technical notes and sources.

---

1. At least once a week.
2. On a 4-point scale from "none" to "a lot," defined as a response to the top point.
GOAL 7  
Safe, Disciplined and Alcohol- and Drug-free Schools

   16%  19%  **  **  4-18%  7-21%  10%  14%  
24. Reduced alcohol use (more than 5 drinks in a row)? (1991, 1993)*  
   36%  32%  **  **  17-43%  9-44%  30%  28%  
25. Reduced availability of drugs on school property? (1993)*  
   28%  —  **  **  11-31%  —  22%  —  
26. Reduced students threatened or injured with a weapon while on school property? (1993)*  
   8%  —  **  **  6-15%  —  8%  —  
27. Reduced physical fights on school property? (1993)*  
   17%  —  **  **  13-39%  —  16%  —  
28. Reduced students carrying weapons on school property? (1993)*  
   12%  —  **  **  8-18%  —  12%  —  
29. Reduced students not feeling safe at school? (1993)*  
   5%  —  **  **  3-23%  —  6%  —  
   42%  55%  ↓  37%  46%  ↓  23-60%  33-65%  37%  47%  

21. New York  

GOAL 8  
Parental Participation

32. Decreased schools with minimal parental involvement  
   • Teacher's perspective? (1991, 1994)  
      23%  29%  **  **  9-44%  13-50%  23%  27%  
      9%  14%  **  **  4-22%  3-27%  13%  13%  
   18%  34%  **  **  8-37%  12-50%  16%  22%  

Alcohol- and Drug-free Schools

Percentage of public high school students who reported the following (Indicators 23, 24, & 25)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Used marijuana</th>
<th>Had 5 or more drinks in a row</th>
<th>Were offered, sold, or given an illegal drug on school property</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1991</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1993</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>28%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Parent-School Partnerships

Percentage of public school principals who reported that the parent association has influence on the following areas (Indicator 33)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>One or more areas</th>
<th>Establishing curriculum</th>
<th>Hiring new full-time teachers</th>
<th>Setting discipline policy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1991</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1994</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 During the past 30 days.
2 During the past 12 months.

† Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.
* Indicators are not the same at the national and state level.
** Baseline years and most recent update years may differ by state for this indicator. See Appendix C for more information. See pages 72-75 for a Guide to Reading the State Pages. See Appendix C for technical notes and sources.

NEW YORK
NORTH CAROLINA

GOAL 1  Ready to Learn

1. Reduced percentage of infants born in the state with 1 or more health risks? (1990, 1995) 40% 36% ↑ 37% 34% ↑
2. Increased percentage of 2-year-olds immunized? (1994, 1996) 84% 78% ↔ 75% 78% ↑
3. Reduced number of infants (per 1,000) born with low birthweight? (1990, 1995) 80 87 ↓ 70 73 ↓
4. Increased number of mothers (per 1,000) receiving early prenatal care? (1990, 1995) 757 835 ↑ 758 813 ↑
5. Increased number of children with disabilities in preschool (per 1,000)? (1991, 1996) 39 52 ↑ * * ↑

GOAL 2  School Completion

6. Increased high school completion rate? (1990, 1995) 83% 87% ↑ 86% 86% ↑
7. Reduced high school dropout rate? (1992, 1994)* — — ↑ * * ↑

GOAL 3  Student Achievement and Citizenship

8. Increased reading achievement in Grade 4? (1992, 1994)* 25% 30% ↔ 29% 30% ↔
9. Increased mathematics achievement* • in Grade 4? (1992, 1996) 13% 21% ↑ 18% 21% ↑
   • in Grade 8? (1990, 1996) 9% 20% ↑ 15% 24% ↑
10. Increased science achievement in Grade 8? (1996) 24% — — 29% — —

Children’s Health Index
Percentage of infants born with 1 or more health risks1 (Indicator 1)

High School Completion
Percentage of all 18- to 24-year-olds1 who have a high school credential2 (Indicator 6)

1 Includes late (in third trimester) or no prenatal care, low maternal weight gain (less than 21 pounds), mother smoked during pregnancy, or mother drank alcohol during pregnancy.

1 Does not include those still in high school.

2 Includes traditional high school diploma and alternative credential.
### GOAL 3  Student Achievement and Citizenship (continued)

11. Increased the number of Advanced Placement examinations receiving a grade of 3 or higher (per 1,000)? (1991, 1997)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>North Carolina</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
<th>Range of State Scores</th>
<th>Median Scores†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>baseline</td>
<td>update</td>
<td>progress?</td>
<td>baseline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>48</td>
<td>99</td>
<td></td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### GOAL 4  Teacher Education and Professional Development

12. Increased the percentage of public secondary school teachers who hold
   • a degree in main teaching assignment? (1991, 1994)
   • a teaching certificate in main teaching assignment? (1991, 1994)

13. Increased the percentage of public school teachers participating in professional development on 1 or more selected topics? (1994)

14. Increased the percentage of public school teachers with training to teach limited English-proficient students? (1994)

15. Increased the percentage of beginning public school teachers participating in a formal teacher induction program? (1991, 1994)

### GOAL 5  Mathematics and Science

16. International comparisons in mathematics and science will be reported in future Goals Panel reports.\(^[^2]\)

---

**Key**

- ↑ Significant progress
- ↓ Significant decline
- ↔ Change is not significant

---

\(^[^1]\) Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.

\(^[^2]\) See Table 8 for the numbers for each subject area.

\(^[^3]\) Data not available. See Appendix A.

\(^[^4]\) This information had not been released when the 1997 Goals Report went to print.

See pages 72-75 for a Guide to Reading the State Pages.

See Appendix C for technical notes and sources.

---

A complete description of the performance standard can be found in Appendix C.\(^[^1]\)

Interpret with caution. Change was not statistically significant.\(^[^2]\)
### GOAL 5  Mathematics and Science (continued)

17. Increased the percentage of public school 8th graders whose mathematics teachers
   • have students work in small groups? (1996) 74% — — 66% — — 45-92% — — 67%
   • address Algebra and functions? (1996) 61% — — 57% — — 45-82% — — 58%
   • address reasoning and analytical ability? (1996) 50% — — 52% — — 39-64% — — 48%

18. Increased the percentage of public school 8th graders available in their mathematics classroom? (1996) 28% — — 30% — — 7-54% — — 30%

19. Increased mathematics and science degrees awarded to
   • all students? (1991, 1995) 41% 46% ↑ 39% 42% ↑ 25-49% 15-53% 39% 42%
   • minority (Black, Hispanic, American Indian/Alaskan Native) students? (1991, 1995) 38% 45% ↑ 39% 40% ↑ 22-64% 22-57% 39% 39%
   • female students? (1991, 1995) 36% 42% ↑ 35% 37% ↑ 23-46% 13-47% 33% 36%

### GOAL 6  Adult Literacy and Lifelong Learning

20. Increased adult literacy? (1992) — — — — — — 52% — — 46-77% — — 53%

21. Increased the percentage of U.S. citizens
   • registered to vote? (1988, 1992) 65% 70% ↑ 70% 73% ↑ 58-95% 63-92% 71% 75%
   • voting? (1988, 1992) 54% 61% ↑ 61% 66% ↑ 50-74% 55-77% 62% 68%

   49% 51% ● ● 33-68% 37-71% 53% 55%

---

**KEY**

- ↑ Significant progress
- ↓ Significant decline
- ↔ Change is not significant

1. Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.

2. Data not available. See Appendix A.

**Indicators are not the same at the national and state level.
Sample size does not permit a reliable estimate of change. See pages 72-75 for a Guide to Reading the State Pages. See Appendix C for technical notes and sources.
GOAL 7  Safe, Disciplined and Alcohol- and Drug-free Schools

15%  22%

24. Reduced alcohol use (more than 5 drinks in a row)? (1993, 1995)*
23%  23%

25. Reduced availability of drugs on school property? (1993, 1995)*
29%  30%

26. Reduced students threatened or injured with a weapon while on school property? (1993, 1995)*
10%  8%

27. Reduced physical fights on school property? (1993, 1995)*
15%  12%

28. Reduced students carrying weapons on school property? (1993, 1995)*
14%  9%

29. Reduced students not feeling safe at school? (1993, 1995)*
5%  5%

30. Reduced teacher victimization? (1994)
19% —

42%  53%

GOAL 8  Parental Participation

32. Decreased schools with minimal parental involvement
• Teacher’s perspective? (1991, 1994)
29%  30%

• Principal’s perspective? (1991, 1994)
10%  10%

21%  20%

KEY

† Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.
** Indicators are not the same at the national and state level.
* Baseline years and most recent update years may differ by state for this indicator. See Appendix C for more information.

See pages 72-75 for a Guide to Reading the State Pages. See Appendix C for technical notes and sources.
## NORTH DAKOTA

### GOAL 1  Ready to Learn

1. Reduced percentage of infants born in the state with 1 or more health risks? (1990, 1995)
   - 36% 34%  
   - 81% 83%  
3. Reduced number of infants (per 1,000) born with low birthweight? (1990, 1995)
   - 55 53  
4. Increased number of mothers (per 1,000) receiving early prenatal care? (1990, 1995)
   - 821 839  
5. Increased number of children with disabilities in preschool (per 1,000)? (1991, 1996)
   - 39 45  

### GOAL 2  School Completion

   - 96% 93%  
7. Reduced high school dropout rate? (1993, 1994) *
   - 2% 3%  

### GOAL 3  Student Achievement and Citizenship

8. Increased reading achievement in Grade 4? (1992, 1994) *
   - 35% 38%  
9. Increased mathematics achievement *
   - 22% 24%  
10. Increased science achievement in Grade 8? (1996)
    - 41% —  

### Key

- ➡️ Significant progress
- ➡️ Significant decline
- ⇆ Change is not significant

### Children’s Health Index

Percentage of infants born with 1 or more health risks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>1990</th>
<th>1995</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>100%</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>34%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Includes late (in third trimester) or no prenatal care, low maternal weight gain (less than 21 pounds), mother smoked during pregnancy, or mother drank alcohol during pregnancy.

### High School Completion

Percentage of all 18- to 24-year-olds who have a high school credential

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>1990</th>
<th>1995</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>100%</td>
<td>96%</td>
<td>93%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Does not include those still in high school.
2 Includes traditional high school diploma and alternative credential.

Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.

* Comparable national data are not available.

** Baseline years and most recent update years may differ by state for this indicator. See Appendix A for more information.

See pages 72-75 for a Guide to Reading the State Pages.

See Appendix C for technical notes and sources.
### GOAL 3  Student Achievement and Citizenship (continued)

11. Increased the number of Advanced Placement examinations receiving a grade of 3 or higher (per 1,000)? (1991, 1997)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>North Dakota</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
<th>Range of State Scores</th>
<th>Median Scores</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>baseline</td>
<td>update</td>
<td>progress?</td>
<td>baseline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### GOAL 4  Teacher Education and Professional Development

12. Increased the percentage of public secondary school teachers who hold
   • a degree in main teaching assignment? (1991, 1994)
   • a teaching certificate in main teaching assignment? (1991, 1994)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>baseline</th>
<th>update</th>
<th>progress?</th>
<th>baseline</th>
<th>update</th>
<th>progress?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>73%</td>
<td>76%</td>
<td></td>
<td>66%</td>
<td>63%</td>
<td></td>
<td>51-85%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td></td>
<td>94%</td>
<td>93%</td>
<td></td>
<td>91-100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

13. Increased the percentage of public school teachers participating in professional development on 1 or more selected topics? (1994)

|              |          |        | 85%             |          |        | 76-98%          |

14. Increased the percentage of public school teachers with training to teach limited English-proficient students? (1994)

|              |          |        | 16%             |          |        | 4-81%          |

15. Increased the percentage of beginning public school teachers participating in a formal teacher induction program? (1991, 1994)

|              |          |        | 22%             |          |        | 6-42%          |

### GOAL 5  Mathematics and Science

16. International comparisons in mathematics and science will be reported in future Goals Panel reports.

**KEY**

- 🟢 Significant progress
- 🔴 Significant decline
- 🔴 Change is not significant

---

1. Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.
2. Data not available. See Appendix A.
3. This information had not been released when the 1997 Goals Report went to print.

---

1 A complete description of the performance standard can be found in Appendix C.
2 Interpret with caution. Change was not statistically significant.
NORTH DAKOTA

GOAL 5  Mathematics and Science (continued)

17. Increased the percentage of public school 8th graders whose mathematics teachers
   • have students work in small groups? (1996)
     45% — — 66% — — 45-92% — — 67% —
   • address Algebra and functions? (1996)
     54% — — 57% — — 45-82% — — 58% —
   • address reasoning and analytical ability? (1996)
     46% — — 52% — — 39-64% — — 48% —
18. Increased the percentage of public school 8th graders who have computers
   available in their mathematics classroom? (1996)
     29% — — 30% — — 7-54% — — 30% —
19. Increased mathematics and science degrees awarded to
   • all students? (1991, 1995)
     39% 43% — 39% 42% — 25-49% 15-53% 39% 42%
   • minority (Black, Hispanic, American Indian/Alaskan Native) students? (1991, 1995)
     40% 47% — 39% 40% — 22-64% 22-57% 39% 39%
     35% 39% — 35% 37% — 23-46% 13-47% 33% 36%

GOAL 6  Adult Literacy and Lifelong Learning

20. Increased adult literacy? (1992)
    — — — 52% — — 46-77% — — 53% —
21. Increased the percentage of U.S. citizens
    • registered to vote? (1988, 1992)
      95% 92% — 70% 73% — 58-95% 63-92% 71% 75%
      74% 72% — 61% 66% — 50-74% 55-77% 62% 68%
    • voting? (1988, 1992)
    68% 68% — ** ** ** 33-68% 37-71% 53% 55%

Mathematics Instruction
Percentage of public school 8th graders whose mathematics teachers do the following, 1996
(Indicator 17)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Work in small groups or with a partner</th>
<th>Address, Algebra and functions</th>
<th>Address reasoning &amp; analytical ability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0% 20% 40% 60% 80% 100%</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>54%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

KEY

↑ Significant progress
↓ Significant decline
↔ Change is not significant

1 Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.
   — Data not available. See Appendix A.
2 Indicators are not the same at the national and state level.
   † Sample size does not permit a reliable estimate of change. See pages 72-75 for a Guide to Reading the State Pages. See Appendix C for technical notes and sources.

At least once a week.
On a 4-point scale from "none" to "a lot," defined as a response to the top point.
GOAL 7  Safe, Disciplined and Alcohol- and Drug-free Schools

23. Reduced marijuana use? (1995)*
   15% — **

24. Reduced alcohol use (more than 5 drinks in a row)? (1991, 1995)*
   — — **

25. Reduced availability of drugs on school property? (1995)*
   28% — **

26. Reduced students threatened or injured with a weapon while on school property? (1995)*
   6% — **

27. Reduced physical fights on school property? (1995)*
   12% — **

28. Reduced students carrying weapons on school property? (1995)*
   10% — **

29. Reduced students not feeling safe at school? (1993, 1995)*
   — — **

30. Reduced teacher victimization? (1994)
   8% — 15% —

   30% 33% — 37% 46% —

GOAL 8  Parental Participation

32. Decreased schools with minimal parental involvement
   • Teacher’s perspective? (1991, 1994)
     9% 13% — **
   • Principal’s perspective? (1991, 1994)
     4% 3% — **

   16% 17% — **

---

** Indicators are not the same at the national and state level.
† Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.
* Baseline years and most recent update years may differ by state for this indicator. See Appendix C for more information.
See pages 72-75 for a Guide to Reading the State Pages. See Appendix C for technical notes and sources.

1 During the past 30 days.
2 During the past 12 months.


## Ohio

### Goal 1: Ready to Learn

1. Reduced percentage of infants born in the state with 1 or more health risks? (1990, 1995) 41% 37% 37% 34% 25-48% 24-46% 38% 35%
2. Increased percentage of 2-year-olds immunized? (1994, 1996) 73% 79% 75% 78% 61-88% 64-88% 76% 79%
3. Reduced number of infants (per 1,000) born with low birthweight? (1990, 1995) 71 76 70 73 48-151 53-134 71 75
4. Increased number of mothers (per 1,000) receiving early prenatal care? (1990, 1995) 815 847 758 813 469-868 560-900 778 828
5. Increased number of children with disabilities in preschool (per 1,000)? (1991, 1996) 26 38 * * 16-68 16-92 38 47

### Goal 2: School Completion

6. Increased high school completion rate? (1990, 1995) 89% 88% 86% 86% 77-96% 79-96% 87% 88%
7. Reduced high school dropout rate? (1992, 1994) — — * * 3-12% 3-10% 5% 5%

### Goal 3: Student Achievement and Citizenship

8. Increased reading achievement in Grade 4? (1992) 27% — 29% — 8-38% — 26% —
9. Increased mathematics achievement*
   - in Grade 4? (1992) 16% — 18% — 5-27% — 16% —
   - in Grade 8? (1990, 1992) 15% 18% 15% 21% 1-27% 1-31% 15% 18%
10. Increased science achievement in Grade 8? (1996) — — 29% — 5-41% — 27% —

### Key

- **Significant progress**
- **Significant decline**
- **Change is not significant**

---

1. **Median** is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.
2. Comparable national data are not available.
3. Data not available. See Appendix A.
4. Baseline years and most recent update years may differ by state for this indicator. See Appendix C for more information.
5. See pages 72-75 for a Guide to Reading the State Pages.
6. See Appendix C for technical notes and sources.

---

1. Includes late (in third trimester) or no prenatal care, low maternal weight gain (less than 21 pounds), mother smoked during pregnancy, or mother drank alcohol during pregnancy.
2. Does not include those still in high school.
3. Includes traditional high school diploma and alternative credential.
4. Interpret with caution. Change was not statistically significant.
GOAL 3  Student Achievement and Citizenship (continued)

11. Increased the number of Advanced Placement examinations receiving a grade of 3 or higher (per 1,000)? (1991, 1997)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ohio</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
<th>Range of State Scores</th>
<th>Median Scores†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>baseline</td>
<td>update</td>
<td>progress?</td>
<td>baseline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

GOAL 4  Teacher Education and Professional Development

12. Increased the percentage of public secondary school teachers who hold

  - 68% 61% 66% 63% 91-100% 50-81% 69% 64%
  - 99% 97% 94% 93% 89-100% 98% 97%

13. Increased the percentage of public school teachers participating in professional development on 1 or more selected topics? (1994)

14. Increased the percentage of public school teachers with training to teach limited English-proficient students? (1994)

15. Increased the percentage of beginning public school teachers participating in a formal teacher induction program? (1991, 1994)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ohio</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
<th>Range of State Scores</th>
<th>Median Scores†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>baseline</td>
<td>update</td>
<td>progress?</td>
<td>baseline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20% 25%</td>
<td>22% 27%</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>6-42% 7-48%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

GOAL 5  Mathematics and Science

16. International comparisons in mathematics and science will be reported in future Goals Panel reports.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ohio</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
<th>Range of State Scores</th>
<th>Median Scores†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>baseline</td>
<td>update</td>
<td>progress?</td>
<td>baseline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**KEY**

- ↑ Significant progress
- ↓ Significant decline
- ↔ Change is not significant

† Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.
○ See Table 8 for the numbers for each subject area.
— Data not available. See Appendix A.
∞ This information had not been released when the 1997 Goals Report went to print.

See pages 72-75 for a Guide to Reading the State Pages.
See Appendix C for technical notes and sources.

1 A complete description of the performance standard can be found in Appendix C.
## Ohio

### Goal 5 Mathematics and Science (continued)

17. Increased the percentage of public school 8th graders whose mathematics teachers
   • have students work in small groups? (1996) 66% 45-92% 67%
   • address Algebra and functions? (1996) 57% 45-82% 58%
   • address reasoning and analytical ability? (1996) 52% 39-64% 48%

18. Increased the percentage of public school 8th graders who have computers available in their mathematics classroom? (1996) 30% 7-54% 30%

19. Increased mathematics and science degrees awarded to
   • all students? (1991, 1995) 36% 39% → 39% 42% → 25-49% 15-53% 39% 42%
   • minority (Black, Hispanic, American Indian/Alaskan Native) students? (1991, 1995) 38% 37% → 39% 40% → 22-64% 22-57% 39% 39%
   • female students? (1991, 1995) 31% 34% → 35% 37% → 23-46% 13-47% 33% 36%

### Goal 6 Adult Literacy and Lifelong Learning

20. Increased adult literacy? (1992) 55% 53%

21. Increased the percentage of U.S. citizens
   • registered to vote? (1988, 1992) 70% 71% ↔ 70% 73% → 58-95% 63-92% 71% 75%
   • voting? (1988, 1992) 63% 65% ↔ 61% 66% → 50-74% 55-77% 62% 68%

22. Increased postsecondary enrollment? (1992, 1994) 51% 51% 1 33-68% 37-71% 53% 55%

### Key

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sign</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>↑</td>
<td>Significant progress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>↓</td>
<td>Significant decline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>↔</td>
<td>Change is not significant</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

† Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.
— Data not available. See Appendix A.
** Indicators are not the same at the national and state level.
1 The nonrounded values for indicator 22 in 1992 and 1994 were 51.0 and 51.4, respectively.
See pages 72-75 for a Guide to Reading the State Pages.
See Appendix C for technical notes and sources.
### GOAL 7  Safe, Disciplined and Alcohol- and Drug-free Schools

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>23. Reduced marijuana use? (1993)*</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>7-21%</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24. Reduced alcohol use (more than 5 drinks in a row)? (1993)*</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>9-44%</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25. Reduced availability of drugs on school property? (1993)*</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>11-31%</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26. Reduced students threatened or injured with a weapon while on school property? (1993)*</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>6-15%</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27. Reduced physical fights on school property? (1993)*</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>13-39%</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28. Reduced students carrying weapons on school property? (1993)*</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>8-18%</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29. Reduced students not feeling safe at school? (1993)*</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>3-23%</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30. Reduced teacher victimization? (1994)</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>8-26%</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31. Reduced student disruptions? (1991, 1994)</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>42%</td>
<td>&gt;&gt;&gt;</td>
<td>23-60%</td>
<td>33-65%</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>47%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### GOAL 8  Parental Participation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>32. Decreased schools with minimal parental involvement</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>9-44%</td>
<td>13-50%</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Teacher's perspective? (1991, 1994)</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>4-22%</td>
<td>3-27%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Principal's perspective? (1991, 1994)</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>8-37%</td>
<td>12-50%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33. Increased influence of parent associations? (1991, 1994)</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Key

- **Significant progress**
- **Significant decline**
- **Change is not significant**

† Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.
** Indicators are not the same at the national and state level.
* Baseline years and most recent update years may differ by state for this indicator. See Appendix C for more information.

See pages 72-75 for a Guide to Reading the State Pages. See Appendix C for technical notes and sources.

### Alcohol and Drug-free Schools

- **Used marijuana**
- **Had 5 or more drinks in a row**
- **Were offered, sold, or given an illegal drug on school property**

### Parent-School Partnerships

- **One or more areas**
- **Establishing curriculum**
- **Hiring new full-time teachers**
- **Setting discipline policy**

1 During the past 30 days.
2 During the past 12 months.
## OKLAHOMA

### GOAL 1  Ready to Learn

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Oklahoma Baseline</th>
<th>Oklahoma Update</th>
<th>U.S. Baseline</th>
<th>U.S. Update</th>
<th>Range of State Scores</th>
<th>Median Scores†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Reduced percentage of infants born with 1 or more health risks? (1992, 1995)</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td>24-48%</td>
<td>37%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Increased percentage of 2-year-olds immunized? (1994, 1996)</td>
<td>76%</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>78%</td>
<td>61-88%</td>
<td>76%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Reduced number of infants (per 1,000) born with low birthweight? (1990, 1995)</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>48-151</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Increased number of mothers (per 1,000) receiving early prenatal care? (1990, 1995)</td>
<td>712</td>
<td>782</td>
<td>758</td>
<td>813</td>
<td>469-868</td>
<td>778</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Increased number of children with disabilities in preschool (per 1,000)? (1991, 1996)</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>16-68</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### GOAL 2  School Completion

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Oklahoma Baseline</th>
<th>Oklahoma Update</th>
<th>U.S. Baseline</th>
<th>U.S. Update</th>
<th>Range of State Scores</th>
<th>Median Scores†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6. Increased high school completion rate? (1990, 1995)</td>
<td>87%</td>
<td>87%</td>
<td>86%</td>
<td>86%</td>
<td>77-96%</td>
<td>87%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Reduced high school dropout rate? (1992, 1994)*</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>3-12%</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### GOAL 3  Student Achievement and Citizenship

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Oklahoma Baseline</th>
<th>Oklahoma Update</th>
<th>U.S. Baseline</th>
<th>U.S. Update</th>
<th>Range of State Scores</th>
<th>Median Scores†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8. Increased reading achievement in Grade 4? (1992)*</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>8-38%</td>
<td>26%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Increased mathematics achievement*</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>5-27%</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* in Grade 4? (1992)</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>1-27%</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* in Grade 8? (1990, 1992)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>5-41%</td>
<td>27%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Increased science achievement in Grade 8? (1996)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

### Key

- ↑ Significant progress
- ↓ Significant decline
- ↔ Change is not significant

---

1. Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.
2. Comparable national data are not available.

---

**Children's Health Index**

- Percentage of infants born with 1 or more health risks\(^1\) (Indicator 1)

---

**High School Completion**

- Percentage of all 18- to 24-year-olds\(^2\) who have a high school credential\(^2\) (Indicator 6)

---

\(^1\) Includes late (in third trimester) or no prenatal care, low maternal weight gain (less than 21 pounds), mother smoked during pregnancy, or mother drank alcohol during pregnancy.

\(^2\) Does not include those still in high school.

Includes traditional high school diploma and alternative credential.
GOAL 3  Student Achievement and Citizenship (continued)

11. Increased the number of Advanced Placement examinations receiving a grade of 3 or higher (per 1,000)? (1991, 1997)†

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Oklahoma</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
<th>Range of State Scores</th>
<th>Median Scores†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>baseline</td>
<td>update</td>
<td>progress?</td>
<td>baseline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>21</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

GOAL 4  Teacher Education and Professional Development

12. Increased the percentage of public secondary school teachers who hold
   • a degree in main teaching assignment? (1991, 1994)
     85%  61%  ↓  66%  63%  ↓  51-85%  50-81%  ↓  69%  64% 98%  97%  ↓
   • a teaching certificate in main teaching assignment? (1991, 1994)
     98%  99%  ↑  94%  93%  ↓  91-100%  89-100%  ↓  98%  97%  ↓

13. Increased the percentage of public school teachers participating in professional development on 1 or more selected topics? (1994)

14. Increased the percentage of public school teachers with training to teach limited English-proficient students? (1994)

15. Increased the percentage of beginning public school teachers participating in a formal teacher induction program? (1991, 1994)

GOAL 5  Mathematics and Science

16. International comparisons in mathematics and science will be reported in future Goals Panel reports.∞

---

**KEY**

- ↑ Significant progress
- ↓ Significant decline
- ↔ Change is not significant

† Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.
∞ Data not available. See Appendix A.
∞∞ This information had not been released when the 1997 Goals Report went to print.
See pages 72-75 for a Guide to Reading the State Pages. See Appendix C for technical notes and sources.

---

**Student Achievement**
Percentage of public school students who met the Goals Panel’s performance standard† in reading and mathematics (Indicators 8 & 9)

- 100%
- 80%
- 60%
- 40%
- 20%
- 0%

**Professional Development**
Percentage of public school teachers participating in professional development on the following topics, 1994 (Indicator 13)

- One or more topics
- Uses of educational technology
- Methods of teaching subject field
- In-depth study in subject field
- Student assessment

---

1 A complete description of the performance standard can be found in Appendix C.
2 Interpret with caution. Change was not statistically significant.
### OKLAHOMA

**GOAL 5** Mathematics and Science (continued)

17. Increased the percentage of public school 8th graders whose mathematics teachers
   • have students work in small groups? (1996) — — 66% — 45-92% — 67% —
   • address Algebra and functions? (1996) — — 57% — 45-82% — 58% —
   • address reasoning and analytical ability? (1996) — — 52% — 39-64% — 48% —

18. Increased the percentage of public school 8th graders who have computers available in their mathematics classroom? (1996) — — 30% — 7-54% — 30% —

19. Increased mathematics and science degrees awarded to
   • all students? (1991, 1995) 33% 36% ↑ 39% 42% ↑ 25-49% 15-53% 39% 42%
   • minority (Black, Hispanic, American Indian/Alaskan Native) students? (1991, 1995) 34% 36% ↑ 39% 40% ↑ 22-64% 22-57% 39% 39%
   • female students? (1991, 1995) 28% 29% ↑ 35% 37% ↑ 23-46% 13-47% 33% 36%

**GOAL 6** Adult Literacy and Lifelong Learning

20. Increased adult literacy? (1992) — — 52% — 46-77% — 53% —

21. Increased the percentage of U.S. citizens
   • registered to vote? (1988, 1992) 66% 75% ↑ 70% 73% ↑ 58-95% 63-92% 71% 75%
   • voting? (1988, 1992) 57% 68% ↑ 61% 66% ↑ 50-74% 55-77% 62% 68%

22. Increased postsecondary enrollment? (1992, 1994) 50% 49% ↔ ** ** 33-68% 37-71% 53% 55%

---

**KEY**

↑ Significant progress
↓ Significant decline
↔ Change is not significant

† Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.
— Data not available. See Appendix A.
** Indicators are not the same at the national and state level.
See pages 72-75 for a Guide to Reading the State Pages.
See Appendix C for technical notes and sources.
GOAL 7  Safe, Disciplined and Alcohol- and Drug-free Schools

   — —  ** **  4-18%  7-32%  10%  23%
24. Reduced alcohol use (more than 5 drinks in a row)? (1991, 1995)*
   — —  ** **  17-43%  13-43%  30%  31%
25. Reduced availability of drugs on school property? (1993, 1995)*
   — —  ** **  11-31%  20-46%  22%  30%
26. Reduced students threatened or injured with a weapon while on school property? (1993, 1995)*
   — —  ** **  6-15%  4-11%  8%  8%
27. Reduced physical fights on school property? (1993, 1995)*
   — —  ** **  13-39%  12-19%  16%  15%
28. Reduced students carrying weapons on school property? (1993, 1995)*
   — —  ** **  8-18%  7-14%  12%  11%
29. Reduced students not feeling safe at school? (1993, 1995)*
   — —  ** **  3-23%  3-16%  6%  5%
30. Reduced teacher victimization? (1994)
   13% —  15% —  8-26%  — 14% —
   33% 39%  37% 46%  23-60%  33-65%  37% 47%

GOAL 8  Parental Participation

32. Decreased schools with minimal parental involvement
   • Teacher’s perspective? (1991, 1994)
     22% 28%  ** **  9-44%  13-50%  23%  27%
   • Principal’s perspective? (1991, 1994)
     15% 13%  ** **  4-22%  3-27%  13%  13%
   13% 21%  ** **  8-37%  12-50%  16%  22%

KEY

 ↑ Significant progress
 ↓ Significant decline
 ↔ Change is not significant

† Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.
** Indicators are not the same at the national and state level.
— Data not available. See Appendix A.
* Baseline years and most recent update years may differ by state for this indicator. See Appendix C for more information.
See pages 72-75 for a Guide to Reading the State Pages.
See Appendix C for technical notes and sources.

1 On a 6-point scale from no influence to a great deal of influence, defined as a response to the top two points.
OREGON

GOAL 1  Ready to Learn
1. Reduced percentage of infants born in the state with 1 or more health risks? (1990, 1995) 39% 37% 8↑  37% 34% 8↑  25-48% 24-46% 38% 35%
2. Increased percentage of 2-year-olds immunized? (1994, 1996) 71% 72% 7↓  75% 78% 7↓  61-88% 64-88% 76% 79%
3. Reduced number of infants (per 1,000) born with low birthweight? (1990, 1995) 50 55 10↓  50 55 —  48-151 53-134 71 75
4. Increased number of mothers (per 1,000) receiving early prenatal care? (1990, 1995) 757 788 8↑  758 813 8↑  469-868 560-900 778 828
5. Increased number of children with disabilities in preschool (per 1,000)? (1991, 1996) 23 46 8↑  * * 9↑  16-68 16-92 38 47

GOAL 2  School Completion
6. Increased high school completion rate? (1990, 1995) 89% 81% 6↓  86% 86% —  77-96% 79-96% 87% 88%
7. Reduced high school dropout rate? (1992, 1994)* 6% 7% 1↓  * * 9↓  3-12% 3-10% 5% 5%

GOAL 3  Student Achievement and Citizenship
8. Increased reading achievement in Grade 4? (1992, 1994)*  — — —  29% 30% 9↓  8-38% 8-41% 26% 27%
9. Increased mathematics achievement*  — — —  21% 21% —  3-31% — 20% —
   * in Grade 4? (1996) 21% 26% 5↑  15% 24% —  1-27% 5-34% 15% 22%
   * in Grade 8? (1990, 1996) 21% 26% 5↑  15% 24% —  1-27% 5-34% 15% 22%
10. Increased science achievement in Grade 8? (1996) 32% — — —  29% — —  5-41% — 27% —

KEY
↑ Significant progress
↓ Significant decline
↔ Change is not significant

1 Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.
* Comparable national data are not available.
* Data not available. See Appendix A.
* Baseline years and most recent update years may differ by state for this indicator. See Appendix C for more information.
See pages 72-75 for a Guide to Reading the State Pages.
See Appendix C for technical notes and sources.

Children’s Health Index
Percentage of infants born with 1 or more health risks1 (Indicator 1)

High School Completion
Percentage of all 18- to 24-year-olds1 who have a high school credential12 (Indicator 6)

1 Includes late (in third trimester) or no prenatal care, low maternal weight gain (less than 21 pounds), mother smoked during pregnancy, or mother drank alcohol during pregnancy.
1 Does not include those still in high school.
1 Includes traditional high school diploma and alternative credential.
### GOAL 3 Student Achievement and Citizenship (continued)

11. Increased the number of Advanced Placement examinations receiving a grade of 3 or higher (per 1,000)? (1991, 1997)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Oregon</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
<th>Range of State Scores</th>
<th>Median Scores†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>baseline</td>
<td>update</td>
<td>progress?</td>
<td>baseline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### GOAL 4 Teacher Education and Professional Development

12. Increased the percentage of public secondary school teachers who hold

13. Increased the percentage of public school teachers participating in professional development on 1 or more selected topics? (1994)

14. Increased the percentage of public school teachers with training to teach limited English-proficient students? (1994)

15. Increased the percentage of beginning public school teachers participating in a formal teacher induction program? (1991, 1994)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Oregon</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
<th>Range of State Scores</th>
<th>Median Scores†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>baseline</td>
<td>update</td>
<td>progress?</td>
<td>baseline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>64%</td>
<td>59%</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>66%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>97%</td>
<td>97%</td>
<td></td>
<td>94%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>86%</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>85%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>22%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### GOAL 5 Mathematics and Science

16. International comparisons in mathematics and science will be reported in future Goals Panel reports.

---

**Key**

- ↑ Significant progress
- ↓ Significant decline
- Change is not significant

---

1. Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.
2. See Table 8 for the numbers for each subject area.
3. Data not available. See Appendix A.
4. This information had not been released when the 1997 Goals Report went to print.
5. See pages 72-75 for a Guide to Reading the State Pages.
6. See Appendix C for technical notes and sources.

---

1. A complete description of the performance standard can be found in Appendix C.
OREGON

GOAL 5  Mathematics and Science (continued)

17. Increased the percentage of public school 8th graders whose mathematics teachers
   • have students work in small groups? (1996) 77% — 66% — 45-92% — 67% —
   • address Algebra and functions? (1996) 48% — 57% — 45-82% — 58% —
   • address reasoning and analytical ability? (1996) 42% — 52% — 39-64% — 48% —

18. Increased the percentage of public school 8th graders who have computers available in their mathematics classroom? (1996)
   35% — 30% — 7-54% — 30% —

19. Increased mathematics and science degrees awarded to
   • all students? (1991, 1995) 41% 47% ↑ 39% 42% ↑ 25-49% 15-53% 39% 42%
   • minority (Black, Hispanic, American Indian/Alaskan Native) students? (1991, 1995) 41% 48% ↑ 39% 40% ↑ 22-64% 22-57% 39% 39%
   • female students? (1991, 1995) 37% 40% ↑ 35% 37% ↑ 23-46% 13-47% 33% 36%

GOAL 6  Adult Literacy and Lifelong Learning

20. Increased adult literacy? (1992) 77% — 52% — 46-77% — 53% —

21. Increased the percentage of U.S. citizens
   • registered to vote? (1988, 1992) 73% 78% ↑ 70% 73% ↑ 58-95% 63-92% 71% 75%
   • voting? (1988, 1992) 65% 72% ↑ 61% 66% ↑ 50-74% 55-77% 62% 68%

   54% 57% ** ** 33-68% 37-71% 53% 55%

KEY

↑ Significant progress
↓ Significant decline
- Change is not significant

** Indicators are not the same at the national and state level.

1 Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.
   — Data not available. See Appendix A.

1 At least once a week.
2 On a 4-point scale from “none” to “a lot,” defined as a response to the top point.
### GOAL 7  Safe, Disciplined and Alcohol- and Drug-free Schools

| 23. Reduced marijuana use? (1991, 1995)* | — | — | ** | ** | 4-18% | 7-32% | 10% | 23% |
| 24. Reduced alcohol use (more than 5 drinks in a row)? (1991, 1995)* | — | — | ** | ** | 17-43% | 13-43% | 30% | 31% |
| 25. Reduced availability of drugs on school property? (1993, 1995)* | — | — | ** | ** | 11-31% | 20-46% | 22% | 30% |
| 26. Reduced students threatened or injured with a weapon while on school property? (1993, 1995)* | — | — | ** | ** | 6-15% | 4-11% | 8% | 8% |
| 27. Reduced physical fights on school property? (1993, 1995)* | — | — | ** | ** | 13-39% | 12-19% | 16% | 15% |
| 28. Reduced students carrying weapons on school property? (1993, 1995)* | — | — | ** | ** | 8-18% | 7-14% | 12% | 11% |
| 29. Reduced students not feeling safe at school? (1993, 1995)* | — | — | ** | ** | 3-23% | 3-16% | 6% | 5% |
| 30. Reduced teacher victimization? (1994) | 13% | — | 15% | — | 8-26% | — | 14% | — |
| 31. Reduced student disruptions? (1991, 1994) | 37% | 57% | 37% | 46% | 23-60% | 33-65% | 37% | 47% |

### GOAL 8  Parental Participation

| 32. Decreased schools with minimal parental involvement | 19% | 30% | ** | ** | 9-44% | 13-50% | 23% | 27% |
| Teacher’s perspective? (1991, 1994) | 13% | 12% | ** | ** | 4-22% | 3-27% | 13% | 13% |
| Principal’s perspective? (1991, 1994) | 12% | 21% | ** | ** | 8-37% | 12-50% | 16% | 22% |

---

**KEY**

- **↑**: Significant progress
- **↓**: Significant decline
- **↔**: Change is not significant

† Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.

****: Indicators are not the same at the national and state level.

— Data not available. See Appendix A.

* Baseline years and most recent update years may differ by state for this indicator. See Appendix C for more information.

See pages 72-75 for a Guide to Reading the State Pages. See Appendix C for technical notes and sources.
## PENNSYLVANIA

### GOAL 1  Ready to Learn

1. Reduced percentage of infants born in the state with 1 or more health risks? (1990, 1995)  
   - Pennsylvania: 39% baseline, 37% update  
   - U.S.: 37% baseline, 34% update  
   - Range of State Scores: 25-48% baseline, 24-48% update

   - Pennsylvania: 77% baseline, 81% update  
   - U.S.: 75% baseline, 78% update  
   - Range of State Scores: 61-88% baseline, 64-88% update

3. Reduced number of infants (per 1,000) born with low birthweight? (1990, 1995)  
   - Pennsylvania: 71 baseline, 74 update  
   - U.S.: 70 baseline, 73 update  
   - Range of State Scores: 48-151 baseline, 53-134 update

4. Increased number of mothers (per 1,000) receiving early prenatal care? (1990, 1995)  
   - Pennsylvania: 797 baseline, 834 update  
   - U.S.: 758 baseline, 813 update  
   - Range of State Scores: 469-868 baseline, 560-900 update

5. Increased number of children with disabilities in preschool (per 1,000)? (1991, 1996)  
   - Pennsylvania: 37 baseline, 42 update  
   - U.S.: * baseline, * update  
   - Range of State Scores: 16-68 baseline, 16-92 update

### GOAL 2  School Completion

   - Pennsylvania: 90% baseline, 90% update  
   - U.S.: 86% baseline, 86% update  
   - Range of State Scores: 77-96% baseline, 79-96% update

7. Reduced high school dropout rate? (1992, 1994)*  
   - Pennsylvania: 4% baseline, 4% update  
   - U.S.: * baseline, * update  
   - Range of State Scores: 3-12% baseline, 3-10% update

### GOAL 3  Student Achievement and Citizenship

8. Increased reading achievement in Grade 4? (1992, 1994)*  
   - Pennsylvania: 32% baseline, 30% update  
   - U.S.: 29% baseline, 30% update  
   - Range of State Scores: 8-38% baseline, 8-41% update

9. Increased mathematics achievement*  
   - * in Grade 4? (1992, 1996)  
     - Pennsylvania: 22% baseline, 20% update  
     - U.S.: 18% baseline, 21% update  
     - Range of State Scores: 5-27% baseline, 3-31% update
   - * in Grade 8? (1990, 1992)  
     - Pennsylvania: 17% baseline, 22% update  
     - U.S.: 15% baseline, 21% update  
     - Range of State Scores: 1-27% baseline, 1-31% update

10. Increased science achievement in Grade 8? (1996)  
    - Pennsylvania: * baseline, * update  
    - U.S.: 29% baseline, * update  
    - Range of State Scores: 5-41% baseline, * update

---

### KEY

- ↑ Significant progress  
- ↓ Significant decline  
- ↔ Change is not significant

---

1. Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.  
2. Comparable national data are not available.  
3. Data not available. See Appendix A.  
4. Baseline years and most recent update years may differ by state for this indicator. See Appendix C for more information.  
5. See pages 72-75 for a Guide to Reading the State Pages. See Appendix C for technical notes and sources.
GOAL 3  Student Achievement and Citizenship (continued)

11. Increased the number of Advanced Placement examinations receiving a grade of 3 or higher (per 1,000)? (1991, 1997)†

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pennsylvania</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
<th>Range of State Scores</th>
<th>Median Scores†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>baseline</td>
<td>update</td>
<td>progress?</td>
<td>baseline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

GOAL 4  Teacher Education and Professional Development

12. Increased the percentage of public secondary school teachers who hold
   • a degree in main teaching assignment? (1991, 1994) 78% 72% ↓ 66% 63% ↓
   • a teaching certificate in main teaching assignment? (1991, 1994) 99% 99% ↔ 94% 93% ↓

13. Increased the percentage of public school teachers participating in professional development on 1 or more selected topics? (1994) 82% — 85% —

14. Increased the percentage of public school teachers with training to teach limited English-proficient students? (1994) 10% — 16% —

15. Increased the percentage of beginning public school teachers participating in a formal teacher induction program? (1991, 1994) 20% 31% ↑ 22% 27% ↑

GOAL 5  Mathematics and Science

16. International comparisons in mathematics and science will be reported in future Goals Panel reports.∞

   — — — — — — —

---

**Student Achievement**
Percentage of public school students who met the Goals Panel’s performance standard† in reading and mathematics (Indicators 8 & 9)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reading</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade 4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mathematics Grade 4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Professional Development**
Percentage of public school teachers participating in professional development on the following topics, 1994 (Indicator 13)

- One or more topics: 82%
- Uses of educational technology: 43%
- Methods of teaching subject field: 57%
- In-depth study in subject field: 24%
- Student assessment: 50%

---

† Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.
° See Table 8 for the numbers for each subject area.
— Data not available. See Appendix A.
∞ This information had not been released when the 1997 Goals Report went to print.
See pages 72-75 for a Guide to Reading the State Pages.
See Appendix C for technical notes and sources.
## PENNSYLVANIA

### GOAL 5  Mathematics and Science (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Pennsylvania</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
<th>Range of State Scores</th>
<th>Median Scores†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>17. Increased the percentage of public school 8th graders whose mathematics teachers...</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• have students work in small groups? (1996)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• address Algebra and functions? (1996)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• address reasoning and analytical ability? (1996)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18. Increased the percentage of public school 8th graders who have computers...</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>available in their mathematics classroom? (1996)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19. Increased mathematics and science degrees awarded to...</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• all students? (1991, 1995)</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>39%</td>
<td>25-49%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• minority (Black, Hispanic, American Indian/Alaskan Native) students? (1991, 1995)</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>39%</td>
<td>22-64%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• female students? (1991, 1995)</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>23-46%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### GOAL 6  Adult Literacy and Lifelong Learning

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Pennsylvania</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
<th>Range of State Scores</th>
<th>Median Scores†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20. Increased adult literacy? (1992)</td>
<td>54%</td>
<td>52%</td>
<td>46-77%</td>
<td>53%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21. Increased the percentage of U.S. citizens...</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• registered to vote? (1988, 1992)</td>
<td>63%</td>
<td>66%</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>58-95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• voting? (1988, 1992)</td>
<td>56%</td>
<td>61%</td>
<td>61%</td>
<td>50-74%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22. Increased postsecondary enrollment? (1992, 1994)</td>
<td>55%</td>
<td>57%</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>33-68%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### KEY

- **Significant progress**
- **Significant decline**
- **Change is not significant**

† Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.
— Data not available. See Appendix A.
** Indicators are not the same at the national and state level.
See pages 72-75 for a Guide to Reading the State Pages. See Appendix C for technical notes and sources.
### GOAL 7  Safe, Disciplined and Alcohol- and Drug-free Schools

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Pennsylvania Baseline</th>
<th>Pennsylvania Update</th>
<th>U.S. Baseline</th>
<th>U.S. Update</th>
<th>Range of State Scores Baseline</th>
<th>Range of State Scores Update</th>
<th>Median Scores Baseline</th>
<th>Median Scores Update</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>23. Reduced marijuana use? (1991, 1995)*</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>4-18%</td>
<td>7-32%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24. Reduced alcohol use (more than 5 drinks in a row)? (1991, 1995)*</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>17-43%</td>
<td>13-43%</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>31%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25. Reduced availability of drugs on school property? (1993, 1995)*</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>11-31%</td>
<td>20-46%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26. Reduced students threatened or injured with a weapon while on school property? (1993, 1995)*</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>6-15%</td>
<td>4-11%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27. Reduced physical fights on school property? (1993, 1995)*</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>13-39%</td>
<td>12-19%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28. Reduced students carrying weapons on school property? (1993, 1995)*</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>8-18%</td>
<td>7-14%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29. Reduced students not feeling safe at school? (1993, 1995)*</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>3-23%</td>
<td>3-16%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30. Reduced teacher victimization? (1994)</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>8-26%</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31. Reduced student disruptions? (1991, 1994)</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>49%</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>46%</td>
<td>23-60%</td>
<td>33-65%</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>47%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### GOAL 8  Parental Participation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Pennsylvania Baseline</th>
<th>Pennsylvania Update</th>
<th>U.S. Baseline</th>
<th>U.S. Update</th>
<th>Range of State Scores Baseline</th>
<th>Range of State Scores Update</th>
<th>Median Scores Baseline</th>
<th>Median Scores Update</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>32. Decreased schools with minimal parental involvement</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>9-44%</td>
<td>13-50%</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>27%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Teacher's perspective? (1991, 1994)</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>4-22%</td>
<td>3-27%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Principal's perspective? (1991, 1994)</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>8-37%</td>
<td>12-50%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>22%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**KEY**

- ↑ Significant progress
- ↓ Significant decline
- ↔ Change is not significant

† Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.
** Indicators are not the same at the national and state level.
* Baseline years and most recent update years may differ by state for this indicator. See Appendix C for more information.

See pages 72-75 for a Guide to Reading the State Pages. See Appendix C for technical notes and sources.
## RHODE ISLAND

### GOAL 1  Ready to Learn

1. Reduced percentage of infants born in the state with 1 or more health risks? (1990, 1995)  
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rhode Island</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
<th>Range of State Scores</th>
<th>Median Scores†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>baseline</td>
<td>update</td>
<td>progress?</td>
<td>baseline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36% 30%</td>
<td>37% 34%</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>25-48% 24-48%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

   | 82% 85%      | 75% 78% | ↓          | 61-88% 64-88% | 76% 79% |

3. Reduced number of infants (per 1,000) born with low birthweight? (1990, 1995)  
   | 62 68        | 70 73  | ↓          | 48-151 53-134 | 71 75  |

4. Increased number of mothers (per 1,000) receiving early prenatal care? (1990, 1995)  
   | 868 897      | 758 813 | ↑          | 469-868 560-900 | 778 828 |

5. Increased number of children with disabilities in preschool (per 1,000)? (1991, 1996)  
   | 42 55        | *      | ↑          | 16-68 16-92 | 38 47  |

### GOAL 2  School Completion

   | 87% 88%      | 86% 86% | ↓          | 77-96% 79-96% | 87% 88% |

7. Reduced high school dropout rate? (1992, 1994)*  
   | 5% 5%        | *      | *          | 3-12% 3-10% | 5% 5%  |

### GOAL 3  Student Achievement and Citizenship

8. Increased reading achievement in Grade 4? (1992, 1994)*  
   | 28% 32%      | 29% 30% | ↑          | 8-38% 8-41% | 26% 27% |

9. Increased mathematics achievement*  
   * in Grade 4? (1992, 1996)  
   | 13% 17%      | 18% 21% | ↑          | 5-27% 3-31% | 16% 20% |

   * in Grade 8? (1990, 1996)  
   | 15% 20%      | 15% 24% | ↑          | 1-27% 5-34% | 15% 22% |

10. Increased science achievement in Grade 8? (1996)  
    | 26% —        | 29% —   | —          | 5-41% —    | 27% —  |

---

**Children’s Health Index**  
Percentage of infants born with 1 or more health risks† (Indicator 1)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1990</th>
<th>1995</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>36%</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**High School Completion**  
Percentage of all 18- to 24-year-olds who have a high school credential‡ (Indicator 6)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1990</th>
<th>1995</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>87%</td>
<td>89%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

† Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.
* Comparable national data are not available.
* Baseline years and most recent update years may differ by state for this indicator. See Appendix A for more information.

See pages 72-75 for a Guide to Reading the State Pages. See Appendix C for technical notes and sources.

---

**KEY**  

- † Significant progress
- @ Significant decline
- ≠ Change is not significant

---

1 Includes late (in third trimester) or no prenatal care, low maternal weight gain (less than 21 pounds), mother smoked during pregnancy, or mother drank alcohol during pregnancy.

2 Does not include those still in high school.

ns Interpret with caution. Change was not statistically significant.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GOAL 3</th>
<th>Student Achievement and Citizenship (continued)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11. Increased the number of Advanced Placement examinations receiving a grade of 3 or higher (per 1,000)? (1991, 1997)†</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhode Island</td>
<td>U.S.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>baseline</td>
<td>update</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GOAL 4</th>
<th>Teacher Education and Professional Development</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12. Increased the percentage of public secondary school teachers who hold</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• a degree in main teaching assignment? (1991, 1994)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• a teaching certificate in main teaching assignment? (1991, 1994)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13. Increased the percentage of public school teachers participating in professional development on 1 or more selected topics? (1994)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14. Increased the percentage of public school teachers with training to teach limited English-proficient students? (1994)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15. Increased the percentage of beginning public school teachers participating in a formal teacher induction program? (1991, 1994)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhode Island</td>
<td>U.S.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>baseline</td>
<td>update</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72%</td>
<td>76%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GOAL 5</th>
<th>Mathematics and Science</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>16. International comparisons in mathematics and science will be reported in future Goals Panel reports.11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**KEY**

![Diagram showing student achievement and professional development](chart.png)

† Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.

° See Table 8 for the numbers for each subject area.

— Data not available. See Appendix A.

∞ This information had not been released when the 1997 Goals Report went to print.

See pages 72-75 for a Guide to Reading the State Pages. See Appendix C for technical notes and sources.

1 A complete description of the performance standard can be found in Appendix C.

11 Interpret with caution. Change was not statistically significant.
## RHODE ISLAND

### GOAL 5  Mathematics and Science (continued)

17. Increased the percentage of public school 8th graders whose mathematics teachers
   - have students work in small groups? (1996) 57% — 66% — 45-92% — 67% —
   - address Algebra and functions? (1996) 47% — 57% — 45-82% — 58% —
   - address reasoning and analytical ability? (1996) 47% — 52% — 39-64% — 48% —

18. Increased the percentage of public school 8th graders who have computers
    available in their mathematics classroom? (1996) 7% — 30% — 7-54% — 30% —

19. Increased mathematics and science degrees awarded to
    - all students? (1991, 1995) 34% 38% ↑ 39% 42% ↑ 25-49% 15-53% 39% 42%
    - minority (Black, Hispanic, American Indian/Alaskan Native) students? (1991, 1995) 40% 37% ↓ 39% 40% ↑ 22-64% 22-57% 39% 39%
    - female students? (1991, 1995) 31% 34% ↑ 35% 37% ↑ 23-46% 13-47% 33% 36%

### GOAL 6  Adult Literacy and Lifelong Learning

20. Increased adult literacy? (1992) — — 52% — 46-77% — 53% —

21. Increased the percentage of U.S. citizens
    - registered to vote? (1988, 1992) 73% 78% ↑ 70% 73% ↑ 58-95% 63-92% 71% 75%
    - voting? (1988, 1992) 64% 73% ↑ 61% 66% ↑ 50-74% 55-77% 62% 68%

    - 64% 65% ** * ** 33-68% 37-71% 53% 55%

---

**KEY**

↑ Significant progress
↓ Significant decline
↔ Change is not significant

---

1 Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.
   — Data not available. See Appendix A.
   ** Indicators are not the same at the national and state level.
   † Sample size does not permit a reliable estimate of change. See pages 72-75 for a Guide to Reading the State Pages. See Appendix C for technical notes and sources.

---

1 At least once a week.
2 On a 4-point scale from "none" to "a lot," defined as a response to the top point.
### GOAL 7  Safe, Disciplined and Alcohol- and Drug-free Schools

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Rhode Island</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
<th>Range of State Scores</th>
<th>Median Scores†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>baseline update progress?</td>
<td>baseline update progress?</td>
<td>baseline update progress?</td>
<td>baseline update progress?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23. Reduced marijuana use? (1991, 1995)*</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>4-18%</td>
<td>10% 23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24. Reduced alcohol use (more than 5 drinks in a row)? (1991, 1995)*</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>17-43%</td>
<td>30% 31%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25. Reduced availability of drugs on school property? (1993, 1995)*</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>11-31%</td>
<td>22% 30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26. Reduced students threatened or injured with a weapon while on school property? (1993, 1995)*</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>6-15%</td>
<td>8% 8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27. Reduced physical fights on school property? (1993, 1995)*</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>13-39%</td>
<td>16% 15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28. Reduced students carrying weapons on school property? (1993, 1995)*</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>8-18%</td>
<td>12% 11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29. Reduced students not feeling safe at school? (1993, 1995)*</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>3-23%</td>
<td>6% 5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30. Reduced teacher victimization? (1994)</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>8-26%</td>
<td>14% —</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31. Reduced student disruptions? (1991, 1994)</td>
<td>52% 43%</td>
<td>37% 46%</td>
<td>23-60% 33-65%</td>
<td>37% 47%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### GOAL 8  Parental Participation

| Indicator                                                                 | Rhode Island                          | U.S.                          | Range of State Scores | Median Scores† |
|                                                                           | baseline update progress?            | baseline update progress?     | baseline update progress? | baseline update progress? |
|                                                                           | 20% 26%                             | **                            | 9-44%                 | 23% 27%       |
| 32. Decreased schools with minimal parental involvement                   | 11% 7%                              | **                            | 4-22%                 | 13% 13%       |
| • Teacher's perspective? (1991, 1994)                                     |                                     | **                            | 8-37%                 | 16% 22%       |
| • Principal's perspective? (1991, 1994)                                   |                                     | **                            |                       |               |
| 33. Increased influence of parent associations? (1991, 1994)               | 8% 20%                              | **                            |                       |               |

**KEY**

- **Significant progress**
- **Significant decline**
- **Change is not significant**

† Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.
** Indicators are not the same at the national and state level.
— Data not available. See Appendix A.
* Baseline years and most recent update years may differ by state for this indicator. See Appendix C for more information.
See pages 72-75 for a Guide to Reading the State Pages.
See Appendix C for technical notes and sources.

1 On a 6-point scale from no influence to a great deal of influence, defined as a response to the top two points.
ns Interpret with caution. Change was not statistically significant.
SOUTH CAROLINA

GOAL 1 Ready to Learn

1. Reduced percentage of infants born in the state with 1 or more health risks? (1990, 1995) 43% 39% ↑ 37% 34% ↑
2. Increased percentage of 2-year-olds immunized? (1994, 1996) 84% 86% ↔ 75% 78% ↑
3. Reduced number of infants (per 1,000) born with low birthweight? (1990, 1995) 87 93 ↓ 70 73 ↓
4. Increased number of mothers (per 1,000) receiving early prenatal care? (1990, 1995) 688 785 ↑ 758 813 ↑
5. Increased number of children with disabilities in preschool (per 1,000)? (1991, 1996) 52 63 ↑ * * ↑

GOAL 2 School Completion

6. Increased high school completion rate? (1990, 1995) 83% 88% ↑ 86% 86% ↔
7. Reduced high school dropout rate? (1992, 1994)* — — ↑ * * ↑

GOAL 3 Student Achievement and Citizenship

8. Increased reading achievement in Grade 4? (1992, 1994)* 22% 20% ↔ 29% 30% ↔
9. Increased mathematics achievement* * in Grade 4? (1992, 1996) 13% 12% ↔ 18% 21% ↔
   * in Grade 8? (1992, 1996) 15% 14% ↔ 21% 24% ↔
10. Increased science achievement in Grade 8? (1996) 17% — ↑ 29% — ↑

Children’s Health Index
Percentage of infants born with 1 or more health risks1 (Indicator 1)

High School Completion
Percentage of all 18- to 24-year-olds1 who have a high school credential2 (Indicator 6)

KEY

↑ Significant progress
↓ Significant decline
↔ Change is not significant

1 Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.
* Comparable national data are not available.
* Data not available. See Appendix A.
** Baseline years and most recent update years may differ by state for this indicator. See Appendix C for more information.
See pages 72-75 for a Guide to Reading the State Pages. See Appendix C for technical notes and sources.

1 Does not include those still in high school.
2 Includes traditional high school diploma and alternative credential.
GOAL 3  Student Achievement and Citizenship (continued)

11. Increased the number of Advanced Placement examinations receiving a grade of 3 or higher (per 1,000)? (1991, 1997)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>South Carolina</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
<th>Range of State Scores</th>
<th>Median Scores</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>baseline</td>
<td>update</td>
<td>progress?</td>
<td>baseline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>69</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

GOAL 4  Teacher Education and Professional Development

12. Increased the percentage of public secondary school teachers who hold
   • a degree in main teaching assignment? (1991, 1994)
   • a teaching certificate in main teaching assignment? (1991, 1994)

13. Increased the percentage of public school teachers participating in professional development on 1 or more selected topics? (1994)

14. Increased the percentage of public school teachers with training to teach limited English-proficient students? (1994)

15. Increased the percentage of beginning public school teachers participating in a formal teacher induction program? (1991, 1994)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>South Carolina</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
<th>Range of State Scores</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>baseline</td>
<td>update</td>
<td>progress?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>89%</td>
<td>63%</td>
<td>↑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98%</td>
<td>95%</td>
<td>↑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>81%</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11%</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24%</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>↑</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

GOAL 5  Mathematics and Science

16. International comparisons in mathematics and science will be reported in future Goals Panel reports.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>KEY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>↑ Significant progress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>↓ Significant decline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>— Change is not significant</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

† Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.
° Data not available. See Appendix A.
— This information had not been released when the 1997 Goals Report went to print.
See pages 72-75 for a Guide to Reading the State Pages.
See Appendix C for technical notes and sources.

1 A complete description of the performance standard can be found in Appendix C.
2 Interpret with caution. Change was not statistically significant.
SOUTH CAROLINA

GOAL 5  Mathematics and Science (continued)

17. Increased the percentage of public school 8th graders whose mathematics teachers
• have students work in small groups? (1996) 67% — — 45-92% — 67% —
• address Algebra and functions? (1996) 52% — — 45-82% — 58% —
• address reasoning and analytical ability? (1996) 50% — — 39-64% — 48% —

18. Increased the percentage of public school 8th graders who have computers available in their mathematics classroom? (1996)
31% — — 30% — 7-54% — 30% —

19. Increased mathematics and science degrees awarded to
• all students? (1991, 1995) 37% 41% ↑ — 39% 42% ↑ — 25-49% 15-53% 39% 42%
• minority (Black, Hispanic, American Indian/Alaskan Native) students? (1991, 1995) 36% 38% ↑ — 39% 40% ↑ — 22-64% 22-57% 39% 39%
• female students? (1991, 1995) 34% 38% ↑ — 35% 37% ↑ — 23-46% 13-47% 33% 36%

GOAL 6  Adult Literacy and Lifelong Learning

20. Increased adult literacy? (1992) — — — — 52% — — 46-77% — 53% —

21. Increased the percentage of U.S. citizens
• registered to vote? (1988, 1992) 61% 68% — 70% 73% — 58-95% 63-92% 71% 75%
• voting? (1988, 1992) 50% 59% — 61% 66% — 50-74% 55-77% 62% 68%

22. Increased postsecondary enrollment? (1992, 1994) 43% 58% — — 33-68% 37-71% 53% 55%

KEY

↑ Significant progress
↓ Significant decline
★ Change is not significant

† Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.
— Data not available. See Appendix A.
** Indicators are not the same at the national and state level.
†† Sample size does not permit a reliable estimate of change.
See pages 72-75 for a Guide to Reading the State Pages. See Appendix C for technical notes and sources.
GOAL 7 Safe, Disciplined and Alcohol- and Drug-free Schools

   12%  21%  ↓
   **  ** 4-18%  7-32%  10%  23%
24. Reduced alcohol use (more than 5 drinks in a row)? (1991, 1995)*
   27%  27%  ↔
   **  ** 17-43%  13-43%  30%  31%
25. Reduced availability of drugs on school property? (1993)*
   25% —  —
   **  ** 11-31%  —  22%  —
26. Reduced students threatened or injured with a weapon while on school property? (1993, 1995)*
   10%  11%  ↔
   **  ** 6-15%  4-11%  8%  8%
27. Reduced physical fights on school property? (1993, 1995)*
   13%  15%  ↔
   **  ** 13-39%  12-19%  16%  15%
28. Reduced students carrying weapons on school property? (1993, 1995)*
   14%  12%  ↔
   **  ** 8-18%  7-14%  12%  11%
29. Reduced students not feeling safe at school? (1993, 1995)*
   6%  6%  ↔
   **  ** 3-23%  3-16%  6%  5%
30. Reduced teacher victimization? (1994)
   17% — —
   —  14% —
   37%  49%  ↓
   37%  46%  ↓
   23-60%  33-65%  37%  47%

GOAL 8 Parental Participation

32. Decreased schools with minimal parental involvement
   • Teacher’s perspective? (1991, 1994)
     32%  36%  ↔
     **  ** 9-44%  13-50%  23%  27%
   • Principal’s perspective? (1991, 1994)
     22%  27%  ↔
     **  ** 4-22%  3-27%  13%  13%
   16%  24%  ↔
   **  ** 8-37%  12-50%  16%  22%
### SOUTH DAKOTA

#### GOAL 1  Ready to Learn

1. **Reduced percentage of infants born in the state with 1 or more health risks?** (1990, 1995)
   - **Baseline**: — —
   - **Update**: 37% 34%
   - **Progress**: 25-48% 24-46%
   - **Median Scores1**: 38% 35%

   - **Baseline**: 74% 82%
   - **Update**: 75% 78%
   - **Progress**: 61-88% 64-88%
   - **Median Scores1**: 76% 79%

3. **Reduced number of infants (per 1,000) born with low birthweight?** (1990, 1995)
   - **Baseline**: 51 56
   - **Update**: 70 73
   - **Progress**: 48-151 53-134
   - **Median Scores1**: 71 75

4. **Increased number of mothers (per 1,000) receiving early prenatal care?** (1990, 1995)
   - **Baseline**: 787 819
   - **Update**: 758 813
   - **Median Scores1**: 469-868 560-900
   - **Update**: 778 828

5. **Increased number of children with disabilities in preschool (per 1,000)?** (1991, 1996)
   - **Baseline**: 62 66
   - **Update**: * *
   - **Progress**: 16-68 16-92
   - **Median Scores1**: 38 47

#### GOAL 2  School Completion

6. **Increased high school completion rate?** (1990, 1995)
   - **Baseline**: 88% 90%
   - **Update**: 86% 86%
   - **Progress**: 77-96% 79-96%
   - **Median Scores1**: 87% 88%

7. **Reduced high school dropout rate?** (1992, 1994)*
   - **Baseline**: — —
   - **Update**: * *
   - **Progress**: 3-12% 3-10%
   - **Median Scores1**: 5% 5%

#### GOAL 3  Student Achievement and Citizenship

8. **Increased reading achievement in Grade 4?** (1992, 1994)*
   - **Baseline**: — —
   - **Update**: 29% 30%
   - **Progress**: 8-38% 8-41%
   - **Median Scores1**: 26% 27%

9. **Increased mathematics achievement**
   - **in Grade 4?** (1992, 1996)
   - **Baseline**: — —
   - **Update**: 18% 21%
   - **Progress**: 5-27% 3-31%
   - **Median Scores1**: 16% 20%

   - **in Grade 8?** (1990, 1996)
   - **Baseline**: — —
   - **Update**: 15% 24%
   - **Progress**: 1-27% 5-34%
   - **Median Scores1**: 15% 22%

10. **Increased science achievement in Grade 8?** (1996)
    - **Baseline**: — —
    - **Update**: 29%
    - **Progress**: 5-41%
    - **Median Scores1**: — 27%

---

#### KEY

- **↑** Significant progress
- **↓** Significant decline
- **↔** Change is not significant

---

1 Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.
2 Comparable national data are not available.
3 Data not available. See Appendix A.
4 Baseline years and most recent update years may differ by state for this indicator. See Appendix C for more information.
5 See pages 72-75 for a Guide to Reading the State Pages.
6 See Appendix C for technical notes and sources.

---

### High School Completion

Percentage of all 18- to 24-year-olds1 who have a high school credential2 (Indicator 6)

1 Does not include those still in high school.
2 Includes traditional high school diploma and alternative credential.
3 Interpret with caution. Change was not statistically significant.
GOAL 3  Student Achievement and Citizenship (continued)

11. Increased the number of Advanced Placement examinations receiving a grade of 3 or higher (per 1,000)? (1991, 1997)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>South Dakota</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
<th>Range of State Scores</th>
<th>Median Scores</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>baseline</td>
<td>update</td>
<td>progress?</td>
<td>baseline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

GOAL 4  Teacher Education and Professional Development

12. Increased the percentage of public secondary school teachers who hold
   • a degree in main teaching assignment? (1991, 1994)
   • a teaching certificate in main teaching assignment? (1991, 1994)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>South Dakota</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
<th>Range of State Scores</th>
<th>Median Scores</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>baseline</td>
<td>update</td>
<td>progress?</td>
<td>baseline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62%</td>
<td>59%</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>66%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99%</td>
<td>98%</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>94%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

13. Increased the percentage of public school teachers participating in professional development on 1 or more selected topics? (1994)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>South Dakota</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
<th>Range of State Scores</th>
<th>Median Scores</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>baseline</td>
<td>update</td>
<td>progress?</td>
<td>baseline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>86%</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>85%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

14. Increased the percentage of public school teachers with training to teach limited English-proficient students? (1994)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>South Dakota</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
<th>Range of State Scores</th>
<th>Median Scores</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>baseline</td>
<td>update</td>
<td>progress?</td>
<td>baseline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8%</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

15. Increased the percentage of beginning public school teachers participating in a formal teacher induction program? (1991, 1994)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>South Dakota</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
<th>Range of State Scores</th>
<th>Median Scores</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>baseline</td>
<td>update</td>
<td>progress?</td>
<td>baseline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>22%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

GOAL 5  Mathematics and Science

16. International comparisons in mathematics and science will be reported in future Goals Panel reports.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>South Dakota</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
<th>Range of State Scores</th>
<th>Median Scores</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>baseline</td>
<td>update</td>
<td>progress?</td>
<td>baseline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

KEY

↑ Significant progress
↓ Significant decline
↔ Change is not significant

† Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.
° See Table 8 for the numbers for each subject area.
— Data not available. See Appendix A.
∞ This information had not been released when the 1997 Goals Report went to print.
See pages 72-75 for a Guide to Reading the State Pages. See Appendix C for technical notes and sources.
SOUTH DAKOTA

GOAL 5  Mathematics and Science (continued)

17. Increased the percentage of public school 8th graders whose mathematics teachers
   • have students work in small groups? (1996)
   44% 48%  
   • address Algebra and functions? (1996)
   57% 57%  
   • address reasoning and analytical ability? (1996)
   52% 52%  
18. Increased the percentage of public school 8th graders who have computers available in their mathematics classroom? (1996)
   44% 48%  
19. Increased mathematics and science degrees awarded to
   • all students? (1991, 1995)
   44% 48%  
   • minority (Black, Hispanic, American Indian/Alaskan Native) students? (1991, 1995)
   30% 34%  
   36% 41%  

GOAL 6  Adult Literacy and Lifelong Learning

20. Increased adult literacy? (1992)
   80% 80%  
21. Increased the percentage of U.S. citizens
   • registered to vote? (1988, 1992)
   80% 80%  
   • voting? (1988, 1992)
   72% 70%  
   53% 50%  

KEY

↑ Significant progress
↓ Significant decline
□ Change is not significant

† Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.
— Data not available. See Appendix A.
** Indicators are not the same at the national and state level.
☆ Sample size does not permit a reliable estimate of change.
See pages 72-75 for a Guide to Reading the State Pages.
See Appendix C for technical notes and sources.
GOAL 7  Safe, Disciplined and Alcohol- and Drug-free Schools

10% 12%
**  **

24. Reduced alcohol use (more than 5 drinks in a row)? (1991, 1995)*
41% 40%
**  **

25. Reduced availability of drugs on school property? (1993, 1995)*
19% 29%
**  **

26. Reduced students threatened or injured with a weapon while on school property? (1993, 1995)*
6% 6%
**  **

27. Reduced physical fights on school property? (1993, 1995)*
14% 14%
**  **

28. Reduced students carrying weapons on school property? (1993, 1995)*
10% 11%
**  **

29. Reduced students not feeling safe at school? (1993, 1995)*
3% 3%
**  **

30. Reduced teacher victimization? (1994)
8% —
15% —

31% 40%
37% 46%

GOAL 8  Parental Participation

32. Decreased schools with minimal parental involvement
   • Teacher’s perspective? (1991, 1994)
     18% 18%
     **  **
   • Principal’s perspective? (1991, 1994)
     10% 11%
     **  **

     15% 19%
     **  **

KEY

↑ Significant progress
↓ Significant decline
↔ Change is not significant

† Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.
** Indicators are not the same at the national and state level.
  • Baseline years and most recent update years may differ by state
     for this indicator. See Appendix C for more information.
  • Data not available. See Appendix A.

1 During the past 30 days.
2 During the past 12 months.
ns Interpret with caution. Change was not statistically significant.
1 On a 6-point scale from no influence to a great deal of influence, defined as
   a response to the top two points.
ns Interpret with caution. Change was not statistically significant.
### TENNESSEE

#### GOAL 1  Ready to Learn

1. Reduced percentage of infants born in the state with 1 or more health risks? (1990, 1995) 38% 38% ↔ 37% 34% ↑
2. Increased percentage of 2-year-olds immunized? (1994, 1996) 74% 79% ↔ 75% 78% ↑
3. Reduced number of infants (per 1,000) born with low birthweight? (1990, 1995) 82 87 ↓ 70 73 ↓
4. Increased number of mothers (per 1,000) receiving early prenatal care? (1990, 1995) 776 828 ↑ 758 813 ↑
5. Increased number of children with disabilities in preschool (per 1,000)? (1991, 1996) 37 45 ↑ * * ↑

#### GOAL 2  School Completion

6. Increased high school completion rate? (1990, 1995) 77% 83% ↑ 86% 86% ↔
7. Reduced high school dropout rate? (1992, 1994) 3% 3% * * ↔

#### GOAL 3  Student Achievement and Citizenship

8. Increased reading achievement in Grade 4? (1992, 1994) 23% 27% ↔ 29% 30% ↔
9. Increased mathematics achievement* • in Grade 4? (1992, 1996) 10% 17% ↑ 18% 21% ↑
   • in Grade 8? (1992, 1996) 12% 15% ↔ 21% 24% ↑
10. Increased science achievement in Grade 8? (1996) 22% — 29% —

#### Children’s Health Index

Percentage of infants born with 1 or more health risks1 (Indicator 1)

1 Includes late (in third trimester) or no prenatal care, low maternal weight gain (less than 21 pounds), mother smoked during pregnancy, or mother drank alcohol during pregnancy.

#### High School Completion

Percentage of all 18- to 24-year-olds1 who have a high school credential2 (Indicator 6)

1 Does not include those still in high school.
2 Includes traditional high school diploma and alternative credential.

---

**KEY**

↑ Significant progress

↓ Significant decline

↔ Change is not significant

1 Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.

* Comparable national data are not available.

* Data not available. See Appendix A.

* Baseline years and most recent update years may differ by state for this indicator. See Appendix C for more information.

See pages 72-75 for a Guide to Reading the State Pages. See Appendix C for technical notes and sources.
**GOAL 3**  Student Achievement and Citizenship (continued)

11. Increased the number of Advanced Placement examinations receiving a grade of 3 or higher (per 1,000)? (1991, 1997)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Tennessee</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
<th>Range of State Scores</th>
<th>Median Scores†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>baseline</td>
<td>update</td>
<td>progress?</td>
<td>baseline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TENNESSEE</strong></td>
<td>43  60</td>
<td>55  85</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>9-177</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**GOAL 4**  Teacher Education and Professional Development

12. Increased the percentage of public secondary school teachers who hold
• a degree in main teaching assignment? (1991, 1994)
  59%  55%  ↔
• a teaching certificate in main teaching assignment? (1991, 1994)
  99%  98%  ↔

13. Increased the percentage of public school teachers participating in professional development on 1 or more selected topics? (1994)
  87%  —  —

14. Increased the percentage of public school teachers with training to teach limited English-proficient students? (1994)
  8%  —  —

15. Increased the percentage of beginning public school teachers participating in a formal teacher induction program? (1991, 1994)
  23%  27%  ↔

**GOAL 5**  Mathematics and Science

16. International comparisons in mathematics and science will be reported in future Goals Panel reports.∞

---

**KEY**

- ↑ Significant progress
- ↓ Significant decline
- ↔ Change is not significant

† Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.
° See Table 8 for the numbers for each subject area.
∞ This information had not been released when the 1997 Goals Report went to print.
See pages 72-75 for a Guide to Reading the State Pages. See Appendix C for technical notes and sources.

---

A complete description of the performance standard can be found in Appendix C.

Interpret with caution. Change was not statistically significant.
GOAL 5  Mathematics and Science (continued)

17. Increased the percentage of public school 8th graders whose mathematics teachers
• have students work in small groups? (1996) 56% — 66% — 45-92% — 67% —
• address Algebra and functions? (1996) 46% — 57% — 45-82% — 58% —
• address reasoning and analytical ability? (1996) 41% — 52% — 39-64% — 48% —

18. Increased the percentage of public school 8th graders who have computers available in their mathematics classroom? (1996)
54% — 30% — 7-54% — 30% —

19. Increased mathematics and science degrees awarded to
• all students? (1991, 1995) 36% 43% ↑ 39% 42% ↑ 25-49% 15-53% 39% 42%
• minority (Black, Hispanic, American Indian/Alaskan Native) students? (1991, 1995) 40% 43% ↑ 39% 40% ↑ 22-64% 22-57% 39% 39%
• female students? (1991, 1995) 32% 38% ↑ 35% 37% ↑ 23-46% 13-47% 33% 36%

GOAL 6  Adult Literacy and Lifelong Learning

20. Increased adult literacy? (1992) — — 52% — 46-77% — 53% —

21. Increased the percentage of U.S. citizens
• registered to vote? (1988, 1992) 66% 65% ↔ 70% 73% ↑ 58-95% 63-92% 71% 75%
• voting? (1988, 1992) 52% 56% ↔ 61% 66% ↑ 50-74% 55-77% 62% 68%

22. Increased postsecondary enrollment? (1992, 1994) 46% 54% ★ ★ 33-68% 37-71% 53% 55%

---

Mathematics Instruction
Percentage of public school 8th graders whose mathematics teachers do the following, 1996
(Indicator 17)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Tennessee baseline</th>
<th>Tennessee update</th>
<th>U.S. baseline</th>
<th>U.S. update</th>
<th>Range of State Scores baseline</th>
<th>Range of State Scores update</th>
<th>Median Scores† baseline</th>
<th>Median Scores† update</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Work in small groups or with a partner ¹</td>
<td>56%</td>
<td>56%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Address Algebra and functions²</td>
<td>46%</td>
<td>46%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Address reasoning &amp; analytical ability³</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

1. Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.
2. Data not available. See Appendix A.
3. Indicators are not the same at the national and state level.
4. Sample size does not permit a reliable estimate of change.
5. Significant progress
6. Significant decline
7. Change is not significant

---

¹ At least once a week.
² On a 4-point scale from “none” to “a lot,” defined as a response to the top point.

---

TENNESSEE
### GOAL 7 Safe, Disciplined and Alcohol- and Drug-free Schools

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Tennessee</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
<th>Range of State Scores</th>
<th>Median Scores†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>23. Reduced marijuana use? (1993)*</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>** **</td>
<td>7-21%</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24. Reduced alcohol use (more than 5 drinks in a row)? (1993)*</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>** **</td>
<td>9-44%</td>
<td>28%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25. Reduced availability of drugs on school property? (1993)*</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>** **</td>
<td>11-31%</td>
<td>22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26. Reduced students threatened or injured with a weapon while on school property? (1993)*</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>** **</td>
<td>6-15%</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27. Reduced physical fights on school property? (1993)*</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>** **</td>
<td>13-39%</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28. Reduced students carrying weapons on school property? (1995)*</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>** **</td>
<td>8-18%</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29. Reduced students not feeling safe at school? (1995)*</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>** **</td>
<td>3-23%</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30. Reduced teacher victimization? (1994)</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>8-26%</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31. Reduced student disruptions? (1991, 1994)</td>
<td>35% 48%</td>
<td>37% 46%</td>
<td>23-60% 33-65%</td>
<td>37% 47%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### GOAL 8 Parental Participation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Tennessee</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
<th>Range of State Scores</th>
<th>Median Scores†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>32. Decreased schools with minimal parental involvement</td>
<td>29% 29%</td>
<td>** **</td>
<td>9-44%</td>
<td>23% 27%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Teacher’s perspective? (1991, 1994)</td>
<td>18% 13%</td>
<td>** **</td>
<td>4-22%</td>
<td>13% 13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Principal’s perspective? (1991, 1994)</td>
<td>16% 15%</td>
<td>** **</td>
<td>8-37%</td>
<td>16% 22%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### KEY

- **Significant progress**
- **Significant decline**
- **Change is not significant**

† Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.
* **Indicators are not the same at the national and state level.
* Baseline years and most recent update years may differ by state for this indicator. See Appendix C for more information.

---

1. Reduced marijuana use?  (1993)*
2. Reduced alcohol use (more than 5 drinks in a row)?  (1993)*
3. Reduced availability of drugs on school property?  (1993)*
4. Reduced students threatened or injured with a weapon while on school property?  (1993)*
5. Reduced physical fights on school property?  (1993)*
6. Reduced students carrying weapons on school property?  (1995)*
7. Reduced students not feeling safe at school?  (1995)*
8. Reduced teacher victimization?  (1994)

---

**Parent-School Partnerships**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Percentage of public school principals who reported that the parent association has influence 1 on the following areas (Indicator 33)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Establishing curriculum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hiring new full-time teachers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Setting discipline policy</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**TENNESSEE**
TEXAS

GOAL 1  Ready to Learn
1. Reduced percentage of infants born in the state with 1 or more health risks? (1990, 1995) 32% 29% → 37% 34% 25-48% 24-48% 38% 35%
2. Increased percentage of 2-year-olds immunized? (1994, 1996) 71% 74% ↔ 75% 78% 61-86% 64-88% 76% 79%
3. Reduced number of infants (per 1,000) born with low birthweight? (1990, 1995) 69 71 ↓ 70 73 ↓ 48-151 53-134 71 75
4. Increased number of mothers (per 1,000) receiving early prenatal care? (1990, 1995) 683 773 ↑ 758 813 ↑ 469-868 560-900 778 828
5. Increased number of children with disabilities in preschool (per 1,000)? (1991, 1996) 29 34 ↑ * * 16-68 16-92 38 47

GOAL 2  School Completion
6. Increased high school completion rate? (1990, 1995) 78% 79% ↔ 86% 86% 77-96% 79-96% 87% 88%
7. Reduced high school dropout rate? (1992, 1994)* 5% 4% ↑ * * 3-12% 3-10% 5% 5%

GOAL 3  Student Achievement and Citizenship
8. Increased reading achievement in Grade 4? (1992, 1994)* 24% 26% ↔ 29% 30% ↔ 8-38% 8-41% 26% 27%
9. Increased mathematics achievement*
   • in Grade 4? (1992, 1996) 15% 25% ↑ 18% 21% ↑ 5-27% 3-31% 16% 20%
   • in Grade 8? (1990, 1996) 13% 21% ↑ 15% 24% ↑ 1-27% 5-34% 15% 22%
10. Increased science achievement in Grade 8? (1996)

Key
- Significant progress
- Significant decline
- Change is not significant

Median Scores†

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Texas baseline</th>
<th>update</th>
<th>progress?</th>
<th>U.S. baseline</th>
<th>update</th>
<th>progress?</th>
<th>Range of State Scores baseline</th>
<th>update</th>
<th>progress?</th>
<th>Median Scores† baseline</th>
<th>update</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Texas</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>U.S.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Range of State Scores</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Median Scores†</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Children’s Health Index
Percentage of infants born with 1 or more health risks1 (Indicator 1)

High School Completion
Percentage of all 18- to 24-year-olds1 who have a high school credential2 (Indicator 6)

1 Includes late (in third trimester) or no prenatal care, low maternal weight gain (less than 21 pounds), mother smoked during pregnancy, or mother drank alcohol during pregnancy.
2 Includes traditional high school diploma and alternative credential.

† Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.
* Comparable national data are not available.
* Baseline years and most recent update years may differ by state for this indicator. See Appendix A for more information.
See pages 72-75 for a Guide to Reading the State Pages. See Appendix C for technical notes and sources.

1 Does not include those still in high school.
2 Includes traditional high school diploma and alternative credential. Interpret with caution. Change was not statistically significant.
### GOAL 3  Student Achievement and Citizenship (continued)

11. Increased the number of Advanced Placement examinations receiving a grade of 3 or higher (per 1,000)? (1991, 1997)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Texas</th>
<th></th>
<th>U.S.</th>
<th>Range of</th>
<th>Median Scores</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>baseline</td>
<td>update</td>
<td>progress?</td>
<td>baseline</td>
<td>update</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>34</td>
<td>78</td>
<td></td>
<td>55</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### GOAL 4  Teacher Education and Professional Development

12. Increased the percentage of public secondary school teachers who hold

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Texas</th>
<th></th>
<th>U.S.</th>
<th>Range of</th>
<th>Median Scores</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>baseline</td>
<td>update</td>
<td>progress?</td>
<td>baseline</td>
<td>update</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>54%</td>
<td>51%</td>
<td></td>
<td>66%</td>
<td>63%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>97%</td>
<td>96%</td>
<td></td>
<td>94%</td>
<td>93%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

13. Increased the percentage of public school teachers participating in professional development on 1 or more selected topics? (1994)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Texas</th>
<th></th>
<th>U.S.</th>
<th>Range of</th>
<th>Median Scores</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>baseline</td>
<td>update</td>
<td>progress?</td>
<td>baseline</td>
<td>update</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>93%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>85%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

14. Increased the percentage of public school teachers with training to teach limited English-proficient students? (1994)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Texas</th>
<th></th>
<th>U.S.</th>
<th>Range of</th>
<th>Median Scores</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>baseline</td>
<td>update</td>
<td>progress?</td>
<td>baseline</td>
<td>update</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>28%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>16%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

15. Increased the percentage of beginning public school teachers participating in a formal teacher induction program? (1991, 1994)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Texas</th>
<th></th>
<th>U.S.</th>
<th>Range of</th>
<th>Median Scores</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>baseline</td>
<td>update</td>
<td>progress?</td>
<td>baseline</td>
<td>update</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td></td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>27%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### GOAL 5  Mathematics and Science

16. International comparisons in mathematics and science will be reported in future Goals Panel reports.

---

**Key**

- **↑** Significant progress
- **↓** Significant decline
- **↔** Change is not significant

Data is not available. See Appendix A.

**Note:**

1. Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.
2. Uses of educational technology
3. In-depth study in subject field
4. Student assessment
5. Interpret with caution. Change was not statistically significant.

---

**Uses of educational technology**

- **One or more topics:** 93%
- **Methods of teaching subject field:** 75%
- **In-depth study in subject field:** 40%
- **Student assessment:** 57%
### TEXAS

#### GOAL 5  Mathematics and Science (continued)

17. Increased the percentage of public school 8th graders whose mathematics teachers
   • have students work in small groups? (1996)
     - Texas: 62% —
     - U.S.: 66% —
     - Range of State Scores: 45-92% —
     - Median Scores: 67% —

18. Increased the percentage of public school 8th graders who have computers available in their mathematics classroom? (1996)
   - Texas: 34% —
   - U.S.: 30% —
   - Range of State Scores: 7-54% —
   - Median Scores: 30% —

#### GOAL 6  Adult Literacy and Lifelong Learning

20. Increased adult literacy? (1992)
   - Texas: 47% —
   - U.S.: 52% —
   - Range of State Scores: 46-77% —
   - Median Scores: 53% —

21. Increased the percentage of U.S. citizens
   • registered to vote? (1988, 1992)
     - Texas: 71% 71% —
     - U.S.: 70% 73% —
     - Range of State Scores: 58-95% 63-92% —
     - Median Scores: 71% 75% —

   - Texas: 52% 50% —
   - U.S.: ** ** —
   - Range of State Scores: 33-68% 37-71% —
   - Median Scores: 53% 55% —

#### Mathematics Instruction

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Update</th>
<th>Progress?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Work in small groups or with a partner</td>
<td>62%</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Address: Algebra and functions</td>
<td>57%</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Address reasoning &amp; analytical ability</td>
<td>59%</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Adult Literacy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Update</th>
<th>Progress?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of adults who scored at 3 highest levels in Prose Literacy (Indicator 20)</td>
<td>53%</td>
<td>47%</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### KEY

- **Significant progress**
- **Significant decline**
- **Change is not significant**

1. Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.
2. Data not available. See Appendix A.
3. Indicators are not the same at the national and state level.
4. See pages 72-75 for a Guide to Reading the State Pages. See Appendix C for technical notes and sources.

---

1 At least once a week.
2 On a 6-point scale from "none" to "a lot," defined as a response to the top point.
### GOAL 7   Safe, Disciplined and Alcohol- and Drug-free Schools

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Texas</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
<th>Range of State Scores</th>
<th>Median Scores†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reduced marijuana use? (1991, 1995)*</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>**        **</td>
<td>4-18%  7-32%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced alcohol use (more than 5 drinks in a row)? (1991, 1995)*</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>**        **</td>
<td>17-43% 13-43%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced availability of drugs on school property? (1993, 1995)*</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>**        **</td>
<td>11-31%  20-46%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced students threatened or injured with a weapon while on school property? (1993, 1995)*</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>**        **</td>
<td>6-15%  4-11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced physical fights on school property? (1993, 1995)*</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>**        **</td>
<td>13-39%  12-19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced students carrying weapons on school property? (1993, 1995)*</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>**        **</td>
<td>8-18%  7-14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced students not feeling safe at school? (1993, 1995)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>**        **</td>
<td>3-23%  3-16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced teacher victimization? (1994)</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>8-26%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced student disruptions? (1991, 1994)</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>46%</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>37%  46%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### GOAL 8   Parental Participation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Texas</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
<th>Range of State Scores</th>
<th>Median Scores†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Decreased schools with minimal parental involvement</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Teacher’s perspective? (1991, 1994)</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>**        **</td>
<td>9-44%  13-50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Principal’s perspective? (1991, 1994)</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>**        **</td>
<td>4-22%  3-27%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increased influence of parent associations? (1991, 1994)</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>**        **</td>
<td>8-37%  12-50%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Indicators are not the same at the national and state level.**

*Baseline years and most recent update years may differ by state for this indicator. See Appendix C for more information.*

---

**KEY**

- **Significant progress**
- **Significant decline**
- **Change is not significant**

† Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.

**Indicators are not the same at the national and state level.**

Data not available. See Appendix A.

**Baseline years and most recent update years may differ by state for this indicator. See Appendix C for more information.**

See pages 72-75 for a Guide to Reading the State Pages. See Appendix C for technical notes and sources.
UTAH

GOAL 1  Ready to Learn

1. Reduced percentage of infants born in the state with 1 or more health risks? (1990, 1995) 29% 30%  37% 34%
2. Increased percentage of 2-year-olds immunized? (1994, 1996) 70% 64%  75% 78%
3. Reduced number of infants (per 1,000) born with low birthweight? (1990, 1995) 57 63  70 73
4. Increased number of mothers (per 1,000) receiving early prenatal care? (1990, 1995) 842 843  758 813
5. Increased number of children with disabilities in preschool (per 1,000)? (1991, 1996) 33 44  *

GOAL 2  School Completion

6. Increased high school completion rate? (1990, 1995) 94% 91%  86% 86%
7. Reduced high school dropout rate? (1992, 1994)* — —  *  *

GOAL 3  Student Achievement and Citizenship

8. Increased reading achievement in Grade 4? (1992, 1994)* 30% 30%  29% 30%
9. Increased mathematics achievement* 19% 23%  18% 21%
   * in Grade 4? (1992, 1996)
   * in Grade 8? (1992, 1996) 22% 24%  21% 24%
10. Increased science achievement in Grade 8? (1996) 32% —  29% —

Children's Health Index
Percentage of infants born with 1 or more health risks1 (Indicator 1)

High School Completion
Percentage of all 18- to 24-year-olds1 who have a high school credential2 (Indicator 6)

KEY

↑  Significant progress
↓  Significant decline
↔  Change is not significant

1 Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.
* Comparable national data are not available.
* Data not available. See Appendix A.
** Baseline years and most recent update years may differ by state for this indicator. See Appendix C for more information.
See pages 72-75 for a Guide to Reading the State Pages.
See Appendix C for technical notes and sources.

1 Includes late (in third trimester) or no prenatal care, low maternal weight gain (less than 21 pounds), mother smoked during pregnancy, or mother drank alcohol during pregnancy.
2 Interpret with caution. Change was not statistically significant.
GOAL 3  Student Achievement and Citizenship (continued)

11. Increased the number of Advanced Placement examinations receiving a grade of 3 or higher (per 1,000)? (1991, 1997)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Utah</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
<th>Range of State Scores</th>
<th>Median Scores†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>baseline</td>
<td>update</td>
<td>progress?</td>
<td>baseline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOAL 3</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

GOAL 4  Teacher Education and Professional Development

12. Increased the percentage of public secondary school teachers who hold
   • a degree in main teaching assignment? (1991, 1994)
   • a teaching certificate in main teaching assignment? (1991, 1994)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Utah</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
<th>Range of State Scores</th>
<th>Median Scores†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>baseline</td>
<td>update</td>
<td>progress?</td>
<td>baseline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOAL 4</td>
<td>68%</td>
<td>62%</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>66%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>99%</td>
<td>97%</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>94%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

13. Increased the percentage of public school teachers participating in professional development on 1 or more selected topics? (1994)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Utah</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
<th>Range of State Scores</th>
<th>Median Scores†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>baseline</td>
<td>update</td>
<td>progress?</td>
<td>baseline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOAL 4</td>
<td>87%</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>85%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

14. Increased the percentage of public school teachers with training to teach limited English-proficient students? (1994)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Utah</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
<th>Range of State Scores</th>
<th>Median Scores†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>baseline</td>
<td>update</td>
<td>progress?</td>
<td>baseline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOAL 4</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

15. Increased the percentage of beginning public school teachers participating in a formal teacher induction program? (1991, 1994)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Utah</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
<th>Range of State Scores</th>
<th>Median Scores†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>baseline</td>
<td>update</td>
<td>progress?</td>
<td>baseline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOAL 4</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>22%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

GOAL 5  Mathematics and Science

16. International comparisons in mathematics and science will be reported in future Goals Panel reports.™

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Utah</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
<th>Range of State Scores</th>
<th>Median Scores†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>baseline</td>
<td>update</td>
<td>progress?</td>
<td>baseline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOAL 5</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

KEY

† Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.
° Data not available. See Appendix A.
∞ This information had not been released when the 1997 Goals Report went to print.
See pages 72-75 for a Guide to Reading the State Pages.
See Appendix C for technical notes and sources.

1 A complete description of the performance standard can be found in Appendix C.
™ Interpret with caution. Change was not statistically significant.
### UTAH

#### GOAL 5  Mathematics and Science (continued)

17. Increased the percentage of public school 8th graders whose mathematics teachers
   - have students work in small groups? (1996) 69% — — 66% — 45-92% — 67% —
   - address Algebra and functions? (1996) 71% — — 57% — 45-82% — 58% —
   - address reasoning and analytical ability? (1996) 44% — — 52% — 39-64% — 48% —

18. Increased the percentage of public school 8th graders who have computers available in their mathematics classroom? (1996)
   24% — — 30% — 7-54% — 30% —

19. Increased mathematics and science degrees awarded to
   - all students? (1991, 1995) 41% 43% — 39% 42% — 25-49% 15-53% 39% 42%
   - minority (Black, Hispanic, American Indian/Alaskan Native) students? (1991, 1995) 47% 49% — 39% 40% — 22-64% 22-57% 39% 39%
   - female students? (1991, 1995) 32% 30% — 35% 37% — 23-46% 13-47% 33% 36%

#### GOAL 6  Adult Literacy and Lifelong Learning

20. Increased adult literacy? (1992) — — — 52% — 46-77% — 53% —

21. Increased the percentage of U.S. citizens
   - registered to vote? (1988, 1992) 78% 81% — 70% 73% — 58-95% 63-92% 71% 75%
   - voting? (1988, 1992) 72% 74% — 61% 66% — 50-74% 55-77% 62% 68%

22. Increased postsecondary enrollment? (1992, 1994) 51% 56% — ** ** 33-68% 37-71% 53% 55%

---

**KEY**

- **Significant progress**
- **Significant decline**
- **Change is not significant**

---

1. Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.
2. Data not available. See Appendix A.
3. Indicators are not the same at the national and state level.
4. Sample size does not permit a reliable estimate of change. See pages 72-75 for a Guide to Reading the State Pages. See Appendix C for technical notes and sources.

---

**Mathematics Instruction**

Percentage of public school 8th graders whose mathematics teachers do the following, 1996 (Indicator 17)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Work in small groups or with a partner</th>
<th>Address Algebra and functions</th>
<th>Address reasoning &amp; analytical ability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>69%</td>
<td>71%</td>
<td>44%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

1. At least once a week.
2. On a 4-point scale from "none" to "a lot," defined as a response to the top point.
**GOAL 7**  Safe, Disciplined and Alcohol- and Drug-free Schools

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>1991</th>
<th>1993</th>
<th>1995</th>
<th><strong>Baseline</strong></th>
<th><strong>Update</strong></th>
<th><strong>Progress</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reduced marijuana use? (1991, 1995)*</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td></td>
<td>**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced alcohol use (more than 5 drinks in a row)? (1991, 1995)*</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td></td>
<td>**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced availability of drugs on school property? (1993, 1995)*</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td></td>
<td>**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced students threatened or injured with a weapon while on school property? (1993, 1995)+</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td></td>
<td>**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced physical fights on school property? (1993, 1995)*</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td></td>
<td>**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced students carrying weapons on school property? (1993, 1995)*</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td></td>
<td>**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced students not feeling safe at school? (1993, 1995)*</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td></td>
<td>**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced teacher victimization? (1994)</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td></td>
<td>15%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced student disruptions? (1991, 1994)</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>54%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**GOAL 8**  Parental Participation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>1991</th>
<th>1994</th>
<th><strong>Baseline</strong></th>
<th><strong>Update</strong></th>
<th><strong>Progress</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Decreased schools with minimal parental involvement</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Teacher’s perspective? (1991, 1994)</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Principal’s perspective? (1991, 1994)</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increased influence of parent associations? (1991, 1994)</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**KEY**

† Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.
** Indicators are not the same at the national and state level.
* Baseline years and most recent update years may differ by state for this indicator. See Appendix C for more information.

1 During the past 30 days.
2 During the past 12 months.
ns Interpret with caution. Change was not statistically significant.

---

**Alcohol- and Drug-free Schools**

- Used marijuana
- Had 5 or more drinks in a row
- Were offered, sold, or given an illegal drug on school property

**Parent-School Partnerships**

- One or more areas
- Establishing curriculum
- Hiring new full-time teachers
- Setting discipline policy

---
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### Vermont

#### Goal 1: Ready to Learn

1. Reduced percentage of infants born in the state with 1 or more health risks? (1990, 1995) 38% 34% ↑
2. Increased percentage of 2-year-olds immunized? (1994, 1996) 88% 86% ←
3. Reduced number of infants (per 1,000) born with low birthweight? (1990, 1995) 53 54 ↓
4. Increased number of mothers (per 1,000) receiving early prenatal care? (1990, 1995) 824 873 ↑
5. Increased number of children with disabilities in preschool (per 1,000)? (1991, 1996) 43 51 ↑

#### Goal 2: School Completion

6. Increased high school completion rate? (1990, 1995) 88% 87% ←
7. Reduced high school dropout rate? (1992, 1994) — — *

#### Goal 3: Student Achievement and Citizenship

8. Increased reading achievement in Grade 4? (1992, 1994) *
9. Increased mathematics achievement *
   - in Grade 4? (1996) 23%
   - in Grade 8? (1996) 27%
10. Increased science achievement in Grade 8? (1996) 34%

---

#### Children’s Health Index

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1990</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1995</td>
<td>34%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### High School Completion

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1990</td>
<td>86%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1995</td>
<td>87%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

1. Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.
2. Comparable national data are not available.
3. Data not available. See Appendix A.
4. Baseline years and most recent update years may differ by state for this indicator. See Appendix C for more information.

---

1. Includes late (in third trimester) or no prenatal care, low maternal weight gain (less than 21 pounds), mother smoked during pregnancy, or mother drank alcohol during pregnancy.
2. Does not include those still in high school.
3. Includes traditional high school diploma and alternative credential.
4. Interpret with caution. Change was not statistically significant.
### GOAL 3  Student Achievement and Citizenship (continued)

11. Increased the number of Advanced Placement examinations receiving a grade of 3 or higher (per 1,000) (1991, 1997)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vermont</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
<th>Range of State Scores</th>
<th>Median Scores¹</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>baseline</td>
<td>update</td>
<td>progress?</td>
<td>baseline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### GOAL 4  Teacher Education and Professional Development

12. Increased the percentage of public secondary school teachers who hold

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vermont</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
<th>Range of State Scores</th>
<th>Median Scores¹</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>baseline</td>
<td>update</td>
<td>progress?</td>
<td>baseline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71%</td>
<td>73%</td>
<td>➧</td>
<td>66%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99%</td>
<td>98%</td>
<td>➧</td>
<td>94%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

13. Increased the percentage of public school teachers participating in professional development on 1 or more selected topics? (1994)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vermont</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
<th>Range of State Scores</th>
<th>Median Scores¹</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>baseline</td>
<td>update</td>
<td>progress?</td>
<td>baseline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>89%</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>85%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

14. Increased the percentage of public school teachers with training to teach limited English-proficient students? (1994)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vermont</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
<th>Range of State Scores</th>
<th>Median Scores¹</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>baseline</td>
<td>update</td>
<td>progress?</td>
<td>baseline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23%</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

15. Increased the percentage of beginning public school teachers participating in a formal teacher induction program? (1991, 1994)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vermont</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
<th>Range of State Scores</th>
<th>Median Scores¹</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>baseline</td>
<td>update</td>
<td>progress?</td>
<td>baseline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>➧</td>
<td>22%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### GOAL 5  Mathematics and Science

16. International comparisons in mathematics and science will be reported in future Goals Panel reports.²

---

¹ Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.
² See Table 8 for the numbers for each subject area.
² Data not available. See Appendix A.
² This information had not been released when the 1997 Goals Report went to print.

---

See pages 72-75 for a Guide to Reading the State Pages.
See Appendix C for technical notes and sources.

---

**KEY**

- ↑ Significant progress
- ↓ Significant decline
- ➧ Change is not significant

---

**VERMONT**
### Vermont

**Goal 5: Mathematics and Science (continued)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Vermont Baseline</th>
<th>Vermont Update</th>
<th>U.S. Baseline</th>
<th>U.S. Update</th>
<th>Range of U.S. State Scores Baseline</th>
<th>Range of U.S. State Scores Update</th>
<th>Median Scores† Baseline</th>
<th>Median Scores† Update</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>17. Increased the percentage of public school 8th graders whose mathematics teachers:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- have students work in small groups? (1996)</td>
<td>68%</td>
<td>66%</td>
<td>45-92%</td>
<td>67%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- address Algebra and functions? (1996)</td>
<td>56%</td>
<td>57%</td>
<td>45-82%</td>
<td>58%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- address reasoning and analytical ability? (1996)</td>
<td>57%</td>
<td>52%</td>
<td>39-64%</td>
<td>48%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18. Increased the percentage of public school 8th graders who have computers available in their mathematics classroom? (1996)</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>7-54%</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19. Increased mathematics and science degrees awarded to:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- all students? (1991, 1995)</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>47%</td>
<td>39%</td>
<td>42%</td>
<td>25-49%</td>
<td>15-53%</td>
<td>39%</td>
<td>42%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- minority (Black, Hispanic, American Indian/Alaskan Native) students? (1991, 1995)</td>
<td>43%</td>
<td>48%</td>
<td>39%</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>22-64%</td>
<td>22-57%</td>
<td>39%</td>
<td>39%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- female students? (1991, 1995)</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>42%</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>23-46%</td>
<td>13-47%</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>36%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Goal 6: Adult Literacy and Lifelong Learning**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Vermont Baseline</th>
<th>Vermont Update</th>
<th>U.S. Baseline</th>
<th>U.S. Update</th>
<th>Range of U.S. State Scores Baseline</th>
<th>Range of U.S. State Scores Update</th>
<th>Median Scores† Baseline</th>
<th>Median Scores† Update</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20. Increased adult literacy? (1992)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>52%</td>
<td>53%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21. Increased the percentage of U.S. citizens</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- registered to vote? (1988, 1992)</td>
<td>79%</td>
<td>79%</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>73%</td>
<td>58-95%</td>
<td>63-92%</td>
<td>71%</td>
<td>75%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- voting? (1988, 1992)</td>
<td>65%</td>
<td>71%</td>
<td>61%</td>
<td>66%</td>
<td>50-74%</td>
<td>55-77%</td>
<td>62%</td>
<td>68%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22. Increased postsecondary enrollment? (1992, 1994)</td>
<td>54%</td>
<td>51%</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>33-68%</td>
<td>37-71%</td>
<td>53%</td>
<td>55%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**KEY**

- ↑ Significant progress
- ↓ Significant decline
- ↔ Change is not significant

† Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.
- Data not available. See Appendix A.
** Indicators are not the same at the national and state level.
+ Sample size does not permit a reliable estimate of change. See pages 72-75 for a Guide to Reading the State Pages. See Appendix C for technical notes and sources.

---

**Mathematics Instruction**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Percentage of public school 8th graders whose mathematics teachers do the following, 1996 (Indicator 17)</th>
<th>Work in small groups or with a partner</th>
<th>Address Algebra and functions</th>
<th>Address reasoning &amp; analytical ability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>68%</td>
<td>56%</td>
<td>57%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 At least once a week.
2 On a 4-point scale from “none” to “a lot,” defined as a response to the top point.
### GOAL 7 Safe, Disciplined and Alcohol- and Drug-free Schools

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Vermont</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
<th>Range of State Scores</th>
<th>Median Scores†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>23. Reduced marijuana use? (1993, 1995)*</td>
<td>19% 29%</td>
<td>** **</td>
<td>7-21% 7-32%</td>
<td>14% 23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24. Reduced alcohol use (more than 5 drinks in a row)? (1993, 1995)*</td>
<td>31% 32%</td>
<td>** **</td>
<td>9-44% 13-43%</td>
<td>28% 31%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25. Reduced availability of drugs on school property? (1995)*</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>** **</td>
<td>20-40%</td>
<td>30% —</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26. Reduced students threatened or injured with a weapon while on school property? (1995)*</td>
<td>7% —</td>
<td>** **</td>
<td>4-11%</td>
<td>8% —</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27. Reduced physical fights on school property? (1995)*</td>
<td>18% —</td>
<td>** **</td>
<td>12-19%</td>
<td>15% —</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28. Reduced students carrying weapons on school property? (1995)*</td>
<td>12% —</td>
<td>** **</td>
<td>7-14%</td>
<td>11% —</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29. Reduced students not feeling safe at school? (1993, 1995)*</td>
<td>4% 4%</td>
<td>** **</td>
<td>3-23% 3-16%</td>
<td>6% 5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30. Reduced teacher victimization? (1994)</td>
<td>15% —</td>
<td>15% —</td>
<td>8-26%</td>
<td>14% —</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31. Reduced student disruptions? (1991, 1994)</td>
<td>27% 44%</td>
<td>** **</td>
<td>23-60% 33-65%</td>
<td>37% 47%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### GOAL 8 Parental Participation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Vermont</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
<th>Range of State Scores</th>
<th>Median Scores†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>32. Decreased schools with minimal parental involvement</td>
<td>10% 17%</td>
<td>** **</td>
<td>9-44% 13-50%</td>
<td>23% 27%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Teacher's perspective? (1991, 1994)</td>
<td>10% 6%</td>
<td>** **</td>
<td>4-22% 3-27%</td>
<td>13% 13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Principal's perspective? (1991, 1994)</td>
<td>10% 6%</td>
<td>** **</td>
<td>4-22% 3-27%</td>
<td>13% 13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33. Increased influence of parent associations? (1991, 1994)</td>
<td>8% 24%</td>
<td>** **</td>
<td>8-37% 12-50%</td>
<td>16% 22%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### KEY

- **Significant progress**
- **Significant decline**
- **Change is not significant**

† Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.
** Indicators are not the same at the national and state level.
* Baseline years and most recent update years may differ by state for this indicator. See Appendix C for more information.
1 During the past 30 days.
2 During the past 12 months.
ns Interpret with caution. Change was not statistically significant.

---

**VERMONT**
**VIRGINIA**

### GOAL 1  Ready to Learn

1. Reduced percentage of infants born in the state with 1 or more health risks? (1990, 1995)  
   - Virginia: 35% 32%  
   - U.S.: 37% 34%  
   - Median: 25-48% 24-46%  
   - Virginia: 81% 78%  
   - U.S.: 75% 78%  
   - Median: 61-88% 64-88%  
3. Reduced number of infants (per 1,000) born with low birthweight? (1990, 1995)  
   - Virginia: 72 77  
   - U.S.: 70 73  
   - Median: 48-151 53-134  
4. Increased number of mothers (per 1,000) receiving early prenatal care? (1990, 1995)  
   - Virginia: 800 838  
   - U.S.: 758 813  
   - Median: 469-868 560-900  
5. Increased number of children with disabilities in preschool (per 1,000)? (1991, 1996)  
   - Virginia: 38 47  
   - U.S.: *  
   - Median: 16-68 16-92  

### GOAL 2  School Completion

   - Virginia: 87% 87%  
   - U.S.: 86% 86%  
   - Median: 77-96% 79-96%  
7. Reduced high school dropout rate? (1992, 1994)*  
   - Virginia: — —  
   - U.S.: *  
   - Median: 3-12% 3-10%  

### GOAL 3  Student Achievement and Citizenship

8. Increased reading achievement in Grade 4? (1992, 1994)*  
   - Virginia: 31% 26%  
   - U.S.: 32% 30%  
   - Median: 8-38% 8-41%  
9. Increased mathematics achievement*  
   - in Grade 4? (1992, 1996)  
   - Virginia: 19% 19%  
   - U.S.: 18% 21%  
   - Median: 5-27% 3-31%  
   - in Grade 8? (1990, 1996)  
   - Virginia: 17% 21%  
   - U.S.: 15% 24%  
   - Median: 1-27% 5-34%  
10. Increased science achievement in Grade 8? (1996)  
   - Virginia: 27% —  
   - U.S.: 29% —  
   - Median: 5-41% —  

---

**KEY**

- **Significant progress**
- **Significant decline**
- **Change is not significant**

---

1. Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.
2. Comparable national data are not available.
3. Data not available. See Appendix A.
4. Baseline years and most recent update years may differ by state for this indicator. See Appendix C for more information.
5. See pages 72-75 for a Guide to Reading the State Pages.
6. See Appendix C for technical notes and sources.

---

**Children’s Health Index**

- Percentage of infants born with 1 or more health risks\(^1\) (Indicator 1)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Virginia</th>
<th>Median Scores(^1)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1990</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>25-48%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1995</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>24-46%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^1\) Includes late (in third trimester) or no prenatal care, low maternal weight gain (less than 21 pounds), mother smoked during pregnancy, or mother drank alcohol during pregnancy.

**High School Completion**

- Percentage of all 18- to 24-year-olds\(^1\) who have a high school credential\(^2\) (Indicator 6)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Virginia</th>
<th>Median Scores(^1)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1990</td>
<td>87%</td>
<td>77-96%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1995</td>
<td>87%</td>
<td>79-96%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^1\) Does not include those still in high school.
\(^2\) Includes traditional high school diploma and alternative credential.
GOAL 3  Student Achievement and Citizenship (continued)

11. Increased the number of Advanced Placement examinations receiving a grade of 3 or higher (per 1,000)? (1991, 1997)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Virginia</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
<th>Range of State Scores</th>
<th>Median Scores†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>baseline</td>
<td>update</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>102</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

GOAL 4  Teacher Education and Professional Development

12. Increased the percentage of public secondary school teachers who hold
   • a degree in main teaching assignment? (1991, 1994)
   • a teaching certificate in main teaching assignment? (1991, 1994)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Virginia</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
<th>Range of State Scores</th>
<th>Median Scores†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>baseline</td>
<td>update</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>72%</td>
<td>61%</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>56%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>97%</td>
<td>96%</td>
<td></td>
<td>94%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

13. Increased the percentage of public school teachers participating in professional development on 1 or more selected topics? (1994)

14. Increased the percentage of public school teachers with training to teach limited English-proficient students? (1994)

15. Increased the percentage of beginning public school teachers participating in a formal teacher induction program? (1991, 1994)

GOAL 5  Mathematics and Science

16. International comparisons in mathematics and science will be reported in future Goals Panel reports.†

<p>| | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

---

**Student Achievement**
Percentage of public school students who met the Goals Panel’s performance standard in reading and mathematics (Indicators 8 & 9)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Reading Grade 4</th>
<th>Mathematics Grade 4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1992</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1994</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1996</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Professional Development**
Percentage of public school teachers participating in professional development on the following topics, 1994 (Indicator 13)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>One or more topics</td>
<td>85%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uses of educational technology</td>
<td>51%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methods of teaching subject field</td>
<td>63%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In-depth study in subject field</td>
<td>29%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student assessment</td>
<td>47%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

† Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.
° See Table 8 for the numbers for each subject area.
— Data not available. See Appendix A.
∞ This information had not been released when the 1997 Goals Report went to print.
See pages 72-75 for a Guide to Reading the State Pages.
See Appendix C for technical notes and sources.

A complete description of the performance standard can be found in Appendix C.
Interpret with caution. Change was not statistically significant.
**GOAL 5  Mathematics and Science (continued)**

17. Increased the percentage of public school 8th graders whose mathematics teachers
   • have students work in small groups? (1996)
   - 64% — — 66% — — 45-92% — — 67% —
   • address Algebra and functions? (1996)
   - 73% — — 57% — — 45-82% — — 58% —
   • address reasoning and analytical ability? (1996)
   - 48% — — 52% — — 39-64% — — 48% —

18. Increased the percentage of public school 8th graders who have computers available in their mathematics classroom? (1996)
   - 29% — — 30% — — 7-54% — — 30% —

19. Increased mathematics and science degrees awarded to
   • all students? (1991, 1995)
   - 44% 50% ↑ 39% 42% ↑ 25-49% 15-53% 39% 42%
   • minority (Black, Hispanic, American Indian/Alaskan Native) students? (1991, 1995)
   - 41% 46% ↑ 39% 40% ↑ 22-64% 22-57% 39% 39%
   - 39% 46% ↑ 35% 37% ↑ 23-46% 13-47% 33% 36%

**GOAL 6  Adult Literacy and Lifelong Learning**

20. Increased adult literacy? (1992)
   - — — — 52% — — 46-77% — — 53% —

21. Increased the percentage of U.S. citizens
   • registered to vote? (1988, 1992)
   - 69% 68% ↔ 70% 73% ↑ 58-95% 63-92% 71% 75%
   • voting? (1988, 1992)
   - 60% 64% ↔ 61% 66% ↑ 50-74% 55-77% 62% 68%

   - 51% 53% ↔ ** ** → 33-68% 37-71% 53% 55%

---

**KEY**

↑ Significant progress
↓ Significant decline
↔ Change is not significant

---

1 Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.
2 Data not available. See Appendix A.
** Indicators are not the same at the national and state level.
‡ Sample size does not permit a reliable estimate of change. See pages 72-75 for a Guide to Reading the State Pages. See Appendix C for technical notes and sources.

---

Mathematics Instruction
Percentage of public school 8th graders whose mathematics teachers do the following, 1996 (Indicator 17)

- Work in small groups or with a partner
  - 64%

- Address Algebra and functions
  - 73%

- Address reasoning & analytical ability
  - 48%
## GOAL 7  Safe, Disciplined and Alcohol- and Drug-free Schools

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Virginia Baseline</th>
<th>Virginia Update</th>
<th>U.S. Baseline</th>
<th>U.S. Update</th>
<th>Range of State Scores</th>
<th>Median Scores†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>23. Reduced marijuana use? (1991, 1995)*</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>4-18%</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24. Reduced alcohol use (more than 5 drinks in a row)? (1991, 1995)*</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>17-43%</td>
<td>23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25. Reduced availability of drugs on school property? (1993, 1995)*</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>11-31%</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26. Reduced students threatened or injured with a weapon while on school property? (1993, 1995)*</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>6-15%</td>
<td>31%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27. Reduced physical fights on school property? (1993, 1995)*</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>13-39%</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28. Reduced students carrying weapons on school property? (1993, 1995)*</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>8-18%</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29. Reduced students not feeling safe at school? (1993, 1995)*</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>3-23%</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30. Reduced teacher victimization? (1994)</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>8-26%</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31. Reduced student disruptions? (1991, 1994)</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>55%</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>23-60%</td>
<td>37%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## GOAL 8  Parental Participation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>32. Decreased schools with minimal parental involvement</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>9-44%</td>
<td>13-50%</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Teacher’s perspective? (1991, 1994)</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>4-22%</td>
<td>3-27%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Principal’s perspective? (1991, 1994)</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>8-37%</td>
<td>12-50%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33. Increased influence of parent associations? (1991, 1994)</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### KEY

- **Significant progress**
- **Significant decline**
- **Change is not significant**

† Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.

** Indicators are not the same at the national and state level.

— Data not available. See Appendix A.

* Baseline years and most recent update years may differ by state for this indicator. See Appendix C for more information.

See pages 72-75 for a Guide to Reading the State Pages. See Appendix C for technical notes and sources.
WASHINGTON

GOAL 1 Ready to Learn

1. Reduced percentage of infants born in the state with 1 or more health risks? (1990, 1995) 34% 35% ↓
2. Increased percentage of 2-year-olds immunized? (1994, 1996) 74% 79% ↔
3. Reduced number of infants (per 1,000) born with low birthweight? (1990, 1995) 70 73 ↓
4. Increased number of mothers (per 1,000) receiving early prenatal care? (1990, 1995) 773 827 ↑
5. Increased number of children with disabilities in preschool (per 1,000)? (1991, 1996) 43 52 ↑

GOAL 2 School Completion

6. Increased high school completion rate? (1990, 1995) 87% 87% ↔
7. Reduced high school dropout rate? (1992, 1994)* — — ↔

GOAL 3 Student Achievement and Citizenship

8. Increased reading achievement in Grade 4? (1994)* 27% —
9. Increased mathematics achievement* — 21% —
10. Increased science achievement in Grade 8? (1996) 27% —

Children's Health Index
Percentage of infants born with 1 or more health risks1 (Indicator 1)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1990</td>
<td>34%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1995</td>
<td>35%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

High School Completion
Percentage of all 18- to 24-year-olds1 who have a high school credential2 (Indicator 6)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1990</td>
<td>87%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1995</td>
<td>87%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Includes late (in third trimester) or no prenatal care, low maternal weight gain (less than 21 pounds), mother smoked during pregnancy, or mother drank alcohol during pregnancy.

KEY

Significant progress ↔
Significant decline
Change is not significant

Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.
Comparative national data are not available.
Data not available. See Appendix A.
Baseline years and most recent update years may differ by state for this indicator. See Appendix C for more information.
See pages 72-75 for a Guide to Reading the State Pages.
See Appendix C for technical notes and sources.
GOAL 3  Student Achievement and Citizenship (continued)

11. Increased the number of Advanced Placement examinations receiving a grade of 3 or higher (per 1,000)? (1991, 1997)

   | Washington | U.S.   | Range of State Scores | Median Scores† |
   | baseline | update | progress? | baseline | update | progress? | baseline | update |
   | 35 | 48 | ↑ | 55 | 85 | ↑ | 9-177 | 18-223 | 41 | 65 |

GOAL 4  Teacher Education and Professional Development

12. Increased the percentage of public secondary school teachers who hold
   • a degree in main teaching assignment? (1991, 1994)
   • a teaching certificate in main teaching assignment? (1991, 1994)

   | Washington | U.S.   | Range of State Scores |
   | baseline | update | progress? | baseline | update | progress? |
   | 85% | 61% | ↕ | 66% | 63% | ↓ | 51-85% | 50-81% | 69% | 64% |
   | 99% | 95% | ↕ | 94% | 93% | ↓ | 91-100% | 89-100% | 98% | 97% |

13. Increased the percentage of public school teachers participating in professional development on 1 or more selected topics? (1994)

   | Washington | U.S.   |
   | baseline | update |
   | 89% | — |
   | 85% | — |
   | 76-98% | — |
   | 86% | — |

14. Increased the percentage of public school teachers with training to teach limited English-proficient students? (1994)

   | Washington | U.S.   |
   | baseline | update |
   | 23% | — |
   | 16% | — |
   | 4-81% | — |
   | 16% | — |

15. Increased the percentage of beginning public school teachers participating in a formal teacher induction program? (1991, 1994)

   | Washington | U.S.   |
   | baseline | update |
   | 20% | 23% |
   | 22% | 27% |
   | 6-42% | 7-48% |
   | 20% | 23% |

GOAL 5  Mathematics and Science

16. International comparisons in mathematics and science will be reported in future Goals Panel reports.

   | Washington | U.S.   |
   | baseline | update |
   | — | — |
   | — | — |
   | — | — |
   | — | — |

---

**KEY**

↑ Significant progress
↓ Significant decline
← Change is not significant

† Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.
° See Table 8 for the numbers for each subject area.
— Data not available. See Appendix A.
∞ This information had not been released when the 1997 Goals Report went to print.
See pages 72-75 for a Guide to Reading the State Pages. See Appendix C for technical notes and sources.

1 A complete description of the performance standard can be found in Appendix C.
WASHINGTON

GOAL 5  Mathematics and Science (continued)

17. Increased the percentage of public school 8th graders whose mathematics teachers
   • have students work in small groups? (1996) 69% — 66% — 45-92% — 67% —
   • address Algebra and functions? (1996) 57% — 57% — 45-82% — 58% —
   • address reasoning and analytical ability? (1996) 40% — 52% — 39-64% — 48% —

18. Increased the percentage of public school 8th graders who have computers
   available in their mathematics classroom? (1996) 42% — 30% — 7-54% — 30% —

19. Increased mathematics and science degrees awarded to
   • all students? (1991, 1995) 40% 43% ↑ 39% 42% ↑ 25-49% 15-53% 39% 42%
   • minority (Black, Hispanic, American Indian/Alaskan Native) students? (1991, 1995) 38% 39% ↑ 39% 40% ↑ 22-64% 22-57% 39% 39%
   • female students? (1991, 1995) 36% 38% ↑ 35% 37% ↑ 23-46% 13-47% 33% 36%

GOAL 6  Adult Literacy and Lifelong Learning

20. Increased adult literacy? (1992) 69% — 52% — 46-77% — 53% —

21. Increased the percentage of U.S. citizens
   • registered to vote? (1988, 1992) 71% 75% ↔ 70% 73% ↔ 58-95% 63-92% 71% 75%
   • voting? (1988, 1992) 64% 69% ↑ 61% 66% ↑ 50-74% 55-77% 62% 68%


   58% 57% * 53% 55%

KEY

↑ Significant progress
↓ Significant decline
* Change is not significant

Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.
Data not available. See Appendix A.
** Indicators are not the same at the national and state level.
‡ Sample size does not permit a reliable estimate of change. See pages 72-75 for a Guide to Reading the State Pages.
Appendix C for technical notes and sources.

Mathematics Instruction
Percentage of public school 8th graders whose mathematics teachers do the following, 1996 (Indicator 17)

- Work in small groups or with a partner 4
- Address Algebra and functions
- Address reasoning & analytical ability

Adult Literacy
Percentage of adults who scored at 3 highest levels in Prose Literacy (Indicator 20)
### GOAL 7  Safe, Disciplined and Alcohol- and Drug-free Schools

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>1991 Baseline</th>
<th>1994 Update</th>
<th>Progress</th>
<th>Median Scores†</th>
<th>Range of State Scores</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>23. Reduced marijuana use? (1991, 1995)*</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>** **</td>
<td>4-18%</td>
<td>7-32%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24. Reduced alcohol use (more than 5 drinks in a row)? (1991, 1995)*</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>** **</td>
<td>17-43%</td>
<td>13-43%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25. Reduced availability of drugs on school property? (1993, 1995)*</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>** **</td>
<td>11-31%</td>
<td>20-46%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26. Reduced students threatened or injured with a weapon while on school property? (1993, 1995)*</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>** **</td>
<td>6-15%</td>
<td>4-11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27. Reduced physical fights on school property? (1993, 1995)*</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>** **</td>
<td>13-39%</td>
<td>12-19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28. Reduced students carrying weapons on school property? (1993, 1995)*</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>** **</td>
<td>8-18%</td>
<td>7-14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29. Reduced students not feeling safe at school? (1993, 1995)*</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>** **</td>
<td>3-23%</td>
<td>3-16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30. Reduced teacher victimization? (1994)</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>8-26%</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31. Reduced student disruptions? (1991, 1994)</td>
<td>39%</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>23-60%</td>
<td>33-65%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### GOAL 8  Parental Participation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>1991 Baseline</th>
<th>1994 Update</th>
<th>Progress</th>
<th>Median Scores†</th>
<th>Range of State Scores</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>32. Decreased schools with minimal parental involvement</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>** **</td>
<td>9-44%</td>
<td>13-50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33. Increased influence of parent associations? (1991, 1994)</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>** **</td>
<td>8-37%</td>
<td>12-50%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### KEY

- **Significant progress**
- **Significant decline**
- **Change is not significant**

- Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.
- **Indicators are not the same at the national and state level.**
- Baseline years and most recent update years may differ by state for this indicator. See Appendix C for more information.

See pages 72-75 for a Guide to Reading the State Pages. See Appendix C for technical notes and sources.
WEST VIRGINIA

GOAL 1 Ready to Learn

1. Reduced percentage of infants born in the state with 1 or more health risks? (1990, 1995)
   - West Virginia: 43% baseline, 42% update
   - U.S.: 37% baseline, 34% update
   - Median: 25-48% baseline, 24-46% update

   - West Virginia: 71% baseline, 79% update
   - U.S.: 70% baseline, 73% update
   - Median: 48-151% baseline, 53-134% update

3. Reduced number of infants (per 1,000) born with low birthweight? (1990, 1995)
   - West Virginia: 734 baseline, 820 update
   - U.S.: 758 baseline, 813 update
   - Median: 469-868 baseline, 560-900 update

4. Increased number of mothers (per 1,000) receiving early prenatal care? (1990, 1995)
   - West Virginia: 43 baseline, 74 update
   - U.S.: *baseline, *update
   - Median: 16-68 baseline, 16-92 update

GOAL 2 School Completion

5. Increased high school completion rate? (1990, 1995)
   - West Virginia: 83% baseline, 89% update
   - U.S.: 86% baseline, 86% update
   - Median: 77-96% baseline, 79-96% update

6. Reduced high school dropout rate? (1992, 1994)*
   - West Virginia: — baseline, — update
   - U.S.: *baseline, *update
   - Median: 3-12% baseline, 3-10% update

GOAL 3 Student Achievement and Citizenship

7. Increased reading achievement in Grade 4? (1992, 1994)*
   - West Virginia: 25% baseline, 26% update
   - U.S.: 29% baseline, 30% update
   - Median: 8-38% baseline, 8-41% update

8. Increased mathematics achievement* in Grade 4? (1992, 1996)
   - West Virginia: 12% baseline, 19% update
   - U.S.: 18% baseline, 21% update
   - Median: 5-27% baseline, 3-31% update

9. Increased number of children with disabilities in preschool (per 1,000)? (1991, 1996)
   - West Virginia: 21% baseline, — update
   - U.S.: 29% baseline, — update
   - Median: 5-41% baseline, — update

10. Increased science achievement in Grade 8? (1996)

---

**KEY**

- **↑** Significant progress
- **↓** Significant decline
- **↔** Change is not significant

---

1. Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.
   *Comparable national data are not available.
   **Baseline years and most recent update years may differ by state for this indicator. See Appendix C for more information.

See pages 72-75 for a Guide to Reading the State Pages.
See Appendix C for technical notes and sources.
GOAL 3  Student Achievement and Citizenship (continued)

11. Increased the number of Advanced Placement examinations receiving a grade of 3 or higher (per 1,000)? (1991, 1997)†
   
   21  40  ↑

12. Increased the percentage of public secondary school teachers who hold
   • a degree in main teaching assignment? (1991, 1994)
   66%  60%  ↔
   • a teaching certificate in main teaching assignment? (1991, 1994)
   98%  99%  ↔

13. Increased the percentage of public school teachers participating in professional development on 1 or more selected topics? (1994)
   88% — —

14. Increased the percentage of public school teachers with training to teach limited English-proficient students? (1994)
   8% — —

15. Increased the percentage of beginning public school teachers participating in a formal teacher induction program? (1991, 1994)
   16%  15% ↔

GOAL 4  Teacher Education and Professional Development

12. Increased the percentage of public secondary school teachers who hold
   • a degree in main teaching assignment? (1991, 1994)
   66%  60%  ↔
   • a teaching certificate in main teaching assignment? (1991, 1994)
   98%  99%  ↔

13. Increased the percentage of public school teachers participating in professional development on 1 or more selected topics? (1994)
   88% — —

14. Increased the percentage of public school teachers with training to teach limited English-proficient students? (1994)
   8% — —

15. Increased the percentage of beginning public school teachers participating in a formal teacher induction program? (1991, 1994)
   16%  15% ↔

GOAL 5  Mathematics and Science

16. International comparisons in mathematics and science will be reported in future Goals Panel reports.∞

Student Achievement
Percentage of public school students who met the Goals Panel’s performance standard in reading and mathematics (Indicators 8 & 9)

Professional Development
Percentage of public school teachers participating in professional development on the following topics, 1994 (Indicator 13)

Other topics
Uses of educational technology
Methods of teaching subject field
In-depth study in subject field
Student assessment

KEY

† Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.
° See Table 8 for the numbers for each subject area.
— Data not available. See Appendix A.
∞ This information had not been released when the 1997 Goals Report went to print.
See pages 72-75 for a Guide to Reading the State Pages. See Appendix C for technical notes and sources.

1 A complete description of the performance standard can be found in Appendix C.
∞ Interpret with caution. Change was not statistically significant.

WEST VIRGINIA
WEST VIRGINIA

GOAL 5  Mathematics and Science (continued)

17. Increased the percentage of public school 8th graders whose mathematics teachers
   • have students work in small groups? (1996) 53% — 66% — 45-92% — 67% —
   • address Algebra and functions? (1996) 56% — 57% — 45-82% — 58% —
   • address reasoning and analytical ability? (1996) 42% — 52% — 39-64% — 48% —
18. Increased the percentage of public school 8th graders who have computers available
   in their mathematics classroom? (1996) 29% — 30% — 7-54% — 30% —
19. Increased mathematics and science degrees awarded to
   • all students? (1991, 1995) 32% 40% ↑ 39% 42% ↑ 25-49% 15-53% 39% 42%
   • minority (Black, Hispanic, American Indian/Alaskan Native) students? (1991, 1995) 31% 29% ↓ 39% 40% ↑ 22-64% 22-57% 39% 39%
   • female students? (1991, 1995) 29% 37% ↑ 35% 37% ↑ 23-46% 13-47% 33% 36%

GOAL 6  Adult Literacy and Lifelong Learning

20. Increased adult literacy? (1992) — — 52% — 46-77% — 53% —
21. Increased the percentage of U.S. citizens
   • registered to vote? (1988, 1992) 65% 65% ↔ 70% 73% ↔ 58-95% 63-92% 71% 75%
   • voting? (1988, 1992) 53% 58% ↔ 61% 66% ↔ 50-74% 55-77% 62% 68%
22. Increased postsecondary enrollment? (1992, 1994) 49% 50% ★ ★ 33-68% 37-71% 53% 55%

KEY

↑ Significant progress
↓ Significant decline
↔ Change is not significant

1 Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.
— Data not available. See Appendix A.
** Indicators are not the same at the national and state level.
Sample size does not permit a reliable estimate of change.
See pages 72-75 for a Guide to Reading the State Pages. See Appendix C for technical notes and sources.

1 At least once a week.
2 On a 4-point scale from "none" to "a lot," defined as a response to the top point.
### GOAL 7  Safe, Disciplined and Alcohol- and Drug-free Schools

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>1991</th>
<th>1993</th>
<th>Progress</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>23. Reduced marijuana use? (1993, 1995)*</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>↓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24. Reduced alcohol use (more than 5 drinks in a row)? (1993, 1995)*</td>
<td>39%</td>
<td>39%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25. Reduced availability of drugs on school property? (1993, 1995)*</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>↓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26. Reduced students threatened or injured with a weapon while on school property? (1993, 1995)*</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>↑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27. Reduced physical fights on school property? (1993, 1995)*</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>↑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28. Reduced students carrying weapons on school property? (1993, 1995)*</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>↑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29. Reduced students not feeling safe at school? (1993, 1995)*</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>↑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30. Reduced teacher victimization? (1994)</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31. Reduced student disruptions? (1991, 1994)</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>43%</td>
<td>↓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### GOAL 8  Parental Participation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>1991</th>
<th>1994</th>
<th>Progress</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>32. Decreased schools with minimal parental involvement</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>↑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Teacher’s perspective? (1991, 1994)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Principal’s perspective? (1991, 1994)</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33. Increased influence of parent associations? (1991, 1994)</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>↑</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### KEY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Change</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>↑</td>
<td>Significant progress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>↓</td>
<td>Significant decline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>↔</td>
<td>Change is not significant</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

† Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.

** Indicators are not the same at the national and state level.

* Baseline years and most recent update years may differ by state for this indicator. See Appendix C for more information.

See pages 72-75 for a Guide to Reading the State Pages. See Appendix C for technical notes and sources.

---

** Is the middle score in a set of ranked scores. ** Indicators are not the same at the national and state level. * Baseline years and most recent update years may differ by state for this indicator. See Appendix C for more information. See pages 72-75 for a Guide to Reading the State Pages. See Appendix C for technical notes and sources.
WISCONSIN

GOAL 1  Ready to Learn

1. Reduced percentage of infants born in the state with 1 or more health risks? (1990, 1995) 42% 38% ↑ 37% 34% ↑ 25-48% 24-46% 38% 35%
2. Increased percentage of 2-year-olds immunized? (1994, 1996) 76% 78% ↔ 75% 78% ↑ 61-86% 64-88% 76% 79%
3. Reduced number of infants (per 1,000) born with low birthweight? (1990, 1995) 59 60 ↓ 70 73 ↓ 48-151 53-134 71 75
4. Increased number of mothers (per 1,000) receiving early prenatal care? (1990, 1995) 817 834 ↑ * * ↑ 469-986 560-900 778 828
5. Increased number of children with disabilities in preschool (per 1,000)? (1991, 1996) 49 62 ↑ * * ↑ 16-68 16-92 38 47

GOAL 2  School Completion

6. Increased high school completion rate? (1990, 1995) 93% 93% ↔ 86% 86% ↔ 77-96% 79-96% 87% 88%
7. Reduced high school dropout rate? (1992, 1994)* — — ↔ * * ↔ 3-12% 3-10% 5% 5%

GOAL 3  Student Achievement and Citizenship

8. Increased reading achievement in Grade 4? (1992, 1994)* 33% 35% ↔ 29% 30% ↔ 8-38% 8-41% 26% 27%
9. Increased mathematics achievement* * in Grade 4? (1992, 1996) 24% 27% ↔ 18% 21% ↑ 5-27% 3-31% 16% 20%
   * in Grade 8? (1990, 1996) 23% 32% ↑ 15% 24% ↑ 1-27% 5-34% 15% 22%
10. Increased science achievement in Grade 8? (1996) 39% — — 29% — — 5-41% — — 27% —

KEY

↑ Significant progress
↓ Significant decline
↔ Change is not significant

† Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.
* Comparable national data are not available.
* Data not available. See Appendix A.
* Baseline years and most recent update years may differ by state for this indicator. See Appendix C for more information.
See pages 72-75 for a Guide to Reading the State Pages.
See Appendix C for technical notes and sources.

1 Includes late (in third trimester) or no prenatal care, low maternal weight gain (less than 21 pounds), mother smoked during pregnancy, or mother drank alcohol during pregnancy.

1 Does not include those still in high school.
2 Includes traditional high school diploma and alternative credential.
GOAL 3  Student Achievement and Citizenship (continued)

11. Increased the number of Advanced Placement examinations receiving a grade of 3 or higher (per 1,000)? (1991, 1997)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Wisconsin</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
<th>Range of State Scores</th>
<th>Median Scores†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>baseline</td>
<td>update</td>
<td>progress?</td>
<td>baseline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

GOAL 4  Teacher Education and Professional Development

12. Increased the percentage of public secondary school teachers who hold
   • a degree in main teaching assignment? (1991, 1994)  79%  | 63%   | ↓ | 66%  | 63%   | ↓ | 51-85% | 50-81% | 69% | 64% |
   • a teaching certificate in main teaching assignment? (1991, 1994)  96%  | 97%   | ↔ | 94%  | 93%   | ↓ | 91-100% | 89-100% | 98% | 97% |

13. Increased the percentage of public school teachers participating in professional development on 1 or more selected topics? (1994)  84%  | — | — | 85%  | — | — | 76-98% | — | — | 86% | — |

14. Increased the percentage of public school teachers with training to teach limited English-proficient students? (1991)  7%  | — | — | 16%  | — | — | 4-81% | — | — | 16% | — |

15. Increased the percentage of beginning public school teachers participating in a formal teacher induction program? (1991, 1994)  12%  | 18%   | ↑ | 22%  | 27%   | ↑ | 6-42% | 7-48% | 20% | 23% |

GOAL 5  Mathematics and Science

16. International comparisons in mathematics and science will be reported in future Goals Panel reports.

### Student Achievement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade 4</th>
<th>Reading</th>
<th>Mathematics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1992</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1994</td>
<td>86%</td>
<td>35%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1995</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>27%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1996</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>26%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Professional Development

- One or more topics: 84%
- Uses of educational technology: 50%
- Methods of teaching subject field: 58%
- In-depth study in subject field: 29%
- Student assessment: 45%

**Key**

- ↑ Significant progress
- ↓ Significant decline
- ↔ Change is not significant

† Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.
° Data not available. See Appendix A.
∞ This information had not been released when the 1997 Goals Report went to print.

See pages 72-75 for a Guide to Reading the State Pages.
See Appendix C for technical notes and sources.

1 A complete description of the performance standard can be found in Appendix C.
2 Interpret with caution. Change was not statistically significant.
### WISCONSIN

#### GOAL 5  Mathematics and Science (continued)

17. Increased the percentage of public school 8th graders whose mathematics teachers
   • have students work in small groups? (1996)  65% — 66% — 45-92% — 67% —
   • address Algebra and functions? (1996)  60% — 57% — 45-82% — 58% —
   • address reasoning and analytical ability? (1996)  47% — 52% — 39-64% — 48% —

18. Increased the percentage of public school 8th graders who have computers available in their mathematics classroom? (1996)
   25% — 30% — 7-54% — 30% —

19. Increased mathematics and science degrees awarded to
   • all students? (1991, 1995)  41% 43% ↑ 39% 42% ↑ 25-49% 15-53% 39% 42%
   • minority (Black, Hispanic, American Indian/Alaskan Native) students? (1991, 1995)  39% 37% ↓ 39% 40% ↑ 22-64% 22-57% 39% 39%
   • female students? (1991, 1995)  36% 37% ↑ 35% 37% ↑ 23-46% 13-47% 33% 36%

#### GOAL 6  Adult Literacy and Lifelong Learning

20. Increased adult literacy? (1992)
   — — — 52% — — 46-77% — 53% —

21. Increased the percentage of U.S. citizens
   • registered to vote? (1988, 1992)  86% 85% ← 70% 73% ← 58-95% 63-92% 71% 75%
   • voting? (1988, 1992)  71% 76% ← 61% 66% ← 50-74% 55-77% 62% 68%

   62% 60% * 53% 55%

---

**KEY**

↑ Significant progress
↓ Significant decline
—even Change is not significant

† Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.
— Data not available. See Appendix A.
** Indicators are not the same at the national and state level.
‡ Sample size does not permit a reliable estimate of change. See pages 72-75 for a Guide to Reading the State Pages. See Appendix C for technical notes and sources.
GOAL 7  Safe, Disciplined and Alcohol- and Drug-free Schools

23. Reduced marijuana use? (1993)*
   Wisconsin 11% —
   U.S. **
   Range of State Scores 7-21% —
   Median Scores†

24. Reduced alcohol use (more than 5 drinks in a row)? (1993)*
   Wisconsin 29% —
   U.S. **
   Range of State Scores 9-44% —
   Median Scores†

25. Reduced availability of drugs on school property? (1993)*
   Wisconsin 20% —
   U.S. **
   Range of State Scores 11-31% —
   Median Scores†

26. Reduced students threatened or injured with a weapon while on school property? (1993)*
   Wisconsin 8% —
   U.S. **
   Range of State Scores 6-15% —
   Median Scores†

27. Reduced physical fights on school property? (1993)*
   Wisconsin 16% —
   U.S. **
   Range of State Scores 13-39% —
   Median Scores†

28. Reduced students carrying weapons on school property? (1993)*
   Wisconsin 9% —
   U.S. **
   Range of State Scores 8-18% —
   Median Scores†

29. Reduced students not feeling safe at school? (1993)*
   Wisconsin 6% —
   U.S. **
   Range of State Scores 3-23% —
   Median Scores†

30. Reduced teacher victimization? (1994)
   Wisconsin 15% —
   U.S. 15%
   Range of State Scores 8-26% —
   Median Scores†

   Wisconsin 41% 51%
   U.S. 37% 46%
   Range of State Scores 23-60% 33-65%
   Median Scores†

GOAL 8  Parental Participation

32. Decreased schools with minimal parental involvement
   • Teacher’s perspective? (1991, 1994)
     Wisconsin 19% 21%
     U.S. **
     Range of State Scores 9-44% 13-50%
     Median Scores†
   • Principal’s perspective? (1991, 1994)
     Wisconsin 9% 9%
     U.S. **
     Range of State Scores 4-22% 3-27%
     Median Scores†

   Wisconsin 11% 21%
   U.S. **
   Range of State Scores 8-37% 12-50%
   Median Scores†
## WYOMING

### GOAL 1  Ready to Learn

1. Reduced percentage of infants born in the state with 1 or more health risks? (1990, 1995)  
   - Wyoming: 41% Baseline, 37% Update  
   - U.S.: 37% Baseline, 34% Update  
   - Range of State Scores: 25-48% Baseline, 24-46% Update  

   - Wyoming: 78% Baseline, 79% Update  
   - U.S.: 77% Baseline, 78% Update  
   - Range of State Scores: 61-88% Baseline, 64-88% Update  

3. Reduced number of infants (per 1,000) born with low birthweight? (1990, 1995)  
   - Wyoming: 74  
   - U.S.: 70  
   - Range of State Scores: 48-151 Baseline, 53-134 Update  

4. Increased number of mothers (per 1,000) receiving early prenatal care? (1990, 1995)  
   - Wyoming: 806 Baseline, 831 Update  
   - U.S.: 758 Baseline, 813 Update  
   - Range of State Scores: 469-868 Baseline, 560-900 Update  

5. Increased number of children with disabilities in preschool (per 1,000)? (1991, 1996)  
   - Wyoming: 54 Baseline, 76 Update  
   - U.S.: 16-68 Baseline, 16-92 Update  

### GOAL 2  School Completion

   - Wyoming: 91% Baseline, 89% Update  
   - U.S.: 86% Baseline, 86% Update  
   - Range of State Scores: 77-96% Baseline, 79-96% Update  

7. Reduced high school dropout rate? (1992, 1994)*  
   - Wyoming: — Baseline, — Update  
   - U.S.: * Baseline, * Update  
   - Range of State Scores: 3-12% Baseline, 3-10% Update  

### GOAL 3  Student Achievement and Citizenship

8. Increased reading achievement in Grade 4? (1992, 1994)*  
   - Wyoming: 33% Baseline, 32% Update  
   - U.S.: 29% Baseline, 30% Update  
   - Range of State Scores: 8-38% Baseline, 8-41% Update  

9. Increased mathematics achievement*  
   - * in Grade 4? (1992, 1996)  
     - Wyoming: 19% Baseline, 19% Update  
     - U.S.: 18% Baseline, 21% Update  
     - Range of State Scores: 5-27% Baseline, 3-31% Update  
   - * in Grade 8? (1990, 1996)  
     - Wyoming: 19% Baseline, 22% Update  
     - U.S.: 15% Baseline, 24% Update  
     - Range of State Scores: 1-27% Baseline, 5-34% Update  

10. Increased science achievement in Grade 8? (1996)  
     - Wyoming: 34% Baseline, — Update  
     - U.S.: 29% Baseline, — Update  
     - Range of State Scores: 5-41% Baseline, 27% Update  

---

### Key

- **Significant progress**
- **Significant decline**
- **Change is not significant**

---

1. Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.
   - Comparable national data are not available.
   - Data not available. See Appendix A.
   - Baseline years and most recent update years may differ by state for this indicator. See Appendix C for more information.
   - See pages 72-75 for a Guide to Reading the State Pages.
   - See Appendix C for technical notes and sources.

---

### Children's Health Index

**Percentage of infants born with 1 or more health risks**

- **Indicator 1**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Wyoming Median</th>
<th>U.S. Median</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1990</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>37%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1995</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>34%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. Includes late (in third trimester) or no prenatal care, low maternal weight gain (less than 21 pounds), mother smoked during pregnancy, or mother drank alcohol during pregnancy.

---

### High School Completion

**Percentage of all 18- to 24-year-olds who have a high school credential**

- **Indicator 6**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Wyoming Median</th>
<th>U.S. Median</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1990</td>
<td>91%</td>
<td>89%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. Does not include those still in high school.

---

* Comparable national data are not available.

**n** Interpret with caution. Change was not statistically significant.
**GOAL 3**  
**Student Achievement and Citizenship (continued)**

11. Increased the number of Advanced Placement examinations receiving a grade of 3 or higher (per 1,000)? (1991, 1997)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>U.S.</th>
<th>Range of State Scores</th>
<th>Median Scores</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>baseline</td>
<td>update</td>
<td>progress?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>↓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**GOAL 4**  
**Teacher Education and Professional Development**

12. Increased the percentage of public secondary school teachers who hold
• a degree in main teaching assignment? (1991, 1994)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>U.S.</th>
<th>Range of State Scores</th>
<th>Median Scores</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>baseline</td>
<td>update</td>
<td>progress?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>69%</td>
<td>72%</td>
<td>↑</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

13. Increased the percentage of public school teachers participating in professional development on 1 or more selected topics? (1994)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>U.S.</th>
<th>Range of State Scores</th>
<th>Median Scores</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>baseline</td>
<td>update</td>
<td>progress?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>85%</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

14. Increased the percentage of public school teachers with training to teach limited English-proficient students? (1994)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>U.S.</th>
<th>Range of State Scores</th>
<th>Median Scores</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>baseline</td>
<td>update</td>
<td>progress?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

15. Increased the percentage of beginning public school teachers participating in a formal teacher induction program? (1991, 1994)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>U.S.</th>
<th>Range of State Scores</th>
<th>Median Scores</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>baseline</td>
<td>update</td>
<td>progress?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>←</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**GOAL 5**  
**Mathematics and Science**

16. International comparisons in mathematics and science will be reported in future Goals Panel reports.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>U.S.</th>
<th>Range of State Scores</th>
<th>Median Scores</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>baseline</td>
<td>update</td>
<td>progress?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**KEY**

- **Significant progress**
- **Significant decline**
- **Change is not significant**

---

1. Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.
2. See Table 8 for the numbers for each subject area.
3. Data not available. See Appendix A.
4. This information had not been released when the 1997 Goals Report went to print.
5. See pages 72-75 for a Guide to Reading the State Pages.
6. See Appendix C for technical notes and sources.

---

1. A complete description of the performance standard can be found in Appendix C.
2. Interpret with caution. Change was not statistically significant.
### GOAL 5  Mathematics and Science (continued)

17. Increased the percentage of public school 8th graders whose mathematics teachers
   - have students work in small groups? (1996) 64% — — 66% — 45-92% — 67% —
   - address Algebra and functions? (1996) 58% — — 57% — 45-82% — 58% —
   - address reasoning and analytical ability? (1996) 50% — — 52% — 39-64% — 48% —

18. Increased the percentage of public school 8th graders who have computers available in their mathematics classroom? (1996)
   41% — — 30% — 7-54% — 30% —

19. Increased mathematics and science degrees awarded to
   - all students? (1991, 1995) 40% 45% ↑ 39% 42% ↑ 25-49% 15-53% 39% 42%
   - minority (Black, Hispanic, American Indian/Alaskan Native) students? (1991, 1995) 43% 40% ↓ 39% 40% ↑ 22-64% 22-57% 39% 39%
   - female students? (1991, 1995) 35% 38% ↑ 35% 37% ↑ 23-46% 13-47% 33% 36%

### GOAL 6  Adult Literacy and Lifelong Learning

20. Increased adult literacy? (1992) — — — 52% — 46-77% — 53% —

21. Increased the percentage of U.S. citizens
   - registered to vote? (1988, 1992) 68% 69% ↔ 70% 73% ↔ 58-95% 63-92% 71% 75%
   - voting? (1988, 1992) 62% 65% ↔ 61% 66% ↑ 50-74% 55-77% 62% 68%

22. Increased postsecondary enrollment? (1992, 1994) 47% 53% ★ — ★ — — — 33-66% 37-71% 53% 55%

---

**Mathematics Instruction**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Percentage of public school 8th graders whose mathematics teachers do the following, 1996 (Indicator 17)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Work in small groups</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**KEY**

- ↑ Significant progress
- ↓ Significant decline
- ↔ Change is not significant

---

1 Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.
2 Data not available. See Appendix A.
3 Indicators are not the same at the national and state level.
4 Sample size does not permit a reliable estimate of change.
5 At least once a week.
6 On a 4-point scale from “none” to “a lot,” defined as a response to the top point.

---

**Wyoming**

**U.S.**

**Range of State Scores**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Median Scores</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>baseline</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**WYOMING**

**GOAL 5**

**Mathematics and Science (continued)**

17. Increased the percentage of public school 8th graders whose mathematics teachers
   - have students work in small groups? (1996) 64% — — 66% — 45-92% — 67% —
   - address Algebra and functions? (1996) 58% — — 57% — 45-82% — 58% —
   - address reasoning and analytical ability? (1996) 50% — — 52% — 39-64% — 48% —

18. Increased the percentage of public school 8th graders who have computers available in their mathematics classroom? (1996)
   41% — — 30% — 7-54% — 30% —

19. Increased mathematics and science degrees awarded to
   - all students? (1991, 1995) 40% 45% ↑ 39% 42% ↑ 25-49% 15-53% 39% 42%
   - minority (Black, Hispanic, American Indian/Alaskan Native) students? (1991, 1995) 43% 40% ↓ 39% 40% ↑ 22-64% 22-57% 39% 39%
   - female students? (1991, 1995) 35% 38% ↑ 35% 37% ↑ 23-46% 13-47% 33% 36%

---

**Wyoming**

**U.S.**

**Range of State Scores**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Median Scores</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>baseline</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
GOAL 7 Safe, Disciplined and Alcohol- and Drug-free Schools

23. Reduced marijuana use? (1995)*
   - Median: 22%
24. Reduced alcohol use (more than 5 drinks in a row)? (1995)*
   - Median: 39%
25. Reduced availability of drugs on school property? (1995)*
   - Median: 24%
26. Reduced students threatened or injured with a weapon while on school property? (1995)*
   - Median: 7%
27. Reduced physical fights on school property? (1995)*
   - Median: 17%
28. Reduced students carrying weapons on school property? (1995)*
   - Median: 14%
29. Reduced students not feeling safe at school? (1995)*
   - Median: 3%
30. Reduced teacher victimization? (1994)
   - Median: 11%
   - Median: 28%

GOAL 8 Parental Participation

32. Decreased schools with minimal parental involvement
     - Median: 15%
     - Median: 7%
   - Median: 16%

**Indicators are not the same at the national and state level.**
— Data not available. See Appendix A.
• Baseline years and most recent update years may differ by state for this indicator. See Appendix C for more information.
See pages 72-75 for a Guide to Reading the State Pages. See Appendix C for technical notes and sources.

† Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.
* Baseline years and most recent update years may differ by state for this indicator. See Appendix C for more information.
** Indicators are not the same at the national and state level.
— Data not available. See Appendix A.
†† Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.

---

**KEY**

↑ Significant progress
↓ Significant decline
↔ Change is not significant

---

1 During the past 30 days.
2 During the past 12 months.

---

Wyoming

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Median Scores†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>baseline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced marijuana use? (1995)*</td>
<td>22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced alcohol use (more than 5 drinks in a row)? (1995)*</td>
<td>39%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced availability of drugs on school property? (1995)*</td>
<td>24%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced students threatened or injured with a weapon while on school property? (1995)*</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced physical fights on school property? (1995)*</td>
<td>17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced students carrying weapons on school property? (1995)*</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced students not feeling safe at school? (1995)*</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced teacher victimization? (1994)</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced student disruptions? (1991, 1994)</td>
<td>28%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

Wyoming

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Median Scores†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>baseline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decreased schools with minimal parental involvement</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Principal’s perspective? (1991, 1994)</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increased influence of parent associations? (1991, 1994)</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Wyoming**
### AMERICAN SAMOA

#### GOAL 1 Ready to Learn

1. Reduced percentage of infants born in the state with 1 or more health risks? (1990, 1995) — — 37% 34% ↑ 25-48% 24-46% 38% 35%
2. Increased percentage of 2-year-olds immunized? (1994, 1996) — — 75% 78% ↑ 61-88% 64-88% 76% 79%
3. Reduced number of infants (per 1,000) born with low birthweight? (1990, 1995) — — 70 73 ↓ 48-151 53-134 71 75
4. Increased number of mothers (per 1,000) receiving early prenatal care? (1990, 1995) — — 758 813 ↑ 469-868 560-900 778 828
5. Increased number of children with disabilities in preschool (per 1,000)? (1991, 1996) — — * * 16-68 16-92 38 47

#### GOAL 2 School Completion

6. Increased high school completion rate? (1990, 1995) — — 86% 86% ↔ 77-96% 79-96% 87% 88%
7. Reduced high school dropout rate? (1992, 1994)* — — * * 3-12% 3-10% 5% 5%

#### GOAL 3 Student Achievement and Citizenship

8. Increased reading achievement in Grade 4? (1992, 1994)* — — 29% 30% ↔ 8-38% 8-41% 26% 27%
9. Increased mathematics achievement*  
   • in Grade 4? (1992, 1996) — — 18% 21% ↑ 5-27% 3-31% 16% 20%
   • in Grade 8? (1990, 1996) — — 15% 24% ↑ 1-27% 5-34% 15% 22%
10. Increased science achievement in Grade 8? (1996) — — 29% — 5-41% — 27% —

#### KEY

- ↑ Significant progress
- ↓ Significant decline
- ↔ Change is not significant

† Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.  
* Comparable national data are not available.  
* Data not available. See Appendix A.  
* Baseline years and most recent update years may differ by state for this indicator. See Appendix C for more information.  
See pages 72-75 for a Guide to Reading the State Pages.  
See Appendix C for technical notes and sources.
GOAL 3  Student Achievement and Citizenship (continued)
11. Increased the number of Advanced Placement examinations receiving a grade of 3
   or higher (per 1,000)? (1991, 1997)

GOAL 4  Teacher Education and Professional Development
12. Increased the percentage of public secondary school teachers who hold
   • a degree in main teaching assignment? (1991, 1994)
   • a teaching certificate in main teaching assignment? (1991, 1994)
13. Increased the percentage of public school teachers participating in professional
   development on 1 or more selected topics? (1994)
14. Increased the percentage of public school teachers with training to teach limited
   English-proficient students? (1994)
15. Increased the percentage of beginning public school teachers participating in a formal

GOAL 5  Mathematics and Science
16. International comparisons in mathematics and science will be reported in future
   Goals Panel reports.

KEY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Meaning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>↑</td>
<td>Significant progress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>↓</td>
<td>Significant decline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;-&gt;</td>
<td>Change is not significant</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

† Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.
° See Table 8 for the numbers for each subject area.
— Data not available. See Appendix A.
∞ This information had not been released when the 1997 Goals Report went to print.
See pages 72-75 for a Guide to Reading the State Pages.
See Appendix C for technical notes and sources.
### AMERICAN SAMOA

#### GOAL 5  Mathematics and Science (continued)

17. Increased the percentage of public school 8th graders whose mathematics teachers
   • have students work in small groups? (1996) — — 66% — 45-92% — 67% —
   • address Algebra and functions? (1996) — — 57% — 45-82% — 58% —
   • address reasoning and analytical ability? (1996) — — 52% — 39-64% — 48% —

18. Increased the percentage of public school 8th graders who have computers available
   in their mathematics classroom? (1996) — — 30% — 7-54% — 30% —

19. Increased mathematics and science degrees awarded to
   • all students? (1991, 1995) — — 39% 42% ↑ 25-49% 15-53% 39% 42%
   • minority (Black, Hispanic, American Indian/Alaskan Native) students? (1991, 1995)
     — — 39% 40% ↑ 22-64% 22-57% 39% 39%
   • female students? (1991, 1995) — — 35% 37% ↑ 23-46% 13-47% 33% 36%

#### GOAL 6  Adult Literacy and Lifelong Learning

20. Increased adult literacy? (1992) — — 52% — 46-77% — 53% —

21. Increased the percentage of U.S. citizens
   • registered to vote? (1988, 1992) — — 70% 73% ↑ 58-95% 63-92% 71% 75%
   • voting? (1988, 1992) — — 61% 66% ↑ 50-74% 55-77% 62% 68%

22. Increased postsecondary enrollment? (1992, 1994) — — ** ** 33-68% 37-71% 53% 55%

### KEY

↑ Significant progress
↓ Significant decline
↔ Change is not significant

† Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.
— Data not available. See Appendix A.
** Indicators are not the same at the national and state level.
See pages 72-75 for a Guide to Reading the State Pages.
See Appendix C for technical notes and sources.
### GOAL 7  Safe, Disciplined and Alcohol- and Drug-free Schools

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>American Samoa</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
<th>Range of State Scores</th>
<th>Median Scores†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>23. Reduced marijuana use? (1993)*</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>7-21%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24. Reduced alcohol use (more than 5 drinks in a row)? (1993)*</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>9-44%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25. Reduced availability of drugs on school property? (1993)*</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>11-31%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26. Reduced students threatened or injured with a weapon while on school property? (1993)*</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>6-15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27. Reduced physical fights on school property? (1993)*</td>
<td>39%</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>13-39%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28. Reduced students carrying weapons on school property? (1993)*</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>8-18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29. Reduced students not feeling safe at school? (1993)*</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>3-23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30. Reduced teacher victimization? (1994)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>8-26%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31. Reduced student disruptions? (1991, 1994)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>46%</td>
<td>23-60%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### GOAL 8  Parental Participation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>American Samoa</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
<th>Range of State Scores</th>
<th>Median Scores†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>32. Decreased schools with minimal parental involvement</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>9-44%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Teacher’s perspective? (1991, 1994)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>4-22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Principal’s perspective? (1991, 1994)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>8-37%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33. Increased influence of parent associations? (1991, 1994)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>12-50%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### KEY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>✧</td>
<td>Significant progress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✦</td>
<td>Significant decline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✦</td>
<td>Change is not significant</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

† Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.
** Indicators are not the same at the national and state level.
* Baseline years and most recent update years may differ by state for this indicator. See Appendix C for more information.
1 During the past 30 days.
2 During the past 12 months.
GUAM

GOAL 1 Ready to Learn

1. Reduced percentage of infants born in the state with 1 or more health risks? (1990, 1995) 35% 37% ↓ 37% 34% ↑ 25-48% 24-46% 38% 35%
2. Increased percentage of 2-year-olds immunized? (1994, 1996) — — — 75% 78% ↑ 61-88% 64-88% 76% 79%
3. Reduced number of infants (per 1,000) born with low birthweight? (1990, 1995) 72 77 ↓ 70 73 ↓ 48-151 53-134 71 75
4. Increased number of mothers (per 1,000) receiving early prenatal care? (1990, 1995) 669 701 ↑ * * ↑ 469-868 560-900 778 828
5. Increased number of children with disabilities in preschool (per 1,000)? (1991, 1996) — — — — — 16-68 16-92 38 47

GOAL 2 School Completion

6. Increased high school completion rate? (1990, 1995) — — 86% 86% ↔ 77-96% 79-96% 87% 88%
7. Reduced high school dropout rate? (1992, 1994)* — — * * ↔ 3-12% 3-10% 5% 5%

GOAL 3 Student Achievement and Citizenship

8. Increased reading achievement in Grade 4? (1992, 1994)* 8% 8% ↔ 29% 30% ↔ 8-38% 8-41% 26% 27%
9. Increased mathematics achievement* • in Grade 4? (1992, 1996) 5% 3% ↔ 18% 21% ↑ 5-27% 3-31% 16% 20%
* in Grade 8? (1990, 1996) 4% 6% ↔ 15% 24% ↑ 1-27% 5-34% 15% 22%
10. Increased science achievement in Grade 8? (1996) 7% — 29% — 5-41% — 27% —

KEY

↑ Significant progress
↓ Significant decline
↔ Change is not significant

† Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.
* Comparable national data are not available.
** Data not available. See Appendix A.
* Baseline years and most recent update years may differ by state for this indicator. See Appendix C for more information.
See pages 72-75 for a Guide to Reading the State Pages.
See Appendix C for technical notes and sources.

Children’s Health Index
Percentage of infants born with 1 or more health risks† (Indicator 1)

1 Includes late (in third trimester) or no prenatal care, low maternal weight gain (less than 21 pounds), mother smoked during pregnancy, or mother drank alcohol during pregnancy.
### GOAL 3  Student Achievement and Citizenship (continued)

11. Increased the number of Advanced Placement examinations receiving a grade of 3 or higher (per 1,000)? (1991, 1997)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Guam</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
<th>Range of State Scores</th>
<th>Median Scores†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>baseline</td>
<td>update</td>
<td>progress?</td>
<td>baseline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>55</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>9-177</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### GOAL 4  Teacher Education and Professional Development

12. Increased the percentage of public secondary school teachers who hold

13. Increased the percentage of public school teachers participating in professional development on 1 or more selected topics? (1994)

14. Increased the percentage of public school teachers with training to teach limited English-proficient students? (1994)

15. Increased the percentage of beginning public school teachers participating in a formal teacher induction program? (1991, 1994)

### GOAL 5  Mathematics and Science

16. International comparisons in mathematics and science will be reported in future Goals Panel reports.

---

**KEY**

- ![Significant progress](image)
- ![Significant decline](image)
- ![Change is not significant](image)

↑ Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.
° See Table 8 for the numbers for each subject area.
— Data not available. See Appendix A.
∞ This information had not been released when the 1997 Goals Report went to print.
See pages 72-75 for a Guide to Reading the State Pages.
See Appendix C for technical notes and sources.

---

**Student Achievement**

Percentage of public school students who met the Goals Panel’s performance standard in reading and mathematics (Indicators 8 & 9)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reading Grade 4</th>
<th>Mathematics Grade 4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>100%</td>
<td>![Image]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80%</td>
<td>![Image]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60%</td>
<td>![Image]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40%</td>
<td>![Image]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20%</td>
<td>![Image]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0%</td>
<td>![Image]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 A complete description of the performance standard can be found in Appendix C.
2 Interpret with caution. Change was not statistically significant.
Guam

**Goal 5** Mathematics and Science (continued)

17. Increased the percentage of public school 8th graders whose mathematics teachers
   - have students work in small groups? (1996)
     - Guam: 81%
     - U.S.: 66%
   - address Algebra and functions? (1996)
     - Guam: 82%
     - U.S.: 57%
   - address reasoning and analytical ability? (1996)
     - Guam: 55%
     - U.S.: 52%

18. Increased the percentage of public school 8th graders who have computers available in their mathematics classroom? (1996)
   - Guam: 8%
   - U.S.: 30%

19. Increased mathematics and science degrees awarded to
     - Guam: 26%
     - U.S.: 39%
     - U.S. baseline: 22-64%
   - minority (Black, Hispanic, American Indian/Alaskan Native) students? (1991, 1995)
     - Guam: 24%
     - U.S.: 35%
     - Guam: 13%
     - U.S.: 37%

**Goal 6** Adult Literacy and Lifelong Learning

20. Increased adult literacy? (1992)
   - Guam: —
   - U.S.: 52%
   - U.S. baseline: 46-77%

21. Increased the percentage of U.S. citizens
   - registered to vote? (1988, 1992)
     - Guam: —
     - U.S.: 70%
     - U.S. baseline: 58-95%
     - Guam: —
     - U.S.: 61%
     - U.S. baseline: 50-74%

   - Guam: —
   - U.S.: **

---

**Key**

- **Significant progress**
- **Significant decline**
- **Change is not significant**

† Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.
— Data not available. See Appendix A.
** Indicators are not the same at the national and state level.
See pages 72-75 for a Guide to Reading the State Pages. See Appendix C for technical notes and sources.

---

1 At least once a week.
2 On a 4-point scale from "none" to "a lot," defined as a response to the top point.
GOAL 7  Safe, Disciplined and Alcohol- and Drug-free Schools

23. Reduced marijuana use? (1995)* 19% — ** ** 7-32% — 23% —
24. Reduced alcohol use (more than 5 drinks in a row)? (1995)* 15% — ** ** 13-43% — 31% —
25. Reduced availability of drugs on school property? (1995)* 46% — ** ** 20-46% — 30% —
26. Reduced students threatened or injured with a weapon while on school property? (1995)* 9% — ** ** 4-11% — 8% —
27. Reduced physical fights on school property? (1995)* 16% — ** ** 12-19% — 15% —
28. Reduced students carrying weapons on school property? (1995)* 7% — ** ** 7-14% — 11% —
29. Reduced students not feeling safe at school? (1995)* 11% — ** ** 3-16% — 5% —
30. Reduced teacher victimization? (1994) — — 15% — 8-26% — 14% —
31. Reduced student disruptions? (1991, 1994) — — 37% 46% ↓ 23-60% 33-65% 37% 47%

GOAL 8  Parental Participation

32. Decreased schools with minimal parental involvement
   • Teacher’s perspective? (1991, 1994) — — ** ** 9-44% 13-50% 23% 27%
   • Principal’s perspective? (1991, 1994) — — ** ** 4-22% 3-27% 13% 13%
33. Increased influence of parent associations? (1991, 1994) — — ** ** 8-37% 12-50% 16% 22%

---

**KEY**

† Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.
** Indicators are not the same at the national and state level.
--- Data not available. See Appendix A.
* Baseline years and most recent update years may differ by state for this indicator. See Appendix C for more information.
See pages 72-75 for a Guide to Reading the State Pages. See Appendix C for technical notes and sources.

---

1 During the past 30 days.
2 During the past 12 months.
NORTHERN MARIANAS

GOAL 1  Ready to Learn
1. Reduced percentage of infants born in the state with 1 or more health risks? (1990, 1995) — — 37% 34%
2. Increased percentage of 2-year-olds immunized? (1994, 1996) — — 75% 78%
3. Reduced number of infants (per 1,000) born with low birthweight? (1990, 1995) — — 70 73
4. Increased number of mothers (per 1,000) receiving early prenatal care? (1990, 1995) — — 758 813
5. Increased number of children with disabilities in preschool (per 1,000)? (1991, 1996) — — * *

GOAL 2  School Completion
6. Increased high school completion rate? (1990, 1995) — — 86% 86%
7. Reduced high school dropout rate? (1992, 1994)* — — * *

GOAL 3  Student Achievement and Citizenship
8. Increased reading achievement in Grade 4? (1992, 1994)* — — 29% 30%
9. Increased mathematics achievement* — — 18% 21%
   • in Grade 4? (1992, 1996)
   • in Grade 8? (1990, 1996)
10. Increased science achievement in Grade 8? (1996) — — 29% —

KEY

Significant progress
Significant decline
Change is not significant

† Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.
* Comparable national data are not available.
# Data not available. See Appendix A.
* Baseline years and most recent update years may differ by state for this indicator. See Appendix C for more information.
See pages 72-75 for a Guide to Reading the State Pages.
See Appendix C for technical notes and sources.
**GOAL 3** Student Achievement and Citizenship (continued)

11. Increased the number of Advanced Placement examinations receiving a grade of 3 or higher (per 1,000)? (1991, 1997)†

   | Northern Marianas | U.S. | Range of State Scores | Median Scores
---|---|---|---|---
   | baseline | update | progress? | baseline | update | baseline | update
   | — | — | 55 | 85 | 9-177 | 18-223 | 41 | 65

**GOAL 4** Teacher Education and Professional Development

12. Increased the percentage of public secondary school teachers who hold
   • a degree in main teaching assignment? (1991, 1994)
   • a teaching certificate in main teaching assignment? (1991, 1994)

   | Northern Marianas | U.S. | Range of State Scores | Median Scores
---|---|---|---|---
   | baseline | update | progress? | baseline | update | baseline | update
   | — | — | 66% | 63% | 51-85% | 50-81% | 69% | 64%
   | — | — | 94% | 93% | 91-100% | 89-100% | 98% | 97%

13. Increased the percentage of public school teachers participating in professional development on 1 or more selected topics? (1994)

   | Northern Marianas | U.S. | Range of State Scores | Median Scores
---|---|---|---|---
   | baseline | update | progress? | baseline | update | baseline | update
   | — | — | 85% | — | 76-98% | — | 86% | —


   | Northern Marianas | U.S. | Range of State Scores | Median Scores
---|---|---|---|---
   | baseline | update | progress? | baseline | update | baseline | update
   | — | — | 16% | — | 4-81% | — | 16% | —

15. Increased the percentage of beginning public school teachers participating in a formal teacher induction program? (1991, 1994)

   | Northern Marianas | U.S. | Range of State Scores | Median Scores
---|---|---|---|---
   | baseline | update | progress? | baseline | update | baseline | update
   | — | — | 22% | 27% | 6-42% | 7-48% | 20% | 23%

**GOAL 5** Mathematics and Science

16. International comparisons in mathematics and science will be reported in future Goals Panel reports.°

   | Northern Marianas | U.S. | Range of State Scores | Median Scores
---|---|---|---|---
   | baseline | update | progress? | baseline | update | baseline | update
   | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | —

---

**KEY**

↑ Significant progress
↓ Significant decline
← Change is not significant

† Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.
° See Table 8 for the numbers for each subject area.
— Data not available. See Appendix A.
∞ This information had not been released when the 1997 Goals Report went to print.

See pages 72-75 for a Guide to Reading the State Pages.
See Appendix C for technical notes and sources.
### NORTHERN MARIANAS

#### GOAL 5 Mathematics and Science (continued)

17. Increased the percentage of public school 8th graders whose mathematics teachers  
   • have students work in small groups? (1996) 
   • address Algebra and functions? (1996) 
   • address reasoning and analytical ability? (1996) 

18. Increased the percentage of public school 8th graders who have computers available in their mathematics classroom? (1996)

19. Increased mathematics and science degrees awarded to  
   • all students? (1991, 1995)  
   • minority (Black, Hispanic, American Indian/Alaskan Native) students? (1991, 1995)  

#### GOAL 6 Adult Literacy and Lifelong Learning

20. Increased adult literacy? (1992)

21. Increased the percentage of U.S. citizens  
   • registered to vote? (1988, 1992)  
   • voting? (1988, 1992)


---

**KEY**

- ↑ Significant progress
- ↓ Significant decline
- ↔ Change is not significant

† Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.
- — Data not available. See Appendix A.
** Indicators are not the same at the national and state level. See pages 72-75 for a Guide to Reading the State Pages. See Appendix C for technical notes and sources.
## GOAL 7  Safe, Disciplined and Alcohol- and Drug-free Schools

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Northern Marianas</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
<th>Range of State Scores</th>
<th>Median Scores†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>baseline</td>
<td>update</td>
<td>progress?</td>
<td>baseline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23. Reduced marijuana use? (1991, 1995)*</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24. Reduced alcohol use (more than 5 drinks in a row)? (1991, 1995)*</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25. Reduced availability of drugs on school property? (1993, 1995)*</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26. Reduced students threatened or injured with a weapon while on school property? (1993, 1995)*</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27. Reduced physical fights on school property? (1993, 1995)*</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28. Reduced students carrying weapons on school property? (1993, 1995)*</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29. Reduced students not feeling safe at school? (1993, 1995)*</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30. Reduced teacher victimization? (1994)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31. Reduced student disruptions? (1991, 1994)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>37%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## GOAL 8  Parental Participation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Northern Marianas</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
<th>Range of State Scores</th>
<th>Median Scores†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>baseline</td>
<td>update</td>
<td>progress?</td>
<td>baseline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32. Decreased schools with minimal parental involvement</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Teacher's perspective? (1991, 1994)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Principal's perspective? (1991, 1994)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Key
- † Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.
- ** Indicators are not the same at the national and state level.
- Data not available. See Appendix A.
- Baseline years and most recent update years may differ by state for this indicator. See Appendix C for more information.
- See pages 72-75 for a Guide to Reading the State Pages.
- See Appendix C for technical notes and sources.

NORTHERN MARIANAS
### GOAL 1  Ready to Learn

1. Reduced percentage of infants born in the state with 1 or more health risks? (1990, 1995)  
   - Puerto Rico: 48% — 46%
   - U.S. Range: 25-48% — 24-46%
   - Median Scores: 38% — 35%

   - Data not available.

3. Reduced number of infants (per 1,000) born with low birthweight? (1990, 1995)  
   - Puerto Rico: 91 — 101
   - U.S. Range: 48-151 — 53-134
   - Median Scores: 71 — 75

4. Increased number of mothers (per 1,000) receiving early prenatal care? (1990, 1995)  
   - Puerto Rico: 711 — 770
   - U.S. Range: 469-868 — 560-900
   - Median Scores: 778 — 828

5. Increased number of children with disabilities in preschool (per 1,000)? (1991, 1996)  
   - Data not available.

### GOAL 2  School Completion

   - Data not available.

7. Reduced high school dropout rate? (1992, 1994)*  
   - Data not available.

### GOAL 3  Student Achievement and Citizenship

8. Increased reading achievement in Grade 4? (1992, 1994)*  
   - Data not available.

9. Increased mathematics achievement*  
   - * in Grade 4? (1992, 1996)
   - * in Grade 8? (1990, 1996)
   - Data not available.

10. Increased science achievement in Grade 8? (1996)  
    - Data not available.

---

### Children’s Health Index

- **Percentage of infants born with 1 or more health risks**
  - 1990: 48%  
  - 1995: 66%

---

1. Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.
2. Comparable national data are not available.
3. Data not available. See Appendix A.
4. Baseline years and most recent update years may differ by state for this indicator. See Appendix C for more information.
5. See pages 72-75 for a Guide to Reading the State Pages.
6. See Appendix C for technical notes and sources.

---

*Includes late (in third trimester) or no prenatal care, low maternal weight gain (less than 21 pounds), mother smoked during pregnancy, or mother drank alcohol during pregnancy.
GOAL 3  Student Achievement and Citizenship (continued)

11. Increased the number of Advanced Placement examinations receiving a grade of 3 or higher (per 1,000)? (1991, 1997)

GOAL 4  Teacher Education and Professional Development

12. Increased the percentage of public secondary school teachers who hold
   • a degree in main teaching assignment? (1991, 1994)
   • a teaching certificate in main teaching assignment? (1991, 1994)

13. Increased the percentage of public school teachers participating in professional development on 1 or more selected topics? (1994)


15. Increased the percentage of beginning public school teachers participating in a formal teacher induction program? (1991, 1994)

GOAL 5  Mathematics and Science

16. International comparisons in mathematics and science will be reported in future Goals Panel reports.
### GOAL 5  Mathematics and Science (continued)

17. Increased the percentage of public school 8th graders whose mathematics teachers
   • have students work in small groups? (1996)
     - Data not available. See Appendix A.
   • address Algebra and functions? (1996)
     - Data not available. See Appendix A.
   • address reasoning and analytical ability? (1996)
     - Data not available. See Appendix A.

18. Increased the percentage of public school 8th graders who have computers available
    in their mathematics classroom? (1996)
     - Data not available. See Appendix A.

19. Increased mathematics and science degrees awarded to
    • all students? (1991, 1995)
      - Data not available. See Appendix A.
    • minority (Black, Hispanic, American Indian/Alaskan Native) students? (1991, 1995)
      - Data not available. See Appendix A.
      - Data not available. See Appendix A.

### GOAL 6  Adult Literacy and Lifelong Learning

20. Increased adult literacy? (1992)

21. Increased the percentage of U.S. citizens
    • registered to vote? (1988, 1992)
    • voting? (1988, 1992)


---

**KEY**

- **Significant progress**
- **Significant decline**
- **Change is not significant**

† Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.
— Data not available. See Appendix A.
** Indicators are not the same at the national and state level.
See pages 72-75 for a Guide to Reading the State Pages.
See Appendix C for technical notes and sources.
### GOAL 7  Safe, Disciplined and Alcohol- and Drug-free Schools

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Puerto Rico</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
<th>Range of State Scores</th>
<th>Median Scores†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>23. Reduced marijuana use? (1991, 1995)*</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>** 10% 23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24. Reduced alcohol use (more than 5 drinks in a row)? (1991, 1995)*</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>** 30% 31%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25. Reduced availability of drugs on school property? (1995)*</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td></td>
<td>**</td>
<td>** 30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26. Reduced students threatened or injured with a weapon while on school property? (1995)*</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td></td>
<td>**</td>
<td>** 8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27. Reduced physical fights on school property? (1995)*</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td></td>
<td>**</td>
<td>** 15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28. Reduced students carrying weapons on school property? (1995)*</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td></td>
<td>**</td>
<td>** 11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29. Reduced students not feeling safe at school? (1995)*</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td></td>
<td>**</td>
<td>** 5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30. Reduced teacher victimization? (1994)</td>
<td></td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>** 14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31. Reduced student disruptions? (1991, 1994)</td>
<td></td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>** 37%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### GOAL 8  Parental Participation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Puerto Rico</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
<th>Range of State Scores</th>
<th>Median Scores†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>32. Decreased schools with minimal parental involvement</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>**</td>
<td>** 23% 27%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Teacher’s perspective? (1991, 1994)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>**</td>
<td>** 13% 13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Principal’s perspective? (1991, 1994)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>**</td>
<td>** 16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33. Increased influence of parent associations? (1991, 1994)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>**</td>
<td>** 16%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### KEY

- **Significant progress**
- **Significant decline**
- **Change is not significant**

† Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.
** Indicators are not the same at the national and state level.
* Baseline years and most recent update years may differ by state for this indicator. See Appendix C for more information.

---

1. During the past 30 days.
2. During the past 12 months.

---

**PUERTO RICO**
### VIRGIN ISLANDS

#### GOAL 1  Ready to Learn

1. Reduced percentage of infants born in the state with 1 or more health risks? (1990, 1995) — — 37% 34% ↑ 25-48% 24-46% 38% 35%
2. Increased percentage of 2-year-olds immunized? (1994, 1996) — — 75% 78% ↑ 61-88% 64-88% 76% 79%
3. Reduced number of infants (per 1,000) born with low birthweight? (1990, 1995) 93 94 ↓ 70 73 ↓ 48-151 53-134 71 75
4. Increased number of mothers (per 1,000) receiving early prenatal care? (1990, 1995) 469 560 ↑ 758 813 ↑ 469-868 560-900 778 828
5. Increased number of children with disabilities in preschool (per 1,000)? (1991, 1996) — — * * ↑ 16-68 16-92 38 47

#### GOAL 2  School Completion

6. Increased high school completion rate? (1990, 1995) — — 86% 86% ⇧ 77-96% 79-96% 87% 88%
7. Reduced high school dropout rate? (1992, 1994)* — — * * ⇧ 3-12% 3-10% 5% 5%

#### GOAL 3  Student Achievement and Citizenship

8. Increased reading achievement in Grade 4? (1992, 1994)* — — 29% 30% ⇧ 8-38% 8-41% 26% 27%
9. Increased mathematics achievement* — — 18% 21% ↑ 5-27% 3-31% 16% 20%
   - in Grade 4? (1992, 1996) 1% 1% ⇧ 15% 21% ↑ 1-27% 1-31% 15% 18%
10. Increased science achievement in Grade 8? (1996) — — 29% — — 5-41% — — 27% — —

---

**KEY**

- ↑ Significant progress
- ↓ Significant decline
- ⇧ Change is not significant

† Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.
* Comparable national data are not available.
* Data not available. See Appendix A.
* Baseline years and most recent update years may differ by state for this indicator. See Appendix C for more information.
See pages 72-75 for a Guide to Reading the State Pages.
See Appendix C for technical notes and sources.
### GOAL 3  Student Achievement and Citizenship (continued)

11. Increased the number of Advanced Placement examinations receiving a grade of 3 or higher (per 1,000)? (1991, 1997)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Virgin Islands</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
<th>Range of State Scores</th>
<th>Median Scores</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>baseline update progress?</td>
<td>baseline update progress?</td>
<td>baseline update</td>
<td>baseline update</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>— —</td>
<td>55 85</td>
<td>9-177 18-223</td>
<td>41 65</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### GOAL 4  Teacher Education and Professional Development

12. Increased the percentage of public secondary school teachers who hold
   • a degree in main teaching assignment? (1991, 1994)
   • a teaching certificate in main teaching assignment? (1991, 1994)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Virgin Islands</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
<th>Range of State Scores</th>
<th>Median Scores</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>baseline update progress?</td>
<td>baseline update progress?</td>
<td>baseline update</td>
<td>baseline update</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>— —</td>
<td>66% 63%</td>
<td>51-85% 50-81%</td>
<td>69% 64%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>— —</td>
<td>94% 93%</td>
<td>91-100% 89-100%</td>
<td>98% 97%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

13. Increased the percentage of public school teachers participating in professional development on 1 or more selected topics? (1994)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Virgin Islands</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
<th>Range of State Scores</th>
<th>Median Scores</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>baseline update progress?</td>
<td>baseline update progress?</td>
<td>baseline update</td>
<td>baseline update</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>— —</td>
<td>85% —</td>
<td>76-98% —</td>
<td>86% —</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Virgin Islands</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
<th>Range of State Scores</th>
<th>Median Scores</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>baseline update progress?</td>
<td>baseline update progress?</td>
<td>baseline update</td>
<td>baseline update</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>— —</td>
<td>16% —</td>
<td>4-81% —</td>
<td>16% —</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

15. Increased the percentage of beginning public school teachers participating in a formal teacher induction program? (1991, 1994)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Virgin Islands</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
<th>Range of State Scores</th>
<th>Median Scores</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>baseline update progress?</td>
<td>baseline update progress?</td>
<td>baseline update</td>
<td>baseline update</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>— —</td>
<td>22% 27%</td>
<td>6-42% 7-48%</td>
<td>20% 23%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### GOAL 5  Mathematics and Science

16. International comparisons in mathematics and science will be reported in future Goals Panel reports.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Virgin Islands</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
<th>Range of State Scores</th>
<th>Median Scores</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>baseline update progress?</td>
<td>baseline update progress?</td>
<td>baseline update</td>
<td>baseline update</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>— —</td>
<td>— —</td>
<td>— —</td>
<td>— —</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### KEY

- Significant progress
- Significant decline
- Change is not significant

---

† Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.
○ See Table 8 for the numbers for each subject area.
— Data not available. See Appendix A.
∞ This information had not been released when the 1997 Goals Report went to print.
See pages 72-75 for a Guide to Reading the State Pages.
See Appendix C for technical notes and sources.
## VIRGIN ISLANDS

### GOAL 5  Mathematics and Science (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Goal</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Virgin Islands</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
<th>Range of State Scores</th>
<th>Median Scores†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>baseline</td>
<td>update</td>
<td>progress</td>
<td>baseline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17.</td>
<td>Increased the percentage of public school 8th graders whose mathematics teachers</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>66%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• have students work in small groups? (1996)</td>
<td>52%</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>57%</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• address Algebra and functions? (1996)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>57%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• address reasoning and analytical ability? (1996)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>52%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.</td>
<td>Increased the percentage of public school 8th graders who have computers available in their mathematics classroom? (1996)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19.</td>
<td>Increased mathematics and science degrees awarded to</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• all students? (1991, 1995)</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>39%</td>
<td>42%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• minority (Black, Hispanic, American Indian/Alaskan Native) students? (1991, 1995)</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>39%</td>
<td>40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• female students? (1991, 1995)</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>37%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### GOAL 6  Adult Literacy and Lifelong Learning

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Goal</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Virgin Islands</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
<th>Range of State Scores</th>
<th>Median Scores†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>baseline</td>
<td>update</td>
<td>progress</td>
<td>baseline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.</td>
<td>Increased adult literacy? (1992)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>52%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21.</td>
<td>Increased the percentage of U.S. citizens</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• registered to vote? (1988, 1992)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>70%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• voting? (1988, 1992)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>61%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22.</td>
<td>Increased postsecondary enrollment? (1992, 1994)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### KEY

- Significant progress
- Significant decline
- Change is not significant

† Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.
— Data not available. See Appendix A.
** Indicators are not the same at the national and state level.
See pages 72-75 for a Guide to Reading the State Pages.
See Appendix C for technical notes and sources.
GOAL 7  Safe, Disciplined and Alcohol- and Drug-free Schools

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Virgin Islands</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
<th>Range of State Scores</th>
<th>Median Scores†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>24. Reduced alcohol use (more than 5 drinks in a row)? (1993, 1995)*</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25. Reduced availability of drugs on school property? (1993, 1995)*</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26. Reduced students threatened or injured with a weapon while on school property? (1993, 1995)*</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27. Reduced physical fights on school property? (1993, 1995)*</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28. Reduced students carrying weapons on school property? (1993, 1995)*</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29. Reduced students not feeling safe at school? (1993, 1995)*</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30. Reduced teacher victimization? (1994)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31. Reduced student disruptions? (1991, 1994)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>46%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

GOAL 8  Parental Participation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Virgin Islands</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
<th>Range of State Scores</th>
<th>Median Scores†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 32. Decreased schools with minimal parental involvement  
  • Teacher's perspective? (1991, 1994) | — | — | ** | **  |

KEY

↑ Significant progress  
↓ Significant decline  
↔ Change is not significant

† Median is the middle score in a set of ranked scores.  
** Indicators are not the same at the national and state level.  
* Baseline years and most recent update years may differ by state for this indicator. See Appendix C for more information.  
See pages 72-75 for a Guide to Reading the State Pages.  
See Appendix C for technical notes and sources.

1 During the past 30 days.  
2 During the past 12 months.  
ns Interpret with caution. Change was not statistically significant.
Table 8: Advanced Placement (AP) Performance
Number of AP examinations receiving a grade of 3 or higher (per 1,000 11th and 12th graders): (1991, 1997)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>English</th>
<th>Mathematics</th>
<th>Science</th>
<th>Foreign Languages</th>
<th>Civics &amp; Government</th>
<th>Economics</th>
<th>Fine Arts</th>
<th>History</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>baseline</td>
<td>update</td>
<td>baseline</td>
<td>update</td>
<td>baseline</td>
<td>update</td>
<td>baseline</td>
<td>baseline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alabama</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alaska</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arizona</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arkansas</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colorado</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Connecticut</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delaware</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>District of Columbia</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Florida</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Georgia</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hawaii</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Idaho</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illinois</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indiana</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iowa</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kansas</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kentucky</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Due to rounding, the numbers in the table may not add to the total number of AP examinations reported in Indicator 11.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>English</th>
<th>Mathematics</th>
<th>Science</th>
<th>Foreign Languages</th>
<th>Civics &amp; Government</th>
<th>Economics</th>
<th>Fine Arts</th>
<th>History</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Louisiana</td>
<td>7 10</td>
<td>3 3</td>
<td>2 3</td>
<td>1 1</td>
<td>&lt;1 &lt;1</td>
<td>4 5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maine</td>
<td>15 29</td>
<td>5 12</td>
<td>6 14</td>
<td>2 3</td>
<td>1 2</td>
<td>1 2</td>
<td>1 2</td>
<td>7 16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maryland</td>
<td>22 36</td>
<td>15 23</td>
<td>15 26</td>
<td>8 9</td>
<td>4 6</td>
<td>1 3</td>
<td>2 3</td>
<td>22 26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Massachusetts</td>
<td>21 36</td>
<td>16 23</td>
<td>14 27</td>
<td>9 14</td>
<td>1 2</td>
<td>2 3</td>
<td>2 3</td>
<td>17 28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michigan</td>
<td>14 22</td>
<td>9 12</td>
<td>7 15</td>
<td>2 3</td>
<td>2 4</td>
<td>&lt;1 1</td>
<td>1 1</td>
<td>7 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minnesota</td>
<td>7 14</td>
<td>5 11</td>
<td>2 5</td>
<td>1 2</td>
<td>2 2</td>
<td>1 2</td>
<td>&lt;1 1</td>
<td>5 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mississippi</td>
<td>8 13</td>
<td>2 3</td>
<td>2 3</td>
<td>&lt;1 &lt;1</td>
<td>1 2</td>
<td>0 &lt;1</td>
<td>&lt;1 &lt;1</td>
<td>4 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missouri</td>
<td>7 10</td>
<td>4 6</td>
<td>3 7</td>
<td>1 2</td>
<td>1 2</td>
<td>&lt;1 1</td>
<td>&lt;1 1</td>
<td>6 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montana</td>
<td>9 16</td>
<td>2 5</td>
<td>2 5</td>
<td>&lt;1 1</td>
<td>3 6</td>
<td>0 &lt;1</td>
<td>2 1</td>
<td>9 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nebraska</td>
<td>10 12</td>
<td>3 5</td>
<td>2 4</td>
<td>&lt;1 &lt;1</td>
<td>1 1</td>
<td>1 1</td>
<td>&lt;1 &lt;1</td>
<td>8 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nevada</td>
<td>13 19</td>
<td>6 8</td>
<td>4 6</td>
<td>3 4</td>
<td>6 9</td>
<td>&lt;1 1</td>
<td>&lt;1 1</td>
<td>6 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Hampshire</td>
<td>15 25</td>
<td>13 17</td>
<td>8 17</td>
<td>4 6</td>
<td>1 2</td>
<td>&lt;1 &lt;1</td>
<td>1 1</td>
<td>9 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Jersey</td>
<td>20 35</td>
<td>16 22</td>
<td>16 32</td>
<td>7 11</td>
<td>2 4</td>
<td>2 4</td>
<td>1 3</td>
<td>17 27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Mexico</td>
<td>15 18</td>
<td>9 7</td>
<td>6 7</td>
<td>4 4</td>
<td>1 2</td>
<td>1 0</td>
<td>1 1</td>
<td>6 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New York</td>
<td>22 31</td>
<td>18 22</td>
<td>20 33</td>
<td>9 13</td>
<td>4 7</td>
<td>2 4</td>
<td>2 3</td>
<td>21 31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Carolina</td>
<td>16 32</td>
<td>9 16</td>
<td>7 18</td>
<td>2 4</td>
<td>&lt;1 2</td>
<td>&lt;1 &lt;1</td>
<td>1 2</td>
<td>13 26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Dakota</td>
<td>5 7</td>
<td>3 3</td>
<td>3 5</td>
<td>&lt;1 &lt;1</td>
<td>&lt;1 1</td>
<td>1 1</td>
<td>0 &lt;1</td>
<td>2 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ohio</td>
<td>11 18</td>
<td>6 11</td>
<td>5 10</td>
<td>2 3</td>
<td>2 5</td>
<td>1 1</td>
<td>1 1</td>
<td>8 11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oklahoma</td>
<td>8 12</td>
<td>4 5</td>
<td>3 6</td>
<td>1 1</td>
<td>1 3</td>
<td>&lt;1 1</td>
<td>&lt;1 1</td>
<td>3 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oregon</td>
<td>13 16</td>
<td>6 7</td>
<td>5 8</td>
<td>2 3</td>
<td>1 1</td>
<td>1 2</td>
<td>&lt;1 &lt;1</td>
<td>11 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
<td>14 21</td>
<td>8 12</td>
<td>7 12</td>
<td>2 3</td>
<td>2 3</td>
<td>1 2</td>
<td>&lt;1 1</td>
<td>10 15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Due to rounding, the numbers in the table may not add to the total number of AP examinations reported in Indicator 11.
### Table 8: (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>English (baseline)</th>
<th>Mathematics (baseline)</th>
<th>Science (baseline)</th>
<th>Foreign Languages (baseline)</th>
<th>Civics &amp; Government (baseline)</th>
<th>Economics (baseline)</th>
<th>Fine Arts (baseline)</th>
<th>History (baseline)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>baseline</td>
<td>update</td>
<td>baseline</td>
<td>update</td>
<td>baseline</td>
<td>update</td>
<td>baseline</td>
<td>update</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhode Island</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Carolina</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Dakota</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0 &lt;1</td>
<td>2 &lt;1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tennessee</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texas</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utah</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vermont</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virginia</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washington</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Virginia</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>&lt;1 &lt;1</td>
<td>&lt;1 &lt;1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wisconsin</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wyoming</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Samoa</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guam</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Marianas</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Puerto Rico</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virgin Islands</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S.</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of State Scores</td>
<td>3-33</td>
<td>6-44</td>
<td>2-27</td>
<td>3-35</td>
<td>1-34</td>
<td>2-49</td>
<td>0-26</td>
<td>&lt;1-27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median Scores</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Due to rounding, the numbers in the table may not add to the total number of AP examinations reported in Indicator 11. — Data not available.
Appendices
Appendix A: 
Data Collection Schedules
### Table A-1
#### Data Collection Schedule for Core Indicators at the National Level

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>1990</th>
<th>’91</th>
<th>’92</th>
<th>’93</th>
<th>’94</th>
<th>’95</th>
<th>’96</th>
<th>’97</th>
<th>’98</th>
<th>’99</th>
<th>2000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Children’s Health Index</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Immunizations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Family-Child Reading and Storytelling</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Preschool Participation</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. High School Completion</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Reading Achievement²</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Writing Achievement²,³</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Mathematics Achievement²</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Science Achievement²</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. History Achievement²</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. Geography Achievement²</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. Teacher Preparation</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13. Teacher Professional Development</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15. International Science Achievement⁴</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16. Mathematics and Science Degrees</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17. Adult Literacy</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18. Participation in Adult Education</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19. Participation in Higher Education College Enrollment</td>
<td>X X</td>
<td>X X</td>
<td>X X</td>
<td>X X</td>
<td>X X</td>
<td>X X</td>
<td>X X</td>
<td>X X</td>
<td>X X</td>
<td>X X</td>
<td>X X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20. Overall Student Drug and Alcohol Use Drugs</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20. Overall Student Drug and Alcohol Use Alcohol</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Table A-1 (continued)
### Data Collection Schedule for Core Indicators at the National Level

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>1990</th>
<th>'91</th>
<th>'92</th>
<th>'93</th>
<th>'94</th>
<th>'95</th>
<th>'96</th>
<th>'97</th>
<th>'98</th>
<th>'99</th>
<th>2000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>21. Sale of Drugs at School</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22. Student and Teacher Victimization (student, teacher reports)</td>
<td>S,T</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S,T</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S,T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23. Disruptions in Class by Students (student, teacher reports)</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S,T</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S,T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24. Schools’ Reports of Parent Attendance at Parent-Teacher Conferences</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25. Schools’ Reports of Parent Involvement in School Policy Decisions</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26. Parents’ Reports of Their Involvement in School Activities</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

1. Table prepared August 1997.
2. The National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) is administered in Grades 4, 8, and 12. Student achievement levels have been established for the reading (1992, 1994), mathematics (1990, 1992, 1996), science (1996), history (1994), and geography (1994) assessments. An arts assessment that covers four subject areas was administered in 1997 for Grade 8 only. Assessments scheduled for 1998 have been approved. These include reading, writing, and civics. Preliminary decisions have been made for 2000, subject to continuing legislative authority. Assessments proposed for 2000 include mathematics and science. There are no current plans to administer NAEP assessments in foreign languages or economics by the year 2000.
3. In 1990 and 1992, student achievement levels were not established. However, in 1992, a Writing Portfolio Study was conducted. These data are presented in Exhibit 7.
4. International achievement results for Grade 12 had not been released when the 1997 Goals Report went to print. There are plans to collect data on international mathematics and science achievement of 8th graders again in 1999.

This table updates information presented in the 1996 Goals Report.
## Table A-2
### Data Collection Schedule for Indicators at the State Level

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>1990</th>
<th>'91</th>
<th>'92</th>
<th>'93</th>
<th>'94</th>
<th>'95</th>
<th>'96</th>
<th>'97</th>
<th>'98</th>
<th>'99</th>
<th>2000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Children’s Health Index</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Immunizations</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Low Birthweight</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Early Prenatal Care</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Preschool Programs for Children with Disabilities</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. High School Completion</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. High School Dropout Rates</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Reading Achievement&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade 4</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade 8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Mathematics Achievement&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade 4</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade 8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Science Achievement&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade 4</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade 8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. Advanced Placement Performance</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. Teacher Preparation</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13. Teacher Professional Development</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14. Preparation to Teach Limited English Proficient Students</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15. Teacher Support</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16. International Mathematics and Science Achievement</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17. Mathematics Instructional Practices</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18. Mathematics Resources</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table A-2 (continued)
Data Collection Schedule for Indicators at the State Level

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>1990</th>
<th>’91</th>
<th>’92</th>
<th>’93</th>
<th>’94</th>
<th>’95</th>
<th>’96</th>
<th>’97</th>
<th>’98</th>
<th>’99</th>
<th>2000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>19. Mathematics and Science Degrees</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20. Adult Literacy</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21. Voter Registration and Voting</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22. Participation in Higher Education</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23. Student Marijuana Use</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24. Student Alcohol Use</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25. Availability of Drugs on School Property</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26. Student Victimization</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27. Physical Fights</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28. Carrying a Weapon</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29. Student Safety</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30. Teacher Victimization</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31. Disruptions in Class by Students</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32. Parent Involvement in School (teacher, principal reports)</td>
<td></td>
<td>T,P</td>
<td>T,P</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33. Influence of Parent Associations</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Table prepared August 1997.

Student achievement levels have been established for the reading (1992, 1994), mathematics (1990, 1992, 1996), and science (1996) assessments. Assessments scheduled for 1998 have been approved. At the state level, these include reading (Grades 4 and 8) and writing (Grade 8). Preliminary decisions have been made for 2000, subject to continuing legislative authority. State-level assessments proposed for 2000 include mathematics (Grades 4 and 8) and science (Grades 4 and 8). There are no current plans to administer state-level NAEP assessments in foreign languages, civics, economics, arts, history, or geography by the year 2000.

This table updates information presented in the 1996 Goals Report.
Appendix B:
Technical Notes and Sources for the National Core Indicators

General Information

Process of Choosing the Core Indicators
The core indicators were selected with the assistance of members of the Goals Panel’s Resource and Technical Planning Groups, who were asked to recommend a small set of indicators for the core that were, to the extent possible:

• comprehensive across the Goals;
• most critical in determining whether the Goals are actually achieved;
• policy-actionable, so that policymakers and the public will have a better understanding of what they can do to improve education performance; and
• updated at frequent intervals, so that the Panel can provide regular progress reports.

It is important to understand that the indicators selected for the core are not necessarily the ideal measures of progress, nor are they all policy-actionable. They do represent, however, the best currently available measures at the national and the state levels.

Accuracy of Data
The accuracy of any statistic is determined by the joint effects of “sampling” and “nonsampling” errors. Estimates based on a sample will differ somewhat from the figures that would have been obtained if a complete census had been taken using the same survey instruments, instructions, and procedures. In addition to such sampling errors, all surveys, both universe and sample, are subject to design, reporting, and processing errors and errors due to nonresponse. To the extent possible, these nonsampling errors are kept to a minimum by methods built into the survey procedures. In general, however, the effects of nonsampling errors are more difficult to gauge than those produced by sampling variability.

Sampling Errors
The samples used in surveys are selected from a large number of possible samples of the same size that could have been selected using the same sample design. Estimates derived from the different samples would differ from each other. The difference between a sample estimate and the average of all possible samples is called the sampling deviation. The standard or sampling error of a survey estimate is a measure of the variation among the estimates from all possible samples and, thus, is a measure of the precision with which an estimate from a particular sample approximates the average result of all possible samples.

The sample estimate and an estimate of its standard error permit us to construct interval estimates with prescribed confidence that the interval includes the average result of all possible samples. If all possible samples were selected under essentially the same conditions and an estimate and its estimated standard error were calculated from each sample, then:
1) approximately 2/3 of the intervals from one standard error below the estimate to one standard error above the estimate would include the average value of the possible samples; and
2) approximately 19/20 of the intervals from two standard errors above the estimate to two standard errors below the estimate would include the average value of all possible samples. We call an interval from two standard errors below the estimate to two standard errors above the estimate a 95 percent confidence interval.

Analysis of standard errors can help assess how valid a comparison between two estimates might be. The standard error of a difference between two independent sample estimates is equal to the square root of the sum of the squared standard errors of the estimates.
The standard error (se) of the difference between independent sample estimates “a” and “b” is:

\[ \text{se}_{a,b} = \sqrt{\text{se}_{a1}^2 + \text{se}_{b1}^2 + \text{se}_{a2}^2 + \text{se}_{b2}^2} \]

To compare changes in between-group differences (groups “a” and “b”) over time (years “1” and “2”), we approximate the standard error of the difference as:

\[ \text{se} = \sqrt{\text{se}_{a1}^2 + \text{se}_{b1}^2 + \text{se}_{a2}^2 + \text{se}_{b2}^2} \]

This method overestimates the standard error because it does not account for covariance (the covariance figures were not available). Because of this overestimation, the approach is conservative; that is, one is less likely to obtain significant results.

Nonsampling Errors

Universe and sample surveys are subject to nonsampling errors. Nonsampling errors may arise when respondents or interviewers interpret questions differently; when respondents must estimate values; when coders, keyers, and other processors handle answers differently; when persons who should be included in the universe are not; or when persons fail to respond (completely or partially). Nonsampling errors usually, but not always, result in an understatement of total survey error and, thus, an overstatement of the precision of survey estimates. Since estimating the magnitude of nonsampling errors often would require special experiments or access to independent data, these magnitudes are seldom available.

Goal 1: Ready to Learn

1. Children’s Health Index

The percentages of infants at risk are based on the number of births used to calculate the health index, not the actual number of births. The percentage of complete and usable birth records used to calculate the 1995 health index varied from a high of 99.81 to a low of 69.24. Four states (California, Indiana, New York, and South Dakota) did not collect information on all four risks in 1991, 1992, 1993, and 1994; five states (California, Indiana, New York, Oklahoma, and South Dakota) did not collect information on all four risks in 1990. These states and the territories are not included in the U.S. total. New Hampshire was included in the U.S. total but not in the race/ethnicity totals because the state does not collect information on Hispanic origin. Minority populations may be underrepresented due to the exclusion of the four states (five states in 1990), particularly California and New York; therefore, the risk factors by race/ethnicity should be interpreted with caution.

The National Center for Health Statistics notes that alcohol use during pregnancy, which is one of the measures used to calculate the Children’s Health Index, is likely to be underreported on the birth certificate.


2. Immunizations

The Goals Panel reports data from 1994 as the baseline year for immunizations. This was the first year for which data were collected using the National Immunization Survey (NIS). In prior years, the Centers for Disease Control collected data on immunization using the National Health Interview Survey (NHIS). The Goals Panel does not compare data from NIS and NHIS, due to methodological differences between the two instruments.


3. Family-Child Reading and Storytelling

The population estimates for the National Household Education Survey (NHES) cover 3- to 5-year-old children who are not yet enrolled in kindergarten. Age from the NHES:93 was established as of January 1, 1993; age from the NHES:95 was established as of December 31, 1994; and age from the NHES:96 was established as of December 31, 1995.

In the NHES:93, information on daily reading was collected using two approaches with split-half samples. The two approaches did not result in significantly different estimates for daily reading among 3- to 5-year-old preschoolers. A combined measure using both items for NHES:93 is included in this report.


4. Preschool Participation
The population estimates for the NHES cover 3- to 5-year-old children who are not yet enrolled in kindergarten. Age from the NHES:91 was established as of January 1, 1991; age from the NHES:93 was established as of January 1, 1993; age from the NHES:95 was established as of December 31, 1994; and age from the NHES:96 was established as of December 31, 1995. Preschool participation includes children enrolled in any center-based program.


Goal 2: School Completion

5. High School Completion
The high school completion rates for 18- to 24-year-olds are computed as a percentage of the non-high school enrolled population at these ages who hold a high school credential (either a high school diploma or an alternative credential, such as a General Educational Development (GED) certificate, Individual Education Plan (IEP) credential, or certificate of attendance).


Goal 3: Student Achievement and Citizenship

General
National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP)
NAEP is a survey of the educational achievement of American students and changes in that achievement across time. Since 1969, NAEP has assessed the achievement of national samples of 9-, 13-, and 17-year-old students in public and private schools. In 1983, it expanded the samples so that grade-level results could be reported.

The assessments, conducted annually until the 1979-80 school year and biennially since then, have included periodic measures of student performance in reading, mathematics, science, writing, U.S. history, civics, geography, and other subject areas. NAEP also collects demographic, curricular, and instructional background information from students, teachers, and school administrators.

National Assessment Governing Board (NAGB) Achievement Levels
The NAEP data shown under Goal 3 should be interpreted with caution. The Goals Panel's performance standard classifies student performance according to achievement levels devised by the National Assessment Governing Board. These achievement level data (in reading and mathematics) have been previously reported by the National Center for Education Statistics (NCES). Students with NAEP scores falling below the Goals Panel's performance standard have been classified as "Basic" or below; those above have been classified as "Proficient" or "Advanced."

The NAGB achievement levels represent a useful way of categorizing overall performance on the NAEP. They are also consistent with the Panel's efforts to report such
performance against a high-criterion standard. However, both NAGB and NCES regard the achievement levels as developmental; the reader of this report is advised to interpret the achievement levels with caution.

NAGB has established standards for reporting the results of the National Assessment of Educational Progress. This effort has resulted in three achievement levels: basic, proficient, and advanced. The NAGB achievement levels are reasoned judgements of what students should know and be able to do. They are attempts to characterize overall student performance in particular subject matters. Readers should exercise caution, however, in making particular inferences about what students at each level actually know and can do. A NAEP assessment is a complex picture of student achievement, and applying external standards for performance is a difficult task. Evaluation studies have raised questions about the degree to which the standards in the NAGB achievement levels are actually reflected in an assessment and, hence, the degree to which inferences about actual performance can be made from these achievement levels. The Goals Panel acknowledges these limitations but believes that, used with caution, these levels convey important information about how American students are faring in reaching Goal 3.

Basic: This level, below proficient, denotes partial mastery of knowledge and skills that are fundamental for proficient work at each grade — 4, 8, and 12. For 12th grade, this is higher-than-minimum competency skills (which are normally taught in elementary and junior high school) and covers significant elements of standard high-school-level work.

Proficient: This central level represents solid academic performance for each grade tested — 4, 8, and 12. It reflects a consensus that students reaching this level have demonstrated competency over challenging subject matter and are well prepared for the next level of schooling. At grade 12, the proficient level encompasses a body of subject-matter knowledge and analytical skills, and of cultural literacy and insight, that all high school graduates should have for democratic citizenship, responsible adulthood, and productive work.

Advanced: This higher level signifies superior performance beyond proficient grade-level mastery at grades 4, 8, and 12. For 12th grade, the advanced level shows readiness for rigorous college courses, advanced training, or employment requiring advanced academic achievement.

Only five academic subjects are presented at the national level. Thus far, student achievement levels at the national level have been established by NAGB in only five of the core subject areas — reading, mathematics, science, history, and geography. The indicators for Goal 3 will be expanded as new NAEP assessments are developed in other subject areas and achievement levels are established.

6. Reading Achievement

See general technical notes regarding NAEP and the NAGB achievement levels.


7. Writing Achievement

Although student achievement levels have not been established in writing, the data presented in the Goals Report are reported against a standard and do show whether students are performing at acceptable levels.

NAEP Writing Portfolio Study, 1992

To conduct the Writing Portfolio Study, NAEP asked a nationally representative subgroup of the 4th and 8th graders who participated in the 1992 NAEP writing assessment to work with their teachers and submit three pieces of writing from their Language Arts or English classes that represented their best writing efforts. Students were asked to give special preference to pieces developed using writing process strategies such as prewriting activities, consulting with others about writing, and revising successive drafts. They were also asked to select pieces that represented different kinds of writing (i.e., narrative, informative, or persuasive).

Papers were scored according to the following Narrative Scoring Guide.

Describing a single event:

1 Event Description. Paper is a list of sentences minimally related or a list of sentences that all describe a single event, or a description of a setting or character.

Writing about a series of events:

2 Undeveloped Story. Paper is a listing of related events. More than one event is described, but with few details about setting, characters, or the events. (Usually there is no more than one sentence telling about each event.)

3 Basic Story. Paper describes a series of events, giving details (in at least two or three sentences) about some aspect of the story (the events, the characters’ goals, or problems to be solved). But the story may be undeveloped or lack cohesion because of problems with syntax, sequencing, or events missing.

Writing about a sequence of episodes:

4 Extended Story. Paper describes a sequence of episodes, including details about most story elements...
Paper describes a sequence of episodes in which most of the story elements are well developed (i.e., setting, episodes, characters’ goals, or problems to be solved). The resolution of the goals or problems at the end is elaborated. The events are presented and elaborated in a cohesive way.


8. Mathematics Achievement

See general technical notes regarding NAEP and the NAGB achievement levels.


9. Science Achievement

See general technical notes regarding NAEP and the NAGB achievement levels.


10. History Achievement

See general technical notes regarding NAEP and the NAGB achievement levels.

According to NCES, the U.S. history results presented here for Grades 4, 8, and 12 illustrate one of the difficulties in setting achievement levels. NAGB is concerned about the discrepancy between actual student performance and the expectations for performance that are contained in the achievement levels. Simply stated, students are not performing as well on the NAEP U.S. history assessment, particularly at Grade 12, as NAGB and the many panelists and reviewers think that these students should perform. For example, most students take at least one high school course in U.S. history by the end of the 11th grade. Yet the achievement levels indicate that more than half (57%) of 12th graders are performing below the basic level, with 1% scoring at the advanced level. In contrast, data from The College Board show that about 2.4% of all graduating seniors score well enough on the Advanced Placement examination in U.S. history to be considered qualified for college credit.

Since NAEP is a cross-sectional survey of student achievement, it cannot readily identify cause-and-effect relationships to explain why students scored high or low. Although one hypothesis is that students’ performance was found to be too low because the achievement levels are set too high, NAGB does not believe that this is the case. At present, validity studies on these achievement levels, conducted by American College Testing (ACT), have pointed in opposite directions — one suggested that the levels were too high, the other that they were too low. NAGB intends to look carefully at this gap between expected and actual performance, and encourages others to do so as well.

Nevertheless, there are several other hypotheses that might account for this gap between actual student scores and the achievement levels. Motivation, particularly at Grade 12, is a perennial problem in an assessment like NAEP for which there are no stakes or rewards for students to do well. (However, it is not clear why students should be less motivated in taking this history assessment than other NAEP assessments in which higher percentages of students reached the various “cutpoints.”) There may be differences between what is taught in the broad array of U.S. history classes and the content of this NAEP assessment. A lack of consistency between the grade levels at which the subject is taught and the NAEP assessment of Grades of 4, 8, and 12 could account for some of this discrepancy. The judges for the 12th grade levels may have had relatively higher expectations than judges for the other grades. Finally, the difference between more conventional testing practices in some classrooms and the NAEP assessment questions may be another factor. NAEP includes a variety of questions, from multiple-choice items to open-ended tasks that require students to apply knowledge and demonstrate skills by writing their answers.

Many of these factors, or a combination of all of them, could explain the gap between standards for student performance contained in the NAGB achievement levels.
and the actual performance on the 1994 NAEP history assessment.


11. Geography Achievement
See general technical notes regarding NAEP and the NAGB achievement levels.


**Goal 4: Teacher Education and Professional Development**

12. Teacher Preparation

Only secondary school teachers whose main assignment was in mathematics, science, English, social studies, fine arts, foreign language, and special education were included in the analysis of whether a teacher had a degree in his/her main assignment.

The subject areas used for teacher's main assignment were defined using the following assignment categories:

- **Mathematics:** mathematics
- **Science:** biology/life science, chemistry, geology/earth science/space science, physics, and general and all other science
- **English:** English/language arts and reading
- **Social studies:** social studies/social science
- **Fine arts:** art, dance, drama/theater, and music
- **Foreign language:** French, German, Latin, Russian, Spanish, and other foreign language
- **Special education:** general special education, emotionally disturbed, mentally retarded, speech/language impaired, deaf and hard-of-hearing, orthopedically impaired, severely handicapped, specific learning disabilities, and other special education

The subject areas used for teacher's degree were defined using the following training categories:

- **Mathematics:** mathematics and mathematics education
- **Science:** biology/life science, chemistry, geology/earth science/space science, physics, general and all other science, and science education
- **English:** English, English education, and reading education
- **Social studies:** social studies/social sciences education, economics, history, political science, psychology, public affairs and services, sociology, and other social sciences
- **Fine arts:** art education, art (fine and applied), drama/theater, music, and music education
- **Foreign language:** French, German, Latin, Russian, Spanish, other foreign language, and foreign language education
- **Special education:** general special education, emotionally disturbed, mentally retarded, speech/language impaired, deaf and hard-of-hearing, orthopedically impaired, severely handicapped, specific learning disabilities, and other special education

Information is not reported for bilingual education or English as a Second Language (ESL) degrees, since so few higher education institutions grant degrees in those fields.

A secondary teacher is one who, when asked for the grades taught, checked:

- “Ungraded” and was designated as a secondary teacher on the list of teachers provided by the school; or
- 6th grade or lower and 7th grade or higher, and reported a primary assignment other than prekindergarten, kindergarten, or general elementary; or
- 9th grade or higher, or 9th grade or higher and “ungraded;” or
- 7th and 8th grades only, and reported a primary assignment other than kindergarten, general elementary, or special education; or
- 7th and 8th grades only, and reported a primary assignment of special education and was designated as a secondary teacher on the list of teachers provided by the school; or
- 6th grade or lower and 7th grade or higher, or 7th and 8th grades only, and was not categorized above as either elementary or secondary.


13. Teacher Professional Development
Selected topics for professional development include uses of educational technology, methods of teaching subject field, in-depth study in subject field, and student assessment.

**Source:** U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, Teacher Survey of the

**Goal 5: Mathematics and Science**

14. **International Mathematics Achievement**

For the Third International Mathematics and Science Study (TIMSS), the following countries did not meet international guidelines at Grade 4: Australia, Austria, Hungary, Israel, Kuwait, Latvia (LSS), Netherlands, Slovenia, and Thailand.

In England, more than 10 percent of the population was excluded from testing at Grade 4. In England and Scotland, a participation rate of 75 percent of the schools and students combined for Grade 4 was achieved only after replacements for refusals were substituted.

The following countries did not meet international guidelines at Grade 8: Australia, Austria, Belgium (French), Bulgaria, Colombia, Denmark, Germany, Greece, Israel, Kuwait, Netherlands, Romania, Scotland, Slovenia, South Africa, and Thailand.

In four countries, more than 10 percent of the population was excluded from testing at Grade 8: England, Germany, Israel, and Lithuania. In Belgium (Flemish), England, Germany, Latvia (LSS), Switzerland, and the United States, a participation rate of 75 percent of the schools and students combined for Grade 8 was achieved only after replacements for refusals were substituted.


15. **International Science Achievement**

See technical note under indicator 14.

**Sources:** Ibid.

16. **Mathematics and Science Degrees**

Data include only U.S. citizens and resident aliens on permanent visas, and include institutions in U.S. territories.

Mathematical sciences is the only field of study included in the mathematics category for this report.

Fields of study in the science category for this report include: engineering; physical sciences; geosciences; computer science; life sciences (includes medical and agricultural sciences); social sciences; and science and engineering technologies (includes health technologies).

**Source:** Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System (IPEDS 1991 and 1995), which is conducted by the National Center for Education Statistics. The data were analyzed by Westat, using the National Science Foundation’s WebCASPAR Database System, August 1997.

**Goal 6: Adult Literacy and Lifelong Learning**

17. **Adult Literacy**

**Adult Literacy Scales**

The U.S. Department of Education and the Educational Testing Service (ETS) characterized the literacy of America’s adults in terms of three “literacy scales” representing distinct and important aspects of literacy: prose, document, and quantitative literacy. Each of the literacy scales has five levels.

Prose literacy, selected as a national core indicator for this report, is defined as the knowledge and skills needed to understand and use information from texts that include editorials, news stories, poems, and fiction; for example, finding a piece of information in a newspaper article, interpreting instructions from a warranty, inferring a theme from a poem, or contrasting views expressed in an editorial. The five levels are:

- **Level 1** – Most of the tasks in this level require the reader to read relatively short text to locate a single piece of information which is identical to or synonymous with the information given in the question or directive. If plausible but incorrect information is present in the text, it tends not to be located near the correct information.

- **Level 2** – Some tasks in this level require readers to locate a single piece of information in the text; however, several distractors or plausible but incorrect pieces of information may be present, or low-level inferences may be required. Other tasks require the reader to integrate two or more pieces of information or to compare and contrast easily identifiable information based on a criterion provided in the question or directive.

- **Level 3** – Tasks in this level tend to require readers to make literal or synonymous matches between the text and information given in the task, or to make matches that require low-level inferences. Other tasks ask readers to integrate information from dense or
lengthy text that contains no organizational aids such as headings. Readers may also be asked to generate a response based on information that can be easily identified in the text. Distracting information is present, but is not located near the correct information.

Level 4 – These tasks require readers to perform multiple-feature matches and to integrate or synthesize information from complex or lengthy passages. More complex inferences are needed to perform successfully. Conditional information is frequently present in tasks at this level and must be taken into consideration by the reader.

Level 5 – Some tasks in this level require the reader to search for information in dense text which contains a number of plausible distractors. Others ask readers to make high-level inferences or use specialized background knowledge. Some tasks ask readers to contrast complex information.


18. Participation in Adult Education

Adults 17 years old and older who participated in one or more adult education activities on a full-time, but not on a part-time, basis in the previous 12 months are excluded from both the numerator and denominator in the calculations of adult education participation.


19. Participation in Higher Education


Goal 7: Safe, Disciplined, and Alcohol- and Drug-free Schools

20. Overall Student Drug and Alcohol Use

Use of any illicit drug includes any use of marijuana, hallucinogens, cocaine, heroin, inhalants, or any use of stimulants or tranquilizers not under a doctor’s orders.


21. Sale of Drugs at School

Source: Ibid.

22. Student and Teacher Victimization

Student Victimization

Source: Ibid.

Teacher Victimization


23. Disruptions in Class by Students

Student Reports


Teacher Reports

See technical note for Goal 4, indicator 12 regarding the definition of a secondary teacher.

Goal 8: Parental Participation

24. Schools' Reports of Parent Attendance at Parent-Teacher Conferences

An elementary school was any school where the highest grade identified on the survey questionnaire was 6 or lower. A middle school was any school where the highest grade identified was 7 or 8, and three or fewer grades were served. All other schools (for example, where the highest grade identified was 7 or 8, and more than three grades were served) were not included in the analysis.


25. Schools' Reports of Parent Involvement in School Policy Decisions

See technical note under indicator 24.

Source: Ibid.

26. Parents' Reports of Their Involvement in School Activities

In the NHES:96, data for the three variables included in this report (attendance at a general school meeting, attendance at a school or class event, and acting as a volunteer at the school or serving on a school committee) were collected for a split-half of the sample. The other split-half of the sample included items that were worded slightly differently.


Readers interested in further information from data sources for the national core indicators presented in the 1997 Goals Report can contact the sponsoring agencies, as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data Source</th>
<th>Sponsoring Agency</th>
<th>Contact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Children’s Health Index (Indicator 1)</td>
<td>National Center for Health Statistics (NCHS)</td>
<td>Sally Clarke</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fast Response Survey System (FRSS) (Indicators 24 and 25)</td>
<td>National Center for Education Statistics (NCES)</td>
<td>Edith McArthur</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System (IPEDS) (Indicator 16)</td>
<td>NCES</td>
<td>Roslyn Korb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International Education Surveys (Indicators 14 and 15)</td>
<td>NCES</td>
<td>Eugene Owen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monitoring the Future (Indicators 20-23)</td>
<td>University of Michigan, Institute for Social Research</td>
<td>Lloyd Johnston</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Adult Literacy Survey (NALS) (Indicator 17)</td>
<td>NCES</td>
<td>Andrew Kolstad</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) (Indicators 6-11)</td>
<td>NCES</td>
<td>Gary Phillips</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Immunization Survey (Indicator 2)</td>
<td>Centers for Disease Control and Prevention</td>
<td>Victor Coronado</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Household Education Survey (NHES) (Indicators 3, 4, and 26)</td>
<td>NCES</td>
<td>Kathryn Chandler</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NHES Adult Education Component (Indicator 18)</td>
<td>NCES</td>
<td>Peter Stowe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCES items in the Current Population Survey (CPS) (Indicators 5 and 19)</td>
<td>NCES</td>
<td>Kathryn Chandler</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schools and Staffing Survey (SASS) (Indicators 12, 13, 22, and 23)</td>
<td>NCES</td>
<td>Daniel Kaspzyzk</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Readers interested in further analyses from NCES data sources can contact the National Education Data Resource Center (NEDRC) at the National Center for Education Statistics. NCES has established the NEDRC to enable state education personnel, education researchers, and others to obtain special statistical tabulations and analyses of data sets maintained by NCES. Researchers and others can ask the Data Center to perform specific tabulations or analyses, or they can work on-site directly with confidential files upon signing a confidentiality pledge. This service currently is provided free of charge by NCES.

The Data Center has files available from the:

- Common Core of Data (CCD),
- Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System (IPEDS),
- National Education Longitudinal Study (NELS:88),
- National Household Education Survey (NHES),
- National Postsecondary Student Aid Study (NPSAS),
- National Study of Postsecondary Faculty, and
- Schools and Staffing Survey (SASS).

In the future, the Data Center plans to add additional databases to its inventory.

To contact the National Education Data Resource Center, write or call:

c/o Pinkerton Computer Consultants, Inc.
1900 North Beauregard Street, Suite 200
Alexandria, VA 22311-1722

Fax requests to: (703) 820-7465
Phone: (703) 845-3151
http://www.ed.gov/
Appendix C: Technical Notes and Sources for the State Indicators

General Information

See general technical notes regarding data accuracy, sampling errors, and nonsampling errors in Appendix B.

Baseline and Most Recent Update Years

State participation may vary by data collection year for reporting dropout data using the National Center for Education Statistics' (NCES) uniform definition (indicator 7), state-level National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) reading (indicator 8), state-level NAEP mathematics (indicator 9), and data from the Youth Risk Behavior Survey (YRBS) (indicators 23-29). The baseline year and the most recent update year for each state are reported in parentheses next to the indicator.

For these indicators, the range of state stores and the median are calculated using the data for all states that participated in that year, whether or not that year represents all states' baseline year or most recent update year. For example, 14 states have 1992 as their baseline year for indicator 7 and five states have 1993 as their baseline year. For these five states, the range of state scores and the median score for indicator 7 include data for the 18 states that reported dropout rates in 1993.

State and U.S. Comparisons

For the state-level indicators on student achievement (8-10) and the mathematics instructional practices (17-18), the state data are for public school students, while the U.S. data are for public and private school students. For the indicators on teacher education and professional development (12-15), and teacher victimization and student disruptions (30-31), the state data are for public school teachers, while the U.S. data are for public and private school teachers.

Data for the U.S. that is reported on the state pages do not include the territories. Range of state scores and median scores reported on the state pages do include the territories.

Goal 1: Ready to Learn

1. Children's Health Index

The percentages of infants at risk are based on the number of births used to calculate the health index, not the actual number of births. The percentage of complete and usable birth records used to calculate the 1995 health index varied from a high of 99.81 to a low of 69.24. Four states (California, Indiana, New York, and South Dakota) did not collect information on all four risks in 1995; five states (California, Indiana, New York, Oklahoma, and South Dakota) did not collect information on all four risks in 1990.

The National Center for Health Statistics notes that alcohol use during pregnancy, which is one of the measures used to calculate the Children's Health Index, is likely to be underreported on the birth certificate.

Source: Nicholas Zill and Christine Winquist Nord of Westat developed the concept of the Children's Health Index. Stephanie Ventura and Sally Clarke of the National Center for Health Statistics provided the special tabulations of the 1990 and 1995 birth certificate data needed to produce the index, July 1997.

2. Immunizations

The Goals Panel reports data from 1994 as the baseline year for immunizations. This was the first year for which data were collected using the National Immunization Survey (NIS). In prior years, the Centers for Disease Control collected data on immunization using the National Health Interview Survey (NHIS). The Goals Panel does not compare data from NIS and NHIS, due to methodological differences between the two instruments.

3. Low Birthweight

**Source:** U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, unpublished tabulations from Division of Vital Statistics, National Center for Health Statistics; prepared by Westat, July 1997.

4. Early Prenatal Care

Prenatal care refers to the first visit for health care services during pregnancy.

**Source:** Ibid.

5. Preschool Programs for Children with Disabilities

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) supports the improvement of services for very young children with disabilities through several programs, including the Program for Infants and Toddlers with Disabilities (Part H), the Preschool Grants Program (Section 619 of Part B), and the Early Education Program for Children with Disabilities (Section 623 of Part C). The Congressional mandate required states to have a mandate in place by school year 1991-92 that ensures a free appropriate education (FAPE) for all eligible 3- to 5-year-old children with disabilities.

Data are based on state information submitted to the U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education and Rehabilitative Services (OSERS) on the number of children with disabilities served under IDEA, Part B and Chapter 1 (ESEA State-Operated Programs [SOP]) programs.


### Goal 2: School Completion

6. High School Completion Rates

The high school completion rates for 18- to 24-year-olds are computed as a percentage of the non-high school enrolled population at these ages who hold a high school credential (either a high school diploma or an alternative credential, such as a General Educational Development (GED) certificate, Individual Education Plan (IEP) credential, or certificate of attendance).

Because of small sample sizes, the state-level completion data are calculated using three-year averages. For example, for the baseline year, state data for 1990 reflect an average of 1989, 1990, and 1991. The data for the U.S. that is shown on the state pages are for 1990. For the most recent update year, state data for 1995 reflect an average of 1994, 1995, and 1996. The data for the U.S. that is shown on the state pages are for 1996.


7. High School Dropout Rates

The 1991-92 school year was the first for which states reported school district level data on the numbers and types of dropouts in the Common Core of Data (CCD) Agency Universe Survey. The CCD defined a dropout as an individual who: (1) was enrolled in school at some time during the previous school year; (2) was not enrolled on October 1 of the current school year; (3) has not graduated from high school or completed a state- or district-approved educational program; and (4) does not meet any exclusionary conditions. For the 1991-92 school year, 13 states and the District of Columbia reported data that were considered to meet the CCD standards to allow publication of their dropout data. For the 1992-93 school year, 17 states and the District of Columbia reported data that met CCD standards. For the 1993-94 year, 26 states and the District of Columbia reported data that met CCD standards.


### Goal 3: Student Achievement and Citizenship

#### General

**National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP)**

NAEP is a survey of the educational achievement of American students and changes in that achievement...
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Mathematics, science, writing, U.S. history, civics, periodic measures of student performance in reading, school year and biennially since then, have included The assessments, conducted annually until the 1979-80 be reported.

The assessments, conducted annually until the 1979-80 school year and biennially since then, have included periodic measures of student performance in reading, mathematics, science, writing, U.S. history, civics, geography, and other subject areas. NAEP also collects demographic, curricular, and instructional background information from students, teachers, and school administrators.

In 1988, Congress added a new dimension to NAEP by authorizing, on a trial basis, voluntary participation of public schools in state-level assessments.

National Assessment Governing Board (NAGB) Achievement Levels

The NAEP data shown under Goal 3 should be interpreted with caution. The Goals Panel's performance standard classifies student performance according to achievement levels devised by the National Assessment Governing Board. These achievement level data (in reading and mathematics) have been previously reported by the National Center for Education Statistics (NCES). Students with NAEP scores falling below the Goals Panel's performance standard have been classified as "Basic" or below; those above have been classified as "Proficient" or "Advanced."

The NAGB achievement levels represent a useful way of categorizing overall performance on the NAEP. They are also consistent with the Panel's efforts to report such performance against a high-criterion standard. However, both NAGB and NCES regard the achievement levels as developmental; the reader of this report is advised to interpret the achievement levels with caution.

NAGB has established standards for reporting the results of the National Assessment of Educational Progress. This effort has resulted in three achievement levels: basic, proficient, and advanced. The NAGB achievement levels are reasoned judgements of what students should know and be able to do. They are attempts to characterize overall student performance in particular subject matters. Readers should exercise caution, however, in making particular inferences about what students at each level actually know and can do. A NAEP assessment is a complex picture of student achievement, and applying external standards for performance is a difficult task. Evaluation studies have raised questions about the degree to which the standards in the NAGB achievement levels are actually reflected in an assessment and, hence, the degree to which inferences about actual performance can be made from these achievement levels. The Goals Panel acknowledges these limitations but believes that, used with caution, these levels convey important information about how American students are faring in reaching Goal 3.

Basic: This level, below proficient, denotes partial mastery of knowledge and skills that are fundamental for proficient work at each grade — 4, 8, and 12. For 12th grade, this is higher-than-minimum competency skills (which are normally taught in elementary and junior high school) and covers significant elements of standard high-school-level work.

Basic: This level, below proficient, denotes partial mastery of knowledge and skills that are fundamental for proficient work at each grade — 4, 8, and 12. For 12th grade, this is higher-than-minimum competency skills (which are normally taught in elementary and junior high school) and covers significant elements of standard high-school-level work.

Proficient: This central level represents solid academic performance for each grade tested — 4, 8, and 12. It reflects a consensus that students reaching this level have demonstrated competency over challenging subject matter and are well prepared for the next level of schooling. At Grade 12, the proficient level encompasses a body of subject-matter knowledge and analytical skills, and of cultural literacy and insight, that all high school graduates should have for democratic citizenship, responsible adulthood, and productive work.

Advanced: This higher level signifies superior performance beyond proficient grade-level mastery at Grades 4, 8, and 12. For 12th grade, the advanced level shows readiness for rigorous college courses, advanced training, or employment requiring advanced academic achievement.

Only three academic subjects are presented at the state level. Thus far, state-level assessments have only been conducted in reading, mathematics, and science, and student achievement levels have been established by NAGB in these three subject areas. The indicators for Goal 3 will be expanded as new NAEP assessments are developed in other subject areas and achievement levels are established.

8. Reading Achievement

See general technical notes regarding NAEP and the NAGB achievement levels.

In 1992, 43 jurisdictions (states and territories) participated in the 4th-grade reading assessment. In 1994, 44 jurisdictions participated in the voluntary program. However, two states, Idaho and Michigan, did not meet the minimum school participation guidelines for public schools; therefore, their results were not released. Also, Washington, DC, withdrew from the Trial State Assessment after the data collection phase. It should also be noted that Montana, Nebraska, New Hampshire, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, Tennessee, and Wisconsin did not satisfy one of the guidelines for school sample participation rates.
9. Mathematics Achievement

See general technical notes regarding NAEP and the NAGB achievement levels.

Forty jurisdictions (states and territories) participated in the 1990 trial mathematics assessment of 8th graders, and 44 jurisdictions participated in the 1992 state mathematics assessments of 4th and 8th graders.

In 1996, 45 jurisdictions participated in the voluntary assessment of 4th and 8th graders. However, three states (Nevada, New Hampshire, and New Jersey) failed to meet the minimum school participation guidelines for public schools at Grade 8; therefore, their results were not released. The following states did not satisfy one of the guidelines for school sample participation rates at Grade 4: Alaska, Arkansas, Iowa, Michigan, Montana, Nevada, New Jersey, New York, Pennsylvania, South Carolina, and Vermont. The following states did not satisfy one of the guidelines for school sample participation rates at Grade 8: Alaska, Arkansas, Iowa, Maryland, Michigan, Montana, New York, South Carolina, Vermont, and Wisconsin.


10. Science Achievement

See general technical notes regarding NAEP and the NAGB achievement levels.

In 1996, 45 jurisdictions participated in the voluntary program. However, three states (Nevada, New Hampshire, and New Jersey) failed to meet the minimum school participation guidelines for public schools; therefore, their results were not released. The following states did not satisfy one of the guidelines for school sample participation rates: Alaska, Arkansas, Iowa, Maryland, Michigan, Montana, New York, South Carolina, Vermont, and Wisconsin.


11. Advanced Placement Performance

The Advanced Placement program, sponsored by the College Board, provides a way for high schools to offer college-level coursework to students. At present, one or more course descriptions, examinations, and sets of curricular materials are available in art, biology, chemistry, computer science, economics, English, French, German, government and politics, history, Latin, mathematics, music, physics, and Spanish. Advanced Placement examinations, which are given in May, are graded on a five-point scale: 5 - extremely well qualified; 4 - well qualified; 3 - qualified; 2 - possibly qualified; and 1 - no recommendation. Grades of 3 and above generally are accepted for college credit and advanced placement at participating colleges and universities.

The subject areas used for this report include the following Advanced Placement examinations:

- **English**: English Language & Composition and English Literature & Composition
- **Science**: Biology, Chemistry, Physics B, Physics C - Mechanics, and Physics C - Electricity and Magnetism
- **Mathematics**: Calculus AB and Calculus BC
- **History**: U.S. History and European History
- **Foreign Language**: French Language, French Literature, Spanish Language, Spanish Literature, and German
- **Fine Arts**: Art History, Studio Art (Drawing and General), and Music Theory
- **Economics**: Macro-economics and Micro-economics
- **Government**: U.S. Government and Politics and Comparative Government and Politics

The number of Advanced Placement examinations graded 3 or above per 1,000 11th and 12th graders is presented in this report. The number of 11th and 12th graders includes public and private students. The enrollment figures were arrived at by multiplying the public enrollment by a private-enrollment adjustment factor.


12. Teacher Preparation

Only secondary school teachers whose main assignment was in mathematics, science, English, social studies, fine arts, foreign language, and special education were
included in the analysis of whether a teacher had a degree in his/her main assignment.

The subject areas used for teacher's main assignment were defined using the following assignment categories:

**Mathematics:** mathematics
**Science:** biology/life science, chemistry, geology/earth science/space science, physics, and general and all other science
**English:** English/language arts and reading
**Social studies:** social studies/social science
**Fine arts:** art, dance, drama/theater, and music
**Foreign language:** French, German, Latin, Russian, Spanish, and other foreign language
**Special education:** general special education, emotionally disturbed, mentally retarded, speech/language impaired, deaf and hard-of-hearing, orthopedically impaired, severely handicapped, specific learning disabilities, and other special education

The subject areas used for teacher's degree were defined using the following training categories:

**Mathematics:** mathematics and mathematics education
**Science:** biology/life science, chemistry, geology/earth science/space science, physics, general and all other science, and science education
**English:** English, English education, and reading education
**Social studies:** social studies/social sciences education, economics, history, political science, psychology, public affairs and services, sociology, and other social sciences
**Fine arts:** art education, art (fine and applied), drama/theater, music, and music education
**Foreign language:** French, German, Latin, Russian, Spanish, other foreign language, and foreign language education
**Special education:** general special education, emotionally disturbed, mentally retarded, speech/language impaired, deaf and hard-of-hearing, orthopedically impaired, severely handicapped, specific learning disabilities, and other special education

Information is not reported for bilingual education or English as a Second Language (ESL) degrees, since so few higher education institutions grant degrees in those fields.

A secondary teacher is one who, when asked for the grades taught, checked:

- “Ungraded” and was designated as a secondary teacher on the list of teachers provided by the school; or
- 6th grade or lower and 7th grade or higher, and reported a primary assignment other than prekindergarten, kindergarten, or general elementary; or
- 9th grade or higher, or 9th grade or higher and “ungraded;” or
- 7th and 8th grades only, and reported a primary assignment other than kindergarten, general elementary, or special education; or
- 7th and 8th grades only, and reported a primary assignment of special education and was designated as a secondary teacher on the list of teachers provided by the school; or
- 6th grade or lower and 7th grade or higher, or 7th and 8th grades only, and was not categorized above as either elementary or secondary.


13. Teacher Professional Development

Selected topics for professional development include uses of educational technology, methods of teaching subject field, in-depth study in subject field, and student assessment.


14. Preparation to Teach Limited English Proficient (LEP) Students

Source: Ibid.

15. Teacher Support


Goal 5: Mathematics and Science

16. International Mathematics and Science Achievement

NCES is conducting a research study that will link the data from the 1995 Third International Mathematics and Science Study (TIMSS) to the data from the 1996 mathematics and science assessments of the National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP). The linking will result in projections of how each state that
participated in the mathematics and science NAEP assessments in 1996 would have performed on the 1995 TIMSS assessment. We expect that these results will be available for the 1998 Goals Report and other future Goals Panel publications.

17. Mathematics Instructional Practices


18. Mathematics Resources

Source: Ibid.

19. Mathematics and Science Degrees

Data include only U.S. citizens and resident aliens on permanent visas, and include institutions in U.S. territories.

Mathematical sciences is the only field of study included in the mathematics category for this report.

Fields of study in the science category for this report include: engineering; physical sciences; geosciences; computer science; life sciences (includes medical and agricultural sciences); social sciences; and science and engineering technologies (includes health technologies).

No percentages are reported for minority mathematics and science degrees in Guam due to insufficient population size.

Source: Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System (IPEDS 1991 and 1995), which is conducted by the National Center for Education Statistics. The data were analyzed by Westat, using the National Science Foundation's WebCASPAR Database System, August 1997.

Goal 6: Adult Literacy and Lifelong Learning

20. Adult Literacy

The U.S. Department of Education and the Educational Testing Service (ETS) characterized the literacy of America’s adults in terms of three “literacy scales” representing distinct and important aspects of literacy: prose, document, and quantitative literacy. Each of the literacy scales has five levels.

Prose literacy, presented in this report, is defined as the knowledge and skills needed to understand and use information from texts that include editorials, news stories, poems, and fiction; for example, finding a piece of information in a newspaper article, interpreting instructions from a warranty, inferring a theme from a poem, or contrasting views expressed in an editorial. The five levels are:

Level 1 – Most of the tasks in this level require the reader to read relatively short text to locate a single piece of information which is identical to or synonymous with the information given in the question or directive. If plausible but incorrect information is present in the text, it tends not to be located near the correct information.

Level 2 – Some tasks in this level require readers to locate a single piece of information in the text; however, several distractors or plausible but incorrect pieces of information may be present, or low-level inferences may be required. Other tasks require the reader to integrate two or more pieces of information or to compare and contrast easily identifiable information based on a criterion provided in the question or directive.

Level 3 – Tasks in this level tend to require readers to make literal or synonymous matches between the text and information given in the task, or to make matches that require low-level inferences. Other tasks ask readers to integrate information from dense or lengthy text that contains no organizational aids such as headings. Readers may also be asked to generate a response based on information that can be easily identified in the text. Distracting information is present, but is not located near the correct information.

Level 4 – These tasks require readers to perform multiple-feature matches and to integrate or synthesize information from complex or lengthy passages. More complex inferences are needed to perform successfully. Conditional information is frequently present in tasks at this level and must be taken into consideration by the reader.

Level 5 – Some tasks in this level require the reader to search for information in dense text which contains a number of plausible distractors. Others ask readers to make high-level inferences or use specialized background knowledge. Some tasks ask readers to contrast complex information.

Twelve states (California, Florida, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Louisiana, New Jersey, New York, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Texas, and Washington) participated in the 1992 State Adult Literacy Survey. The Oregon Progress Board conducted an independent study in 1990, which was validated by the Educational Testing Service. Adults aged 16-65 participated in the 1990 Oregon study; in other states that participated in 1992, the sample included adults aged 16 and older.
Sources: Educational Testing Service, unpublished tabulations from the 1992 State Adult Literacy Survey, August 1993. The Oregon Progress Board conducted an independent study in 1990, which was validated by the Educational Testing Service.

21. Voter Registration and Voting


22. Participation in Higher Education
The Residence and Migration portion of the Fall Enrollment Survey is administered every two years. Data on high school graduates are for the previous spring; however, public and private school data on high school graduates are for different years because the Common Core of Data (CCD) is collected annually and the Private School Universe Survey is administered every two years. The 1992-93 CCD provides the number of public high school graduates in the 1991-92 school year; the 1991-92 Private School Universe Survey provides the number of private high school graduates in the 1990-91 school year. Similarly, the 1994-95 CCD provides the number of public high school graduates in the 1993-94 school year; the 1993-94 Private School Universe Survey provides the number of private high school graduates in the 1992-93 school year.

The Private School Universe Survey uses a combination of list frame and area frame samples to produce national estimates; the state estimates of private high school graduates are not considered representative. For 12 states, however, the area frame sample is large enough that standard errors can be calculated; for these states, change between 1992 (the baseline year) and 1994 (the most recent update) can be measured. For the remaining 38 states, the sample size is insufficient to permit a reliable estimate of change between 1992 and 1994.

The Private School Universe Survey does not collect data on private high school graduates in the U.S. territories (American Samoa, Guam, Northern Marianas, Puerto Rico, and the Virgin Islands). This report does not include data for the territories.


23. Student Marijuana Use
The information from the Youth Risk Behavior Survey (YRBS) includes only states with weighted data.


24. Student Alcohol Use
See technical note under indicator 23.
Source: Ibid.

25. Availability of Drugs on School Property
See technical note under indicator 23.
Sources: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Current Tobacco, Alcohol, Marijuana, and Cocaine Use Among High School Students - United States, 1993 (Atlanta, GA: 1994).


26. Student Victimization
See technical note under indicator 23.
Source: Ibid.

27. Physical Fights
See technical note under indicator 23.
Source: Ibid.
28. Carrying a Weapon
See technical note under indicator 23.
Source: Ibid.

29. Student Safety
See technical note under indicator 23.
Source: Ibid.

30. Teacher Victimization

31. Disruptions in Class by Students
See technical note for Goal 4, indicator 12, regarding the definition of a secondary teacher.

32. Parental Involvement in Schools

33. Influence of Parent Associations
Areas of school policy include establishing curriculum, hiring new full-time teachers, and setting discipline policy.

In 1990-91, data from principals reporting that the parent association in their school has substantial influence on hiring new teachers were not reported for the following states due to small sample size: Arkansas, Georgia, Idaho, Kansas, Maine, Massachusetts, Montana, Nevada, New Mexico, North Dakota, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, Vermont, West Virginia, and Wyoming. Also, for South Carolina, the value was 0 in this year, which is not shown on the graph.

In 1993-94, data from principals reporting that the parent association in their school has substantial influence on hiring new teachers were not reported for the following states due to small sample size: South Carolina and West Virginia. Also, for North Dakota, the value was 0 in this year, which is not shown on the graph.

In 1990-91, data from principals reporting that the parent association in their school has substantial influence on setting discipline policy were not reported for the state of Maine due to small sample size.

Readers interested in further information from data sources for the state indicators presented in the 1997 Goals Report can contact the sponsoring agencies, as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data Source</th>
<th>Sponsoring Agency</th>
<th>Contact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Advanced Placement (Indicator 11)</td>
<td>The College Board</td>
<td>Wade Curry (212) 713-8066</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birth Certificate Data (Indicators 1, 3, and 4)</td>
<td>National Center for Health Statistics (NCHS)</td>
<td>Sally Clarke (301) 436-8500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Stephanie Ventura (301) 436-8954</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Common Core of Data (CCD) (Indicators 7 and 22)</td>
<td>National Center for Education Statistics (NCES)</td>
<td>Lee Hoffman (202) 219-1621</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current Population Surveys (Indicator 21)</td>
<td>Bureau of the Census</td>
<td>Lynn Casper (301) 457-2445</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data Analysis System (Indicator 5)</td>
<td>Office of Special Education</td>
<td>Jane C. Williams (202) 205-9039</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System</td>
<td>NCES</td>
<td>Roslyn Korb (202) 219-1587</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Indicators 19 and 22)</td>
<td>International Education Surveys (Indicator 16)</td>
<td>Eugene Owen 202-219-1746</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Adult Literacy Survey (NALS) (Indicator 20)</td>
<td>NCES</td>
<td>Andrew Kolstad (202) 219-1773</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP)</td>
<td>NCES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Indicators 8-10, 17, and 18)</td>
<td>Educational Testing Service (ETS)</td>
<td>Doug Rhodes (800) 551-1230</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Immunization Survey (Indicator 2)</td>
<td>Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC)</td>
<td>Victor Coronado (404) 639-8892</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCES items in the Current Population Survey (CPS)</td>
<td>NCES</td>
<td>Kathryn Chandler (202) 219-1767</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private School Survey (Indicator 22)</td>
<td>NCES</td>
<td>Steve Broughman (202) 219-1744</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schools and Staffing Survey (SASS) (Indicators 12-15, and 30-33)</td>
<td>NCES</td>
<td>Daniel Kasprzyk (202) 219-1588</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Youth Risk Behavior Survey (YRBS) (Indicators 23-29)</td>
<td>CDC</td>
<td>Laura Kann (770) 488-3251</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Readers interested in further analyses from NCES data sources can contact the National Education Data Resource Center (NEDRC) at the National Center for Education Statistics. NCES has established the NEDRC to enable state education personnel, education researchers, and others to obtain special statistical tabulations and analyses of data sets maintained by NCES. Researchers and others can ask the Data Center to perform specific tabulations or analyses, or they can work on-site directly with confidential files upon signing a confidentiality pledge. This service currently is provided free of charge by NCES.

The Data Center has files available from the:

- Common Core of Data (CCD),
- Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System (IPEDS),
- National Education Longitudinal Study (NELS:88),
- National Household Education Survey (NHES),
- National Postsecondary Student Aid Study (NPSAS),
- National Study of Postsecondary Faculty, and
- Schools and Staffing Survey (SASS).

In the future, the Data Center plans to add additional databases to its inventory.

To contact the National Education Data Resource Center, write or call:

c/o Pinkerton Computer Consultants, Inc.
1900 North Beauregard Street, Suite 200
Alexandria, VA 22311-1722

Fax requests to: (703) 820-7465
Phone: (703) 845-3151
http://www.ed.gov/
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GOAL 1: READY TO LEARN

Goal 1 Ready Schools Resource Group
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Technical Planning Group on Readiness for School

Leader:  Sharon Lynn Kagan, Yale University
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  Sue Bredekamp, National Association for the
  Education of Young Children
  M. Elizabeth Graue, University of Wisconsin
  Luís Laosa, Educational Testing Service
  Samuel Meisels, University of Michigan
  Evelyn Moore, National Black Child Development
  Institute
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  Lorrie Shepard, University of Colorado
  Valora Washington, The Kellogg Foundation
  Nicholas Zill, Westat

GOAL 2: SCHOOL COMPLETION

Resource Group Convener:  Rafael Valdivieso,
Academy for Educational Development, Inc.

Members:
  Janet Baldwin, General Education Development
  Testing Service
  José Cardenas, The Intercultural Development
  Research Association
  Barbara Clements, Council of Chief State School
  Officers
  Edmond Gordon, City College of New York
  Noreen López, Illinois State Board of Education
  Pamela Keating, University of Washington
  Steven Neillson, Milliman and Robertson, Inc.
  Bill Padia, California State Department of Education
  Aaron Pallas, Michigan State University
  Richard Wallace, University of Pittsburgh

Technical Planning Subgroup on Core Data Elements

Leader:  Barbara Clements, Council of Chief State
         School Officers

Members:
  Linda Baker, Maryland State Department of Education
  Paul Barton, Educational Testing Service
  Matthew Cohen, Ohio Department of Education
  Dennis Jones, National Center for Higher Education
  Management Systems
  Glynn Ligon, Evaluation Software Publication
  John Porter, Urban Education Alliance, Inc.
  Ramsay Selden, Education Statistics Services Institute,
  American Institutes for Research
  Nicholas Zill, Westat
GOAL 3: STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT AND CITIZENSHIP

Resource Group Convener: Lauren Resnick, University of Pittsburgh

Members:
Gordon Ambach, Council of Chief State School Officers
Chester Finn, Jr., Hudson Institute
Asa Hilliard, Georgia State University
David Hornbeck, Philadelphia Public Schools
Richard Mills, New York Department of Education
Claire Pelton, San Jose Unified School District

Goals 3/5 NAEP Technical Advisory Subgroup
Leader: Ramsay Selden, Education Statistics Services Institute, American Institutes for Research

Members:
Eva Baker, University of California, Los Angeles
Dorothy Gilford, National Academy of Sciences
Robert Glaser, University of Pittsburgh
Steven Leinwand, Connecticut State Department of Education
Robert Linn, University of Colorado
Michael Nettles, University of Michigan
Senta Raizen, National Center for Improving Science Education
William Schmidt, Michigan State University
Elizabeth Stage, National Research Council
Uri Treisman, University of Texas, Austin
James Wilsford, Jim Wilsford Associates, Inc.

GOAL 4: TEACHER EDUCATION AND PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT

Resource Group Convener: David Imig, American Association of Colleges for Teacher Education

Members:
Marsha Berger, American Federation of Teachers
Gene Carter, Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development
Linda Darling Hammond, Teachers College, Columbia University
Launa Ellison, Clara Barton School, Minneapolis, Minnesota
Earlene Gillan-Smith, Delaware State Education Association
Howard Jensen, Pioneer High School, Cupertino, California
James Kelly, National Board for Professional Teaching Standards
Judith Lanier, Michigan State University
Marion Payne, Mount View Middle School, Marriottsville, Maryland
Stan Paz, El Paso School District, Texas
Judith Renyi, National Foundation for the Improvement of Education
Ted Sanders, Southern Illinois University
Claudette Scott, Hickman Mills Consolidated School District #1, Kansas City, Missouri
Marilyn Scannel, Indiana Professional Standards Board
Mary Strandburg, Eagleton School, Denver, Colorado
Arthur Wise, National Council for the Accreditation of Teacher Education
Wayne Worner, Virginia Tech

Advisors for Resource Group on Teacher Education and Professional Development:
Sharon Bobbitt, U.S. Department of Education
Patricia Brown, National Governors' Association
Terry Dozier, U.S. Department of Education
Jean Miller, Council of Chief State School Officers
Mary Rollefson, U.S. Department of Education
Joe Vaughan, U.S. Department of Education

GOAL 5: MATHEMATICS AND SCIENCE

Resource Group Convener: Alvin Trivelpiece, Oak Ridge National Laboratory

Members:
Iris Carl, National Council of Teachers of Mathematics
Steven Leinwand, Connecticut State Department of Education
Michael Nettles, University of Michigan
Alba Ortiz, University of Texas, Austin
Senta Raizen, National Center for Improving Science Education
Ramsay Selden, Education Statistics Services Institute, American Institutes for Research

Goals 3/5 Standards Review Technical Planning Subgroup
Leader: Shirley Malcom, American Association for the Advancement of Science

Members:
Iris Carl, National Council of Teachers of Mathematics
Thomas Crawford, U.S. Olympic Committee
Mihaly Csikszentmihalyi, University of Chicago
Phillip Daro, University of California
Chester Finn, Jr., Hudson Institute
Anne Heald, University of Maryland
David Hornbeck, Philadelphia Public Schools
David Kears, Xerox Corporation
Richard Mills, New York Department of Education
Harold Noah, Teachers College, Columbia University
Claire Pelton, San Jose Unified School District  
James Renier, Honeywell Corporation  
Sidney Smith, Coalition of Essential Schools/Atlas  
James Wilsford, Jim Wilsford Associates, Inc.

**Goals 3/5 Higher Education Advisory Group on Standards**

**Leader:**  
Michael Timpane, Teachers College, Columbia University

**Members:**  
Bob Albright, Educational Testing Service  
Michael Behnke, Massachusetts Institute of Technology  
Kenneth Boutte, Xavier University  
David Conley, University of Oregon  
Jon Fuller, National Association of Independent Colleges and Universities  
Claire Gaudiani, Connecticut College  
Terry Hartle, American Council of Education  
Doris Helms, Clemson University  
Bob McCabe, Miami-Dade Community College  
Arturo Pacheco, University of Texas-El Paso  
Paul Ruiz, American Association of Higher Education  
Donald Stewart, The College Board  
Arthur Wise, National Council for the Accreditation of Teacher Education

**GOAL 6: ADULT LITERACY AND LIFELONG LEARNING**

**Resource Group Convener:** Mark Musick, Southern Regional Education Board

**Members:**  
Paul Barton, Educational Testing Service  
Forest Chisman, Southport Institute for Policy Analysis  
Peter Ewell, National Center for Higher Education Management Systems  
Joy McLarty, American College Testing  
William Spring, Federal Reserve Bank of Boston  
Thomas Sticht, Applied, Behavioral, and Cognitive Sciences, Inc.  
Marc Tucker, National Center on Education and the Economy

**GOAL 7: SAFE, DISCIPLINED, AND ALCOHOL- AND DRUG-FREE SCHOOLS**

**Resource Group Convener:** John Porter, Urban Education Alliance

**Members:**  
C. Leonard Anderson, Portland Public Schools  
Michael Guerra, National Catholic Education Association  
J. David Hawkins, Social Development Research Group  
Fred Hechinger, Carnegie Corporation of New York  
Barbara Huff, Federation of Families for Children’s Mental Health  
Lloyd Johnston, University of Michigan  
Ronda Talley, American Psychological Association

**Advisors for Resource Group on Safe, Disciplined, and Alcohol- and Drug-free Schools:**  
Janet Collins, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention  
Vincent Giordano, New York City Public Schools  
Oliver Moles, U.S. Department of Education  
Ed Zubrow, Independent Consultant

**Task Force on Disciplined Environments Conducive to Learning**

**Leader:**  
Ronda Talley, American Psychological Association

**Members:**  
C. Leonard Anderson, Portland Public Schools  
Michael Guerra, National Catholic Education Association  
J. David Hawkins, Social Development Research Group  
Fred Hechinger, Carnegie Corporation of New York  
Barbara Huff, Federation of Families for Children’s Mental Health

**Advisors for Task Force on Disciplined Environments Conducive to Learning:**  
Oliver Moles, U.S. Department of Education  
Ed Zubrow, Independent Consultant

**GOAL 8: PARENTAL PARTICIPATION**

**Resource Group Convener:** Joyce Epstein, Johns Hopkins University

**Members:**  
Marilyn Aklin, National Coalition of Title I/Chapter I Parents  
Ja Net’ Crouse, National PTA  
Jacquelynne Eccles, University of Michigan  
Jane Grinde, Wisconsin Department of Public Instruction  
Anne Henderson, National Coalition for Parent Involvement in Education  
Thomas Hoffer, National Opinion Research Corporation  
Adrian Lewis, National Urban League  
Douglas Powell, Purdue University  
Jeana Preston, San Diego City Schools  
Diane Scott-Jones, Temple University  
Ralph Smith, The Annie E. Casey Foundation
Layla Suleiman, Family Resource Coalition
Sherry West, Prevention Partnership (National Head Start Association)

Advisors for Resource Group on Parental Participation:
Kathryn Chandler, U.S. Department of Education
Adriana de Kanter, U.S. Department of Education
Oliver Moles, U.S. Department of Education

GOALS 3-4-5 STANDARDS IMPLEMENTATION ADVISORY GROUP

Leader: G. Thomas Houlihan, Office of the Governor of North Carolina

Members:
Adrienne Bailey, Council of the Great City Schools
Tom Davis, National Association of State Boards of Education
Pat Dingsdale, National PTA
Lily Eskelsen, National Education Association
Susan Galletti, National Association of Secondary School Principals
Milton Goldberg, National Alliance of Business
Karl Hertz, American Association of School Administrators
Gary M. Huggins, Education Leaders Council
David Imig, American Association of Colleges for Teacher Education
Senator David Kerr, Chair, Kansas Senate Ways and Means Committee
Henry Marockie, Council of Chief State School Officers
Janice Marston, National Association of Elementary School Principals
Tom Needles, Office of the Governor of Ohio
Mike Resnick, National School Boards Association
Representative Stephen M. Stoll, Chair, Missouri House Education Committee
Susan Trainman, The Business Roundtable
Terry Wyatt, American Federation of Teachers

DATA AND REPORTING TASK FORCE

Leader: Rolf Blank, Council of Chief State School Officers

Members:
Paul Barton, Educational Testing Service
Matthew Cohen, Ohio Department of Education
Mark Musick, Southern Regional Education Board
Cecilia Ortinger, Council of the Great City Schools
Thomas Soltys, Delaware Department of Public Instruction
Nicholas Zill, Westat

Task Force Advisors:
Patricia Brown, National Governors’ Association
Karen Greene, U.S. Department of Labor
Jeanne Griffith, U.S. Department of Education
Mary Rollefson, U.S. Department of Education

TASK FORCE ON EDUCATION NETWORK TECHNOLOGY

Leader: Robert Palaich, Education Commission of the States

Members:
Laura Breeden, U.S. Department of Commerce
John Clement, National Science Foundation
Jan Hawkins, Bank Street College of Education
Robert Kansky, National Academy of Sciences
Pamela Keating, University of Washington
Glenn Kessler, Fairfax County Public Schools, Virginia
Mark Musick, Southern Regional Education Board
Bill Padia, California State Department of Education
Nora Sabelli, National Science Foundation
Rafael Valdivieso, Academy for Educational Development, Inc.

Task Force Advisors:
Steven Gould, Congressional Research Service
Gerald Malitz, U.S. Department of Education
Linda Roberts, U.S. Department of Education
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The National Education Goals Panel values your feedback on the 1997 National Education Goals Report. Please take a few moments to fill out and return this questionnaire so that we can continue to improve future reports. Mail or fax to:

National Education Goals Panel
1255 22nd Street, NW, Suite 502, Washington, DC 20037
PHONE (202) 724-0015
FAX (202) 632-0957
E-MAIL: NEGP@goalline.org
Website: http://www.negp.gov

Name: ________________________________________________________________
Organization: __________________________________________________________
Address: ______________________________________________________________
City: ______________________ State: ________ Zip: ________________________
Phone: ______________________ Fax: ______________________
E-mail: ________________________________________________________________

Please circle all that apply:
Student / Parent / Educator / Business or Community Leader /
Federal, State, or Local Policymaker / Concerned Citizen

1. For what purpose do you use this report?

2. How well has the report served that purpose?
   ____ Very Well  ____ Well  ____ Poorly  ____ Very Poorly

3. How, if at all, could the report have served you better?

4. How do you rate the usefulness of the following parts of the report?
   (1 = not very useful and 5 = very useful)
   • Chapter 1 - Mathematics and Science Achievement for the 21st Century
     1  2  3  4  5  N/A
   • Chapter 2 - U.S. Scorecard and National Exhibits
     1  2  3  4  5  N/A
   • Chapter 3 - State Scorecards
     1  2  3  4  5  N/A
5. Please check if you would like to obtain free copies of the following:

1997 National Education Goals Report
1997 Summary: Mathematics and Science Achievement for the 21st Century
1996 National Education Goals Report
1996 Executive Summary: Commonly Asked Questions About Standards and Assessments
1995 National Education Goals Report
1995 Executive Summary: Improving Education Through Family-School-Community Partnerships
CD-ROM with 1994 and 1995 Goals Reports
Getting a Good Start in School
Special Early Childhood Report, 1997
Implementing Academic Standards: Papers Commissioned by the National Education Goals Panel, 1997

This volume includes:

- Benchmarks and Standards as Tools for Science Education Reform (George Nelson, American Association for the Advancement of Science)
- Clarifying Questions About Persistence and Change: Standards-Based Reform in Nine States (Diane Massell, Consortium for Policy Research in Education)
- Improving Student Learning in Mathematics and Science: The Role of National Standards in State Policy (National Council of Teachers of Mathematics and the National Research Council)
- Overcoming Structural Barriers to Good Textbooks (Harriet Tyson)
- Reflections on State Efforts to Improve Mathematics and Science Education in Light of Findings from TIMSS (Andrew Zucker, SRI International)
- Teaching for High Standards: What Policymakers Need to Know and Be Able to Do (Linda Darling Hammond, and D. Loewenberg Ball, Teachers College, Columbia University)

The National Education Goals Panel thanks you for your interest.