

Appendix B

Summary of Community Meeting Data

Appendix B: Summary of Community Meeting Data

Demographic Characteristics (N=Total Number of Respondents)	Weighted Averages ¹ : % of Meeting Attendees
Gender (N=3,775): Male Female	37.7% 62.3%
Age in years (N=3,824): Under 25 25-44 45-64 65+	6.0% 25.5% 53.2% 15.3%
Hispanic Origin (N=3,805): Yes No Declined to answer ²	9.1% 87.6% 3.3%
Race ³ (N=3,810): White Black/African-American Asian Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander American Indian/Alaska Native Multiple races Other Declined to answer ²	69.5% 16.9% 2.6% 0.2% 1.7% 1.8% 3.8% 3.6%
Education (N=3,856): Elementary Some High School High School Graduate/GED Some College Associate's Degree Bachelor's Degree Graduate/Professional Degree Declined to answer	0.9% 1.3% 6.7% 17.1% 6.5% 23.9% 43.3% 0.5%
Source of Coverage ⁴ (N=3,662): Employer Self-purchased Veterans' Administration Medicare Medicaid Other Uninsured Not Sure	64.5% 8.3% 1.1% 12.6% 2.6% 3.6% 6.6% 0.7%
Employment ⁵ (N=3,776): Self-employed Full-time Part-time Looking for work Homemaker Other/retired	11.6% 50.2% 8.1% 4.7% 1.7% 18.9%

¹ The weighted average was calculated as the total number of individuals providing a particular response to a question across all meetings divided by the total number of individuals who answered that question at all the meetings.

² The "decline to answer" option was not provided at all meetings.

³ Classifications of race varied between meeting sites. In some meetings, the question of race was limited to one answer whereas in other meetings, attendees were permitted to answer "multiple races." Also, attendees were allowed to decline to respond.

⁴ The question on source of health coverage was not asked in two meetings.

⁵ The question on employment was not asked in one meeting; the categories of full-time and part-time were combined in another.

% Who View The Health Care System as Being in Crisis or Major Problem		% that Believe Health Care Should:			% Who Think Affordable Health Care Should be Public Policy		% Who Believe that Health Care Should Cover:		
<u>Meeting Sites Sorted from Lowest to Highest</u>		<u>Meeting Sites Sorted by "Everyday Costs"</u>	<u>Pay Everyday Costs</u>	<u>Protect from High Costs</u>	<u>Meeting Sites Sorted from Lowest to Highest</u>		<u>Meeting Sites Sorted by Percent Answering "Certain Groups"</u>	<u>Certain Groups</u>	<u>A Level of Benefits for All</u>
Fargo, ND	87.5%	Eugene, OR	18.9%	80.0% ¹	Salt Lake City, UT	77.2%	Philadelphia, PA	2.0%	98.0%
Billings, MT	90.2%	Baton Rouge, LA	22.8%	77.2%	Baton Rouge, LA	85.5%	New York, NY	2.1%	97.9%
Little Rock, AR	90.8%	Salt Lake City, UT	25.6%	72.1% ¹	Las Vegas, NV	87.4%	Phoenix, AZ	2.8%	97.2%
Jackson, MS	93.5%	Billings, MT	26.4%	70.8% ¹	Fargo, ND	89.4%	Hartford, CT	3.2%	96.8%
Tucson, AZ	94.1%	Des Moines, IA	26.9%	71.0% ¹	Billings, MT	90.2%	Little Rock, AR	4.2%	95.8%
Salt Lake City, UT	94.5%	Seattle, WA	27.1%	36.2% ¹	Orlando, FL	90.4%	Eugene, OR	4.4%	95.6%
Lexington, KY	94.5%	Memphis, TN	27.5%	71.3% ¹	Albuquerque, NM	90.4%	Detroit, MI	4.8%	95.2%
Des Moines, IA	94.9%	Denver, CO	28.0%	71.1% ¹	Kansas City, MO	90.7%	Orlando, FL	4.9%	81.1% ¹
Orlando, FL	95.1%	Phoenix, AZ	28.0%	70.0% ¹	Eugene, OR	91.2%	Denver, CO	5.0%	95.0%
Las Vegas, NV	95.2%	Charlotte, NC	28.6%	70.2% ¹	Jackson, MS	91.4%	Seattle, WA	6.8%	93.2%
Providence, RI	95.9%	Miami, FL	29.4%	70.6%	Phoenix, AZ	91.5%	Tucson, AZ	6.8%	93.2%
Charlotte, NC	95.9%	Orlando, FL	30.1%	68.3% ¹	Miami, FL	91.7%	San Antonio, TX	7.1%	92.9%
Memphis, TN	96.1%	Tucson, AZ	31.1%	68.9%	Charlotte, NC	92.0%	Lexington, KY	7.2%	92.8%
Miami, FL	96.2%	Kansas City, MO	31.7%	57.4% ¹	Des Moines, IA	92.5%	Des Moines, IA	7.4%	92.6%
Kansas City, MO	96.8%	New York, NY	35.2%	46.6% ¹	Denver, CO	92.9%	Indianapolis, IN	7.5%	92.5%
San Antonio, TX	96.9%	Sacramento, CA	35.6%	62.2% ¹	Tucson, AZ	93.2%	Jackson, MS	8.3%	91.7%
Phoenix, AZ	97.0%	Indianapolis, IN	36.4%	62.1% ¹	Providence, RI	93.5%	Sacramento, CA	9.0%	91.0%
Sioux Falls, SD	97.0%	Jackson, MS	42.1%	57.9%	Lexington, KY	93.6%	Memphis, TN	9.6%	90.4%
Indianapolis, IN	97.5%	Cincinnati, OH	43.8%	48.0% ¹	Indianapolis, IN	94.9%	Kansas City, MO	9.7%	80.6% ¹
Baton Rouge, LA	98.2%	Detroit, MI	44.9%	50.0% ¹	Los Angeles, CA	95.4%	Cincinnati, OH	9.7%	90.3%
Eugene, OR	98.2%	Philadelphia, PA	49.0%	49.7% ¹	San Antonio, TX	95.5%	Los Angeles, CA	9.9%	90.1%
Sacramento, CA	98.4%	Providence, RI	57.8%	40.0% ¹	Memphis, TN	95.9%	Miami, FL	10.0%	78.9% ¹
Denver, CO	98.6%	Los Angeles, CA	NA	NA	Little Rock, AR	96.8%	Albuquerque, NM	11.0%	89.0%
Cincinnati, OH	98.9%	Albuquerque, NM	NA	NA	Sioux Falls, SD	97.0%	Billings, MT	13.0%	87.0%
Detroit, MI	99.0%	Hartford, CT	NA	NA	Seattle, WA	97.1%	Providence, RI	17.4%	82.6%
Albuquerque, NM	99.0%	Las Vegas, NV	NA	NA	New York, NY	97.1%	Salt Lake City, UT	18.7%	81.3%
Los Angeles, CA	100.0%	San Antonio, TX	NA	NA	Sacramento, CA	97.6%	Charlotte, NC	18.9%	81.1%
New York, NY	100.0%	Fargo, ND	NA	NA	Cincinnati, OH	98.2%	Baton Rouge, LA	19.6%	67.9% ¹
Hartford, CT	100.0%	Lexington, KY	NA	NA	Detroit, MI	98.7%	Las Vegas, NV	22.5%	77.5%
Philadelphia, PA	100.0%	Little Rock, AR	NA	NA	Philadelphia, PA	99.3%	Sioux Falls, SD	22.6%	77.4%
Seattle, WA	100.0%	Sioux Falls, SD	NA	NA	Hartford, CT	100.0%	Fargo, ND	23.3%	76.7%
Weighted average	96.8%	Weighted average	33.9%	60.3%¹	Weighted average	94.1%	Weighted average	8.9%	89.9%¹

¹ Some respondents selected "Other," "Unsure" or "No opinion", so the numbers shown here do not add up to 100 percent.

Who ought to decide what is in a basic benefits package? (SELECT ONE.)

<u>Meeting Site</u>	<u>Consumers</u>	<u>Medical Professionals</u>	<u>Government</u>	<u>Employers</u>	<u>Insurance Companies</u>	<u>Some Combination</u>
Baton Rouge, LA	19.0%	8.6%	5.2%	1.7%	0.0%	65.5%
Charlotte, NC	23.5%	3.7%	1.2%	1.2%	1.2%	69.1%
Cincinnati, OH	25.8%	7.9%	3.6%	1.0%	0.5%	61.2%
Los Angeles, CA	20.6%	15.4%	2.6%	0.4%	0.4%	60.7%
Memphis, TN	28.4%	6.2%	4.9%	0.0%	0.0%	60.5%
Weighted Average	23.8%	9.7%	3.3%	0.8%	0.5%	62.0%

On a scale of 1 (no input) to 10 (exclusive input), how much input should each of the following have in deciding what is in a basic benefit package?

<u>Meeting Site</u>	<u>Consumers</u>	<u>Medical Professionals</u>	<u>Federal Government</u>	<u>State/Local Government</u>	<u>Employers</u>	<u>Insurance Companies</u>
Billings, MT	6.3	6.0	5.1	4.7	4.0	2.4
Denver, CO	6.8	6.4	4.2	4.0	3.8	2.5
Des Moines, IA	6.7	5.4	5.0	4.7	2.6	2.2
Detroit, MI	7.6	6.8	3.5	3.7	2.4	1.4
Indianapolis, IN	7.6	6.1	4.9	3.9	3.3	2.2
Jackson, MS	7.8	5.7	3.6	3.0	3.6	1.8
Miami, FL	6.9	5.5	5.0	4.5	3.0	2.3
New York, NY	7.7	6.7	5.2	4.1	2.1	1.4
Philadelphia, PA	6.7	6.0	4.4	4.4	3.1	1.5
Phoenix, AZ	7.7	5.2	3.9	3.7	3.4	2.0
Providence, RI	8.0	6.8	4.1	3.8	2.8	2.3
Sacramento, CA	7.4	6.4	3.8	3.8	2.9	2.5
Salt Lake City, UT	6.8	4.9	4.6	4.7	3.1	2.6
Seattle, WA	7.3	5.9	4.3	4.0	2.3	1.6
Tucson, AZ	6.6	6.2	3.9	3.4	3.2	2.6
Meeting Average	7.2	6.0	4.4	4.0	3.0	2.1

Note: Not included are community meeting data from Kansas City, Albuquerque, Hartford, Las Vegas, Eugene, San Antonio, Fargo, Lexington, Little Rock, and Sioux Falls because participants did not answer a comparable question. In the Orlando community meeting, participants grouped responses into categories that were not comparable with the other meetings.

Should some people be responsible for paying more than others?	What criteria should be used for making some people pay more?							Should public policy continue to use tax rules to encourage employer-based health insurance?		
Meeting Sites Sorted from Lowest to Highest	Yes	All pay same	Family size	Health Behaviors	Income	Other	Other or some combination	Meeting Sites	Yes	Abstain
Sacramento, CA	43.0%	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	Albuquerque, NM	39.3%	NA
Indianapolis, IN	58.4%	15.5%	3.6%	29.0%	47.2%	4.7%	NA	Baton Rouge, LA	86.8%	NA
Baton Rouge, LA	59.6%	6.3%	14.6%	27.1%	43.8%	8.3%	NA	Billings, MT	45.8%	NA
Jackson, MS	60.3%	25.5%	4.3%	19.1%	38.3%	12.8%	NA	Charlotte, NC	61.8%	NA
Tucson, AZ	61.0%	18.4%	0.0%	18.4%	50.0%	13.2%	NA	Cincinnati, OH	50.4%	NA
Miami, FL	63.0%	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	Denver, CO	38.5%	NA
Orlando, FL	63.9%	20.9%	6.2%	14.7%	41.1%	17.1%	NA	Des Moines, IA	23.9%	NA
Phoenix, AZ	64.6%	26.0%	2.0%	12.0%	52.0%	8.0%	NA	Detroit, MI	23.1%	NA
Denver, CO	66.0%	15.6%	4.4%	15.6%	56.6%	7.8%	NA	Eugene, OR	31.6%	NA
Memphis, TN	66.2%	15.1%	2.7%	11.0%	57.5%	13.7%	NA	Fargo, ND	44.2%	26.9%
Kansas City, MO	72.2%	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	Hartford, CT	14.9%	41.4%
Charlotte, NC	72.4%	11.9%	1.2%	27.4%	32.1%	27.4%	NA	Indianapolis, IN	30.8%	NA
Des Moines, IA	73.4%	16.9%	4.2%	15.5%	60.6%	2.8%	NA	Jackson, MS	72.1%	NA
Billings, MT	76.3%	11.9%	7.1%	28.6%	44.0%	8.3%	NA	Kansas City, MO	36.3%	NA
Seattle, WA	77.0%	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	Las Vegas, NV	24.7%	NA
Providence, RI	79.2%	20.4%	2.0%	26.5%	44.9%	6.1%	NA	Lexington, KY	63.2%	17.9%
Salt Lake City, UT	80.0%	8.5%	4.2%	22.5%	59.2%	5.6%	NA	Little Rock, AR	41.6%	23.0%
Detroit, MI	81.1%	11.7%	6.5%	6.5%	68.8%	6.5%	NA	Los Angeles, CA	37.4%	NA
Philadelphia, PA	82.5%	7.9%	5.3%	7.0%	70.2%	9.6%	NA	Memphis, TN	29.3%	NA
Fargo, ND	NA	5.7%	0.9%	11.3%	20.8%	NA	61.3%	Miami, FL	67.4%	NA
Little Rock, AR	NA	11.1%	5.1%	6.0%	15.4%	NA	62.4%	New York, NY	NA	NA
Sioux Falls, SD	NA	12.9%	3.2%	22.6%	9.7%	NA	51.6%	Orlando, FL	60.2%	NA
Los Angeles, CA	NA	19.8%	4.1%	10.6%	50.5%	15.0%	NA	Philadelphia, PA	32.1%	NA
Albuquerque, NM	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	Phoenix, AZ	53.1%	NA
Hartford, CT	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	Providence, RI	26.5%	NA
Las Vegas, NV	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	Sacramento, CA	NA	NA
Eugene, OR	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	Salt Lake City, UT	52.8%	NA
San Antonio, TX	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	San Antonio, TX	13.7%	48.4%
New York, NY	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	Seattle, WA	32.2%	NA
Lexington, KY	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	Sioux Falls, SD	NA	NA
Cincinnati, OH	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	Tucson, AZ	50.0%	NA
Weighted average	67.7%	15.3%	4.2%	16.2%	47.0%	9.3%	8.0%	Weighted average	41.4%	5.6%

How much more would you personally be willing to pay in a year (in premiums, taxes, or through other means) to support efforts that would result in every American having access to affordable, high quality health care coverage and services?

Meeting Site	\$0	\$1-99	\$100-299	\$300-999	\$1000+	Don't Know
Albuquerque, NM	21.7%	7.5%	18.3%	18.3%	24.2%	10.0%
Baton Rouge, LA	8.7%	19.6%	19.6%	26.1%	19.6%	6.5%
Billings, MT	15.0%	16.3%	18.8%	18.8%	21.3%	10.0%
Charlotte, NC	44.6%	8.1%	10.8%	9.5%	16.2%	10.8%
Cincinnati, OH	24.2%	19.2%	15.2%	10.1%	11.8%	19.4%
Denver, CO	11.9%	15.7%	16.7%	24.3%	25.2%	6.2%
Des Moines, IA	13.6%	11.9%	15.3%	30.5%	20.3%	8.5%
Detroit, MI	9.7%	12.5%	15.3%	20.8%	33.3%	8.3%
Eugene, OR	13.4%	11.9%	11.9%	17.9%	32.8%	11.9%
Fargo, ND	11.0%	16.0%	30.0%	16.0%	13.0%	14.0%
Hartford, CT	20.0%	10.0%	13.3%	26.7%	21.7%	8.3%
Indianapolis, IN	11.6%	14.9%	14.9%	16.0%	22.1%	20.4%
Jackson, MS	33.9%	16.1%	14.5%	12.9%	4.8%	17.7%
Kansas City, MO	6.7%	12.4%	19.1%	23.6%	24.7%	13.5%
Las Vegas, NV	14.5%	18.4%	21.1%	19.7%	15.8%	10.5%
Lexington, KY	11.2%	15.3%	18.4%	28.6%	20.4%	6.1%
Little Rock, AR	14.0%	26.3%	22.8%	17.5%	7.0%	12.3%
Los Angeles, CA	37.7%	14.4%	8.5%	9.7%	10.6%	19.1%
Memphis, TN	30.9%	1.5%	4.4%	13.2%	30.9%	19.1%
New York, NY	25.4%	3.0%	6.0%	13.4%	35.8%	16.4%
Orlando, FL	17.5%	10.7%	20.4%	14.6%	16.5%	20.4%
Philadelphia, PA	9.0%	12.3%	12.3%	13.1%	27.9%	25.4%
Phoenix, AZ	18.8%	15.3%	20.0%	18.8%	20.0%	7.1%
Providence, RI	23.7%	7.9%	21.1%	15.8%	23.7%	7.9%
Salt Lake City, UT	22.8%	13.9%	20.3%	25.3%	11.4%	6.3%
San Antonio, TX	8.4%	15.0%	23.4%	19.6%	18.7%	15.0%
Sioux Falls, SD	6.3%	15.6%	15.6%	25.0%	28.1%	9.4%
Tucson, AZ	22.6%	19.4%	0.0%	29.0%	12.9%	16.1%
Weighted average	18.9%	14.4%	16.1%	17.4%	19.1%	14.1%

Note: Participants in the Sacramento, CA, Miami, FL and Seattle, WA community meetings did not respond to a comparable question.

Please rate each of the following public spending priorities to reach the goal of health care that works for all Americans. (RANKINGS FROM EACH MEETING WHERE QUESTION WAS ASKED THIS WAY)								
Meeting Site	Guarantee Enough Providers	Invest in Public Health	Guarantee Health Insurance for All	Develop Health Information Technology	Improve Minority Access	Biomedical and Technological Research	Ensure Health Care for All, including Safety Net Programs for Poor	Preserve Medicare and Medicaid
Billings, MT	4th	1st	5th	3rd	8th	6th	2nd	7th
Charlotte, NC	5th	1st	4th	8th	7th	6th	2nd	3rd
Cincinnati, OH	4th	2nd	1st	8th	7th	6th	3rd	5th
Denver, CO	6th	4th	1st	8th	5th	7th	2nd	3rd
Des Moines, IA	3rd	2nd	1st	6th	5th	4th	7th	8th
Detroit, MI	3rd	2nd	1st	7th	4th	6th	8th	5th
Eugene, OR	5th	2nd	1st	7th	4th	8th	3rd	6th
Indianapolis, IN	3rd	2nd	1st	8th	5th	7th	4th	6th
Jackson, MS	3rd	5th	2nd	8th	4th	7th	1st	6th
Miami, FL	7th	4th	1st	8th	6th	5th	2nd	3rd
Phoenix, AZ	4th	2nd	1st	6th	3rd	5th	8th	7th
Providence, RI	5th	3rd	1st	7th	2nd	8th	4th	6th
Salt Lake City, UT	4th	1st	5th	6th-T	8th	6th-T	3rd	2nd
Seattle, WA	2nd	3rd	1st	8th	4th	7th	6th	5th

Considering the rising cost of health care, which of the following should be the MOST important priority for public spending to reach the goal of health care that works for all Americans? (SELECT ONE)								
Meeting Site	Guarantee Enough Providers	Invest in Public Health	Guarantee Health Insurance¹ for All	Develop Health Information Technology	Improve Minority Access	Biomedical and Technological Research	Ensure Health Care for All, including Safety Net Programs for Poor	Preserve Medicare and Medicaid
Albuquerque, NM	8.4%	12.2%	58.8%	1.5%	1.5%	0.8%	12.2%	4.6%
Baton Rouge, LA	6.5%	23.9%	37.0%	8.7%	0.0%	2.2%	17.4%	4.3%
Fargo, ND	6.3%	28.1%	42.7%	1.0%	1.0%	4.2%	13.5%	3.1%
Kansas City, MO	3.1%	18.4%	40.8%	1.0%	2.0%	1.0%	33.7%	NA
Las Vegas, NV	9.7%	20.8%	37.5%	2.8%	6.9%	2.8%	12.5%	6.9%
Lexington, KY	5.8%	23.3%	51.5%	2.9%	1.9%	1.0%	10.7%	2.9%
Little Rock, AR	7.4%	22.3%	48.9%	1.1%	1.1%	0.0%	14.9%	4.3%
Los Angeles, CA	9.4%	8.3%	70.7%	0.6%	3.3%	0.0%	5.0%	2.8%
Orlando, FL	3.0%	17.0%	33.0%	3.0%	2.0%	0.0%	31.0%	11.0%
San Antonio, TX	0.9%	23.4%	47.7%	0.9%	0.9%	0.9%	16.8%	8.4%
Sioux Falls, SD	6.3%	21.9%	46.9%	3.1%	0.0%	0.0%	18.8%	3.1%
Weighted average	6.2%	18.5%	49.8%	1.9%	2.1%	1.0%	15.8%	4.6%

¹In the Hartford community meeting, which is not included in the above table, participants changed the categories to include “Guarantee high quality care for everyone.” This option was selected by 80% of participants. Note: Participants in the Memphis, Philadelphia, Sacramento, New York, and Tucson community meetings did not answer a comparable question.

If you believe it is important to ensure access to affordable, high quality health care coverage and services for all Americans, which is most important to you? (SELECT ONE)

<u>Meeting Site</u>	<u>Individual Tax Incentives</u>	<u>Expand State Medicaid, SCHIP, etc.</u>	<u>Rely on Free Market</u>	<u>Expand Medicare/ FEHBP</u>	<u>Expand Employer Tax Incentives</u>	<u>Employer Insurance Mandate</u>	<u>Expand Neighborhood Health clinics</u>	<u>Create a National Health Program</u>	<u>Individual Insurance Mandate</u>	<u>Increase State Program Flexibility</u>
Albuquerque, NM	11.1%	2.5%	2.5%	3.7%	2.5%	8.6%	4.9%	56.8%	6.2%	1.2%
Cincinnati, OH	7.8%	11.6%	6.0%	6.6%	3.9%	4.5%	2.4%	39.7%	17.0%	0.6%
Fargo, ND	9.9%	7.7%	7.7%	5.5%	12.1%	4.4%	3.3%	34.1%	9.9%	5.5%
Hartford, CT	0.0%	3.7%	0.0%	3.7%	3.7%	3.7%	5.6%	74.1%	5.6%	0.0%
Las Vegas, NV	5.8%	7.2%	0.0%	8.7%	1.4%	2.9%	2.9%	44.9%	20.3%	5.8%
Lexington, KY	6.3%	5.3%	3.2%	2.1%	2.1%	8.4%	1.1%	54.7%	16.8%	0.0%
Little Rock, AR	11.9%	9.9%	1.0%	11.9%	5.0%	1.0%	5.0%	25.7%	27.7%	1.0%
Los Angeles, CA	6.2%	6.2%	2.6%	7.2%	2.1%	4.1%	6.7%	59.5%	3.6%	1.5%
San Antonio, TX	1.9%	4.9%	4.9%	5.8%	3.9%	1.9%	1.0%	54.4%	19.4%	1.9%
Sioux Falls, SD	7.7%	11.5%	0.0%	15.4%	3.8%	3.8%	0.0%	30.8%	23.1%	3.8%

If you believe it is important to ensure access to affordable, high quality health care coverage and services for all Americans, which of these proposals would you suggest for doing this? (RANKINGS FROM EACH MEETING WHERE QUESTION WAS ASKED THIS WAY)

Billings, MT	8th	6th	10th	3rd	7th	9th	2nd	1st	4th	5th
Charlotte, NC	6th	10th	9th	3rd	4th	8th	2nd	5th	1st	7th
Denver, CO	9th	6th	10th	3rd	8th	7th	2nd	1st	4th	5th
Des Moines, IA	7th	6th	10th	2nd	8th	9th	3rd	1st	4th	5th
Detroit, MI	9th	6th	10th	3rd	8th	4th	2nd	1st	5th	7th
Eugene, OR	9th	6th	10th	5th	8th	7th	2nd	1st	4th	3rd
Indianapolis, IN	5th	6th	10th	4th	9th	8th	3rd	1st	2nd	7th
Jackson, MS	9th	7th	10th	3rd	4th	6th	2nd	1st	5th	8th
Kansas City, MO	7th	4th	NA	3rd	5th	9th	2nd	1st	6th	8th
Memphis, TN	7th	5th	10th	3rd	9th	6th	2nd	1st	4th	8th
Miami, FL	9th	4th	10th	3rd	6th	7th	2nd	1st	5th	8th
New York, NY	9th	4th	10th	2nd	8th	6th	3rd	1st	5th	7th
Philadelphia, PA	9th	7th	10th	3rd	8th	5th	2nd	1st	4th	6th
Phoenix, AZ	7th	9th	10th	5th	6th	4th	2nd	1st	3rd	8th
Providence, RI	9th	8th	10th	4th	7th	6th	2nd	1st	3rd	5th
Sacramento, CA	8th	7th	10th	3rd	9th	6th	2nd	1st	4th	5th
Salt Lake City, UT	6th	7th	9th	5th	8th	10th	2nd	3rd	1st	4th
Seattle, WA	9th	7th	10th	4th	8th	6th	2nd	1st	3rd	5th
Tucson, AZ	7th	5th	10th	4th	8th	9th	3rd	2nd	1st	6th

Note: Participants in the Orlando and Baton Rouge community meetings did not answer a comparable question.