This appendix contains – reproduced at smaller than normal size – the study of radar returns from past Space Shuttle launches to determine whether the Solid Rocket Booster bolt catchers may have failed during the flight of STS-107. The report concluded that there was the possibility that one of the debris items seen on radar during that flight could have been part of a bolt catcher.

This appendix has no recommendations, but the Board did make recommendations related to the bolt catcher issue in Volume I. The conclusions drawn in this report do not necessarily reflect the conclusions of the Board; when there is a conflict, the statements in Volume I of the Columbia Accident Investigation Board Report take precedence.
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BOLT CATCHER DEBRIS ANALYSIS FOR SHUTTLE STS-107

An analysis of debris detected following Solid Rocket Booster separation indicates that one debris item, Item #33, is a good candidate to be an External Tank Bolt Catcher. Peak amplitudes of the debris returns are consistent with those of an External Tank Bolt Catcher. Range Time Intensity data from previous missions indicate that debris items have been detected at or near Solid Rocket Booster separation on 19 past missions. Seven items from five missions exhibit strong similarities to Item #33.

INTRODUCTION

Shuttle STS-107 was launched from Space Launch Complex (SLC) 39A, located at the Kennedy Space Center (KSC), on 16 January 2003. During the ascent phase of this launch, the Orbiter Vehicle, Columbia, was impacted by debris emitted from the External Tank (ET) at approximately T+81 seconds. At the request of Mr. William H. Haase, National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA) Shuttle Flight Safety Manager, CSR Systems Analysis performed a debris analysis of radar data, optical video, and optical film images collected during the launch.

The analysis was conducted in two parts. The original report, distributed on 14 February 2003 [ref. 1], consisted of analysis of debris detected at T+30 s, T+81 s (near the time of in-flight breakup), and T+150 s to T+230 s. The time frame around Solid Rocket Booster (SRB) separation is typically characterized by abundant plume effects and expulsion of solid fuel debris, and was, therefore, not analyzed in the original report. At the request of Mr. Haase, the time period from T+110 s to T+140 s was analyzed for debris, and the results were distributed in a revision to the original report on 4 April 2003 [ref. 2].

An analysis of debris detected following Solid Rocket Booster separation [ref. 2] consisted of analysis of debris detected at T+30 s, T+81 s (near the time of in-flight breakup), and T+150 s to T+230 s. The time frame around Solid Rocket Booster (SRB) separation is typically characterized by abundant plume effects and expulsion of solid fuel debris, and was, therefore, not analyzed in the original report. At the request of Mr. Haase, the time period from T+110 s to T+140 s was analyzed for debris, and the results were distributed in a revision to the original report on 4 April 2003 [ref. 2].
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Additionally, 12 samples of selected material from the Orbiter/ET were tested to determine their individual reflectivity coefficients. By using the test material reflectivity values and the maximum Radar Cross Section (RCS) of the detected debris, an approximate size of each of the sample materials relative to each of the detected debris items was determined in the revised report. RCS measurements of an ET Bolt Catcher were not conducted in time to include in the publication of the revised report. At the request of Mr. W. Wayne Hale, Jr., NASA Shuttle Launch Integration Manager, an analysis of debris detected following SRB separation was conducted to determine if any of the debris items were characteristic of an ET Bolt Catcher.

The Eastern Range (ER) radar that supported STS-107 were:

- Radar 1.16 at Cape Canaveral Air Force Station (CCAFS), FL
- Radar 0.14 at Patrick Air Force Base (PAFB), FL
- Radar 19.17 at KSC, FL
- Radar 19.14 at KSC, FL
- Radar 28.14 at Jonathan Dickinson Island Tracking Array (JDITA), FL
- NASA Radar 86.18 at the Wallops Flight Facility, VA
- NASA Radar 86.19R at the Wallops Flight Facility, VA

Only Radar 19.14, Radar 0.14, and Radar 28.14 have the capability to record Full Range Video (FRV) of the track, which may provide an indication of debris presence.

METHODOLOGY

The radar suitable for detection of low RCS particle separations is the C-band FPQ-14 radar (1.14, 19.14, and 28.14). The primary indicator of debris is found in the radar's FRV tape recording. This recording contains returns across the radar's pulse repetition interval (PRI). The FRV tape recording of the radar receiver output is converted at the Data Playback and Digitizing Equipment (DPDE) facility at CCAFS into Range Time Intensity (RTI) charts for documentation of debris separations. The RTI charts are time-tagged with an Inter-Range Instrumentation Group (IRIG) timing format that allows for accurate time correlation.

The time of debris detection, as obtained from the RTI charts, is isolated and then digitized for detailed analysis. Once the data has been digitized, the radar receiver calibration data is used to fill the amplitude data, DPDE counts, to Signal-to-Noise (SN) values. The radar equation is applied using the specific Radio Frequency Loop Gain (RFLG) value of the radar to get RCS. The data is then plotted in three dimensions (time, relative range, and RCS) to provide a ‘picture’ of the debris characteristics. It is from these plots that the debris RCS can be estimated. Additionally, the plots reveal the range separation rate relative to the vehicle and the debris flight characteristics (i.e., floating, tumbling, relating).
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A Bolt Catcher's radar return signature characteristics, as well as its mean and maximum RCS, were established in controlled testing at the AFRL, WPAFB. The testing was conducted within the SRI radar operating parameters of 5690 MHz frequency and linear vertical polarization. These signatures and measurements were used as an approximation of the signal that may be returned from a Bolt Catcher if captured by the ER tracking radar. Fig. 4 and Fig. 5 present the results of the AFRL C-Band tests. Note that all measurements from the AFRL were taken from a Bolt Catcher without SLA coating. All comparisons made in this report use these numbers.

Although no RCS information is available for a Bolt Catcher with an SLA coating, the Bolt Catcher without SLA coating. All comparisons made in this report use these numbers.

A 230-cm² plate with SLA coating has an RCS of -32 dBsm vice 3.9 dBsm for a similarly sized metal plate without SLA coating.

Fig. 3. ET Bolt Catcher Dimensions

Fig. 4. ET Bolt Catcher, Vertical Mount, Vertical Polarization, C-Band

Fig. 5. ET Bolt Catcher, Horizontal Mount, Vertical Polarization, C-Band
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The digitized data from T+110 s to T+140 s was analyzed in an attempt to determine the basic shape (e.g., flat plate, cone, cylinder, sphere) of the debris. Radar signatures were examined for all objects. For all but a single item, Item #33, the signal returns from the debris could not effectively be distinguished from the radar noise and thus prevented reasonable estimation of the shape. Based on the signal specular analysis, the majority of the debris is assumed to be irregular in shape. As a result, all debris items during this time period were excluded as possible Bolt Catcher candidates, with the exception of debris Item #33. The speculars from Item #33 were well defined, and a detailed analysis of debris Item #33 is provided in the following section.

Debris Item #33

Debris Item #33 (Fig. 8) is first observed at T+128 s, one second after the SRB separation command was issued. The item has a range separation rate of 520 m/s (with a 120 m/s uncertainty), and is visible for two seconds. The signal return of this item has passed out of the high-gain region of the radar beam at T+129.8 s, at which point the item has moved approximately the distance of the main radar beam. Item #33 spectral data after T+129.8 s was not used in this analysis.

The digitized data from T+110 s to T+140 s was analyzed in an attempt to determine the basic shape (e.g., flat plate, cone, cylinder, sphere) of the debris. Radar signatures were examined for all objects. For all but a single item, Item #33, the signal returns from the debris could not effectively be distinguished from the radar noise and thus prevented reasonable estimation of the shape. Based on the signal specular analysis, the majority of the debris is assumed to be irregular in shape. As a result, all debris items during this time period were excluded as possible Bolt Catcher candidates, with the exception of debris Item #33. The speculars from Item #33 were well defined, and a detailed analysis of debris Item #33 is provided in the following section.

Debris Item #33

Debris Item #33 (Fig. 8) is first observed at T+128 s, one second after the SRB separation command was issued. The item has a range separation rate of 520 m/s (with a 120 m/s uncertainty), and is visible for two seconds. The signal return of this item has passed out of the high-gain region of the radar beam at T+129.8 s, at which point the item has moved approximately the distance of the main radar beam. Item #33 spectral data after T+129.8 s was not used in this analysis.

Analysis of the speculars shows that the RCS peak amplitudes of Item #33 returns are consistent with the peak amplitudes for the nose, broadside, and base of an ET Bolt Catcher as determined by measurements at WPAFB [1, 2, and 3]. All peak RCS amplitudes for Item #33 are within the uncertainty values established in Revision 1 of the original Technical Report [4] to be matched to the RCS of the individual facets of a Bolt Catcher: nose, broadside, and base. The AFRL tests indicate that the rounded nose of an ET Bolt Catcher has a maximum RCS of -0.310 ± 0.010 dBsm. The broadside and the end of a Bolt Catcher have a maximum RCS of -0.5 ± 0.1 dBsm, respectively. The peak specular measured at Radar 28.14 ranged from -2 to 2 dBsm with a 3-dBsm uncertainty.

If Item #33 were a metallic cylinder, its theoretical dimensions would be similar to the dimensions of an ET Bolt Catcher. The peak specular amplitudes indicate that the item has a maximum reflective surface of 170 ± 60 cm². This reflective area would be produced by a cylinder with a 20 cm diameter and a length of 32 cm. These tolerances on the Item #33 dimension estimates make it well within the possibility to be the dimensions of an ET Bolt Catcher.

The much greater RCS of Item #33 eliminates the possibility that it is a BSM aft cover. The peak specular amplitudes indicate that the item has a maximum reflective surface of 170 ± 60 cm². This reflective area would be produced by a cylinder with a 20 cm diameter and a length of 32 cm. These tolerances on the Item #33 dimension estimates make it well within the possibility to be the dimensions of an ET Bolt Catcher.

The much greater RCS of Item #33 eliminates the possibility that it is a BSM aft cover. The peak specular amplitudes indicate that the item has a maximum reflective surface of 170 ± 60 cm². This reflective area would be produced by a cylinder with a 20 cm diameter and a length of 32 cm. These tolerances on the Item #33 dimension estimates make it well within the possibility to be the dimensions of an ET Bolt Catcher.

The much greater RCS of Item #33 eliminates the possibility that it is a BSM aft cover. The peak specular amplitudes indicate that the item has a maximum reflective surface of 170 ± 60 cm². This reflective area would be produced by a cylinder with a 20 cm diameter and a length of 32 cm. These tolerances on the Item #33 dimension estimates make it well within the possibility to be the dimensions of an ET Bolt Catcher.

Debris Item #33

The Item #33 maximum RCS was compared to the maximum RCS that would be extracted from this data. Comparison of the radar spectrals with the Bolt Catcher RCS data gathered at the AFRL [4, 5, and 6] does not eliminate Item #33 as a candidate for a Bolt Catcher honeycomb particle, and ET separation bolt were eliminated as possible candidates, since none of these items would produce a return of Item #33’s magnitude.

Debris Item #33 is 1.73 dBsm, and the maximum RCS of the BSM aft cover is -9.5 dBsm. The much greater RCS of Item #33 eliminates the possibility that it is a BSM aft cover.

The theoretical maximum RCS of the ET separation bolt is -4 dBsm. The maximum RCS of debris items detected by Radar 19.14 (-15 dBsm to -13 dBsm) did not exceed -4 dBsm, so it is possible that one of these items could be an off-aspect return from the bolt. As stated in previous reports [7, 1, and ref. 2] the signal returns from the debris could not effectively be distinguished from the radar noise and, based on the signal specular analysis, it is assumed that the debris was irregular in shape. As a result, none of these debris items are considered a candidate for the ET separation bolt in the event it separated from the forward separation bolt assembly.
Historical Review
CSR Systems Analysis reviewed 48 previous Shuttle missions for which RTI charts are available, dating back to November 1994. On 19 of these missions, a debris item was detected at a time similar to that of Item #33, specifically, at or near the time of SRB separation. Additionally, on five of these 19 missions, the debris items exhibit a very strong similarity to Item #33 in that they were singular, distinct items, and had similar returns. These five missions were F1642 (STS-110), F1076 (STS-105), F4289 (STS-100), A4651 (STS-95), and A3839 (STS-90). It cannot be determined if these items are identical to Item #33, since all data required to perform a complete analysis may not exist.

CONCLUSIONS
Debris Item #33 was determined to be the only possible candidate for an ET Bolt Catcher. Analysis of speculars from the item shows that the peak amplitudes of the returns are characteristic of the peak amplitudes for the nose, broadside, and bottom of an ET Bolt Catcher as determined by RCS measurements by the AFRL at WPAFB. Additionally, the peak return observed by Radar 28.14 would, theoretically, be indicative of a metal cylinder with similar dimensions of an ET Bolt Catcher.

Full characterization of the signature pattern could not be accomplished due to PRF limitations, and the exact shape of the debris item could not be determined. As a result, this analysis cannot definitely determine if this item is, or is not, an ET Bolt Catcher. However, due to the detection of the item in close proximity to SRB separation, the similar peak amplitudes, and similar theoretical size, this item is considered a reasonable candidate to be an ET Bolt Catcher.

Debris Item #33 was evaluated to determine if it could be any part of the Forward Separation Bolt assembly (Circular 2). The ET Bolt Catcher attachment bolts, Bolt Catcher honeycomb portion, and ET separation bolt were eliminated as possible candidates, since none of these items would produce a return of the magnitude observed by Radar 28.14. Additionally, the signal returns from Item #33 were determined to be too large to be a Booster Separation Motor (BSM) aft cover.

RTI data from previous missions indicate that debris items have been detected at or near SRB separation on 19 past missions. Seven items from five missions exhibit strong similarities to Item #33.
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